Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment to further prepare the brief. Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing on 31.03.2022 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member(E)

31st March; 2022

Counsel for the appellant present and heard.

Vide my detailed order of today in connected Service Appeal No. 7476/2021, titled "Miss Nazia Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and others, this appeal is disposed of accordingly. Consign.

Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under my hand and seal of the Tribunal this $31^{\rm st}$ day of March, 2022.

Prikhtunkhtura Scrivice Trilical

(KALIM ARSHAD KHAN) CHAIRMAN,

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Courton		
	 ,	
	and the second s	

. ·	Case No	7480 /2021
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	. 3
1-	29/09/2021	The appeal of Miss. Salma Naheed presented today by Mr. Wisal Hayat Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the
		Worthy Chairman for proper order please. REGISTRAR
2-	•	This case is entrusted to S. Bench at Peshawar for preliminary hearing to be put up there on 26/11/11.
		CHARMAN
-		
	26.11.2021	None for the appellant present.
		Notices be issued to the appellant and his counsel. To come
	up	for preliminary hearing on 31.01.2022 before S.B.
		(MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER (E)
,		

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

S.A 7480/2021

Miss. Salma Naheed (Appellant)

VERSUS

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Others (Respondents)

INDEX

S.No	Description	Annexure	Pages
1	Memorandum of Appeal		P1-6
2	Affidavit		P 7
3	Addresses of Parties		P 8
4	Application for		
	condonation of delay		P 9-10
5	Copy of CNIC	"A"	P 11
6	Copy of the notification	"B"	P12-13
	dated 20/12/2012 and its		·
	better copy		
7	Copy of the salary Slips	"C"	P14-15
8	Copy of the departmental	"D"	P16-17
	appeal		
9	Judgment of The	"E"	P18- 24
	Peshawar High Court		
•	Peshawar		
10	Copy of the Service	"F"	P 25-26
	Tribunal judgment		
11	Other Documents		P 27-28
12	Wakalatnama		P 29

Dated: 07/09/2021

Appellant

Miss. Salma Naheed, through Wisal Hayat Advocate High Court Tahir Khan Advocate at District Courts Mardan

> WISAL HAYAT Advocate High Court Distt. Courts Mardan

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

s, A7480/2021

Diary No 7666

Miss. Salma Naheed W/o Mubarak Nasim D/o Said Muhammad R/o Village Baghicha Dheri District Mardan, Senior Certified Teacher (BPS: 16) at Govt Girls High School Baghicha Dheri District Mardan,.

..... Appellant

Versus

- 1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Secretary (E&SE) Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary Finance Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. The Director (E&SE) Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Filedto-day

..... Respondents

UNDER SECTION OF THE KHYBER NKHWA **SERVICE** TRIBUNAL 1974, THE IMPUGNED RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER AND SUMMER <u>VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON</u> DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT THE WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

Prayers:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (summer& winter vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits.

Any other remedy which this August tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

Respectfully Sheweth:

On Facts:

- 1. That the Appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as Senior Certified Teacher (BPS-16) quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors. (Copy of CNIC is attached as Annexure "A"
- 2. That the Conveyance allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a notification no. FD (PRC) 01-1-2011 dated 14-07-2011 was issued. That later on vide revised

- notification dated 20-12-2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS1to15 were enhanced/revised, while employees from BPS 16 to 19 have been treated under the previous notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. (Copy of the notification dated 20-12-2012 attached as Annexure "B")
- 3. That the appellant was receiving the Conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the respondents without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. (Copy of the salary slip attached as Annexure "C")
- 4. That appellant feeling aggrieved from the action of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months filed departmental appeal but no reply has been received so far. (Copy of the Departmental Appeal is attached as Annexure "D"
- 5. That some of the colleagues of the appellant filed Writ Petition No: 3162-P/2019 before the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar which was disposed of vide Judgment dated: 01-10-2019 with the direction to approach the proper forum i-e Service Tribunal. (Copy of Judgment is attached as Annexure "E")
- 6. That some of the colleagues of the appellant approached to this August Tribunal in different Service appeal which was allowed by

this August Tribunal vide its Judgment dated 11-11-2019(Copy of Judgment is attached as Annexure "F")

7. That where after the appellant waited for the statutory period of ninety days but no reply has been received from the respondents.

