# BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Engineer Saif ur Rehman			Petitioner
	*	VERSUS	
Chief Secretary and others			

# INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents	Annexure	Pages
1.	Grounds of Service Appeal		1-7
2.	Affidavit		8
3.	Copy of appointment, posting, recommendation letter/order	A, B & C	9-15
4.	Copy of the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal	D	16-40
5.	Copy of antedated promotions dated 28.06.2022	E	41
6.	Copy of the departmental appeal dated 06.09.2022	F	42-4
7.	Copy of seniority list dated 29.11.2022, 20.01.2022	G & G/I	47-57
8.	Wakalat Nama		58

Through

Date: 29.12.2022

Zia ur Rehman Tajik

Advocate, Supreme Court of Pakistan. L.L.B., L.L.M., Diploma in Sharia Law.

NB6 Jabir Khan

Appellant

Advocate BS (LLB Hons).

# (1)

# BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Engineer (	Saif ur Rehman SDU Shabqadar Irrigation Sub-Vivision Charsadda.
	PetitionerPetitioner
	VERSUS
ĺ.	Chief Secretary, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2.	Secretary Irrigation, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
3.	Govt. of KPK through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
4.	Department Promotion Committee through is Chairman, Irrigation Department,
	Peshawar.
5.	Engineer Inam Ullah Khan, Assistant Engineer, Officer, Chief Engineer (North).
	Peshawar.
6.	Engineer Shahid Ali Khan, SDD, Irrigation, Sub-Division, Saidu Sharif, Swat.
7.	Engineer Rizwan, SDO, Canal Sub-Division, Paharpur, District D.I.Khan.
8.	Engineer Javed Ullah Khan, SDD, Warsak Left Canal, Peshawar.
9.	Engineer Wajahat Hussain, SDO, Irrigation, Sub-Division
	Respondents

APPEAL U/S 4 OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER OF RESPONDENT NO. 2 DATED 26.08.2022 WHEREBY ANTEDATED PROMOTION WAS GRANTED TO THE PRIVATE RESPONDENTS AND APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT DATED 06.09.2022 WAS NOT CONSIDERED.



### Prayer:

On acceptance of instant Service Appeal, the impugned antedated promotion order dated 26.08.2022 may kindly be modified and private respondents may be promoted from the date of DPC dated 19.07.2022 with prospective effect.

# Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the appellant was appointed as Assistant Engineer on 24.09.2021 and posted as Assistant Engineer (BPS-17) 0/0 Chief Engineer (South) Irrigation Department on 13.10.2021 on the recommendation of KP Public Service Commission through recommendation letter dated 09.07.2021. (Copy of appointment, posting, recommendation letter/order is annexed as "A", "B" & "C").
- 2. That the appellant is performing his duties regularly, devotedly and to the entire satisfaction of official respondents without any complaint from any quarter from the date of his appointment.
- 3. That Departmental Promotion Committee of the respondent department refused promotion to the post of Assistant Engineer to the private respondents along with other employees and the private respondents had challenged their non-recommendation before this Tribunal and their appeal allowed by this Hon'ble Tribunal on 15.04.2022 with the direction to the official respondents to consider the private respondents for promotion against the vacant post. (Copy of the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal is attached as annexure "D").

8

- 4. That after decision of this Hon'ble Tribunal, DPC meeting was held on 19.07.2022 in which the private respondents were recommended for antedate promotion with the effect from 23.06.2021 and through Notification, antedated promotion was granted by respondent No. 2 on 26.08.2022 to the private respondents. (Copy of antedated promotions are attached as annexure "E").
- 5. That the appellant being aggrieved from antedated promotion order of private respondents, had filed appeal before the respondents No. 1 & 2 on 06.09.2022 but was not considered. (Copy of the departmental appeal is attached as annexure "F" = ").

Now the appellant being aggrieved from the impugned antedated promotion order of private respondents, approached this Hon'ble Tribunal for redressal of his grievances, inter-alia, on the following grounds:

#### GROUNDS

A. That the impugned antedated promotion order issued in favour of private respondents is illegal, against the law, and the directions issued by this Hon'ble Tribunal because, in Para-29 of the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal, the official respondents were only directed to consider the private respondents for promotion against the vacant post and no direction for antedated promotion was issued by this Hon'ble Tribunal, hence the antedated promotion is against the question of law decided by Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan in a case of Federation of Pakistan vs. M.Y.Labaib ur Rehmat and others:

4

P

"We have perused the earlier judgment of this Court and found that this Court only send back the matter to the appellant department for considering respondents case in view of the seniority list and the rules on the subject and nowhere in the judgment, it was directed that the respondents be given antedated promotion.

"2021 SCMR (Para-6)"

B. That the antedated promotion given to the private respondents is illegal and against the law because the private respondents have not performed their duties on the said posts and thus the said promotion on the cast of affecting the appellant seniority is unconstitutional and hit by Rule-17(L) of Appointment, Promotion and Transfer Rules, 1989 because after issuance of the impugned Notification, the seniority of the appellant was also affected and against the said impugned Seniority List, departmental appeal of the appellant is pending before the official respondents and it was held by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan in a case of Secretary Labour Department, Punjab, Lahore and others vs Raja Muhammad Pasha Jonejo and others "Seniority, Civil Servants duly appointed on regular basis, much earlier than others, could not be relegated to the junior position.

# 2005 SCMR 1142 (Para-4)

C. That the appellant was appointed regularly on the recommendation of KPPSC much earlier to the meeting of present DPC on the basis of which, the impugned antedated promotion order was issued by granting promotion to the private respondents with retrospective

(5)

effect and such antedated promotion with retrospective effect had affected legal and vested rights of the appellant and has also not been treated in accordance with command of constitution provided by the Artile-4 of the Constitution.

D. That promotion can neither be claimed as a matter of right nor with retrospective effect, hence, the grant of antedated promotion is against the principle laid down by the Hon'ble supreme Court of Pakistan in a case of 'Abid Hussain Shirazi vs. Secretary M/D Industries and Production, Govt. of Pakistan, Islamabad.

"Promotion-Principle-Promotion is neither a vested right nor it can be claimed with retrospective effect whenever there is a change of grades or posts for the better, there is an element of selection involved which is promotion and it is not earned automatically but under an order of the competent authority to be passed after consideration of comparative suitability and the entitlement of those incumbents promotion or proforma promotion by civil servants can be claimed under the law which can be considered when question of promotion is taken up, civil servant cannot call upon the service tribunal to direct the department to fill the promotion posts forthwith or on the particular date and not to keep the post vacant or under consideration.

