FORM OF ORDER SHEET

| Court of | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|----------|---|-------|-----|
| Case No | 1930 | /2022 | _,, |

| S.No. | Date of order proceedings | Order or other proceedings with signature of judge |
|-------|---------------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | | |
| 7 - | 27/12/2022 | The instant appeal presented today by Dr. Adnan |
| | | Khan Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary hearing before |
| | | touring Single Bench at Swat on Notices be issued |
| | | to appellant and his counsel for the date fixed. |
| | | |
| | | By the order of Chairman |
| | | |
| | | REGISTRAR |
| | , | |
| | | |
| | | <u></u> |
| | | |
| | | I I |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | i | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | , . |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | · |
| | | |
| | | · |
| | t | ! |

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

| Service Appeal No | 1930 | _ of 2022 | |
|-------------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| Khyal Badshah | | | .Appellan |

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and another

.....Respondents

INDEX

| S. No. | Description | Annexure | Pages No. |
|--------|--|----------|-----------|
| 1, | Memo of Service Appeal with Certificate | | 1-6 |
| 2. | Affidavit | | 7 |
| 3. | Addresses of parties | | 8 |
| 4. | Copy of Appointment Order | Α | 9 |
| 5. | Copy of relevant text of Service Rules notified in February 2013 | . В | 10-13 |
| 6. | Copy of amended Rules notified in December 2013 | С | 14-15 |
| 7. | Copy of amendments notified in July 2020 | D | 16-17 |
| 8. | Copy of amended Rules notified in March 2021 | E | 18-21 |
| 9. | Copy of representation | F | 22-25 |
| 10. | Copy of retirement order | G | 26-28 |
| 11. | Copy of amended Levies Rules notified on 21-10-2021 | Н | 29.36 |
| 12. | Copy of memo of second representation | ı | 31 |
| 13. | Copy of order dated 29-11-2022 | J | 32-58 |
| 14. | Wakalatnama | | 60 |

Appellant Physical Rhyal Badshah Identified by counsels

Dr. Adnan Khan Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Umar Sadiq Advocate High Court

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Service Appeal No. 1930 of 2022

Khyal Badshah s/o Mir Badshah r/o Haryankot, Tehsil, Dargai, District Malakand. [Sepoy No.4986.]

VERSUS

- 1) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 2) Government of Khyber through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 3) Commandant Malakand Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District Malakand at Batkhela.

.....Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974.

PRAYER:

On acceptance of this Appeal, Notifications No. SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22-03-2021 & No. SO (Police-II)HD/1-3/Federal Levies 2021 dated 21-10-2021 and subsequent retirement orders issued by respondent No.2 may be declared as illegal and the same be set aside. Consequently, the appellant may be held entitled to complete his service till attaining 60 years age in light of Notification dated 14-07-2020.

Alternatively, the impugned notifications may be declared to be ineffective upon the rights of the appellant and he may be allowed to complete his service till attaining 60 years of age.

Respectfully Sheweth:

- That the appellant has been serving as regular member of Levies Force having a considerable length of service at his credit (Copy of Appointment Order is Annexure "A").
- 2) That services of the appellant were initially regulated under the Federal Levies Force Rules, 1962, which were non-statutory.
- That subsequently, the Governor Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, under the omitted Article 247(4) of the Constitution promulgated the PATA Levies Force Regulations, 2012. Consequently, the Provincial Government framed Service Rules for the Levies Force in 2013 (Copy of relevant text of Service Rules notified in February 2013 is Annexure "B").
- That Schedule-III of the above mentioned Rules, which provided for length of service towards retirement had certain anomalies. Hence, the said Rules were amended in December 2013 and the above stated anomalies were removed to a larger extent (Copy of amended Rules notified in December 2013 are Annexure "C").
- That the relevant Rules were further amended in July 2020.

 Regarding the length of service towards retirement, Rule 17

 was amended to the effect that all Levies personnel shall retire from service on attaining the age of superannuation i.e Sixty Years. Furthermore, Schedule-III which provided for certain length of service, was deleted by virtue of the amendment (Copy of amendments notified in July 2020 are Annexure "D").
- That the rules were further amended on 23-03-2021. Rule 17, which provided for retirement on reaching superannuation was again omitted and Schedule-III was revived with certain amendments. Consequently, the junior rank officials like the present appellant would retire after performing certain years of service or on reaching certain age. For instance Hawaldar

would retire on reaching 31 years of service or 51 years of age, Naik 29 years of service or 48 years of age, L/Naik 27 year of service or 45 years of age and Sepoy 25 years of service or 42 years of age, whichever is earlier (Copy of amended Rules notified in March 2021 are Annexure "E").

- 7) That the above mentioned Rules being extremely detrimental to him, the appellant filed representation before the concerned quarters for redressal of his grievances. The same has not been responded to as yet (Copy of representation is Annexure "F").
- That the appellant alongwith other similarly placed personnel of the Levies Force got retired from service on reaching certain age/completion of certain length of service as prescribed by the above mentioned Rules (Copy of retirement order is attached as Annexure "G").
- That the appellant along with other similarly placed persons invoked the Constitutional Jurisdiction of the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court by way of filing various constitutional Petitions, challenging vires of the above mentioned rules.
- That during the pendency of the said petitions, the Provincial Government made further amendments in the Federal Levies Rules on 21-10-2021, whereby retirement age in respect of lower ranked members of the Force was enhanced by a few years (Copy of amended Levies Rules notified on 21-10-2021 are Annexure "H").
- 11) That the appellant filed another representation against the above mentioned further amendments in the relevant rules, which was never responded to. (Copy of memo of second representation is Annexure "I").
- 12) That against the above mentioned Rules framed by the Provincial Government, the appellant alongwith other similarly placed persons filed various other constitutional petitions (W.P No.469-M/2021, W.P No.470-M/2021, W.P No.337-M/2021,

W.P No.338-M/2021, W.P No.333-M/2021 and W.P No.335-M/2021) before the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court. However, because of jurisdictional issues, the matter was referred to a larger Bench.

- That in light of the above mentioned Act, the appellant along with other similarly placed employees of the levies force filed a constitutional petition (WP No. 1281-M/2022) before the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court Mingora Bench to the extent of their re-instatement in light of the Provincial Assembly's Act. The petition was allowed by the Hon'ble High Court vide judgment dated 23-11-2022 whereby the respondents were directed to re-instate the then petitioners. It is worth mentioning that vires of the impugned rules were not challenged in the said petition, which are being impugned through the instant appeal.
- 14) That a larger Bench of the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court heard the connected petitions on 29-11-2022. Consequently, the Hon'ble High Court decided that personnel of the levies force are civil servants and their employment matters would be dealt with by this Hon'ble Tribunal (Copy of order dated 29-11-2022 is Annexure "J").
- 15) That being aggrieved with the impugned notifications and subsequent retirement order, the instant appeal is being filed before this Hon'ble Tribunal, *inter alia*, on the following grounds:

GROUNDS:

- A) That the impugned act of amending the relevant Service Rules to the detriment of the appellant and subsequent retirement is illegal and un-Constitutional. Hence, the same acts are liable to be declared as such.
- B) That it is a settled law that service rules cannot be amended to the detriment of public sector employees. Even, if they are so

amended, the same having prospective effect cannot be applied against the existing employees

- Constitutional regime demanded streamlining of the erstwhile Tribal Areas with the rest of the Province. So much so, Levies personnel serving in erstwhile FATA and Khasadar Force were accommodated to a larger extent where the Provincial Assembly passed an Act aimed at streamlining the service structure of Ex. FATA Levies Force. The Act not only provides uniform retirement age i.e 60 years for the whole Force but rather enjoins upon the Provincial Government to take steps towards absorption of the said Levies and Khasadars in regular police. Regrettably, the appellant have been treated in violation of the Constitutional spirit particularly after the 25th Constitutional amendment and subsequent orders.
- D) That further grounds, with leave of this Hon'ble Tribunal, would be raised at the time of oral submissions.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this appeal,

(i) The impugned Notifications No. SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 & No. SO (Police-II)HD/1-3/Federal Levies 2021 dated 21-10-2021 and subsequent retirement order issued by respondent No. 2 be declared as illegal, the same may be set aside. Consequently, the appellant may be held entitled to complete his service till attaining 60 years of age in light with Notification dated 14-07-2020.

Alternatively, the impugned notifications may be declared to be ineffective upon the rights of the appellant and the appellant be allowed to complete his service till attaining 60 years of age.

prayed for, but which circumstances of the case would demand in the interests of justice, may also be granted.

Appellant

Khyai Badshah Identified by counsels

Dr. Adnan Khan Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan

Umar Sadiq Advocate High Court

CERTIFICATE:

Certified that no such like appeal has earlier been filed before this Hon'ble Tribunal on the subject matter.

Appellant

مُ لِيَا هُ Khyal Badshah



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

| Service Appeal No | of 2022 | |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Khyal Badshah | | Appellant |
| | <u>VERSUS</u> | ! |
| Government of K | nyber Pakhtunkhwa ar | nd another |
| | | Respondents |

AFFIDAVIT

I, Khyal Badshah (Appellant), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the above titled Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Furthermore, no such like appeal has earlier been filed before this Hon'able Tribunal or elsewhere on this subject matter.