That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds among the others.

Grounds:

- A) that the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of the Conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts and norms of natural justice.
- B) That the appellant have not been treated by the department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C) That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights dully conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
 - D) That according to government servants revised leave rules 1981, vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, and therefore the

deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.

- E) That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave as applied by the civil servant in light of Government Servant Revised Leave Rules 1981, while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore, under the law and rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
- F) That the Government Servant Revised Leave Rules 1981, clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month, whereas the other civil servants may avail four days leave in a calendar month and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government Servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspects and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from the appellant.
- G) That the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional and without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.

- H) That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the appellant from the conveyance allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- I) That according to Article 38(e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973, the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earnings of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore, in the light of the said Article the appellant is fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J) That the cause of action accrues to civil servant every month when he is paid salary deficiently, hence, limitation does not run in case of fixation of salary.
- K) That the appellant seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for

Dated: 09-08-2021

Appellant

⁾Miss Salma Naheed, through,

Mistal Hayat Advocate High Court,

Tahir Khan Advocate

at Distt Courts Mardan

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICETRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

S,A ----/2021

Miss. Salma Naheed W/o Mubarak Nasim D/o Said Muhammad R/o Village Baghicha Dheri District Mardan, Senior Certified Teacher (BPS: 16) at Govt Girls High School Baghicha Dheri District Mardan,

Versus

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others

Affidavit

Miss. Salma Naheed W/o Mubarak Nasim D/o Said Muhammad R/o Village Baghicha Dheri District Mardan, Senior Certified Teacher (BPS: 16) at Govt Girls High School Baghicha Dheri District Mardan, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the accompanied appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed or withheld from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

ATTESTED
M. MI KHAN ADVOCATE
Oath Commissioner Mardan
No. 10 9 - Date 3 - 8 - 8

, Deponent I

Miss. Salma Naheed

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

S,A ----/2021

Miss. Salma Naheed

Versus

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Others

Addresses of Parties

Appellant:

Miss. Salma Naheed W/o Mubarak Nasim D/o Said Muhammad, R/O Village Baghicha Dheri District Mardan, Senior Certified Teacher (BPS16) at Govt Girls High School Baghicha Dheri District Mardan

Respondents:

- 1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Secretary (E&SE) Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary Finance Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5. The Director (E&SE) Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Appellant

Miss. Salma Naheed, through

Wisal Hayat Advocate High Court,

Tahir Khan Advocate at

Distt Courts Mardan

WISAL HAYAT
Advocate High Court
Distt. Courts Mardon

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

S, A -----/2021

Miss. Salma Naheed D/o Said Muhammad

Versus

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others

Application for condonation of Delay

Respectfully Sheweth

- 1. That the petitioner is filling the accompanying appeal the contents of which may graciously be considered as integral part of the instant petition
- 2. That the delay in approaching this Hon'ble Tribunal was due to lockdown and COVID-19 pandemic country wide, which was not deliberate at all but accidental.
- 3. That cause of action accrues to civil servant every month when he is paid salary deficiently, hence, limitation does not run in case of fixation of salary.
- 4. That the law favors adjudication on merits and technicalities of any sort must always be ignored while reaching a just and fair disposal of any les.
- 5. That for proper disposal of the accompanying case on its merits, the condonation of delay is indispensible

that not only the Appellant has got a prima facie case and having balance of convenience in his favor, but would suffer irreparable loss, if the instant petition is not allowed.

it is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant petition, the delay if any occurred in filing the accompanying appeal may very graciously be condoned and the accompanying appeal may very be graciously be decided on its merits.

Appellant

Miss. Salma Naheed, through

Wisal Hayat Advocate High Court,

HAWA Tahir Khan Advocate at

MISAL Courts Mardan

Distt Courts Mardan







ومنطار فيستر الوجية ل



شناختی نمبر: 2-1070889 16101 ناندان نمبر: 2-108461 نادان نمبر: 16101 16100 مناندان نمبر: 182000 161000 مناند ال

عارخ اجران 19/11/2013 عارخ تشبخ نه 19/11/2013 تمنيذه مكارز في برتريبي لينز بكن بين وال دين



WISAL HAYAT

WISAL High Count

Advocate High Mardon

Disti. Counts Mardon

BETTER COPY PAGE-5

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING).