2005 SCMR 1742 (Para-4, 5 & 6)

E. That the promotion takes effect from the date on which a civil servant assumes the charge of higher posts and because of this reason, the impugned antedated promotion is illegal and in the case of 'Chief Secretary Sindh vs. Riaz Ahmad Masin and others' it was held by the August Supreme Court of Pakistan, that promotion takes effect from the date on which, the civil servants assumed the charge of higher posts.

## '2016 SCMR 1784'

- F. That the appellant is discriminated and the antedated promotion order is against the rules of proprietary, principle of fair-play and natural justice.
- G. That one Engineer Bakhtiar was promoted to the post of Assistant Engineer on the basis of recommendation of DPC meeting dated 13.01.2022, and was promoted w.e.f 28.03.2022 and was placed junior in the seniority list as compared to the appellant in the final seniority list dated 29.11.2022 but the private respondents due to malafide, ulterior motives and inimical towards the appellant had disturbed their seniority. (Copy of Sensory, List dated 20.11.2022 are attached as annexure ". 9.44
- H. That any other ground will be ádduced at the time of arguments with the kind permission of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant Service Appeal, the official respondents may kindly be directed:

- i. To modify the impugned antedated promotion order dated 26.08.2022 and grant promotion to the private respondents from date of Departmental Promotion Committee i.e. 19.07.2022.
- ii. All the benefits on the basis of antedated promotion may be withdrawn from the private respondents being against the law because the said antedated promotion order affected seniority of the appellant.
- iii. Any other relief not specifically prayed for which the appellant is entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case may also be granted.

Through

Date: 29.12.2022

Zia ur Rehman Tajik

Advocate, Supreme Court of Pakistan. L.L.B, L.L.M, Diploma in Sharia Law.

Advocate BS (LLB Hons).

CERTIFICATE:

It is certify that, no such like Service Appeal has earlie petitioner(s) in this Hon'ble Court.

7

# BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Engineer Saif ur Rehman		Petitioner
	VERSUS	
Chief Secretary and others		Respondents

# **AFFIDAVIT**

I. Engineer Saif ur Rehman SDO Shabqadar Irrigation Sub-Division Charsadda. do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.


Identified,

Zia ur Rehman Tajik

Advocate, Supreme Court of Pakistan.

CNIC No:

Cell No. 0309 47-22430







# GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT

(Establishment Section)

Dated Peshawar the 24th September, 2021

#### NOTIFICATION

No. SO(E)/IRRI:/4-14/73/P\$C/Vol-V: The Competent Authority on the recommendations of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission and in pursuance of the provisions contained in Sub Section (2) of Section 19 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 Civil Servants (Amendment) Act, 2005 (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Act No. IX of 2005) and further amended by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Servants (Amendment) Act, 2013, the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in the Irrigation Department is pleased to offer the appointment to the following candidates as Assistant Engineers/Sub Divisional Officers BPS-17 (Rs. 30370-2300-76370) with usual allowances admissible under the rules in the Irrigation Department, subject to the terms & conditions mentioned below:

S. #.	Name with Father's Name	Domiclle/Zone	Address
1	Mr. Sadiq Ali S/o Javed Khan	Mardan/2	Mohallah Barbuki, Village Machi P.O Rustam Tehsil & District Mardan.
2	Mr, Saif Ur Rehman S/o Said Janan	Orakzai Agency/1	Ziarat Stop, Town Pabbi District Nowshera.
3	Mr. Zeeshan Ullah S/o Farman Ullah	Mardan/2	House # 2, By pass road Sarwar Abad Muqam Mandi Mardan.
4	Mr. Faisal Pervez S/o Muhammad Pervez	Charsadda/2	Village Sarwani P.O Shabqadar Fort, Tehsii & District Charsadda.
5	Mr. Salman Ahmad S/o Imtiaz Ahmad	Swabi/2	Village Gohati Mohallah Mehmood Abad, Irrigation Colony Tehsil & District Swabi.
6	Mr. Naveed Ullah S/o Naseeb Ur Rehman	Karak/4	Dad (W) Field Office Askari-6 Phase-II, Nasir Bagh Road, Peshawar.
7	Mr. Amjad Ali S/o Jehan Sardar	Dir Lower/3	Post Office Bishegram Gumbat Banda Tehsll Lal Qllla District Lower Dir.
8	Syed Atiq Ahmad S/o Syed Tahmeed Gul	Charsadda/2	Mohallah Miankaly Village & P.O Sherpao Tehsil Tangi District Charsadda.
9	Mr. Noor Yaseen S/o Salah Ud Din	SW Agy/1	Room No. 169, Iqbal Hall UET Lahore.
10	Mr. Manzoor Elahi S/o Jehan Zeb Khan	Peshawar/2	Civil Engineering Department UET Peshawar Civil office.
/11	Mr. Siddique Umar S/o Anwar Khan	Kohat/4	Village Ghorzai Payan Town Seni Gumbat Tehsil & District Kohat.
12	Mr. Farhan Alam S/o Tajul Alam	Malakand/3	House No. 374, Street 14, Sector F7 Phase-VI, Hayatabad, Peshawar
13	Mr. Babar Saani S/o Atiq Ullah	Charsadda/2	Village Amirabad Rajjar, Tehsil & District Charsadda
14	Muhammad Suball Khan S/o	Dir Lower/3	Villago & DO Markhanai

रवत् वनग वर्ष वा

Datoett A

32

14 Muhammad Suhall Khan S/o Dir Lower/3 Village & P.O Markhanai Tehsil Lal Qilla Maidan Dir

7



15	Mr. Riaz Ud Din S/o Asam Ud Din	Kurram Agy/1	Amin Medicose 1st Floor Khushal Medical Center Dabgari Gardan Peshawar
16	Muhammad Mustajab Khan S/o Khanzada Khan	Haripur/5	Village & P.O Kholian Bala Tehsil & District Harlpur.
17	Muhammad Wajahat Ali Khan S/o Ahmad Ali	Haripur/5	House # C-33, Snober Colony, Tarbela Dam, Tehsll Ghazi District Haripur
18	Miss. Shazia Batool D/o Jawad Ali	Kurram Agy/1	House No. 2 adjacent to Army Public School & College Warsak Road, Peshawar
19	Miss. Hafsa Wadood D/o Fazli Wadood	Malakand/3	House No. CA-1 near Masjld Bilal University Campus Peshawar.
20	Miss. Aiman Afridi D/o Naeem Afridi	Khyber Agency/1	House No. 2 Baba Jee Road Academy Town, Peshawar.
21	Mr. Arif Gul S/o Fazal Gul	Swat/3	Mehboob Super Store Village Rasha Gatta Tehsi Babozai District Swat.