DEPONENT

فيل وشراه

Khyal Badshah





BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

| Service Appeal No | of 2022 | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| • | | Appellant |
| | Versus | |
| Government of Khy | yber Pakhtunkhwa and a | nother |
| | | Deprondents |
| <u>ADDF</u> PPELLANT: | RESSES OF THE PAR | <u>TIES</u> |
| Khyai Badshah s/o Mir Ba | dshah r/o Haryankot, Tehs | il, Dargai, District |
| Malakand. [Sepoy No.498 (NIC# | 6.]) (Cell# |) |

RESPONDENTS:

- 1) Government of Khyber through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- Commandant Malakand Levies/Deputy Commissioner District Malakand at Batkhela.

Appellant

خال الأركاء

Khyal Badshah Identified by counsels

Dr. Adnan Khan Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.

&

Umar Sadiq Advocate High Court

Am, A

OFFICE OF THE DOOLCOMMANDANT MALAKAND LEVIES MALAKAND

OFFICE ORDE

Consequent upon the recommendation of recruitment committee and Provincial Police Khiyat Badshah slo Mir Badshah rlo Haryan Kot is hereby appointed as Sopoy in BPS-1 in Malakand Levies against the decoased quotasubjectionis medical filness.

> DCO/COMMANDANT MALAKAND LEVIES MALAKAND,

1. Section Officer, (FATA) Govt: of NWFP; Home & TA's Department Poshawar for information with reference to his letter No. SO FATA (HD)/12-

Agency Accounts Officer Malakand Subodar Major, Malakand Lovies, Malakand.

For information and necessary action.

DCO/COMMANDANT 33

HYBER PAKHTUNKH Published by Authority PESHAWAR, FRIDAY, 15TH FEBRUARY, GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME & TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION eshawar the 4th February 2013 SERVICE RULES FOR FEDERAL LEVIES FORCE IN PATA In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 9 of the PATA Levies Force Regulation. Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is pleased to make the following rules, namely: Short title and commencement- (1) These rules may be called commencement- (1) These rules may be called commencement. Argas (PATA) England Lordon Form Service (Amended) Rules n2013; They shall come into force at once. Definitions. (1) in these Rules, unless the context otherwise require, the followings: shall have the meaning hereby respectively assigned to them, namely:-"Appointing Authority" means the appointing authority specified in rule 4;" "Commandant" means Commandant of the Force, who shall be the District Commissioner in their respective jurisdiction; "Deputy Commandant (Operation)" means an Assistant Commissioner officer of the District designated as such by the provincial government who Deputy Commandant (Operation) of the Force in PATA, to exercise in his respect. Burisdiction such powers and perform such functions as may be prescribed. who shall be responsible to the Commandant for operational matters of the Force "Deputy Commandant (Administration)" means peputy (Administration) of the Force, who shall be an officer of the provincial govern or any officer of the District designated as such by the provincial govern exercise in his respective jurisdiction such powers and perform such function 'Government' means the Government of Knyber Pakistunkhiva; "Home Department" means Provincial Home & Tribal Affairs Department.

(h)

.In PATA., .

may be prescribed and who shall be responsible to the Commandation and establishment matters of the Force in PATA.

"Initial recruitment" means appointment made other than by promoti transfer.

"Schedule" means the Schedule appended to these rules

| PACHTUNKHWA G | 1 | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------|
| 850 KINBER PAKHTUNKHWA G | SCHEDULE-III | | |
| | nida 17 | 1 | 1.00 Per 19 |
| | | of service / Age | |
| | Lenzu | | |
| Same and the same of the same | | Jan Malor C | PL BO ABBIS |
| Post/Rank | A | SALAICE SE SUDMICE | |
| | TO WORTH SOTTICE OF US TESTS | Joseph 57 YEARS | SES AUTOLOGO |
| 3 Subedar Major(85-18) | 38 years service or 03 years whichever is earther 35 years service or 03 years service | Mide as Substitution | - Hidrever |
| 1 Subedar William | Tar wester service of U.S Jeans | Subsider of 54 y | SELZ SES ALGO. |
| Suberiar (BS-13) | antist county so | rice as Naibourous | and whichevery |
| | 92 YESTS SOTTICE OF U.S.) SOLIT | Mayaidar or 51 ya | Siz aga waren |
| 3 NabSubedar (BS-11) | 35 years sorrice or 03 years service or 03 years service or 03 years service or 03 years 28 years service or 03 years 28 years service or 03 years 28 years service or 03 years | SATVICE BS HAVE | whichever is say) , |
| | 29 years service of | Nelk of 48 years ag | BED WHICHBY CY |
| 4 Havaldar (BS-8) | earlier ca of 03 years | Malk of 45 | |
| | 26 years service or 03 year | service as Naik or 48 years ag is service as UNaik or 45 y | |
| 15 Nalk (88-7) | 23 years service or 03 years service or 03 years | THE PROPERTY OF | |
| | sande of Assertion of | HEATE | |
| 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 200 | | |
| 12 | | 1 | |
| | | l | |

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME &TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION Peshawar the 4th February 2013

SERVICE RULES FOR FEDERAL LEVIES FORCE IN PATA

In exercise of the power conferred by Section 9 of the PATA Levies Force Regulation 2012, the Provincially Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is pleased to make the following rules, namely:-

- 1. Short title and commencement:- (1) These rules may be called Provincially Administrated Tribal Areas (PATA) Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules, 2013.
 - (2) They shall come into force at once.
- 2. Definition:- (1) In these Rules, unless the context otherwise require, the following expression shall have the meaning hereby respectively assigned to them, namely:-
 - (a) "Appointing Authority" means the appointing authority specified in rule-4;
 - (b) "Commandant" means Commandant of the Force, who shall be the Deputy Commissioner in their respective jurisdiction;
 - (c) "Deputy Commandant (Operation)" means as Assistant-Commissioner or any officer of the District designated as such by the provincial government who shall be Deputy Commandant (Operation) of the Force in PATA, to exercise in his respective jurisdiction such power and perform such functions as may be prescribed; and who shall be responsible to the Commandant for operational matters of the Force in PATA.
 - (d) "Deputy Commandant (Administration)" means Deputy Commandant (Administration) of the Force, who shall be an officer of the provincial government or any officer of the District designated as such by the provincial government to exercise in his respective jurisdiction such powers and perform such functions as may be prescribed and who shall be responsible to the Commandant in administration and establishment matters of the Force in PATA.
 - (e) "Government" means the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa;
 - (f) "Home Department" means Provincial Home & Tribunal Affairs

 Department;
 - (g) "Initial recruitment" means appointment made other than by promotion or by transfer;
 - (h) "Schedule" means the Schedule appended to these rules'

SCHEDULE-III See Rule 17

| | | See Rule 17 |
|-----|-----------------------|--|
| S.# | Post/Rank | Length of service/Age |
| 1 | Subedar Major (BS-16) | 38 years service or 03 years service as Subedar Major or 60 years age whichever is earlier |
| 2 | Subedar (BS-13) | 35 years service or 03 years service as Subedar or 57 years age whichever is earlier |
| -3 | Naib Subedar (BS-11) | 32 years service or 03 years service as Niab Subedar or 54 years age whichever is earlier |
| 4 | Havaldar (BS-8) | 29 years service or 03 years service as Havaldar or 51 years age whichever is earlier |
| 5 | Naik (BS-7) | 26 years service or 03 years service as Naik or 48 years age whichever is earlier |
| 6 | L/Naik (BS-6) | 23 years service or 03 years service as L/Naik or 45 years age whichever is earlier |
| 7 | Sepoy (BS-5 | 20 years service or 42 years age whichever is earlier |

CTC

his After December, 2015

NOTHICATION

No.30(Levies)(10/Fi.W/1-4/2018 A/6).1. The competent authority has been pleased to a further amendments in Schedule-i of Rules-12 and 3chedule-iii of Rules-17 under Partin Regulation for PATA Levies Force, 2012 8 Rule-24 of the Provincially Administered in Regulation for PATA Levies Force, 2012 8 Rule-24 of the Provincially Administered Rules (PATA) Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules, 2013 as under-

Fule-4(2) Schedules

SEREDULE-I

| | San Dales Arm | 4 | | : ` |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--|------------------|
| Uniformed Force | Eligibility for | tomotion | Direct | Qualificat |
| Post/Rank | nement bu | pliotà i | · Quota- | |
| Suber Major | One year service as | 100% | | 3 |
| | One year-service as' | 100% | 1 | |
| 1200412 | Math Silbadal | | | |
| Nalb Subedar | Ons. year service as | 100% | | |
| (85-11) | Hawaldar :: | 100% | | |
| Havraldar | Ope year service as Nalk | | <u>: </u> | |
| (BS-8) | One year service as | 100% | | 1 |
| 1 Nalk | Lance Nalk | 100% | - | |
| Lance Nalk | Five year service | 1000 | | |
| [[83-6]. | as Sepoy ! | 1 | 100% | Middle Matric |
| Sepoy (B5-5) | | 14000 | | Middle |
| Head Armerer | Five years' service | 100% | 1 . : | Mutric. |
| (BPS-5) | gs Assistant Armorel | . | | of Arm |
| Tanah Armerter | 7.1 | 17: | 100% | Matric |
| Assistant Armorer (8PS-1) | | 1. | ::\\` | · of Arm |
| 100 | intermilary personne | i challrettre | sas per Sc | t:adule-ili c |

Rule-17 (Retirement). (1) All uniform levy personnel shall retire as per Schodule-III or that for retirement after completion of 25 years of regular service and no extension by and retirement thall be granted.