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20.12.2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- 1. All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhninkhwa.
- 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 8. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
- 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject:

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL

Dear Sir.

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance/revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.ë.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain un-

S.No. BPS		- 12 WM
1. 14	Existing Rate (PM)	Revised Rate (PM)
2. 5-10	Rs. 1,500/- Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,700/-
3. 11-15	Rs. 2,000/-	Rs. 1,840/-
4. 16-19	Rs. 5.000/-	Rs. 2,720/-
	1 5 5 5 5	Rs. 5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December, 201

Advocate High Colint Distt. Courts Maru.n





GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAXHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SO(SR-IN/8-52/2012 Dated Perhamar the: 20-12-2012

From

The Sharetary to Govi. of Knybbi Pazhtunkinwa. Finance Deportment. Peanawar,

To:

All Additionalist alive Segration to Store of Kingley Parkitumbers. The Sanior Member, Board of Reminse, Kinder Pakituskiska.

The Secretary to General Mayber Revision Sens

The Secretary to Chief Mosses, Khyber Pakhillandawa.

The Geschary, Provincial Associaty, Whyber Polishershika

All Hoods of Anached Departments in Knyher Pakhtinkthan

महि Cishhot Cobraingson Officeases श्रीपुर्वको Pakittenkinas.

As Policial Agents / District & Simples ය.අලුදුs in Kityපer Pael සමාසිකමෙ

The Reg ਕਾਰਨ ਜੋਵਯੋਸ਼ਅਤਾ ਸ਼ਰੂਸ਼ ਉਤਰਲੇ, Peshalom

The Chairman, Public Service Confresion, shaber Pokhiunitawa,

The Chairman, ನಿರ್ದಾಧಕ್ಕ Tribund ಕೆಪ್ರಾರ್ಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟುಗಳುಗಳು

٠. h.e.d.

REVISION IN THE PATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS 1-12

Door St.

The Government of Khyber Politications has been pleased to enhance / yevise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinces Civil Servancy Gover of Maybor Pesthaunshwa (violating ${
m Special}$ to GPS-16) wielf from ${
m Special}$ Sectionals, ${
m Spic}$ at ${
m Spic}$ the following races. However, the conveyence ellowance for employees in SPS-15 to EPS-19

S.NO BPS	EXISTING RATE (PM)	REVISED RATE (PM)
1. 1-4	PS.) [\$00]/-	Rs.1.700/-
2 5-10	Ps. 1,500/-	Rs.1,840/-
3 11-15	Ps.2,000/-	Rs.2,720/-
4. 15-19	9 <u>≤</u> ,5,000/-	Rs.5,000/-

Compayance Allewance of the moore rates per manth shall be admissible to lihose SPS-17, 18 and 16 officials who have on teen sanotioned efficial vehicles.

Yours Fashfully,

Bahiberda Sacod Annadi Secretary Firemore

Endson NO. KINSOKSR-IT/88-52/2012

Untel Comawar the Ind December, Util

A Copy is tobactood for information to theter

Appelebent General Käyber Pakintaklima, Paskawar

Secretaines to Goldentries of Punjob, जिल्ला के देन british मा निर्माण विश्ववित्तान

क्षेत्र मध्यस्थ्यकृतरावड । सिन्तर्य भेगावनदाराजवः हैटलेलः त स्वैत्वेदा निर्माति क्रियोग्ने क्रियोग्ने

(IMMAZ AYUB) MIDALATION Company Parties of Every House High Company Parties of Mardan

Disti. Courts Mardan

Dist. Govt. NWFP-Provincial District Accounts Officé Mardan Monthly Salary Statement (February-2021)

