## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

<b>IMPLEMENTATION</b>	<b>PETITION NO</b>	/2023
-----------------------	--------------------	-------

**APPEAL NO 300/2016** 

MUHAMMAD AQIB ZAMAN VS

**EDUCATION DEPTT:** 

**INDEX** 

			•	
S. NO. DOCUMENTS		ANNEXURE	PAGE	
1.	Implementation Petition with Affidavit	************	1-)	
2.	Judgment/Order dated 31-05- 2018	A	3-7	
3.	Notification dated 22-11-2018	В	0	
4.	Judgment dated 06-10-2020	C	9110	
5.	Vakalatnama	*************	11	

Through:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATT ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

IMPLEMENTATION PETITION NO.\_\_\_\_\_/2022

#### IN ; APPEAL NO 300/2016

Mr. Muhammad Aqib Zaman, Ex: CT, District Kurram.

PETITIONER

#### **VERSUS**

- 1- The Chief Secretary Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Director Education Newly Merged Areas, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The District Education Officer (M) District Kurram.
- 4- The District Accounts Officer, District Kurram.

IMPLEMENTATION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO OBEY THE JUDGMENT DATED 31-05-2018 IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

...... RESPONDENTS

### **R/SHEWETH:**

- That the petitioner filed service appeal bearing No. 300/2016 before this august Service Tribunal for his reinstatement into service with all back benefits.
- 3- That after obtaining copy of the judgment dated 31.05.2018 the petitioner submitted the judgment mentioned above for its implementation to the Department

concerned but the respondents department are not willing to obey the judgment dated 31.05.2018 in letter and spirit.

- - 6- That the petitioner has no any other remedy but to file the instant Implementation petition.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the order/Judgment dated 31-05-2018 in letter and spirit. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the petitioner.

Petitioner

Through:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

**AFFIDAVIT** 

I, Muhammad Aqib Zaman, Ex: CT, District Kurram, do hereby solemnly affirm on oath that the contents of the above **Implementation Petition** re true and correct to the best of my knowledge and believe and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

DEPONENT

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabir Uliah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Vide separate common judgment of today placed on file of service appeal bearing No.298/2016, this Tribunal is constrained to issue direction to the respondent department to acjust/reinstate the appellant at the post C.T with immediate effect without back benefits. The present service appeal is accepted in the above terms. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED

are copy

•	- د -	l D-12 - 5	
: i	Sr. No.	Date of	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge or Magistrate
	NO.	order/	
		proceedin	U.
		5	13/11/19
		2	3
1			
ı			BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
:			Service Appeal No. 298/2016
ľ			
1			Date of Institution 28.03.2016
-			Date of Decision 31.05.2018
1			
ŀ			Mr. Wahid Zaman Ex: CT, Kurram Agency.
1	` . {		Appellant
.~`	•		Versus
			The Alexander of the Al
			1. The Additional Chief Secretary FATA, FATA Secretariat
٠.	$\cdots \cdot \cdot$		warsak Road Peshawar
٠.			2. The Director of Education FATA, FATA Secretariat Warsak Road Peshawar.
٠.			3. The Additional Agency Education Officer, Lower and Central Kurram Agency at Sadda.
			4. The Agency Account Officer, Kurram Agency.
٠			Respondents
			JUDGMENT
		31.05.2018	
			MUHAMMAD HAMID MUGHAL, MEMBER: - Learned
_	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		TED	counsel for the appellants and Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak, Additional
	A	1411	
		/	Advocate General for the respondents present.
⋛			
£./	r D	YEAR	2. This single/common judgment shall dispose of the above
 Vi:		ZKIIWE	
Pe	sain.		captioned Appeal filed by (1) Wahid Zaman (Ex. CT) as well as (2)
.: ::			Service annual No anapole of
	* . *		Service appeal No.294/2016 filed by Muhammad Siddique (Ex.
			CT) (3) Service appeal has a 21 ago to a con-
			CT), (3) Service appeal bearing No.299/2016 filed by Muhammad
, . ·	i .		Suced (Ex. CT) (4) Service appeal have
٠.			Saced (Ex. CT), (4) Service appeal bearing No. 300/2016 filed by
			Agib Zaman (Ex CT) (5) Samina
•	Ĺ		Aqib Zaman (Ex. CT), (5) Service appeal bearing No.302/2016 filed
,			しかい アーケー・・ カル・アン・・・・ そいた テン・アンスト こうだい はいめい かいかい だいがき 甘仁

by Luteef Hussain (Ex.CT), being identical in nature.

of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 against the order dated 11.12.2015 whereby the appellants were terminated w.c.f the date of their appointments.