#### **TERMS & CONDITIONS:**

- They will get at the minimum pay of BPS-17 including usual allowances as admissible under the Rules. They will also be entitled to annual increment as per existing policy.
- ii. The above posts, for all intents and purposes, shall be within the definition of Civil Servants.
- iii. They will be governed by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act 1973 and all the laws applicable to the Civil Servants and Rules framed there-under.
- iv. They will initially be on probation for a period of one year under rule-15 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion & Transfer) Rules, 1989.
- v. Their services shall be liable to termination at any time without assigning any reason, before the expiry of the period of probation, if their work or conduct during this period is found unsatisfactory. In such an event, they will be given one month's prior notice of termination from service or one month's pay in lieu thereof. In case they wish to resign at any time, one month's notice shall be necessary or in lieu thereof one month's pay shall be forfeited.
- vi. They will undergo for 4-months pre-service training. Training schedule will be issued separately.
- vii. Their appointment will be subject to the verification of their domicile and testimonial from the concerned authorities/Institutions.
- vili. They will not be entitled to any TA/DA on their first appointment as Assistant Engineer/Sub Divisional Officer. They will join duty at their own expenses.
- 2. In case the above terms and conditions are acceptable, an <u>UNDERTAKING</u> to the effect on a Stamp Paper worth Rs. 100/- signed & duly attested by the Oath Commissioner, should be produced to the Irrigation Department Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat Peshawar within a month time without fail.
- In case of failure of response to the above offer within stipulated period as mentioned above, the offer shall stand cancelled subject to the extension for the acceptance by the Government.

Attested

to he to



## Endst, No. & date even.

Copy forwarded for information & necessary action to:-

- 1. The Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Chief Engineer (South) Irrigation Department, Peshawar.
- 4. The Chief Engineer (North) Irrigation Department, Peshawar.

- The Director General, Small Dams, Peshawar.
   The Chief Engineer, Merged Areas, Irrigation Department.
   All Superintending Engineers of Irrigation Department. 8. The Director (Tech:), Planning & Monitoring Cell, Irrigation Department.
- 9. All Project Directors in Irrigation Department.
- 10. The Director Recruitment, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission, Peshawar w/r to letters No. PSC/SR-II/008565 dated 06.07.2021 and No. PSC/SR-II/010005 dated 11.08.2021.
- 11. The PS to Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 12. The Manager, Government Printing Press, Peshawar.
- 13. The Section Officer (General), Irrigation Department.
- 14. P5 to Secretary Establishment Department, Peshawar.
- 15. PS to Secretary Irrigation Department.
- 16. PA to Additional Secretary Irrigation Department.
- 17. The Candidates concerned.
- 18. Office Order File/Personal Files.
- 19. Master file.

(Abdul Rauf) Section Officer (Estt:)

Attested top.



# GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA (Establishment Section) A B



Dated Peshawar the 13th October, 2021

#### NOTIFICATION

No. SO(E)/IRRI:/4-14/73/PSC/Vol-V; The Competent Authority is pleased to authorize the following newly appointed Assistant Engineers/Sub Divisional Officers (BS-17) Irrigation Department to draw their monthly salaries and allowances with effect from the date of arrival reports against the vacant posts noted against each during pre-service training, in the best public interest, till further orders: -

, ,,	Name with Father's Name	Date of arrival	Against the post
Part of the second	Mr. Sadiq Ali S/o Javed Khan	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) O/o Chief Engineer (North) Impation
	Hr. Salf Ur Rehman S/o Said Janan	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) O/o Chief Engineer (South) Irrigation.
3	Mr. Zeeshan Ullah S/o Farman Ullah	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) Oto Chief Engineer (North) Irrigation.
	Mr. Faisal Pervez S/o Muhammad Pervez	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) Olo Chief Engineer (South) Irrigation
5	Hr. Salman Ahmad 5/o Imtiaz Ahmad	27.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) O/o Chief Engineer (North) Irrigation
5	Mr. Naveed Ullah S/o Naseeb Ur Rehman	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) O/o Chief Engineer (South) Irrigation.
7	Mr. Amjad Ali S/o Jehan Sardar	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) O/o Chief Engineer, Merged Areas frigation Department.
8	Syed Atiq Ahmad S/o Syed Tahmeed Gul	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of SDO-II, Gomal Zam Irrigation Division, D.I. Khan.
9	Mr. Noor Yaseen S/o Salah Ud Din	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) Olo Chief Engineer (South) Irrigation.
10	Mr. Manzoor Elahi S/o Jehan Zeb Khan	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) O/o Chief Engineer (South Irrigation.
11	Mr. Siddique Umar S/o Anwar Khan	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) O/o Chief Engineer (South) Imagation.
12	Mr. Farhan Alam S/o Tajul Alam	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Sub Divisional Officer (BS- 17), Irrigation Sub Division, Chitral (Upper)

Attested to be THE C

Mr. Babar Saani S/o Atiq Ullah 24.09.2021 Against the vacant post of

Assistant Engineer (DS-17) O/o Chief Engineer (North) Imgalion

7

	Nuhammad Suhail Khan S/o Nuhammad Naeem Khan	01.10.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) O/o Chief Engineer, Merged Areas Irrigation Department.
15	Mr. Riaz Ud Din S/o Asam Ud Din	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) O/o Chief Engineer (North)
G	Muhammad Mustajab Khan S/o Khanzada Khan	27.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) O/o Chief Engineer (North) Irrigation
7	Muhammad Wajahat Ali Khan S/o Ahmad Ali	27.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) O/o Chief Engineer (North) Irrigation
18	Miss. Shazia Batool D/o Jawad Ali	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Monitoring Officer (BS-17). Planning & Monitoring Cell, Irrigation Department.
19	Miss. Hafsa Wadood D/o Fazli Wadood	24,09,2021	Against the vacant post of Sub Divisional Officer (BS-17), Head Works Irrigation Sub Division, Malakand.
20	Miss. Aiman Afridi D/o Naeem Afridi	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Planning Officer (BS-17), Planning & Monitoring Cell, Irrigation Department.
21	Mr. Arif Gul S/o Fazal Gul	24.09.2021	Against the vacant post of Sub Divisional Officer (BS-17), Irrigation Sub Division, Shangla.

## Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa **Irrigation Department**

#### Endst. No. & date even.

Copy forwarded for information & necessary action to: -

- 1. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Chief Engineer (South) Irrigation Department, Peshawar with the request to arrange pre-service training for newly recruited Assistant Engineers as per rules under Intimation to this Department.
- The Chief Engineer (North) Irrigation Department, Peshawar.
   The Director General, Small Dams, Peshawar.
- 5. The Chief Engineer, Merged Areas, Irrigation Department.
- 6. All Superintending Engineers of Irrigation Department.
- 7. The Director (Tech:), Planning & Monitoring Cell, Irrigation Department.
- B. The Section Officer (General), Irrigation Department.
- 9. The District Accounts Officer concerned.
- 10. PS to Secretary Irrigation Department.
- 11. PA to Additional Secretary Irrigation Department.
- 12. PA to Deputy Secretary (Admn:), Irrigation Department.
- 13. The Officers concerned.