Entry into Govt. Service: 01.09,2004



Personnel Number: 00131714 CNIC: 1610110708892 Date of Birth: 07.06.1970

NTN: 0

Length of Service: 16 Years 06 Months 001 Days

Employment Category: Active Temporary

Designation: SENIOR CERTIFIED TEACHER

80003519-DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KHYBE

DDO Code: MR6126-H.MRS G.G.H.S BAGHICHA DHERI MARDAN

Payroll Section: 003

GPF Section: 001

Cash Center: 0

GPF A/Č No: 131714

Interest Applied: Yes

GPF Balance:

513,627.00

Vendor Number; - 1

Pay and Allowances:

Pay scale: BPS For - 2017

Pay Scale Type; Civil BPS: 16

Pay Stage: 16

	Wage type	Amount	Wage type	Amount
0001	Basic Pay	43,230.00	1000 House Rent Allowance	2,727.00
1210	Convey Allowance 2005	5,000.00	1947 Medical Allow 15% (16-22)	1,451.00
2148	15% Adhoc Relief All-2013	775.00.	2199 Adhoc Relief Allow @10%	607.00
2211	Adhoc Relief All 2016 10%	3.124.00	2224 Adhoc Relief All 2017 10%	4,323.00
2247	Adhoc Relief All 2018 10%	4,323.00	2264 Adhoc Relief All 2019 10%	4,323.00

Deductions - General

City: MARDAN

Temp. Address:

City:

Wage type		Amount		·Wage type	Amount
3016	GPF Subscription	-3.340.00	3501	Benevolent Fund	-800.00
3609	Income Tax	-745.00	3990	Emp.Edu. Fund KPK	-150.00
4004	R. Benefits & Death Comp:	-650.00			0,00

Deductions - Loans and Advances

Loan	Descri	ption	Principal amoi	unt Dedu	iction	Balance
Deductions - In Payable: 1		ed till February-2021:	5,597,00 Exem	npted: 2838.83	Recoverable:	2,979,92
Gross Pay (Rs.	.): 69,883.00	Deductions: (Rs.):	-5,685.00	Net Pay: (R	s.): 64,198.0	00 /
Account Numb	SALMA NAHEED ber: PLS00000003657 ALLIED BANK LIMI	I TED. 250611 BALA GA	RHI BALA GARH	ر ر	ı	
Leaves;	Opening Balance:	Availed:	Earned:	В	alance:	
Permanent Ad	drose VIII BACHIC	HA DHERI TEHAND D	ISTT MARDAN			

Domicile: NW - Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

(130696/08/03.2021/14:22:29) 2) All amounts are in Pak Rupees 3) Errors & omissions excepted

Email:

Advocate High Court Distr. Conus Wargau

Housing Status: No Official

Dist. Govt. NWFP-Provincial District Accounts Office Mardan Monthly Salary Statement (July-2019)

ipation of Mrs SALMA NAHEED days of SAID MOHAMMAD

mber: 00131714

CNIC: 1610110708892

NTM: 0

07.06.1970

Entry into Govt. Service: 01.09.2004

Length of Service: 14 Years 11 Mo

TO tegory: Active Temporary
SENIOR CERTIFIED TEACHER

80003519-DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KHYBE

MR6126-HMRS G.G.H.S BAGHICHA DHERI MARDAN

GPF Section: 001

Cash Center: 0

101:003 01:131714

Interest Applied: Yes

GPF Balance:

346,562.00

llowances:

Pay scale: BPS For - 2017 Pay Scale Type: Civil BPS: 16

Pay Stage

科技的特別以外,但 科·普	·		
Wage type	Amount	\Vage type	
Wage type	40,19,0.00	1000 House Rent Allowance	2.
1949; Medical Allow 15% (16-22)	1,451.00	2148 15% Adhoc Relief All-2013	17.75
Adhoe Relief Allow @10%	607.00	2211 Adhoc Relief All 2016 10%	371
2224 Adhoc Relief All 2017 10%	4,019.00	2247 Adhoc Relief All 2018 10%	(#X
200 Rathoc Relief All 2019 10%	4,019.00		(7)

更新的。 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1			
Wage type	Aniount	Wage type	IA.
7016 GPF Subscription - Rs3340	-3.340.00	3501 Benevolent Fund	18
2609 Income l'ax	-328.00	3990 Emp.Edu. Fund KPK	
3600, Jucome Fax	-1.089.00		

tions - Loans and Advances

Lonn Lonn	Description	Principal amount	Deduction	Bajano
STATES AND THE STATES OF THE S	rincipal Instal	 228,000.00	-19,000.00	19,000

Denictions Income Tax Parable: 6,558.55

Recovered till July-2019: 328.00

Exempted: 2623.32

Recoverable:

Deductions: (Rs.):

-24,707.00

Net Pay: (Rs.):

36,224.00

Payer Name: SALMA NAHEED

Bushing hiber: PES000000036571 Bush Details, ALLIED BANK LIMITED. 250611 BALA GARHI BALA GARHI.