Learned counsel for the appellants argued that the respondent No.3 through adverti ement published in the newspaper advertised various posts in Education Department Kurram Agency including the posts of CT and the appellant having the requisite qualification for the posts of CT applied for the same; that after participation in the test and interview the appellants were declared successful in the selection process and consequently the appellants were offered the said post through issuance of appointment order. Further argued that in response to the appointment of the appeliants they started performing their duties at the stations/schools concerned. Further argued that astonishingly the respondent No. 3 issued the impugned order dated 11.12.2015 whereby the services of the appellants were terminated with retrospective effect. Further argued that the appellants have not been treated in accordance with law. Further argued that the appellants were appointed in the light of Appointment, Promotion & Transfer Rules. Further argued that the appellants were terminated without any regular inquiry and issuance of show cause notice. Further argued that no chance of personal hearing was given to the appellants before the issuance of impugned order. Learned counsel for the appellants strenuously argued that the impugned order is against the law, facts and norms of natural justice



hence liable to be set aside.

- 5. As against that learned Additional Advocate General while opposing the present appeal argued that the respondent department inquired the anomalies carried out in the recruitment process in Kurram Agency and for that purpose constituted oversight committee to trace out illegal appointces; that the committee submitted its report and thereby clearly picked out those candidates who had applied through fake and bogus degrees and were appointed illegally.
  - 6. Arguments heard. File perused.
- through advertisement in the newspaper and that the appellants having been fully qualified and eligible to apply for the same, participated in the recruitment process. Perusal of the impugned order dated 11.12.2015 would show that the appellants were terminated not for the reason that they were not eligible or duly qualified for posts of C.T rather their services were terminated simply on the ground that appointments of appellants Wahid Zaman (Ex. CT), Muhammad Siddique (Ex. CT), Muhammad Saeed (Ex. CT) and Aqib Zaman (Ex. CT) were found in excess to Sub Divisional quota and appellant Lateef Hussain (Ex. CT) is domicile holder of upper Kurram. In the written reply submitted by the respondent department is has not been explained that indeed for the written reply there is no mention of number of vacant posts of C.T.

7

against the posts of C.T in each Sub Division was given. It may also be mentioned that in the advertisement available on file it was simply mentioned that the candidate should be the permanent resident of Kurram Agency hence no distinction of upper Kurram or lower Kurram was there in the advertisement. Similarly the respondent department has not furnished any report of the committee declaring the appointments of the appellants as illegal. During the course of arguments learned Additional Advocate General failed to bring to the notice of this Tribunal any record/report justifying the issuance of the impugned order.

S. In the light of above discussion this Tribunal is constrained to issue direction to the respondent department to adjust/reinstate the appellants at the posts C.T with immediate effect without back benefits. The present service appeals bearing No.298/2016, 294/2016, 299/2016, 300/2016 and 302/2016 are accepted in the above terms. Parties are left to bear their own costs.

File be consigned to the record room after its completion.

Alame of Hassan Member

Michamona offanid Mughel

Date of Precimination		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. 10
and a second		400	6-18
Copying Fee	14	100	<u> </u>
Urgazi	2 -		
Tetai	Cb.		
Name of Care	$\mathcal{A}$	anis	
Date of Carrie		7	· · · · · · · ·
bate of Daiver, at Co		1-6-	18
	·	2-6-	1.0



District Education Office Lowor & Control Kurram Sadda Phone No 0926-520674 /Edu: Dated\_

Email: educationsadda@gmail.com

#### NOTIFICATION.

Consequent upon the approval of Director Elementary & Secondary Education of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar No 10024/[Lit:II dated Peshawar the 29-10-2018 and Judgment of Khyher Palchtunkhwa Service Tribunal ,Peshawar Judicial Complex in Execution No 294/18 in Service Appeal No 300/2016 MR. Auib Zaman CT is hereby conditionally reinstated without back benefit at GHS Makhizal Lower Kurram with immediate effect subject to final decision filed against the impugned in supreme Court of Pakistan subject to provision of his providing surety on judicial stamp paper in case the CPLA turned out to be in favour of the department the amount if any paid to the petitioner will be recovered in cash or coin.