(400 attaceogathes of the officers.



**IMMEDIATE** 

Ammac - C

(14)

Phone: 091-9213551

Ext : 110

Website: www.kppsc.gov.pk

<u>Cai Sanviteriyal</u>

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION** 

The No. 5498 Dated: 11

To,

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Irrigation Department, Peshawar.

Subject:

RECRUITMENT OF TWENTY (20) ASSISTANT ENGINEER / SDO (CIVIL) (BPS-17) (GENERAL; FIFTEEN (15), FEMALE QUOTA; THREE (03), MINORITY QUOTA; ONE (01) AND DISABLE QUOTA ONE (01) IN IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT, ADVT; NO. 10/2019, S.NO. 90 (a.b.c.d)

Dear Sir.

In continuation of this office No.PSC/SR-11/008878 dated. 09.07.2021 on the subject noted above and to state that the recommendation of Mr. Muhammad Anees S/O Muhammad Naqeeb District Peshawar/2 and Mr. Muhammad Abdulah Ilyas S/O Muhammad Ilyas of District Haripur/5 is here by withdrawan with immediate effect and their recommendation may be returned to this office immediately. Fruther more the Commission recommends Mr. Babar Sani S/O Aitq Ullah of District Charsadda/2 and Mr. Muhammad Wajat Ali Khan S/O Ahmad Ali of District Haripur/5 to the govt for appointment. The revised allocation shall be as under:-

2<sup>ad</sup> BLOCK:

Vacancy Rotation	Allocation	Merit Order	Name with Father's Name	District / Zone
1000	Zone-2	01	Zeeshan Ullah S/O Farman Ullah	Mardan/2
1 Lia	Zone-3	05	Amjad Ali S/O Jehan Sardar	Dir Lower/3
120	Zone-4	04	Naveed Ullah S/O Naseeb Ur Rehman	Karak/4
13 <sup>th</sup>	Merit	02	Faisal Pervez S/O Muhammad Pervez	Charsadda/2
144	Zone-5	19	Muhammad Mustajab Khan S/O Khanzada Khan	Haripur/5
15 <sup>th</sup>	Zone-1	07	Noor Yaseen S/O Salah Ud Din	SW Agy/I
16 <sup>Ui</sup>	Zone-2	03	Salman Ahmad S/O Imtiaz Ahmad	Swnbi/2
17 <sup>th</sup>	Merit	06	Syed Atiq Ahmad S/O Syed Tahmeed Gul	Charsodda/2
18 <sup>th</sup>	Zone-3	10	Farhan Alam S/O Tajul Alam	Malakand/3
1981	Zone-4	09	Siddique Umar S/O Anwar Khan	Kohat/4
20 <sup>d1</sup>	Zone-5	31	Muhammad Wajahat Ali Khan S/O Ahmad Ali	Haripur/5
2111	Merit	08	Manzoor Elahi S/O Jehan Zeb Khan	Peshawar/2
22 <sup>nd</sup>	Zone-1	14	Riaz Ud Din S/O Asam Ud Din	Kurram Agy/I
2314	Zone-2	11	Babar Saani S/O Atiq Ullah	Charsadda/2
24 <sup>th</sup>	Zone-3	13	Muhammad Suhnil Khan S/O Muhammad Nacem Khan	Dir Lower/3

.

Female Quota and Disable Quota are both intact.

FEMALE QUOTA (04) POSTS)

Merit Order	Name with Father's Name	District / Zone
56	Shazin Batool D/O Jawad Ali	Kurram Agy/1
62	Hafsa Wadood D/O Fazli Wadood	Malakand/3
63	Aiman Afridi D/O Nacem Afridi	Khy Agy/I

Merit Order Name with Father's Name District / Zone

64 Arit Gul S/O Fazal Gul Swat/3

P.T.O

Pol.



3. Recommendation in favour of the above recommendees is provisional subject to their medical fitness and verification of all essential documents by the department, before appointment.

4. Unto date zonal state will be as under:

Opto care	Merit	Zone-I	Zone-2	Zone-3	Zone-4	Zone-5	Total
Share	12	08	08	OB	06	06	48
Adjusted	12	08	08	08	06	06	48
Balance						-	NII

nter Se-Merit Order					
	Zeeshan Ullah S/O Farman Ullah	Mardan/2			
2.	Faisal Pervez S/O Muhammad Pervez	Charsadda/2			
3.	Salman Ahmad S/O Imtiaz Ahmad	Swabi/2			
	Naveed Ullah S/O Naseeb Ur Rehman	Karak/4			
5.	Amjud Ali S/O Jehan Sardar	Dis Lower/3			
6.	Syed Atiq Ahmad S/O Syed Tahmeed Gul	Charsadda/2			
7.	Noor Yaseen S/O Salah Ud Din	SW Agency/1			
8.	Manzoor Elahi S/O Jehan Zeb Khan	Peshnwar/2			
9.	Siddique Umar S/O Anwar Khan	KohaV4			
10.	Farhan Alam S/O Tajul Alam	Malakand/3			
11.	Babar Saani S/O Atiq Ullah	Charsadda/2			
12.	Muhammad Suhail Khan S/O Muhammad Nacem Khan	Dir Lower/3			
13.	Riaz Ud Din S/O Asam Ud Din	KurramAgy/1			
14.	Muhammad Mustajab Khan S/O Khanzada Khan	Haripur/5			
15.	Muhanmad Wajat Ali Khan S/O Ahmad Ali	Haripur/5			
16.	Shazia Batool D/O Jawad All (F/Q)	Kurram Agy/1			
17.	Hnfsa Wadood D/O Fazli Wadood (F/Q)	Malakand/3			
18.	Aiman Afridi D/O Naeem Afridi (F/Q)	Khy Agy/1			
19.	Arif Gul S/O Fazal Gul (D/Q)	SwnV3			

- 6. One post reserved for Minority Quota remained unfilled which will be re-advertised after suitable interval.
- 7. Original applications (with enclosures) of the above two (02) recommendees are enclosed herewith for your record.

