# 1

## BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

## Service Appeal No. 10009/2020

Atif Ullah S/o Mir Tawal Khan Ex-Warder Circle Head Quarter Prison
Iardan R/o Mattaki Bizenkhel FR Bannu.
Petitioner
eng.
Versus
Inspector General of Prison, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
2. Superintendent of Circle, Headquarters Prison, Mardan.
3. Superintendent of Headquarter Prison, Peshawar.
Respondents

#### **INDEX**

S#	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Grounds of Execution Petition		112
2.	Affidavit		3
3.	Addresses of Parties		4
4.	Judgment dated 30-06-2022	"A"	5+010
5	Wakalat Nama		ų

Appellant

Through

Naila Jan

Advocate High Court

Peshawar.

Dated: 09/01/2023

## BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution petition No. \_\_\_\_\_/2023

In

2823 Diary No. 2823

### Service Appeal No. 10009/2020

									Pot	itioner
Mar	dan R	o Ma	attak	i Bizen	khel F	R Bannu.				
Atif	Ullah	S/o	Mir	Tawal	Khan	Ex-Warde	r Circle	Head	Quarter	Prison

#### Versus

- 1. Inspector General of Prison, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Superintendent of Circle, Headquarters Prison, Mardan.
- 3. Superintendent of Headquarter Prison, Peshawar.

..... Respondents

Execution Petition for direction to the Respondents to implement judgment dated 30-06-2022 of the Hon'ble Tribunal in its true letter & spirit.

#### Respectfully Shewth,

- 1. That the appellant was appointed as Jail Warder (BPS-05) however, he was terminated from service vide order dated 14-05-2020.
- 2. That the appellant feeling aggrieved from the above order after availing departmental remedy filed Service Appeal No. 10009/2020 before the Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 3. That the Hon'ble Tribunal vide its judgment dated 30-06-2022 accepted the Service Appeal with the following orders:

"The punishment in the instant case in shape of termination for 45 days is harsh, therefore, we allow this appeal, reinstate the appellant and convert thea punishment into stoppage of one year increment without cumulative effect. The entire period of absence is treated as leave without pay. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room". Copy of the order dated 30-06-2022 is Annex-A.



- 4. That the petitioner approached time and again for implementation of the judgment of this honourable Tribunal however, respondents till date has not honoured judgment of this honourable Tribunal.
- 5. That not implementing the judgment of this honourable Tribunal by not reinstating the petitioner is amount to contempt of court/defiance of the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

#### Prayers:

It is, therefore, requested that appropriate direction may be issued to the respondents for implementation of the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal in its true letter and spirits and to reinstate the petitioner with all back benefits.

Petitioner

Through

Advocate Peshawar High Court

Peshawar



## BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

### Service Appeal No. 10009/2020

Atif Ullah S/o Mir Tawal Khan Ex-Warder Circle Head Quarter Prison
Mardan R/o Mattaki Bizenkhel FR Bannu.
Petitioner
Versus
1. Inspector General of Prison, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
2. Superintendent of Circle, Headquarters Prison, Mardan.
3. Superintendent of Headquarter Prison, Peshawar.
Respondents

#### <u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Atif Ullah S/o Mir Tawal Khan R/O R/o Mattaki Bizenkhel FR Bannu, EX-Warder (BPS-05), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the accompanied execution petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed or withheld from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Cell No:

Identified By;

Advocate High Court Peshawar.

NAILA JAN



## BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

### Service Appeal No. 10009/2020

Atif Ullah S/o Mir Tawal Khan Ex-Warder Circle Head Quarter Prison
Mardan R/o Mattaki Bizenkhel FR Bannu.
Petitioner
Versus
1. Inspector General of Prison, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
2. Superintendent of Circle, Headquarters Prison, Mardan.
3. Superintendent of Headquarter Prison, Peshawar.
Respondents
ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

#### PETITIONER.

Atif Ullah S/o Mir Tawal Khan Ex-Warder Circle Head Quarter Prison Mardan R/o Mattaki Bizenkhel FR Bannu

#### RESPONDENTS.

- 1. Inspector General of Prison, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Superintendent of Circle, Headquarters Prison, Mardan.
- 3. Superintendent of Headquarter Prison, Peshawar

Appellant

Through

NAILA JAN \ \ Advocate High Court

Peshawar.