Terms Conditions.

- 1. The reinstatement order and release of running pay will only be effective on furnishing surety on judicial stamp papers by petitioner.
- 2. If they failed to take over charge within 15 days, his appointment will automatically be considered as cancelled,
- 3. Charge report should be submitted to this office.

Add: District Education Officer Lower & Central Karram Sadda

No 6626-30/Edu Dated 32 Copy for information to the. -

- 1. Director of Education NMD Districts Peshawar
- 2. Deputy Commissioner Kurram.
- 3. Assit: DEO Local Office.
- 4. Official Concerned.
- 5. Office file,

Add: District Education Officer

Head Mintel G.B.S. Shair Brahim

Dissti: Kurram

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN (APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

PRESENT:

MR. JUSTICE GULZAR AHMED, HCJ MR. JUSTICE FAISAL ARAB MR. JUSTICE IJAZ<sup>\*</sup>UL AHSAN

CIVIL PETITION NO.689-P TO 693-P OF 2018 (Against the judgment/dated 31.05.2018 of the KPK Service Tribunal, Peshawar passed in Appeal No.298/2016, 299/2016, 300/2016 & 302/2016)

Additional Chief Secretary FATA, FATA Secretariat, Warsat Road, Peshawar and others

> ...Petitioner(s) (In all cases)

MuhammadSiddique 🤌 Wahid Zaman Mulianumad Sacod Aaqib Kaman 👵 Laicef Passain

In C.P.689 P/2018 in C.F.690-P/2018 In C.P.691-P/2018 In C.P.692-P/2018 In C.P.693-P/2018 (\* ...Respondent(s)

For the Petitioner(s):

Barrister Qasim Wadood, AdeLA.G. KPK

Mian Saadullah Jandoli, AOR

For the Respondents:

Not represented

Date of hearing:

06.10.202g ·

#### ORDER

GULZAR AHMED, Cd.- Civil Peritions No.689-P to 691-P/2018 are barred by 35 and Civil Petitions No.692-P and 693 P/2018 are barred by 61 days. The applications for condonation of delay have been filed. The reasons assigned in the same are late supply of documents, process of completion of attested copies of the impugned judgment and lengthy correspondence. Such reasons are not accepted by this Court ha sufficient cause for continuing the delay Further, each day's delay leas not been explained in the applications and no affidavit of ATTESTED.

official of petitioners has been filed except that of an AOR. The applications thus merit no consideration. The same are, therefore, dismissed with the result that the main petitions are dismissed as

time barred.



Sd-Gulzar Ahmed, HCJ

Sd- Faisal Arab, J Sd- Ijaz ul Ahsan, J

Certification be une copy

Assistant Registrar
Suprome Court of Pakistan
// Pushawar.

Peshawar, the

6th of October, 2020

Not Approved For Reporting

Waqas Nascer/\*

7/19220

# VAKALATNAMA BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

<b>≫</b> `		<u>FE3F</u>	AWAK.		•
	APPEAL NO: _		OF 20 <u>)                                   </u>		•
110 A9) es	2amon		0	) 	, ·
M. Aclas	to a c			(APPEL	LANT)
<u> </u>	7			(PLAIN	
	•		•	(PETIT	IONER
		VEF	<u>RSUS</u>		v*
Education	~ Dentt			(RESPONDE	VΤ)
	1			(DEFENDAN	!)
	appoint and				
Advocate to Advocate to sums and a above note	dvocate in the a nult and with the Counsel on no o deposit, with amounts payable d matter.	ne autho Ny/our Ndraw a	cost. I/we	ge/appoint an authorize th	y other
Dated	//2022		A.	<b>,</b>	
•					
	, ,		<u>CLIEI</u> ن	عامل (م)	
	•		· <b>-</b> -		
			ACCE	PTED M	
			NOOR MOH	HAMMAD KHA	TTAK
	•		ADVOCATE	SUPREME CO	URT
				)-0853) 1-0705985-5)	;
		. •			
	•		UMAR FAR	NAMHOM DOO	ID .
			WALEED AL	ONAN	
•		&	Ayub	D Alleron	
OFFICE: Flat No. (TF) 291-29 Deans Trade Centre (0311-9314232)	92 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor, , Peshawar Cantt.		MUHAMMA! ADVOCATES	O AYUB S	
,		٠.			