Yours faithfully,

(Ilyas Shah)
Director Recruitment

Attested

toge true con

Server dismal No. 25,302031 titled Shahid Ali fibra as Government of P. S. osbert? Service Appeal No. 1650/1011 (abril "Palshai Burwin versus Government of P.P. Arabias", Service Appeal No. 1641/1011 (ideal "Valphai Burwin versus insertionally of R.P. S. osbert. Service Appeal No. 1662/10101 (ideal "Arabidallah versus Government & others", on the Appeal No. 1662/10101 (ideal "Arabidallah versus Government & others, Service Appeal No. 1662/10101 (ideal "Arabidallah versus Ind. 1914) (ideal "Arabidallah versus Ind. 1914) (ideal "Arabidallah Khylor Palphailing Bernich (versus Ind. Khylor Palphailing Ind. 1914) (ideal Ind. Khylor Palphailing Ind. 1914) (ideal Ind. 1914) (id

KHYBER PAKITUNKUWA SERVICE TRIBUKATA PESUAWAR

## BEFORE:KALIM ARSHAD KHAN, CHAIRMAN ROZINA REUMAN, MEMBER(J)

Service Appeal No. 7659/2021

Shahid All Khan (Sub Divisional Officer, Shahbaz Garhi Irrigation Subdivision, District Mardan) son of Jehan Safdar.....(Appellant)

#### Versus

1. Government of KhyberPakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

2 Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Irrigation Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

#### Present:

Mr. Amin ur Rehman Yousafzai, Advocate...For appellant. . Mr. Muliammad Riaz Khan Painda Khel,

Assistant Advocate General ......For respondents.

 Date of Institution
 18.10.2021

 Date of Hearing
 14.04.2022

 Date of Decision
 15.04.2022

#### 2. Service Appeal No.7660/2021

Rizwanullah (Sub Divisional Officer, Flood Irrigation Subdivision No.11, District DIKhan) son of Abdul Rehman.....(Appellant)

#### Versus.

 Government of KhyberPakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

2. Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Irrigation Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

3. Chief Engineer (South), Irrigation Department, Warsak Road, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar......(Respondents)

#### Present:

Mr. Amin ur Rehman Yousafzai, Advocate... For appellant.

Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Painda Khel,

Amest e

be true copy

ATTESTIO.

Part office to the state of the

forms or tigned by 7214(75) 2 spine "hope of the same or Consequence of LP it solves." Served Against the 1667(291) wild. "From more of SP is defined to 1667(291) incommerce of SP is defined. The same of SP is defined to 1667(1911) incommerce of SP is defined. The same of the same



3. Service Appeal No.7661/2021

Wajahat Hussain (Sub Divisional Officer, Irrigation and Hyd Power Subdivision, Orakzai) son of Malik ur Rehman... (Appelling

#### Versus

1. Government of KhyberPakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar

2. Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Irrigation Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

3. Chief Engineer (South), Irrigation Department, Warsak Road, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar................................(Respondents)

#### Present:

Mr. Amin ur Rehman Yousafzai, Advocate...For appellant.
Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Painda Khel,
Assistant Advocate General ...........For respondents.

Date of Institution	18.10.2021
Date of Hearing	14.04.2022
·Date of Decision	15.04.2022

#### 4. Service Appeal No.7662/2021

Javedullah (Assistant Engineer OPS, Irrigation and Hydel Power Subdivision, Jamrud and Landi Kotal, District Khyber) son of Asad Malook Khan...... (Appellant)

#### Versus

- 1. Government of KhyberPakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat; Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Irrigation Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 3. Chief Engineer (South), Irrigation Department, Warsak Road, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar..................(Respondents)

#### Present:

ESTED


Mr. Amin ur Rehman Yousafzai, Advocate .: For appellant.

Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Painda Khel,

Assistant Advocate General...... For respondents.

# Attested

to bettue cupy



TO THE PARTY OF TH

# 5. Service Appeal No.7663/2021

Inamitlah (Sub Divisional Officer, Irrigation Subdivision, Tehail Shangla District Swat) son of Purdil Khan.....

#### Versus

1. Government of Rhyberbakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

2. Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Irrigation Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

3. Chief Engineer (South), Irrigation Department, Warsak Road, Khyber Pakhtinkhwa, Peshawar.....(Respondents)

#### Present:

Mr. Amin ur Rehman Yousafzai, Advocate...For appellant. Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Painda Khel, Assistant Advocate General .....For respondents.

D	ate of Institution	18.10.2021
D	ate of Hearing	14.04.2022
D	ate of Decision	15,04.2022

APPEALS UNDER SECTION A OF THE PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, AGAINST THE DECISION/RECOMMENDATION OF THE DEPARTMENTAL PROMOTION COMMITTEE, IN ITS MEETING DATED 23.06.2021, REGARDING AGENDA ITEM NO.111, ON THE BASIS OF WHEREOF, CASE OF PROMOTION OF THE APPELLANTS OF ALL THE APPEALS AS ASSISTANT ENGINEER/SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICERS (BS-17) WAS DEFERRED

#### CONSOLIDATED JUDGEMENT

#### KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN.

Through

single Judgment the instantService Appeal No.7659/2021 titled

"Shahid Ali Khan vs Government of KP & others", Service Appeal

No.7660/2021 titled "Rizyvan versus Government of KP & others",

peal No.7661/2021 titled "Wajahat Hussain versus

DESTED

Service Aprent Me 1840-1011 (Med. Shokal All Kluor et Diverment of KP & where? Service Appent No 1860/1011 (Med. "Ristone verms Government of KP & where?" Service Appent No 1860/1011 (Med. "Woodshot Hussola verms Service Appent No 1861/1011 (Med. Service Appent No 1861/1011) (Med. Service) (Med. Service)

Government of KP & others, "Service Appeal No.7662/20201 titled "Javedullah versus Government & others" and Service Appeal No.7663/20201 titled "Inamullah and Government of KP & others" are decided been use all are similar in nature and outcome of the same decision.

2. Facts, surrounding the appeals, are that the appellants were serving as Sub-Engineers in BPS-14 (upgraded to BPS-16 on 07.03.2018) in the Irrigation Department; that they passed departmental examination Grade-A & Grade-B and became eligible for promotion to the post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17), as per the rules in vogue; that the respondents initiated the cases of the appellants along with others for promotion and prepared working paper, alongwith panel of eligible Graduate Sub engineers, for consideration against 12% quota reserved for the holders of BSc Engineering Degree; that synopses of the appellants were placed before the Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC), in its meeting held on 23.06.2021, under Agenda Item No.III, but the appellants were not recommended for promotion rather the Agenda Item No.III was deferred on the pretext to seek guidance from the Establishment Department, on the following:

ATTESTED

ATTESTED

Environment

Service actions


Figures


i. As per amended service rules of Irrigation Department notified on 25,06,2012, twelve posts of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) come under 12% share quota of Graduate Sub Engineers along with passing of departmental grade B and A examination against which

le be true up

15

12/2/5





six officers ore working on regular basis while seven officers, included in the panel at serial No.1 to 6 & 9 are Working as Assistant Engineer (BS-17) on acting charge basis since 2011.

ii. Hefore 25.06.2012 the passing of grade B&A examination was not mandatory for promotion to the post of Assistant Engineer and the above mentloned seven Graduate Sub Engineers were appointed to the post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) on acting charge basis in 2011.;

iii. The departmental B&A examination is conducted after every two years. The last examination was feld in 2020 and the next will be held in 2022. The officers of panel at serial No.1 to 6 % 9 (except No.4 B&A passed) have passed their mandatory grade B examination and will appear in the A examination in 2022.