Dated: 69 /01/2022

## BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.\_\_\_\_\_/2020

Atif Ullah S/o Mir Tawal Khan Ex-Warder, Circle Head Quarter, Prison Mardan. R/o Mattaki Bizenkhel, F.R. (Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Inspector General of Prison, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- Superintendent of Circle, Headquarters Prison, Mardan.
- Superintendent of Headquarters Prison, Peshawar.
   (Respondents)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974, AGAINST THE ORDER DATED .14.05.2020, WHEREBY THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN TERMINATED FROM SERVICE, DUE TO ABSENCE FROM DUTY, THEREAFTER, THE APPELLANT FILLED DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL TO THE APPELLAT AUTHORITY I.E RESPONDENT NO.1 WHICH HAS FINALLY BEEN REJECTED VIDE DATED 07.08,2020,

5

Naila Jan Advocate Peshawar High Court

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.10009/2020

Date of Institution

02.09.2020

Date of Decision

30.06.2022

Atif Ullah S/O Mir Tawal Khan Ex-Warder, Circle Head Quarter, Prison Mardan. R/O Mattaki Bizenkhel, F.R Bannu.

(Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

Inspector General of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and two others.

(Respondents)

Bashir Khari Wazir,

Advocate

For appellant.

Kabir Ullah Khattak,

Additional Advocate General

Forrespondents.

Salah-Ud-Din

Member (J)

RozinaRehman

Member (J)

#### JUDGMENT!

ROZINA REHMAN, MEMBER(J): The appellant has invoked the jurisdiction of this Tribunal through above titled appeal with the prayer as copied below:

"On acceptance of this appeal the order dated 14.05.2020 and order dated 07.08.2020 being illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority and void ab-initio in the eyes of law, may please be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated into service with all back benefits".

Peshawar High Court

Warder BS-5 after fulfillment of all codal formalities and on the recommendation of Departmental Selection Committee; that his wife was seriously ill and was hospitalized being in critical situation, he, therefore, made request for leave which was verbally considered and he was asked to leave. During the course of treatment of his wife, he wanted to resume his duty but he was refused and he was proceeded against departmentally but without serving upon him charge sheet and without conducting any inquiry. When he came to know about his termination order, he filed departmental appeal which was rejected, hence, the present service appeal.

3. We have heardBashir Khan Wazir; Advocate learned counsel for the appellant and Kabir Ullah Khattak, learned Additional Advocate General for respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.

4. Bashir Khan Wazir Advocate, learned counsel for the appellant argued inter alia thatthe appellant was not treated in accordance with law hence his rights secured and guaranteed under the law and Constitution were badly violated. Fie submitted that the impugned order is against law, facts and norms of natural justice as he never committed misconduct and the proceedings conducted against him are thus illegal and unlawful. It was further submitted that no proper procedure was followed by the respondents before termination order as the appellant was never associated with the inquiry proceedings and the statement of witnesses, if any, were never recorded in presence of appellant and he was never afforded any opportunity of cross-examination. He contended that in case of major penalty, where

B



serious allegations are made, a regular inquiry is a must but in the instant case, no inquiry was conducted and the appellant was condemned unheard as no chance of personal hearing was afforded.

- 5. Conversely, learned AAG submitted that soon after his appointment, the conduct of appellant remained unsatisfactory during probation period. Being in probation period, he violated the terms & condition of his service and he was therefore, terminated from service by violating the terms & condition of service as well as Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Prisons Rules, 2018.
- From the record it is evident that upon the recommendation of Departmental Selection Committee, appellant was appointed against the post of Warder (BPS-05) in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Prisons Department vide appointment order dated 08.10.2019. It is on record that he was very much present for duty on 24.03.2020 when he received a call in respect of the illness of his wife. Relevant documents in respect of ailment of his wife are available on file and the same were never objected to by the respondent Department in their comments. No doubt, as per Prisons Rules, no subordinate officer shall be absent from the prison premises without the permission of Deputy Superintendent. However, whenever any subordinate officer is at any time prevented by sudden illness or other unavoidable cause, from attending the prison or performing his duties, he shall forthwith give notice to the Superintendent alongwith his reasons for absence. The appellant also tried to inform but he failed in getting proper permission consequently, services of the appellant were terminated from the date of absence for unsatisfactory