SCHEDINE-III.

| | Rule-17 Redrement | well-removate |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Post/ Rank | Length of service / Ege ! | or of age whichever is earl |
| Subedar Major(85-18) | 37 Aesta 26 Aice of the | of any whichever warle |
| | 35 years' service of 60 y | ars of age whichever earlie |
| Najb Subecar(B5-11) | 33 years service or 60 y | ears of age whichever earlie |
| Hawaldar(Bis-8 | 201/2513 SELVICE OF | The which ever earlie |
| Haik (85-7) | 2 Vears' service or 60 | years of age whichever earlie |
| Sepoy(85:5) | 25 years' service or au | 70013 41 3 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | / / * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | |

CTC



Government of Kyber Pakhtunkhwa, Home & Tripal Affairs Department Dated Peshawar the 12th December, 2013

NOTIFICATION

No. SO(Levies)HD/FLW/1-1/2013/Vol.1. The Competent authority has been pleased to order further amendments in Schedule-I of Rule 4(2) and Schedule-III of Rules-17 under Para of the Regulation for PATA Levies Force, 2012 & Rule-24 of the Provincially Administered Area (PATA) Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules, 2013 as under-Rule-4(2) Schedule -I

SCHEDULE-I See Rule 4(2)

| form No | ed Force Post/Rank | Eligibility for promotion | Promo tion Quota | Direct Quota | Qualification |
|------------|--------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| | Subedar Major (BS- | One year service as Subedar | 100% | 1 | |
| · • | 16) Subedar (BS-13) | One year service as Nalb Subedar | 100% | | |
| 3. | Naib Subedar (BS-11) | One year service | 100% | <u> </u> | |
| 1. | Hawaldar (BS-8) | One year service as Naik | 100% | | |
| 5. | Naik (BS-7) | One year service as Lance Naik | 100% | | |
| 6. | Lance Naik (BS-6) | One year service as Sepoy | 100% | | Middle Pass/Matri |
| 7. | Sepoy (BS-5) | | 100% | 100% | Middle Pass/Matri |
| 8. | Head Armorer (BS-5) | One year service as Assistant Armorer | 100% | 100% | 7.4.4 |
| 9. | Assistant Armoret (BS-1) | | | | Schedule-III or opt fo |

Rule-17 (Retirement): (1) All uniform levy personnel shall retire as per Schedule-III or opt for retirement after completion of 25 years of regular service and no extension beyond retirement shall be granted.

SCHEDULE -ili

| shall be | granteo. | SCHEDULE -III |
|----------|--|--|
| | | Rule -17 (Retirement) |
| S.No | Post/Rank | Length of service/age for retirement 37 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier |
| 1. | Subedar Major (BS-16) | |
| 2. | Subedar (BS-13) | |
| 3. | Naib Subedar (BS-11) | |
| 4. | Hawaldar (BS-8) | CO MORE OF ALLE |
| 5. | Naik (BS-7) | 29 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier 28 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier |
| 6. 7. | Lance Nalk (BS-6) Sepoy (BS ^L 5) | 28 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier 25 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier |

n the sold rides:-

Portable 17,the following that be substituted, namely:

"17-Retirement.- All Levies Personal shall relies from spreice on attaining then age of supersamuation i.e. sixty (60) years to they may opt Sor. retirement after completion of twenty-five (25) years regular service : and

Schedule-III shall be deleted.

SIERLIARY TO ... LOYTOU KITYBER PAKHTHINKH HOME DEPARTMENT.

- Principal Scoremy to Chief Minister's Scoretariat Klysler Pakhtrinklista, Pesh
- Register Pettersor High Court, Petterior.
- All Commissioners, Klayber Pekhankhas.
- All Deputy Commissioners, Ehyber Pallunakhwa. Provincial election Commissioner, Khyber Pakhamilin
- Provincial Police Offices, Klayber Public

- 12. Director information Kligher In
- Pukhmintdree and supply 50 Coplest(Bristed) of the





BETTER COPY

HOME DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION Dated Peshawar the 14-07-2020

No. SO (Police-IDHD/1-3: In exercise of the power conferred by Section 9 of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation 2012, the Government of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is pleased to direct that in the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas (PATA) Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules, 2013, the following further amendments shall be made, namely:

Amendments

In the said rules:-

- a) Fro rule 17, the following shall be substituted, namely:
 - "17, Retirement.— All Levies Personal shall retire from service on attaining then age of superannuation i.e sixty (60) years or they may opt for retirement after completion of twenty-five (25) years regular service, and
- b) Schedule-III shall be deleted.

SECRETARY TO GOVT: OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
HOME DEPARTMENT

Copy forwarded to the:-

XXXXXX

CTE



SENT OF WITHER PARTICIPAL

conterned by Section-8 of the PATA Levies Force Requisition, 2012, the Provincial Government of Riginar Positionations to pleasant to the trial in the PATA Federal Lovier Posts Service (Famended) Rules, 2013, Est following Ruttier enreadments shall be made, mension

Amendments

In the end y day.

t. Include 4, marrie (1), the following shall be attrelleded, questly: "(1) Concentrated wheal the time appropriately undirectly for british M word department on to the sales of Separated sources of the sales of Separated sources of the sales of the

proportion to the poets of Superint Major and Superintendents whall be Buchelery, Home Decempeent.

- 2. For Nate 17, the seconds of the land
- 3. For Schudule III, the leftming six


| T ENG. | Special Lange (\$38-40) | CH Section Control Con | her Presection Sent of Springly Sent term sentingly Selectors benefit Selectors benefit Selectors benefit | Age They Seven Years of Three Years sevens on Substant Water or Sec. Years of sea witchward is confer. | |
|--------|---|--|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | S. C. | Thy profit of the second secon | Note Salend | South Sense of a | Ge or |
| | | | Cordinate on the l | Third Types | Yests Yests |
| | | | ministry Care Fire and Indiana Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. | Services as Services Services as Services as Services agrifue. | Note: It |
| Learne | 12112 VOI 22 | 15861251681 | The 1414 | #H 0H | 3681 105 105 |

THE THE WILL HOSE

| . (| D; | |
|-----|----|---|
| | Υ. | • |

| CHE. T | Home of the Publishmen | Carallina | ion for Paragram | There Conspores serves |
|--------|---|-----------|------------------|---|
| | Franchis (23-04) | | | One years of high |
| | (C (C (C (C (C (C (C (C (C (C | | | America de locación polición de la compansa de Lineas Sentir. |
| | | | <u></u> | Towns Space Page |
| | Unat (\$2.50) | | | Service and Letters of sign |
| | | | | Towns February |
| - | Sepoy (BS AS) | ± √ | | in section |

LD TO





o be substituted notification of even No & date.

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME AND TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION Peshawar, dated the 22-3-2021

powers exercise of No. SQ(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020:- .in conferred by Section-9 of the PATA Levies Force Regulation, 2012, the Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is pleased to direct that in the PATA Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules, 2013, the following further amendments shall be made, namely:-Amendments

- In Rule 4, sub-rule (1), the following shall be substituted, namely: In the said rules -
 - Commandant shall be the appointing authority for initial

recruitment and promotion up to the rank of Subedar:

Provided that the appointing authority for purpose of promotion to the posts of Subadar Major and Superintendents shall be Secretary, Home Department.".

- For Rule 17, the following shall be substituted namely:
 - "17. Retirement: All Levy personnel shall retire as per Schedule-III and no extension in service after retirement shall be granted".
- For Schedule-III, the following shall be substituted, namely:

"Schedule-Ill (see rule 17)

| | | | [see rule 17] | Length of Service |
|-----|---------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Qualification for Promotion | Length of Santa |
| | | - A Pank | Qualification to it. | |
| | 5. No. | Name of the Post / Rank | | Thirty Seven Years or |
| 1 | 3, 170. | | On the basis of Seniority- | Three Years' Service as |
| ŀ | ! | | On the basis of amongst | Three Years or Sixly |
| 1 | | Subedar Major (BS-16) | - Chapte III | |
| 1 | • | \ | | Years of age "" |
| 1. | | 1 | Intermediate Qualification | is earlier 1 |
| 1 | | | | |
| 1 | | | By promotion, on the basis | I LIPE COLDS |
| 1. | · | Subedar (BS-13) | A Senionly Com | service as Subsdar or |
| 17 | 2 | Subecai (Bonne) | of Senionty Commanner | |
| 1 | | | | i i andile |
| | | • | namely: (i) Filly Percent (50%) | |
| ٠ [| ٠,٠,٠ | the contract of the second | | |
| - 1 | | | | |
| - } | ٠. | | having intermedia | |
| | | | qualification; and | 1 |
| - 1 | | 1. | | \ |
| 1 | | | (ii) Fifty Percent (50° | %) \ |
| . [| t ' | | amonusi ''' | |
| .] | | | cohedats 11971 | ון פויי |
| - : | ' | | Secondary Sch | |
| | | * | Seconosis | Three Years' |
| | • | | Certificate he ha | sis hirty "Cours Years" |
| | | | By promotion, on the ba | isis Thirty Three Years' in Service or Seven Years' Naib |
| | | Naib Subedar (BS-11) | of Seniority Cutti | |
| - | 3. | HEID G | the following man | ner. Service Subadar or Sixty Years : |
| | 1. | 1. | namely: | 111 - |
| | 1 . | 1 | The state of the s | |
| | 17 | | | |





| | | | qualification, and (ii) Filty Percent (50%) from amongst Hawatdars. | |
|----|--------|-------------------------|---|---|
| - | S. No. | Name of the Post / Rank | Qualification for Promotion | Length of Service / |
| | i | Hawaldar (BS-08) | | Thirty One years service or Three years service as Hawaldar or Fifty One years of age, whichever is earlier. |
| -4 | 5 | Naik (BS-07) | | Twenty Nine years service or Three years service as Naik of Forly Eight years of age, whichever is earlier. |
| | 6 | Unaik (BS-06) | | Twenty Seven years . service or Three years service as L/Nalk or Forty Five years of age, whichever is earlier. |
| | 7 | Sepoy (BS-05) | | Twenty Five years service or Forty Two years of age, whichever is earlier." |