Balance:

Or Bluk Details: ALLIED BANK LIMITED. 250011 BACK CART B

Domicile: NW - Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Housing Status: No Offici

730696/26.09.2019/12:38.28) 21 All amounts are in Pak Rupecs 3) Errors & omyston

WISAL HAYAT Makaran Coming pranging Το,

ANNER FED 3

The Secretary Education,

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject: Application for allowing/granting of the `Conveyance Allowance` to the Applicant, According to the reference order/Judgment/Appeal No, 4823/2020, title Mr. Muhammad Ismail (CT BPS-15) Vs The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar etc., Dated 11/06/2020 of the Honorable Service Tribunal Peshawar.

SIR,

My this application is with the reference to the above mentioned order/ judgment whereby "Conveyance Allowance" is allowed in view of the said facts, I may also be allowed the said benefits, Therefore, the applicant submits as under.

- That the applicant namely Miss. Salma Naheed D/o Said Muhammad is a civil servant and presently serving as a Senior Certified Teacher (BPS16) at Govt. Girls high School Baghicha Dheri Mardan.
- That the applicant is serving in education department since 01/09/2004 till to date of service is in her credit.
- That in view of the order judgment /appeal of "Honorable Service Tribunal Peshawar".
 Dated 11/06/2020, where the Conveyance Allowance has been allowed to Mr.
 Muhammad Ismail, (CT BPS-15) petitioner. (Copy of which is annexed).
- That under article 4, 25 & 27 of the Constitution of Pakistan 1973, the applicant being a civil servant, is also entitled for the same/equal treatment, and `Conveyance Allowance may be allowed to the applicant as according to the order / judgment of the august Supreme Court of Pakistan (2009 SCMR 1) in which it has been explained in detail, "If a Tribunal or the Supreme Court decides a point of law relating to the terms and conditions of a civil servant who litigated, and there were other civil servants, who may not have taken any legal proceedings, in such a case, dictates

of justice and rule of good governance demand that the benefit of the said decision be ext ended to other civil servants also, who may, not be parties to that litigation, instead of compelling them to approach the Tribunal or any other legal forum. All citizens are equal before law and entitled to equal protection of law as per Art.25 of the Constitution."

That the Honorable Apex Supreme Court of Pakistan has vide its judgments 2009
 SCMR1 and PLD 2010 SC 878, allowed equal treatment. (Copies of which annexed herewith)

Prayers

In view of the above facts and circumstances, it is most graciously prayed/requested that the applicant may be allowed 'Conveyance Allowance' in the light of the Order/Judgment/Appeal of the 'Honorable Service Tribunal Peshawar' and oblige.

Submitted by

Miss. Salma Naheed D/o Said Muhammad

CNIC# 16101-1070889-2

Dated 20/142020

. .

WISAL HAYAT WISAL High Count Advocate High Mardan (18)

Annex

Judgment Sheet

IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No. 3162-P/2019 Akhtar Hussain and 697 others..vs..Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

JUDGMENT

Date of hearing......01.10.2019.....

Petitioner(s) by Mr. Noor Mohammad Khattak, Advocate.

Mr. Mujahid Ali Khan, AAG, for respondents.

ROOH-UL-AMIN KHAN, J:- Through this common judgment we, proposed to decided the instant as well as the connected writ petitions as all having involved common question of law and facts, the particulars of which are given below.

- i. WP No. 3162-P/2019 titled Akhtar Hussain etc..vs..Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- WP No. 3064-P/2019 titled Habeeb Ullah etc...Vs..
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 7 others.
- iii. WP No. 3084-P/2019 titled Sikandar Khan etc...Vs... Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- iv. WP No. 3178-P/2019 titled Abdur Rehman etc...Vs..