3. The DPC in paragraph 8 of the minutes sought advice of the establishment through a separate letter that:

> a. As to whether the amended rules notified on 25.06.2012 are applicable to the above employees who were appointed in the year 2011 on acting charge basis or the present Service Recruitment rules will be applicable in the instant case.

b. If the present service rules are applicable upon the officers appointed on acting charge basis then before

officers, the officers junior to them can be promoted to the post of Assistant Engineer on regular basis or otherwise

- 4. It was then all the appellants preferred departmental appeals on 13.07.2021 to Respondent No.1 against the decision dated 23.06.2021 of the DPC, which, according to them was not responded within statutory period, compelling them to file these appeals.
- 5. It was mainly urged in the grounds of all the appeals that the appellants had been deprived of their right of promotion without any deficiency; that the department had no right to keep the promotion case pending for indefinite period; that the appellants were not treated in accordance with law; that the DPC departed from the normal course of law, which was malafide on their part; that the appellants were deferred for no plausible reasons.
  - 6. On receipt of the appeals and their admission to full hearing, the respondents were directed to file reply/comments, which they did.
  - 7. In the replies it was admitted that the appellants had passed Grade

    B&A examinations and had also completed 5 years' service for

    promotion as Assistant Engineer subject to considering their

    cligibility by the DPC and availability of posts as per service rules;

    that the agenda item for promotion was dropped due to non
    availability of vacancies under 12% quota for promotion of


ACTION TO THE SECOND SE

se be true will

.

U





(i.e. 6 Nos Sub Engineers are working on regular basis while 7 Nos Sub Engineers are working on Acting Charge basis against 12 posts in the share quota of Graduate Sub Engineers which already exceeds by one number).

- 8. We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned Assistant Advocate General for the respondents and have also gone through the record.
- 9. Learned counsel for the appellants reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the appeal and referred to above and submitted that the appellants had a genuine case to be considered for promotion and they had legitimate expectancy for the same. He prayed for acceptance of the appeals.
  - 10.On the contrary the learned Assistant Advocate General opposed the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the appellants and supported the stance taken by the respondents.

11. There is no dispute that the working paper, for promotion from the post of Sub Divisional Officers (BPS-16) to the post of Assistant Engineer (BPS-17), was prepared on proforma-1, wherein the details of the posts were given. According to the working paper six posts were shown vacant for making promotion under 12% Graduate quota. Along with the working paper, a panel of Graduate Engineers for consideration was also annexed on proforma-II (Annexure-J). The officers at serial number 1 to 3, 5 to 7, 9, 12 to 14 were shown in the panel to be not eligible while the appellants' names figure at serial No.8, 10, 11, 13 and 15 of the panel. The panel bears

ZIVIAN

Market El

se be true

- 5



end of list and the appellants were shown in the working paper to be eligible for promotion. Similarly, the officer at aerial No.4 named Dakhtiar was also shown to be eligible for promotion. The 'DPC hold on 23.06.2021 recorded the minutes of the proceeding, which have been detailed in the preceding paragraphs and sought clarification from the Establishment Department vide letter No.SO(E)/Irr/4-3/DPC/2019/Vol-IX dated 04.10.2021, which was responded by the Establishment Department vide letter No.SOR-V(E&AD)/7-1/Irrig: dated 23.11.2021, instead seeking the clarification from the Secretary Government of Khyber Pakhtunkliwa, Irrigation Department on the following observations:

- i. Why the employees were appointed on acting charge basis under APT Rules, 1989?
- ii. Why the matter remained linger on for more than ten
  years?
- these employees in the intervening period were arranged by the Administrative Department and whether they appeared, availed opportunity of appearing the examination or deliberately avoid the opportunity of appearing in the subject examination or failed these examination?

12. Additional documents were placed during the pendency of the appeals, whereby working paper was prepared for considering one





12/2/2

Mr. Bakhtiar (at serial No.4 of the panel for consideration, wherein the names of the appellants also figured) for promotion, who was also deferred with the appellants. The DPC was stated to be held on No.SO(E)/IRRI:/4-Notification · vide 3/DPC/2019/Vol-IX: dated 28.03.2022, Mr. Bakhtiar promoted.

13. At this juncture it seems necessary to observe regarding the above referred advice sought by the DPC. As regards first query, whether the amended rules notified on 25.06.2012 were applicable to the employees who were appointed in the year 2011 on acting charge basis or the present Service Recruitment, rules will be applicable in the instant case, it is observed that the administrative rules cannot be given retrospective effect. As regards the second query whether the junior officers could be promoted when the seniors already appointed on acting charge basis could not qualify either of departmental B&A examinations, it is in this respect found that the basic qualification for eligibility to be considered for promotion to the post of Assistant Engineer (BPS-17), is passing of departmental B&A examinations and when the seniors could not get through the both or any of them, they are not eligible and obviously next in the line were to be considered.

14. As to the observation of the Establishment Department:-

Why the employees were appointed on acting charge basis under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 19897

Service Appeal MA 26197-2021 Med "Bookiet All Llows vs. Geretnment of KP & wheet" Service Appeal He 76602021

Growthman of KP & wheet "Service Appeal He 76602021

Service Appeal Ma 266 A wheet, market All Llows vs. Geretnment of KP & wheet "Service Appeal He hadden were the service Appeal Ma 26612011 wild "Weighted Hursdin versas Beinch Charpets and Beinch Charpets and Ma 26612011 May 26612 Appeal Ma 26612010 May 26612 Appeal Ma 26612010 May 26612 Appeal Ma 26612010 May 26612 Appeal Ma 266120 May 26612 Appeal Ma 266120 May 26612 M

- Why the matter remained linger on for more than ten years?
- for these employees in the departmental B&A examinations for these employees in the intervening period were arranged by the Administrative Department and whether they appeared, availed opportunity of appearing in the examination or deliberately avoided the opportunity of appearing in the examination or deliberately avoided the opportunity of appearing in the examination or deliberately avoided the opportunity of appearing in the subject examination or failed these examination.

it is observed that no reply of the Administrative Department in this respect is found placed on the record. Whereas without replying the queries the Administrative Department promoted one Bakhtiar, referred to above.