SECRETARY TO OVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, HOME & TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

Copy forwarded to the:-

- Principal Secretary to the Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. Principal Secretary to the Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. All Administrative Secretaries to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 5. All Commissioners, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. All Deputy Commissioners, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- Provincial Police Officers, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- All Heads of Attached Department in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- PSO to the Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 10. Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. Direction Information, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 12. The Manger Government Printing & Stationery Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. He is requested to publish the above Notification in the Extra Ordinary Gazette of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and supply 50 copies (Printed) of the same to the Home Department

Section Offide

(22)

Aun,

Ţo

The Chief Minister

KP at Peshawar

Subtect

Application for review of the levies rules 2021

Respectfully Sir/Madam

- 1. That the applicants are the levies personals of Malakand Levies.
- 2. That the Malakand Levies is established in the year 1895.
- 3. That the Malakand levy personals are performing their regular duty as police since 1973.
- 4. That in the year 1962, Levies rules were promulgated, in which the retirement age of different ranks were determined, and the of retirement of Subidar Major, Subidar, Naib Subidar were determined as 30 years of service or 50 years of age, for Lans Naik to Hawaldar 25 years of service or 50 years of age and for constable 18 years of service and 50 years of age whichever comes first. (Rules annexed)
 - 5. That after the promulgation of the said rules, the mentioned rules were first amended in February, 2013. In which the retirement age of different ranks were determined and rescheduled, the retirement age of Subidar Major were changed to 38 years of service, or 3 years as Subidar Major or 60 years of age, the retirement age Subidar were changed to 35 years of service or 3 years as Subidar or 57 years of age, the retirement age Naik

CTC

Subidar changed to 32 years of service or 3 years as Naik Subidar or 54 years of age. The retirement age of Hawaldar were changed to 29 years of service, 3 years as Hawaldar and 51 years of age. That the service of Naik were changed to 26 years of service, 3 years as Hawaldar and 48 years of age. The retirement age of Las Naik were changed to 23 years of service, 3 years as Hawaldar and 45 years of age. The retirement age of Seopy/constable were changed to 20 years of service, 42 years of age whichever comes first. (Rules attached). B

- 6. That in December, 2013 the service tenure and retirement age was rescheduled for Subidar Major 37 years of service and 60 years of age. Subidar 35 year of service or 60 years of age, for Naib Subidar 33 years of service or 60 years of age, for Hawaldar 31 years of service or 60 years of age, for Naik Hawaldar 29 years of service or 60 years of age, for Las Naik Hawaldar 27 years of service or 60 years of age and for the constable/seopy 25 years of service or 60 years of age which comes first (Rules annexed).
 - 7. In august, 2016 the service tenure was once again rescheduled in which for the post of Subidar Major 37 years of service, 3 years as Subjdar Major and 60 years of age, For Subidar 35 years of service or 5 years as Subidar or 60 years of age, for Naib Subidar 33 years of service or 7 years as Naib Subidar or 60 years of age which comes first. (Rules annexed). D
 - 8. That on 14/07/2020 the schedule of the retirement and service tenure was amended as deleted, the retirement age was fixed as 60 years, or 25 years of service tenure whichever comes first. (copy attached) E



- 9. That on 22/03/2021 the levy rules were lastly amended, in which for the post of Subidar Major 37 years of service or 3 years as Subidar Major or 60 years of age, for Subidar 35 year of service, 5 years as Subidar or 60 of age, for Naib Subidar 33 years of service or 7 years as Naib Subidar or 60 years of age, for Hawaldar 31 years of service or 3 years as Hawaldar or 51 years of age, for Naik Hawaldar 29 years of service or 3 years as Naik Hawaldar or 48 years of age, for Las Naik Hawaldar 27 years of service or 3 years as Las Hawaldar or 45 years of age, for constable/Seopy 25 years of service or 42 years of age. (Rules attached).
 - 10. That in the above mentioned rules of 2021, the ranks of Hawaldar and Seopy are changed two steps down from scale 7 to 5.
 - 11. That against the different amendments some levy personals filed writ petitions before the Hon'anie Peshawar high court, which were decided.

 (Copies attached). 'G'
 - 12. That in the year 2021 some of levy personals filed an application to your Honor, on that application the summery of ten pages were prepared which was approved by the hon'able Chief Minister of KP (copy attached). H
 - 13. That the final amendments of year 2021 are against the fundamental rights of the applicants, because these amendments are based on discrimination in which the service tenure and age of retirement of Hawaldar to constable were reduced, while the service tenure and age of retirement of other ranks were enhanced.

CTCTV

15. That for the rank of constable the age of recruitment is minimum 18 years and the age of retirement is 42 years, so it is not possible that someone will serve for 25 years.

16.That levy personals are also serving the country as other forces and be dealt like other forces/police, and their service structure be schedule once for all.

17. That the amendments of 2021 are against the natural justice and fundamental right of the applicants are involved...

Petitioners:

1. HC Saif Ur Rehman Reg. No:4224

2. HC Umar Rehman Reg No.4282

3. Naik Hawaldar Muhtasham Man Reg. No. 4356

4. Naik Hawaldar Shamsul Affiden Reg. No. 4359


5. Naik Hawaitiar Muhammad Saleem Reg. No. 4377

6. Las Hawaldar Zafar Ali Bacha Reg. No. 4415

Dated 29.03.2021

CTC

Page Lof3





OFFICE OF THE DC MALAKAND/ COMMANDANT MALAKAND LEVIES

NO. / ILC DATED MALAKAND THE 24/3 12021 Phone: 0932-452080 Fax: 0932-452105

OFFICE ORDER

In pursuance of Notification No.SO(Police-II)/HD/MKD/Levies/Misc/2020 amended Service Rules 2021 Schedule III dated 22-03-2021, issued by Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar, the following Sepoys of Malakand Levies, who have already completed the requisite service/age as noted against each stands retired from service with effect from 22-03-2021 (AN) with full pensionery benefits as per relevant pension rules:-

| | S.No | Regimental No & | Name | Retirement Reason |
|----|-------|--------------------|---------------|--|
| | | Designation | | |
| | 1. | 4515 Sepoy | Fazli Rahman | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 2. | 4517 Sepoy | Said Alam | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 3. | 4518 Sepoy | Sardar Ali | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| 1 | 4. | 4519 Sepoy | Fazal Mohd ' | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 5. | 4524 Sepoy | Sultan Said | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 6. | 4526 Sepoy | lhsan Ali | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 7. | 4527 Sepoy | Junaid Ahmad | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 8. | 4528 Sepoy | Hazrat Iqbal | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 9. | 4529 Sepoy | Haji Rahman | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| 1 | 10., | 4531 Sepoy | Wahid Shah | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 11. | 4532 Sepoy | Sardar Ghani | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 12, | 4533 Sepoy | Amjad Ali | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| 1 | 13. | 4534 Sepoy | Akhtar Khan | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| + | 14. | 4535 Sepoy | Iltal Hussain | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| ĺ | / 15. | 4536 Sepoy | Shah Hussain | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| y | 16. | 4538 Sepoy | Zakir Ud Din | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| / | 17. | 4539 Sepoy | Niaz Ali | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 18. | 4540 Sepoy | Fazal Hussain | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| i | 19. | 4541 Sepoy | Akhlar Munair | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| į | 20. | 4542 Sepoy | Khalid Khan | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| ĺ | 21. | 4543 Sepoy | Moho Ihsan | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| 1 | 22. | 4559 Sepoy | Latif Khan | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| i. | 23. | 4560 Sepoy | Shahfi Khaliq | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | | |

A DE

CT 25550 | UL U

1 (27)