 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief

 Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

MITTERTED

EXAMINER Peshawar High Cour

MISAL HAYDOUR Mardan

right.

Paris .

- v. WP No. 3233-P/2019 titled Amjid Ali etc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 4 officers.
- vi. WP No. 3283-P/2019 titled Gul Saeed etc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- wii. WP No. 3287-P/2019 titled Syed Israr Shah etc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 7 others.
- viii. WP No. 3288-P/2019 titled Firdous Khanetc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- ix. WP No. 3353-P/2019 titled Hafiz Inam Ur Rehman etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 6 others.
- x. WP No. 3366-P/2019 titled Jehanzeb Khan etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- xi. WP No. 3390-P/2019 titled Haji Rehman etc...Vs...

 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 2 others.
- xii. WP No. 3520-P/2019 titled Mohammad Khalid etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

AMESTED

AFFESTED

EXAMINER Peshawar High Cour

WISAL HAYANA Mardan Disti Courts Mardan

- wiii. WP No. 3567-P/2019 titled Husnur Rehman etc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 3 others.
- xiv. WP No. 3667-P/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat etc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- xv. WP No. 3939-P/2019 titled Syed Khurshid Shah etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 5 others.
- xvi. WP No. 4072-P/2019 titled Subhan Ullah etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 6 others.
 - xvii. WP No. 4758-P/2019 titled Sohrab Hayat etc...Vs..
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- 2. As per averments of the writ petition, the petitioners are serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department on their respective posts. On 14.7.2011 the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa enhanced the conveyance allowance to all the Civil Servants i.e. from BPS-1 to 15, including the petitioners, which was subsequently revised vide another notification dated 20.12.2012 and was further enhanced. But the respondents without any valid and justiffable reasons stopped / deducted the payment of conveyance

ATTESTED

EXAMINER Eshawar High Cour

MISAL HAY Court
Advocate High Court
Dist. Courts Mardan

allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period.

- In essence, the grievance the petitioners is that they were receiving the conveyance allowance under the notifications mentioned above, which was stopped without any justifiable reason.
- 4. Since the matter pertain to grant of conveyance allowance which is part and parcel of pay. Similar controversy came before this Court in Writ Petition No. 3509-P/2014 titled (Hafiz Mohammad Ilyas etc...vs..Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa), wherein the pay and salary were defined in the following manner.
 - whether payment of allowances to a civil servant falls in chapter-2 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 i.e. terms and conditions of service, it is necessary to reproduce the definition of "pay" provided in section 2(e) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 which reads as under:
 - "2. (e)—"Pay" means the amount drawn monthly by a civil servant as pay, and includes special pay, personal pay and any other emoluments declared by the prescribed authority to be paid." (emphasis provided).

The word "emolument" used in the above quoted definition clause of the Civil Servants Act, 1973, according to its dictionary meaning, denotes wages and benefits received as compensation for

ATTESTED

Peshawar High Count
WISAL HAYAT
WISAL High Court
Advocate High Court
Count

holding an office or having employment. The word emolument is basically derived from the Latin word emolumentum. It originally meant "the sum paid to a miller for grinding a customer wheat". Today, the term exists mostly as a bit of archaic legalese, but it might be within the route of expression i.e. "grinding out a living". From the above it is manifest that emoluments are essentially the benefits that one gets from the working of being employed Emolument is the profit employment and is compensation in return of services, hence the emoluments are part and parcel of pay. Section 17 being part of chapter-2 i.e. terms and conditions of service of a civil servant provides that, a civil servant appointed to a post shall be entitled, in accordance with rules, to the pay sanctioned for the post. Likewise, Rule 9(21) of (FR/SR) provide, the definition of pay which means the amount drawn monthly by a government servant as;

(i) the pay, other than special pay or pay granted in view of his personal qualification, which has been sanctioned for the post held by him substantively or in an officiating capacity, or to which he is entitled by reason of his position in a cadre, and (ii) overseas pay, technical pay, special pay and personal pay and

(iii) any other emoluments which may be specially classed as pay by the governor general.