15. There seems lot of conflict in the working paper and minutes of the meeting of the DPC held on 23.06.2021 and that of the replies submitted by the respondents. In the working paper and the minutes six posts were shown vacant for filling, of which the DPC was convened and lengthy exercise of preparation of working paper, panel of officers for consideration and holding of DPC was undertaken, whereas in the replies the respondents took a U-turn and contended that the posts were not vacant. If the posts were not vacant then why the lengthy exercise of preparing working paper, panel of officers and above all holding of DPC was done? This is a question which could not have been answered by the respondents in their replies or for that matter during the course of arguments. It was

ATTESTED

Attested

to be true copy

F



Service Appent No.7419/2021 Hiled fitted "Riverin versus Generation


the stance of the respondents in the replies that the Agenda Item No.111 was dropped due to non-availability of vacancies under 12% quota for promotion of Graduate Sub Engineers to the runk of Assistant Engineers BS-17 (i.e. 6 Nos. Sub Engineers are working on regular basis while 7 Mos. Sub Engineers are working on Acting Charge basis against 12 posts in the share quota of Graduate Sub Engineers which already exceeds by one number). This stance is in clear negation to the working paper, panel list of the officers and minutes of the DPC wherein these 6 posts are shown vacant and were intended to be filled in by promotion. So far as contention of the respondents that the seats were occupied by the officers on acting charge basis, so those were not vacant, it is observed in this regard that rule9 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Scrvants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989 (the Rules) is quite clear and is reproduced below for facile reference: -

"9. Appointment on Acting Charge or current Charge Busis. (1) Where the appointing authority considered it to be in the public interest to fill a post reserved under the rules for departmental promotion and the most senior civil servant belonging to the cadre or service concerned, who is otherwise eligible for promotion, does not possess the specified length of service the authority may appoint ship to that post an acting charge basis: him to that post an acting charge hasis: Provided that no such appointment shall be made, if the prescribell:

length of service is short by more than [three years]. [(2)]. Sub rule (2) of rule-9 deleted ville by Notification No. SOR-

VI(E&AD)1-3/2009/Vol-VIII, desert 22-10-2011. (3) In the case of a post in Basic Pay Scale 17 and above, reserved under the rules to be filled in by initial recruitment, where the appointing authority is satisfied that no suitable officer drawing pay in the basic scale in which the post exists is available in that category to fill the post and it is expedient to fill the post, it may oppoint to that post on acting charge basis the most senior officer otherwise eligible for promotion in the organization, cadre or service, as the case may be, in excess of the promotion quota. (4) Acting charge appointment shall be made against posts which are

(4) Acting fall vacant for period of six months or, more. Against vacancies occurring for less than six months, current charge 



a department. el regulated by Civil Servants acting charge required to be y of service, charge bissis I the woreting charge v months. r post on ment and 5 months. to be un noposes regular te basis Which 8-1. urge

'eported

2 Allah

er of

in'ble

ad

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

to be true copy

Service Appent bin 163/10011 tilled "Sheibid dli Elim vs. Co-convert of EP & rabers", Service Appent No. 12/6-70 and the Missing retime Conservation of the Architecture of the Architectu

appointment may be made according to the orders issued from time to time.

(5) Appointment on acting charge basis shall be made on the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee or the Provincial Selection Board, as the case may be.

(6) Acting charge appointment shall not confer any vested right for regular promotion to the post held on acting charge basis."

(Underlining is ours) .

No.SOR-VI(E&AD))-3/2009/Vol-VIII, dated 22-10-2011. The deleted sub-rule is also reproduced as under:

"((2) So long as a civil servant holds the acting charge appointment, a civil servant junior to him shall not be considered for regular promotion but may be appointed on acting charge basis to a higher post.)"

17 Before deletion of sub rule (2) of the rules, a junior officer to a senior civil servant, so long as he (the senior) holds the acting charge appointment, could not be considered for regular promotion to a higher post. The provisions of Rule 9 of the rules though empowers the Appointing Authority to make appointment of a senior civil servant on acting charge basis but, even after deletion of sub rule (2) of the ibid rules, that will not disentitle a junior officer to be considered for regular promotion to a higher post.

18. Regarding the acting charge appointment, the august Supreme Court of Pakistan has a consistent view that such posts being a stopgap arrangement, could not be a hurdle for premoting the deserving officers on their availability. Reliance in this respect is placed on PLC 2015 (CS) 151 titled "Province of Sindh and others persus Ghulam Fareed and others", wherein the august Supreme Court was pleased to hold as under:

Attested times officers possessing requisite experience to qualify

15/11/21

ATTESTED

Netrice Appent the 1445707 I inter "Spiritul All Plant or Einsperment of the Anteron Service Appent the 20167151.

Ultital "Propriet for Emperoperated of E.P. & allers". Corner Segarat for \$1.645110 (Africa) "Pagables from more of Conversation of E.P. & allers". Corner Segarat for \$1.645110 (Africa) "Pagables from more of Service Append and the Antero Append and Anteroperated for Anteroperate of Anteroperated Service Append and Anteroperated Anteroperated Service Appendix and Anteroperated Service Ant

for regular appointment may not be available in a department Harveyer, all such extremetes are taken cure of and ergulated by Matuting tules in this respect, but to A of the Black Civil Geregines (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1974, empewers the Competent Authority in appoint a Chill Research on acting charge and current charge hasts. It provides that if a poet is required to be filled through promotion and the most senter Civil Servant eligible for promotion does not possess the specific length of service, appointment of eligible officer may be made an acting charge basis after abuiting appeared of the appropriate Consumental Promotion Committee Relaction Board Sub Rule (1) of the afterreferred Rule & further provides that appaintment an acting charge basis shall be made for vacancies lasting for more than 6 months and for vacancies likely to last for less than six menths Appointment of an officer of a lower scale on higher past in current charge basis is made as a stop-gap arrangement and should not under any circumstances, last for more than 6 months This willing charge appointment can neither be construed to be an appointment by promotion in regular basis for any purposes including seniority, nor it confers any vested right for regular appointment. In other words, appointment on current charge basis is purely temporary in nature or stop-gap arrangement, which remains operative for short duration until regular appointment is made against the past. Looking at the scheme of the Sindh Civil Servants Act and Rules framed thereunder, it is crystal clear that there is no scope of appointment of a Civil Setvant to a higher grade on OPS basis except resaring to the provisions of Rule 8-A. which provides that in exigencies appointment on acting charge hasis can be made, subject to conditions contained in the Rules."