PC 🏒 اسبرالحقر | 3585 | 1 أ

Page 2 of 3

| | 1.504.0 | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | l | _ | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | 1 | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| * | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | • | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | <u>!</u> | 1 | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | <u>L</u> | 1 - | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | 1 | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | , · | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | <u> </u> | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | 1 | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | ` • | 1 | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | _L. | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| <u> </u> | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | | <u>_l</u> | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | _1 | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| <u>. </u> | <u>-!</u> | <u>.t</u> | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| <u> </u> | <u></u> | <u> </u> | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| <u> </u> | <u></u> | <u> </u> | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| <u> </u> | <u>}</u> | Abdul Ghaffar Shah | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| <u> </u> | <u></u> | Khayal Badshah | Completion of the requisite:42-Years age |
| <u>; </u> | | Abdul Qayum . | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| <u> </u> | 4996 Sepoy | Muhammad Iftikhar | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| <u> </u> | 5057 Sepoy | Bakht Naeem | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| 59. | 5058 Sepay | Fazal Rehman | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| 60. | 5102 Sepoy | Sajad Khan | Completion of the |
| | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 | 25. 4564 Sepoy 26. 4566 Sepoy 27. 4568 Sepoy 28. 4569 Sepoy 30. 4576 Sepoy 31. 4578 Sepoy 32. 4580 Sepoy 34. 4581 Sepoy 35. 4585 Sepoy 36. 4586 Sepoy 37. 4587 Sepoy 38. 4590 Sepoy 40. 4593 Sepoy 41. 4596 Sepoy 42. 4597 Sepoy 43. 4598 Sepoy 44. 4599 Sepoy 45. 4600 Sepoy 46. 4601 Sepoy 47. 4602 Sepoy 48. 4603 Sepoy 49. 4607 Sepoy 50. 4610 Sepoy 51. 4613 Sepoy 52. 4618 Sepoy 53. 4627 Sepoy 54. 4801 Sepoy 55. 4996 Sepoy 56. 4992 Sepoy 57. 4996 Sepoy 58. 5057 Sepoy 59. 5058 Sepoy | 25. 4564 Sepoy Sadiq Ahmad 26. 4566 Sepoy Amir Nawab 27. 4568 Sepoy Taj Wali 28. 4569 Sepoy Mohd Islam 29. 4570 Sepoy Amir Afzal Khan 30. 4576 Sepoy Nazar Hussain 31. 4578 Sepoy Muhammad Imran 32. 4580 Sepoy Pervaiz Shakeel 33. 4581 Sepoy Akmal Mohd 34. 4585 Sepoy Shahid Akber 36. 4586 Sepoy Zia ur Rahman 37. 4587 Sepoy Abdur Rashid 38. 4590 Sepoy Lal faraz 39. 4592 Sepoy Javid Khan 40. 4593 Sepoy Mohd Aftab 41. 4596 Sepoy Bashir Mohd 42. 4597 Sepoy Gul Mohd 43. 4598 Sepoy Dilawer Shah 44. 4599 Sepoy Sultan Sher 45. 4600 Sepoy Nehar Gul 46. 4601 Sepoy Wazir zada 47. 4602 Sepoy Sajid Hussain 48. 4603 Sepoy Sadat Gul 49. 4607 Sepoy Daulat Khan 50. 4610 Sepoy Mohd Mukhtiar 51. 4613 Sepoy Imdad ullah 52. 4618 Sepoy Sharif Khan 54. 4801 Sepoy Mod Fayaz 55. 4996 Sepoy Abdul Qayum 57. 4996 Sepoy Muhammad Iftikhar 58. 5057 Sepoy Bakht Naeem 59. 5058 Sepoy Fazal Rehman |

2

J. 2 2

2 V

| • | -: | | <u> </u> | والمراكب وال |
|------|------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| 61. | . \ \ | 104 Sepay | Omer Rehman | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| B2 | <u>.</u> | 5105 Sepoy | Raziq Khan | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age: |
| 63 | <u>.</u> † | 5108 Sepoy | Nasım Ullah | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| 64 | 4 | 5109 Sepoy | Amjad Alı | Completion of the requisite 42-Years #3e |
| 6 | 5 | 5202 Sepoy | Amir Hatam | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 6. | 5258 Sapoy | Saeed Ullah Khan | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| \ | 57. | 5259 Sepoy | Ihsan Ullah | Completion of the requisite 42-Years ego |
| 1 | 68. | 5260 Sepay | Mond Hussain | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| 1 | 69. | 5326 Sepoy | Nausherawan | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| 1 | 70. | 5396 Sepoy | Sawar Khan | Completion of the requirate 42-Years age |
| 1 | 71. | 5434 Sepoy | Imhaz Alam | Completion of the requisite 42-Years 232 |
| - | 72. | 5461 Sepoy | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| . - | 73. | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| - | 74 | 5503 Sepo | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years ago |
| - | 75 | 5505 Sepo | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| - | 76 | . 5874 Sept | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| | 7 | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| . \ | 7 | | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years age |
| - | .7 | 1 <u>-:</u> | | Completion of the requisite 42-Years ago |
| r | P | 0. 6302 Sep | OY MONUZED. | |

DC MALAKANDICOMMANDANT MALAKAND LEVIES MALAKAND

- Copy with forwarded to the:-Commissioner, Malakand Division at Saldu Sharif, Swal for Information.
- Section Officer (Police-II), Home & TA's Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar for Information with reference to Notification referred above
- District Account Officer, Malakand.
- Subedar Major Malakand Levies.
- Official Concerned.

For information & necessary action.

MALAKAND L



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAIGHTURIGHWA



NOTIFICATION 20-3021

No. SO(POLICE-IDHD/1-3/FEDERAL LEVIES 2021)- In exercise of the powers continuation by Section-9 of the PATA Levies force Regulation, 2012, and in continuation of this department notification No. SO(Police-II) HD/MKD Nevies/Misc/2020 dated: 22-03-2021. The Provincial Government of Knyber Pakhtunkhwa is pleased to direct that in the PATA Federal Levies force Service (Amended) Rules, 2013, the following further amendments shall be made, namely:

SCHEDULE-III

| 1 | i | |
|-----------|----------------------------|--|
| S. No. | Home of the Post / Rank | Length of Service / Age |
| 1 | Subsector Major (NS-14) | Bridge Severn Years of Services or Brisse Years' Services on Substitute Major of Services of Services of Services of Services or Services or Services of Services or Services |
| 2 | Sabardar (85-14) | With the feet of series of the last series |
| | 14.50 Special (85-11) | Chity gives years of yourse or yourse have |
| 1 | Howelder (ES-OF) | MAN ON MACH OF WASHINGTON OF THE PARTY OF TH |
| -3 | Herald (RS-OR) | Towards care years of services or larly time years ego with June 16 accesses. |
| 4 | Links (RS-09) | Thereby served years of previous or trady served years again which were to examine |
| 7 | Septo (15-07) | |

SCHEDULE-L

| | • | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------|------------------|---|--|
| n † | rod/ | Roetik. | Signally for Properties | Promotion Quota | Olivica Quota | Qualificati on | |
| . 1 | | o majo pe la. | Citymen' sankto or bulledor | 1006 | | | |
| | - 40 | 0edar (83-14 | Rotol 21 years of service (12 years, service as Holo Bubucks | 10076 | - · : n | 137. 3 | |
| * | ł | | Of years' service or translator | 1905s | 1 | | |
| 5 | Halt | Subsector (45-14) | Total 17 under of service | 100% | | | |
| 4 | H. | oucidor (16-07) | OS years' partice or blok. Or Total IS years of partice | | | | |
| 5 | 1- | 100 100 100 | C2 heart, margos as rouge learn | | | , | |
| - | <u> </u> | LANDE DE CO | Onto de Astas de santos | | | | |
| <u>.</u> | <u>.</u> | Sepoy (MLOV) | | | 900% | 20C | |
| • | - | Herita Antecessor (85-6) | 05 years' service at | Your | | Gyofficoli vith confidentia Annous | |
| - | | Andrea Amport | | | HOOK | SSC Qualificati with | |
| | # 1 | [85-1] | | | | Amorer | |

SPORETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF KNYRER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME & TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPAKHMENT

to consider

....

cial Police Officers, Knyber Pakhturidwa. partment in Khyber Politikunkhwa. each of Attached Department in Rivitor Politica to the Chief Secretary, Kinyber Political Political curtical General, Kinyber Political Political 11. Direction information, Knyber Politikushiwa.
12. The Manger Government Printing & Stationary Department, Knyber Politikushiwa.
12. The Manger Government Printing & Stationary Department, Knyber Politikushiwa above Hottlication in the Edica Ordinary Gazette of Knyber Politikushiwa and supply 50 copies (Printed) of the same to the Home Department.

(31)

بخضور جناب سیکرٹری صاحب تقوم اینڈٹر ایبل افیئر حیبر پختونخواہ بمقام بیٹا ور so (police11)HD/1-3/ FEDRAL Levies/2021 کماندرولز نبری police11)HD/1-3/ FEDRAL Levies/2021 عصور علیہ علیہ علیہ amended Service Levy Rules2021 Shedule 111 Dated 21-10-2021.