The legislature in its wisdom has wisely used the word "pay" instead of salary in definition clause and section 17 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973. The word 'pay' connotes

AT JESTED

WISAL HAYAT

Advocate High Count

Advocate High Count

Oist. Counts Wintign

payment of wages including emolument in broader spectrum while the salary is used for amount that one receives in return for work and or service provided, which is paid periodically i.e. over a specified interval of time such as weekly or most commonly monthly. The term "salary" has been dealt with at page-553 of Corpus Juris Secundem Vol. 77 as under:-

"Salary". The word "Salary" is defined has meaning fixed compensation regularly paid by the year, quarter, month or week; fixed compensation for regular work, or for continuous services over a period of time; periodical compensation for services; compensation for services rendered; per annum compensation mean in official and in some other situation, or station; legal compensation.

Salary is also defined as meaning stipulated periodical recompense; or consideration paid, or stipulated to be paid to a person on regular interval for services usually a fixed sum to be paid by the year or half year, quarter; reward or consideration paid or agreed to be paid to a person on a regular intervals by the year, month or week for services; reward of fixed or recompense for services rendered or performed; reward or compensation of services rendered or performed.

From the above mentioned definition it is manifest that the "salary" of a civil servant is a fixed amount regularly paid as compensation to the employee, whereas the pay means an amount received by a civil servant including other emoluments i.e. allowances."

MTTED

ATTESTED

EXPLANTER

Peshagar ring... Court

WISAL HAY Count Advocate Counts Mardain

- Besides, certain other petitions filed by the Teachers /employees of the same department serving from other corners of the province which were decided by Abbottabad Bench of this Court, wherein it was held that the conveyance allowance being part of pay fall in terms and conditions of civil servant and it can adequately be claimed through an appeal by adopting the prescribed procedure under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1974.
 - For the reasons given hereinabove, the petitioners are civil servants and their claim falls in terms and conditions of service enumerated in Chapter-2 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973, wherein the jurisdiction of this Court is expressly barred by Article 212 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Resultantly, this and the connected writ petition mentioned above stand dismissed being not maintainable. However, the petitioners are liberty to approach the proper forum, if so desire.

Announced on; 1st of October, 2019

TUDGE

N DGE

(OB) Mr. Justice Rooh Ul. Amin Khan & Mr. Justice Mohammad Naccun Anwa

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

Foshewar High Court. Post Synthetic B.

2 1 QCT 2019

WISAL HAVAIN Advocate High Count Disti. Courts Mardan

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBLINAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

Mr. Magsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16),

GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar.....

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING & SUMMER WINTER APPELLANT OF THE VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted Fledto-day previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in Registrar favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH:

ALLES ON FACTS:

-1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.

> 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20112.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

> > Advocate High Disti Courts Marda

21/10/16

自憲法

Affect No. 1452/2019 (26)
Markad Hayat vs Gort

11.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement, and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal..

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings,

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

of Alborin ervice trinumul. Due Juliante

File be consigned to the record

ANNOUNCED

11.11.2019

HAYA Disti Courts Mardan

Chairmán

Received a repistered*
addressed to

| Mo. 892 | For Insurance requires see reverse. | Rs. | Fs. |
| Stamps affixed except in case of uninsured levers of not more than the initial weight prescribed in the Post Office Guide or on which no acknowledgement is due.

| Write Fers "letter". "postcard": "packet" or "parcel" |
| Insurance for R. | Can figures | Can figure

WISAL HAVAT Advocate High Count Disti Counts Tour

Week Summery

Delivered

Article Id: rgl46343267







Summary

Article ID

rgl46343267

Booking office

Peshawar

Delivery office

Peshawar GPO

WHITE TRACES DETAIL

December 22, 2020

8:05 AM

Peshawar :

Received at Peshawar DMO

9:54 AM

Peshawar

Dispatch from DMO Peshawar to delivery office Peshawar GPO

11:15 AM

Peshawar GPO

Sent out for delivery

1:04 PM

Peshawar GPO

Delivered at delivery office Peshawar GPO to

ADDRESSEE

WISAL HAYAT Advocate High Court Disti Courts Margan