4

Naila Jan Advocate Peshawar High Court

work/conduct/willful absence from duty w.e.f 24.03.2020 to 14.05.2020 vide order of Superintendent Circle Headquarters, Prisons Mardan dated 14.05.2020. From the above order of termination, it is evident that three differentallegations were leveled against appellant. The first is unsatisfactory work of the appellant but no performance indicator was shown that the appellant's performance was not good. Neither any show cause notice or explanation or any warning administered to the appellant for unsatisfactory work was ever produced before this Bench. Similarly, no documentary evidence was produced in respect of unsatisfactory conduct of the appellant. The third charge was his willful absence from duty w.e.f 24.03.2020 to 14.05.2020 for which the appellant produced medical record of his wife which was not taken into consideration by the competent authority. It merits to mention here that absence notice was issued by the Superintendent Circle Headquarter Prison Mardan bearing endorsement No.512/13 dated 06.04.2020 directing the appellant to report to Central Prison Mardan within 15 days. This absence notice was properly replied by the respondent and the reply has been annexed by the respondents with their comments. The appellant was charged for willful absence and Rule-9 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011 provides procedure in case of willful absence. In the instant case, notice was issued by the competent authority and the appellant replied the same well within time, therefore, in view of his reply, major penalty could not be imposed upon appellant in the circumstances. As per law, on receipt of reply of the accused or on expiry of the stipulated period, if

A.

Naila Jan Advocate Peshawa Wigh Court no reply is received from the accused, the inquiry officer or the inquiry committee, as the case may be, shall inquire into the charges and may examine such oral or documentary evidence in support of the charges or in defense of the accused as may be considered necessary and where any witness is produced by one party, the other party shall be entitled to cross-examine such witness. In the instant case, a strange order was passed by the competent authority without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the appellant. His departmental appeal also met the same fate. His service record in shape of comments on the annotated format on behalf of the Superintendent Circle Headquarter Prison Mardan are also available on file which shows that except one entry in respect of three days leave, there is nothing which could show that his performance was unsatisfactory or that he was habitual absentee or that he is incorrigible.

7. The punishment in the instant case in shape of termination for 45 days absence is harsh, therefore, we allow this appeal, reinstate the appellant and convert the punishment into stoppage of one year increment without cumulative effect. The entire period of absence is treated as leave without pay. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED. 30.06.2022 Certify to be the stopp

Knyzer Tukhtunkhwa Service Tribunal.

(Salah-ud-Din) Member (J) (Rozinakehman) Member (J)

Naila Jan Advocate Peshawar High Court منام بنام بنام المناسطة

مورخه مقدمه دعوی

7.

باعث تحريرة نكه

مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالامیں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی وجواب دہی وکل کاروائی متعلقہ

آن مقام التناور كيك ماركان

مقرر کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز وکیل صاحب کوراضی نامہ کرنے تی تقرر ثالث و فیصلہ پر حلف دیئے جواب دہی اور اقبال دعوی اور اقبال دعوی اور درخواست ہرقتم کی تقدیق بصورت ڈگری کرنے اجراء اور وصولی چیک و روپیہ ارعرضی دعوی اور درخواست ہرقتم کی تقدیق زرایں پر دسخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری کی طرفہ یا اپیل کی برا مدگ اور منسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اپیل گرانی ونظر ثانی و پیروی کرنے کامختاج ہوگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ ندکور کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کو اپنے ہمراہ یا اپنے ہجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا۔ اور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ ندکور با اختیار ات حاصل ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ پر داختہ منظور و تجول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جوخرچہ ہر جانہ التوائے مقدمہ ہوں گے۔ اور اس کا ساختہ پر داختہ منظور و تجول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جوخرچہ ہر جانہ التوائے مقدمہ ہوں گے۔ سبب سے وہوگا۔ کوئی تاریخ پیٹی مقام دورہ پر ہویا حدسے باہر ہوتو و کیل صاحب پابند ہوں گے۔ کہ پیروی نہ کورکریں۔ لہذا و کالت نام کی صدیا کے سندر ہے۔

,20 23

ماه جنوري

3

الرقوم

لعبد کا العبد د گاه العبد د کا العبد د

کے لئے منظور ہے۔

Naila Jan Advocate , Lind Peshawar High Court , Accepted , Mark petitioner in cobse

180