جارى شده از كازىيد جناب موصوف يرثري صاحب هوم ايند ثرايبنل افيرر

جناب عالى؛ سائلان زيل عرض رسان بي

ا يدكه ما تلان ملاكت ليويز كملازين بين اور تلف عهدول برملاكت ليويز يويز من ويوقي كرت رياب

ا یکرسائلان کے ملازمت میں عہدول کے لحاظ سے ختلف مختلف اوقات ملازمت باتی ہیں۔

۳ بیکہ جناب موصوف کے دفتر سے ملاکنڈ لیویز کے تحکمہ کیلئے مورحہ 2021-10-21 کورواز بانمبری بالا جاری ہوکر برائے عمل درآمد DC صاحب ا کمانڈنٹ ملاکنڈ لیویز کو بھوایا گیا۔

so(police11)HD/MKD/LEIES/MISC/2020 يدكه جارى شده رواز بانبرى بالااؤر سابقدرواز بانبرى بالااؤر سابقدرواز

Amended Service Rules 2021 Shedule111Dated 22-03-2021 کت جاب کمانڈنٹ

. صاحب ملاکنڈ لیویزنے برویے تھم نامےمحررہ 2021-03-22، دیگر مختلف اوقات میں مختلف تھم نامہ کے رویے سائلان کوملازمت سے ریٹائرڈ کئے گئے۔

۵ پیکه رونز مزکوره جلد بازی میں تیار ہوئے ہیں۔جس میں طاہری طور پرصاف اور بیشار حامیاں ہیں۔

ید کرد دانرمز کورہ سے خامیال دور کرنے اور درست کرنے کیلئے رواز مزکورہ نظر ڈانی کامخاج ہے۔

ے بید کہ رولز مزکور ہ پرنظر ٹانی نہ کرنے موجودہ تھا میاں دور نہ کرنے اور سیح ودرست نہ کرنے سے سائلان کی حق تلفی ہوتی ہیں۔ اور پریشانی کی علاوہ مالی نقصان کا سامنا ہے۔اورا سی طرح ائندہ دیگر ملاز مین کوملاز مست اور ریٹائر ڈیمنٹ میں شواری ہوگی۔

یه کدرواز مزکوره پرنظر تانی کرے اور درست ہونے کی صورت میں سائلان ملازمت پر بحال ہونے کے محتج حقد اران ہیں۔ اسلئے بزر بعید تحریرے درحواست استکرانی سائلان متدی ہیں۔ کدرواز مزکوره پرنظر تانی کرنے خامیاں دور کرنے سے اور

درست حالات میں تیار کرنے اور سائلان کوملازمت پر بحال کرنے اور کمانڈنٹ صاحب ملا کنڈ کے تھم نامے محررہ

22-03-2021 و نیگر مختلف تھم ناہے ارڈ رمنسوح کرنے کے احکامات صادر فرمائے۔ اور دیگر جوقرین انصاف ہو بھی مرحمت

فرمائی جائے۔ مورجہ 2021 - 25

Sondas'

(32) Ann F



<u>Judgment Stiest</u> PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR. (JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT)

W.P.No.367-M/2021 with I.R, CM Nos.1053/2021 & 1183/2022 JUDGMENT

Date of hearing — 29.11.2022.

Barrister Dr.Adnan for petitioners.

Mr.Saqib Raza, A.A.G for the respondents.

S.M. ATTIQUE SHAH. J:- Through this single judgment, we shall also decide the connected writ petitions bearing Nos.337-M/2021, 406-M/2021, 503-M/2021, 514-M/2021, 518-M/2021, 450-M/2021, 601-M/2021, 681- M/2021, 632- M/2021, 919-M/2021, 968- M/2021, 980- M/2021, 1221-M/2021, 1222-M/2021, 1252-M/2021, 2210-P/2021, 2913-P/2021, 5092-P/2021, 5423-P/2021, and 5424-P/2021 as adjudication of a common question of law and fact is involved in all the petitions wherein the petitioners have challenged the vires of notification No. SO (Police-II) HD/ MKD/Levies/Misc/2020 dated 22.03.2021 whereby on the basis of impugned office order bearing No.128/DC/CSL



service with further prayer that they be reinstated into service from the date of their retirement i.e. 20.04,2021 with all back benefits. In alternative, they have prayed that the respondents be directed to treat them at par with erstwhile Federal Levies of Federally Administrated Tribal Area (FATA) and; absorb them in police and; grant them full pensionary benefits.

2. Likewise In W.P.Nos.333-M/2021, 334-M/2021, 335-M/2021, 338-M/2021, 345-M/2021, 1026-M/2021, 1035-M/2021, 1187-M/2021, 1206-M/2021, 1207-M/2021, 34-M/2022, 212-M/202 and 993-P/2022 the petitioners have made the following prayer:

"On acceptance of this write petition, the impugned Notification SO (Police-II) HD/ MKD/Levies/Misc/2020 is against law and the fundamental rights guaranteed by the Constitution of islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, may be declared illegal void ab initio and of no legal effects on the rights of the petitioners."



Similarly, in COC Nos.38-M/2021 in W.P.No.367-M/2021 and COC No.436-P/2022 in W.P.No.1335-P/2022 petitioners seek initiation of contempt of court proceedings against the respondents for violating the order of this court dated 21.04.2021 passed in W.P.No.367-M/2021.

Brief facts of the case(s) are that the petitioners were appointed in the "Swat Levies Force" as Sepoys etc. and presently terms and conditions of their services are regulated by "Provincially Administrated Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation 2012 whereunder besides PATA Levies Force Rules, 2012, PATA Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules 2013 were also framed. Rule 17 of the Amended Rules 2013, deals with the retirement of personnel of the force, which was amended vide notification dated 12.12.2013 in the manner that "All the personnel shall retire as per Schedule-III and no extension in service beyond retirement shall be granted". On 14.07.2020, vide Notification No.SO

> ATTESTED EXAMINER Peshawar Nigh Court





(Police-II)HD/1-3, rule 17 was further amended by deleting achedule-IV as "All uniformed force shall retire from service on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. Sixty (60) years or they may opt for retirement after completion of twenty-five (25) years regular service". Thereafter once again through certain modifications rule, 17 was amended by giving life to the deleted schedule-III of the rules 2013 as under:-

"Retirement; All levy personnel shall retire as per Schedule-III and no extension in service after retirement shall be granted."

- 4. Being aggrisved from the ibid amendment, the petitioners have filed the instant petitions.
- 5. Pursuant to the directions of this court respondents have filed their parawise comments, wherein issuance of the desired writs has been opposed.
- 6. Learned counsel representing the petitioners vehemently argued that the



(3)

impugned Notification is arbitrary, perverse, illegal, issued without lawful authority and mala fide intention just to deprive the petitioners of their vested rights accrued in their favour hence violative of their fundamental rights guaranteed under the Constitution and thus not sustainable in the eye of law. That though the impugned Notification was issued under the regulation 2012. However, after the 25th Constitutional amendment, the said regulation does not hold field, therefore, the impugned Notification is liable to be set aside.

7. Conversely, worthy AAG representing the respondents opposed the arguments so advanced by learned counsel representing the petitioners at the bar while arguing that after the 25th amendment the Provincial Assembly passed the continuation of laws Act No.111 of 2019 through which the laws applicable to erstwhile PATA were allowed to continue including "Provincial Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation, 2012" and;



interference by this court in its writeriserence by this court in its writeriserence by this court in its writerisediction under Article 199 of the Constitution. Further, petitioners are Civil Servants, and; matter in question revolves around the terms and conditions of their service which is the exclusive domain of the Service Tribunal as such the jurisdiction of this court is barred given the explicit provision of Article 212 of the Constitution.

- 8: Heard, Record perused.
- we deem it appropriate to discuss the background of the matter in hand to properly comprehend the issue involved therein. It is worth mentioning that earlier the services of the Levies Force were dealt with under the Frontier Irregular Corps (FIC) rules, 1962 which was substituted by the "Provincial Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation, 2012" (regulation) and under the said regulation "PATA Levies



Scanned with CamScanner

force (service) Rules, 2012" were framed for Provincial Levies Force. While separate service rules were also framed thereunder for PATA Federal Levies Force performing duties in "PATA" known as "PATA Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules 2013. Rule 17 of the Ibid rules deals with the retirement of the Levies personnel which was amended from time to time. However, petitioners have become aggrieved from the impugned Notification vide which the petitioners' retirement age was altered which is challenged by them through instant petitions.

10. The main contention of the petitioners is that after 25th amendment the regulation has lost its efficacy and sanctity and has become redundant, therefore, the impugned amendment under the said regulation is illegal being void ab initio. It is worth mentioning that after the 25th amendment in 2018, both FATA & PATA were merged in the province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Federal Levies Force



working in FATA was merged into the regular police of the province. Albeit, in Malakand Division, Levies Force is still regulated by "PATA Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules 2013 in view of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Act No 111 of 2019 through which the laws prevalent in erstwhile PATA at the time of 25th amendment were allowed to continue Including regulation 2012 which still holds the field and as such the same is a valid instrument. Therefore. Impugned Notification was issued by the respondents with lawful authority.

11. Moving toward the status of the petitioners it is worth mentioning that earlier this court while deciding W.P No 528-M/2016 (Ikramullah's case) determined the status of personnel of the Provincial Levies Force as that of civil servants in the following terms:-

"19. The Provincial Levies Force ("Force") was granted statutory cover through Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Regulation No.1 of



2014 ("Regulation"). Paragraph No.3 of the Regulation envisages for constitution and establishment of the Force and its functions. For ease reference paragraph Nos. 3 and 4 of the Regulation are reproduced as under:-

- "3. Power to constitute and maintain by the Force and its functions.— (1) Government may constitute and maintain a Force for performing the following functions, namely:
- .(a) ensuring security of roads in PATA;
- (b) ensuring security and manning of piquet;
- (c) guarding Government institutions and installations;
- (d) ensuring security of jalls and arrested criminals;
- (e) generally maintaining law and order providing mobile escort to VIPs;
- (f) anti-smuggling activities especially timber smuggling;
- (g) destruction of Illicit crops;
- (h) serving of summons or procedures;
- (I) raid and ambush; and
- such other functions as Government may, by notification in the official Gezette, require the Force to perform.
 - (2) in discharge of their functions, officers and staff of the Force shall





(41)

be guided in accordance with this Regulation and the rules.

- (3) The head of the Force shall be Commandent in his respective jurisdiction.
- (4) Secretary to Government, Home and Tribal Affairs Department shall be the competent authority of the Force.
- (5) The Force shall consist of such ranks and number of officers and shall be constituted in such manner as may be prescribed by rules.
- (6) The officers and members of the Force shall receive such pay, pension, allowances and other remunerations and shall enjoy such leave and other privileges as may be prescribed by rules.
- (7) The officers and members of the Force shall wear such uniform as may be prescribed by rules or instructions.
- (8) The administration of the Force shall vest in the Commandant in his jurisdiction who shall administer it in accordance with the provisions of this Regulation, rules and instructions.
- (9) The Commandant shall exercise his powers and perform his functions under the general supervision and directions of Government.

ATTESTED



4. Powers and duties of officers and members of the Force.—An officer or member of the

(a) take effective measures for ensuring security of assigned jurisdiction and for safeguarding against acts of unlawful interference;

Force shall-

- (b) prevent unauthorized persons and vehicles from access to the territorial jurisdiction;
- (c) take effective measures for preventing sabotage, placement of car bombs, letter bombs, dangerous erticle and carriage of arms and ammunition into the restricted area;
- (d) use such arms and ammunition and equipment as may be authorized by the Commandant or an officer authorized by him;
- (e) search and arrest without warrant any person who he suspects of endangering or attempting to endanger or having endangered the safety of an installation and may use such force as may be necessary in the discharge of his aforesald duties; and
- (f) perform such other legal functions as the competent authority may require him to perform*.
 - 20. The close perusal of the Regulation would clearly show that the Force is receiving its salary from the Provincial



Exchequer and performs the policing service in the erstwhile PATA.

- 21. Having said this, we would now refer to the crucial issue as to whether the employees of the Force can be termed as a civil servants and as such they cannot maintain a constitutional petition before this Court for enforcement of the terms & conditions of their service:
- 22. The connotation 'civil servant' is defined and explained in respect to the Province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, in the Civil Servants Act, 1973 ("Act, 1973"). For ease reference, we would refer to Section 2 (b) of Act, 1973, which reads as under:
- "2. Definitions.—(1) In this act, unless the context otherwise requires the following expressions shall have the meanings hereby respectively assigned to them, that is to say—
- (b) "civil servant" means a person who is a member of a civil service of the Province, or who holds a civil post in connection with the affairs of the Province, but does not include—





(44)

- a person who is on deputation to the Province from the Federation or any other Province or other authority;
- a person who is employed on contract, or on work charged basis, or who is paid from contingencies; or
- (iii) a person who is a "worker" or "workman" as defined in the Factories Act, 1934 (Act XXV of 1934), or the Workman's Compensation Act, 1923 (Act VIII of 1923)".
 - 23. The perusal of the definition would show that a member of a civil service of the Province or who holds a civil post in connection with the affairs of the Province is civil servant. All Pakistan Services are explained in Article 260 of the Constitution, which reads as under:-

| ~260. | | | | |
|--------------|-------------|-------|-------|-----------|
| 200. (1) | | | | ***** |
| | | • ; . | | |
| ********* | | | | |
| | | | ***** | |
| ******** | | | | |
| | | | | **** |
| ******** | .,,,,,,,,,, | | | |

"service of Pakistan" means any service, post or office in connection with the affairs of the Federation or of a Province, and includes an Ali-Pakistan Service, service in the Armed Forces and any other service declared to be a service of Pakistan by or under Act of [Majils-e-Shoora

ATTESTED EXAMPLER Peshawar High Court



(45)

(Parliament)) or of a Provincial Assembly, but does not include Deputy Speaker, service Deputy Chairman, Speaker, Chairman, Prime Minister, Federal Minister, Minister of State, Chief · Minister, Provincial Minister, [Advocate-[Attorney-General], General],] Parliament Secretary] or [Chairman or member of a Law Commission, Chairman or member of the Council of Islamic Ideology, Special Assistant to the Prime Minister, Adviser to the Prime Minister, Special Assistant to a Chief Minister, Adviser to a Chief Minister] or member of a House or Provincial Assembly;

Whereas Article 240 of the Constitution envisages that:
"240. Subject to the Constitution, the appointments to end the conditions of service of persons in the service of Pakistan shall be determined...

(a)

(b) In the case of the services of a Province and posts in connection with the affairs of a Province, by or under Act of the Provincial Assembly.

Explanation.- In this Article, "All-Pakistan Service" means a service common to the Federation and the Provinces, which was in existence immediately before the commencing







day or which may be created by Act of [Majlis-e-Shoora (Parliament)].

24. The Phrase "performing in connection with the affairs of Federation or for present matter Province" was elaborately explained in the case of Salahuddin and 2 others vs. Frontier Sugar Mills & Distillery Ltd. Tokht Bhai and 10 others (PLD 1975 Supreme Court 244). In the said judgment, the Apex Court has held:

Now, what is meant by the phrase, performing functions in connection with the affairs of the Federation or a Province". It is clear that the reference is to governmental or State functions, involving, in one from or another, an element of exercise of public power. The functions may be the traditional police functions of the State, involving the maintenance of law and order and other regulatory activities; or they may comprise economic functions pertaining to social welfare. development, education, public utility service and other State enterprises of an Industrial or commercial nature. Ordinarily, these functions would be performed by persons or agencies directly appointed, controlled and financed by the State, i.e., by the



(25)

Federal Government or a Provincial Government".

25. Admittedly, as evident from the bare reading of paragraph-3 & 4 of the Regulation, the present petitioners are performing policing service in the erstwhile tribal area, terms their however, conditions are being regulating through Regulation No.1 of 2014 and after the omission of Article 247 from the Constitution; through a provincial statute i.e. the Khyber Continuation of Laws in the Erstwhile Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Act. 2018 (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Act No. III of 2019), the operation of Regulation No.1 of 2014 was continued. Thus, the essential criteria for being a civil servant is that the person holding the post must perform his functions in connection with the affairs of Federation/Province and the terms and conditions of his service should be determined by or under the Act of Parliament/Provincial Assembly. The Apex Court in the case of Federation of Pakistan through Secretary, Ministry of <u>interior (interior Division).</u> Islamabad and 2 others vs. RO-





(48)

177 Ex-DSR Muhammed Nezir (1998 SCMR 1081), while dealing with the case of an employee of Pakistan Rangers has observed that:

7....Perusal of these rules clearly shows that they are all embracing, and therefore, under the amendment of section 1 of the Pakistan Rangers Ordinance, these rules would prevail over the Rules of 1973. The Pakistan Rangers Ordinance was promulgated to constitute a force celled the Pakisten Rengers for the protection of end maintenance of order in the border areas. Since with regard to the status of the members of the force the Pakistan Rangers Ordinance is silent. therefore, it can be safely said that the employees of the Pakistan Rengers will be deemed to be civil servents as they are performing duties in connection with affairs of the Federation and hence under the Service Tribunals Act, 1973, an appeal by a member of the Pakistan Rangers regarding a matter relating to terms and conditions of his service is competent before the Federal Service Tribunal...".

26. Similarly, in the case of Gommandant. Frontier Constabulary. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Peshawar and



(3)

(179)

<u>: }</u>

others vs. Gul Raqib Khan and others (2018 SCMR 903), the Hon'ble Apex Court has elaborately examined service structure of the employees of Frontier Constabulary, which is established under Frontier Constabulary Act (Act-XIII) of 1915. Relevant paragraphs of the said judgment are reproduced as under:-

broad tests *8. Three establishing the status and character of a civil servant emerge from the Constitutional mandate of the aforegoing Articles. Firstly, under Article Constitution. 240(a) appointments to and the terms and conditions of service of the persons in the "service of Pakisten" are be determined by or under Act of Parliament Secondly, by virtue of Article 260 of the Constitution, 'service of Pakistan' means any service, post or affice in connection with the affairs of the Federation. Thirdly, under Article 212(1) (a) of the Constitution, the exclusive jurisdiction to adjudicate disputes relating to the terms and conditions of persons, who are in the service of Pakistan vests in an Administrative Tribunal, namely, the Federal Service Tribunal, These are mentioned Muhammad Mubeen-us-Salam case





ibid (at pp. 686-689 of the law report). The definition of the term 'civil servant' in the Act adopts the Constitutional criteria given in Article 260 noted above to relierate that a person who, inter alia, holds a civil post "in connection with the affairs of the Federation" including any such post connected with defence; to be a civil servant. The larger Bench has in this respect taken the logical step to incorporate the requirements under Article 240 (a) and 260 of the Constitution as the definitional criteria of the term "civil servant" (at p. 682 of

the law report). Having noticed the qualifying criteria of a civil servant under the law, it is appropriate now to examine the fectual matrix of the present controversy. The FC was established by the NWFP Constabulary Act, (Act-XIII) of 1915 ("Constabulary Act"). Section 3 of the Constabulary Act empowers the Federal Government to maintain the FC as a force for the better protection and administration of the external frontiers of Pakistan within the limits of or edjoining North-West Frontier or any part thereof. Section 3-A of the Constabulary Act euthorises the Federal Government to employ the FC outside the limits of or adjoining the North-West Frontier Province in other parts of Pakistan for



(51)

administration of those parts. Section 5(1) of the Act Ibid vests the Federal? Government with power to appoint the Commandant and other persons including the District Constabulary Officers or Assistant Constatulary Officers of the force in one or more districts. Section 6 delegates to the District and Commandant Constabulary Officer the power to appoint subordinate officers in the manner prescribed by Rules made under the Act. The Federal Government exercised its power conferred by Section 21 of the Constabulary Act, to frame the INVFP Constabulary Rules, ("Constabulary Rules"), in order to provide the terms and conditions of service of the officers and mon in the

matter of terms and conditions of service of the respondent-employees of the FC, are in the first place regulated by the Constabulary Act and elaborated pursuant thereto by the FC Rules. The provisions made by the Constabulary Rules are in furtherance of and in exercise of the power conformal by the Constabulary Act. Therefore, the terms and conditions of service of the employees of the FC are prescribed

ATTESTED



in the Act and the Rules. The test laid down in Article 240(a) of the Constitution requires that appointment to and the terms and conditions of service of posts in connection with the affairs of the Federation and of a service of Pakisten shall be determined by or under an Act of Parliament. The expression "by or under" in Article 240(a) of the Constitution authorizes the terms and conditions of service of a civil servant to be provided both by statute or by statutory rules. The provision made in the Constabulary Act and the Constabulary Rules, therefore, satisfy the Article 240(a) test. The judgment in the Muhammad Mubeen us Salam endorses this point of view:-

"86.... The terms and conditions of service of those employees, however, are required to be specified under Article 240 of the Constitution by or under Act of the Parliament. Thus, the conclusion would be that only those persons, who are in the service of Pakistan, as discussed hereinabove, and if their terms and conditions are governed either by a statute or statutory rules, in terms of Article 240 of the Constitution, can seek remedy before the Service Tribunals..."

27. Similarly, this Court in the case of <u>Gui Munir vs. The</u>

ATTESTED





Government of Pakistan through Secretary, Ministry of States and Frontier Regions (SAFRON). Islamabad and others (2019 PLC (C.S) 645), on the basis of law laid down by the Apex Court in Frontier Commandant, Khyber Constabulary Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar's case (2018 SCMR 903), while dealing with the case of Federal Levies Force, which was established through Federal Levies Force Regulation, 2012 having the same of service for structure employees/force as provided in Regulation No. 1 of 2014 has held that employees of the Federal Levies Force whose terms and conditions of service are governed Levies Force under Federal Regulation, 2012 are civil servants. Keeping in view the above, the; Force established under Regulation, No. 1 of 2014 qualifies the criteria of being civil servant in view of its composition, functions and duties as per law laid down by the Apex Court in the cases of Federation of Pakistan through Secretary Ministry of Interior (Interior Division), islamabad and 2 others

(59)

ys. RO-177 Ex-DSR Muhammad Nazir (1998 SCMR 1081) and Frontier Commandant. Khyber Constabulary, Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others vs. Gul Ragio Khan and others (2018 SCMR 903), thus, the preliminary objection raised by the counsels for learned respondents is sustained accordingly, the present petitions in view of clear bar contained in Article 212 of the Constitution are The present not maintainable. agitate petitioners may grievances before the Provincial Services Tribunal, However, prior to this judgment, the status of present petitioners being a civil servant was not determined and in the similar cases, the Apex Court in Gul Realb Khan's case (2018 SCMR 903) has held that:

11. It follows from the dicta laid down above that the protection of the border areas is a sovereign function belonging to and performed by the Federation. The same duty is performed equally I the present case by the FC not only on the frontiers of KPK Province but also by maintaining order in other parts of Pakistan. For discharging such functions, the





(5)

services rendered by the FC have direct nexus with the affairs of the Federation. Therefore, the reasons given in the Muhammad Nazir case (supre) fully apply here as well and we hold that the employees of FC are civil servants. Insofar as the question of competent remedy in respect of service disputes of FC men is concerned, we hold that in a matter relating to the terms and conditions of service of the respondent-employees of the FC, an appeal before the Federal Service Tribunal is available to them as the exclusive remedy under the law. Accordingly, this remedy may be availed by them within the statutory period of limitation commencing from the date of issuance of cartified copy of this judgment. All these appeals filed by the appellant-Commandant, FC are according allowed in above terms".

When case of the petitioners (PATA Federal Levies Force) was examined in juxtaposition with the Provincial Levies Force and Ibid judgment, we observed that service of both the forces is governed under the rules so framed under the provisions of "Provincial Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation,"



(56)

2012" Therefore, we believe that the status of petitioners is that of civil servants for all practical and material purposes, and as such, the matter of terms and; conditions of their service squarely falls outside the ambit of writ jurisdiction of this court given the explicit bar contained in Article 212 of the Constitution. As earlier discussed service rules of the petitioners Federal Levies Force) (PATA Provincial Levies Force both were framed provisions of *Provincial under the Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation, 2012" and through the Ibid judgment, the personnel of Provincial Levies Force were declared as Civil Servants after exhaustively discussing the matter of Levies Force performing their duties in PATA. Therefore, on the same premise, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioners are Civil Servants as their service fulfills the entire criteria of Civil Servants so provided by the law, Learned counsel representing the petitioners could





not distinguish the status of petitioners (PATA Federal Levies Force) vis a vis Provincial Leavy Force in any manner. Both forces are performing their functions in the same area for the same object and; purpose, and both are being maintained through the provincial exchequer. Therefore, the matters arising out of the terms and; conditions of service of the petitioners are only amenable to the jurisdiction of the Service Tribunal in terms of Article 212 of the Constitution.

that they have challenged the vires of the impugned Notification and as such the same are not amenable to the jurisdiction of the Service Tribunal is concerned, suffice it to state that it has long been settled that Service Tribunal has ample jurisdiction to deal with the Issue of vires of the law and rules framed thereunder. 2015 SCMR 253 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY SECRETARIAT through Sectrary V. MANZOOR AHMAD and others.





Therefore, the contention so agitated at the bar is misconceived and as such repelled.

In view thereof, the status of petitioners has been declared as that of Civil Servants and the matter in question revolves around the terms and; conditions of their service which does fall outside the Jurisdiction of this court given the baring provision of Article 212 of the Constitution and as such instant petitions are dismissed; being not maintainable. However, the petitioners may approach the worthy Service Tribunal for the redressal of their grievance if so advised. Albeit, earlier the status of petitioners being civil servants was not determined. therefore, petitioners may avail the remedy of appeal within the statutory period of limitation commencing from the date of issuance of the certified copy of this judgment in terms of the judgment of august apex court in Gul Raqib khan's case 2018 SCMR 903.

COC Nos.38-M/2021 in W.P.No.367-M/2021 and; COC No.436-





P/2022 in W.P.No.1335-P/2022 are dismissed for having become infructuous.

JUDGA JUDGE

Announced.

HONDLE MR. RESTICE LAL IAN KHATTAK, HONDLE MR. RESTICE S.M. ATTIQUE SHAH I HONDRE S.M. RESTICE S.YED ARSHAD ALL

17 DEC 2022

dir pl brown of Application of Appli

سرروفان تاه

Scanned with CamScanner

رك الماعث تحريرة تك مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالامين الني طرف سے واسطے پيروي وجواب دہي وكل كاروائي متعلقه آن مقام ن ورثر يوثل كيم ورث موات لك ييرس عدنان خان ASC عرصا دق اليدوكيث مقرركر كا قراركيا جاتا بي كرصا حب موصوف ومقدمه كيكل كاروائى كاكال اختنياط موكار نيز وكيل صاحب كوراضي نامه وتقرر ثالث وفيصله يرحلف ويبيخ جواب کے دی اورا قبال دعویٰ اور درخواست ہرتشم کی تصدیق زرادراس پر دستخط کڑنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یا دگری ایک طرف یا اپیل کی برامد ہوگی اورمنسوخ مذکور کےنسل یا جڑوی کاروائی کے واسطےاوروکیل یا مخار قانونی کواین ہمراہ یا اپنی بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا۔ اورصاحب مقرره شده كوبهي جمله ندكوره بالااختيارات حاصل موسنك اوراسكاساختذ برواختة منظور وقبول موكا اوردوران مقدمه بين جوخر چدو ہرجاندالتوابيد مقدمه ك سبب سے ہوگا اسکے ستحق وکیل صاحب ہو کئے۔ نیز بقایا وخرچہ کی وصولی کرتے وقت كابهى اختيار بوگاا كركوئى تاريخ پيشى مقام دوره بربويا حدست بابر بوتؤوكيل هاحب یابندنه بوسکے کی پیروی مقدمه مذکورلهذا و کالت نامه لکھ دیاک سندر ہے الرقوم بمقام سادر/ كمر mes Golds Adv

Advecate Supreme Court of Pakistan