19. The august Supreme Court of Pakistan in another judgment reported as 2022 SCMR 448 titled "Bashir Ahmed Badini, D&SJ, Dera Allah Yar and others Versus Hon'ble Chairman and Member of Administration Committee and Promotion Committee of hon'ble High Court of Balochistan and others", vis-à-vis the 'stopgap', 'ad . hoe "and temporary nature, graciously observed that:

> "This slopgup arrangement as a temporary measure for a particular period of time does not by itself confer any right on the incumbent for regular appointment or to hold it for indefinite period but at the same time if it is found that incumbent is qualified to hold the post despite his appointment being in the nature of precarious tenure, he would carry the right to be considered for permanent appointment through the process of selection as the continuation of ad hoe appointment for considerable length of time would create an impression in the mind of the couplese that he was being really considered to be

Scanned with CamScanner

Servin Syrvel M. 1818/1813 Blad "Should Be how a short of the element of his albeet", Servin Ayred Ny Ideal 1918 Concerning the Arthur States of the Arthur Arthur States of the Arthur States of the

very nature is transitory which is made for a particular period and creates no right in favour of incumbant with lapse of time and the appointing authority may in his discretion if necessary, make ad hoc appointments but it is not open for the authority to disregard the rules relating to the filling of vacancies on regular basis in the prescribed manner, In the case of Tariq Aziz-nd-Dir and others: (in rc. Human Rights Cuses Nos. 8340,9504-G, 13936-G. 13635-P and 14306-G to 143300-G of 2009) (2010 SCMR 13(1), this Court held that in case where the appointing authority is satisfied that no suitable officer is available to fill the post and it is expedient to fill the same, it may oppoint to that post on acting charge basis the most senior officer otherwise eligible for promotion in the cadre or service as the case may be. It is the duty and obligation of the competent authority to consider the merit of all the eligible candidates while putting them in juxtaposition to isolate the meritorious amongst them. Expression 'merit' includes limitations prescribed under the law. Discretion is to be exercised according to rational reasons which means that; (a) there be finding of primary facts based on good evidence; and (b) decisions about facts be made far reasons which serve the purposes of statute in an intelligible and reasonable manner. Actions which do not meet these threshold requirements are considered arbitrary and misuse of power [Director Food, N.W.F.P v. Messrs Madina Flour and General Mills (Pvt.) Ltd. (PLD 2001 SC 1)."

20. Similarly, in 2016 SCMR 2125 titled "Secretary to Government of the Punjab; Communication and Works Department, Labore and others" Versus Muhammad Khalid Usmani and others" the august Supreme Court was pleased to have observed as follows:

"15. As is evident from the talulation given in the earlier part of this judgment, we have also noted with concern that the respondents had served as Executive Engineers for many years; two of them for 21 years each and the two others for 12 years each. The concept of officiating promotion of a civil servant in terms of rule 13 of the Rules is obviously a stopgap arrangement where posts become available in circumstances specified in Rule 13(i) of the Rules and persons eligible for regular promotion are not available. This is why Rule 13(ii) of the Rules provides that an officiating promotion shall not you to be promotion on regular basis and shall

to be true copy

39\_

12/1/2

No.

Science Appeal No. 7639/2021 inted "Shuhhl dit Khan, vs. Government of KP & others". Service Appeal No. 7660/7031 illed "Ricom, versus Government of KP & others". Service Appeal No. 7661/7031 illed "Wighhat Huszalin versus Government of KP & others," Service Appeal No. 7667/70301 illed "horedulish versus Government & others", and Secule Appeal No. 7663/70301 illed "horedulish versus Government & others", and Secule versus Government of KP & others", decided on 13.04,2020 by Division General Computation of the Computation

be liable to be terminated as soon as a person becomes available for promotion on regular basis."

The august Apex Court in paragraphs 20, 21 & 22 ruled as under:

"20. The record produced before us including the working paper produced before the DPC held on 11.08.2008 shows that the sanctioned strength of XENs in the appellant- Department at the relevant time was 151; out of which 112 were working on regular basis and 47 on officiating basis. It is also evident that 39 Executive Engineers' posts were available for regular promotion. This clearly shows that 39 Executive Engineers were working on officiating basis against regular vacancies. We have asked the learned Law Officer to justify such a practice. He has submitted that this modus operandi is adopted by most Government Departments to ensure that corruption and unprofessional conduct is kept under check. We are afraid the justification canvassed before us is not only unsupported by the law or the rules but also lends ample support to the observations made in the Jofar Ali Akhtar's case reproduced above. Further, keeping civil servants on officiating positions for such long periods is clearly violative of the law and the rules. Reférence in this regard may usefully be made to Survar Ali Khan v. Chief Secretary to Government of Sindh (1994 PLC (CS) 411), Prinjab Workers' Welfare Board v. Mehr Din (2007 SEMR 13), Federation of Pakistan v. Amir Zaman Shinwari (2008 SGMR 1138) and Government of Punjab v. Sameena Parveen (2009 SCMR 1).

During heaving of these appeals, we have noted 21. with concern that the device of officiating promotion, ad hoc promotion/appointment or temporary appointment etc. is used by Government Departments to keep civil servants under their influence by hanging the proverbial sword of Damocles over their heads (of promotion 'on officiating basis' liable to reversion). This is a constant source of insecurity, uncertainty and anxiety for the concerned civil servants for motives which are all too obvious. Such practices must be seriously discouraged and stopped in the interest of transparency, certainty and predictability, which are hallmarks of a system of good governance. As observed in Zahid Akhtar v. Government of Punjab (PLD 1995 SC 530) "a tamed subservient bureaucracy can neither be helpful to the Government nor it is expected to inspire public considence in the Attested administration"

MITISTED A

to be true tops

T T CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY.

(31)

This issue was earlier examined by this Court in Federation of Pakistan v. Rais Khan (1993'SCMR 609) and it was held that "it is common knowledge that in spite of institution of ad hoc appointments unfortunately being deeply entrenched in our service structure and the period of ad hoe service in most cases running into several years like the case of the respondent (8 years' ad hoc service in BPS-1,7), ad hoc appointees are considered to have hardly any rights as opposed to regular appointees though both types of employees may entrusted with identical responsibilities and discharging similar duties. Ad hoc appointments belong to the family of "officiating", "temporary" and "until further orders" appointments. In Jafar Ali Akhtar Yousafzai v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan (PLD 1970 Quetta 115) it was observed that when continuous officiation is not specifically authorized by any law and the Government/competent authority continues to treat the incumbent of a post as officiating, it is only to retain extra disciplinary powers or for other reasons including those of inefficiency and negligence, e.g. failure on the part of the relevant authorities to make the rules in time, that the prefix "officiating" is continued to be used with the appointment and in some case for years together. And in proper cases, therefore, Courts (at that time Service Tribunals had not been set up) are competent to decide whether for practical purposes and for legal consequences such appointments have permanent character and, when it is so found, to give legal effect to it." In Pakistan Railways v., Zafarullah (1997 SCMR 1730), this Court observed that, "appointments on current or acting charge basis are contemplated under the instructions as well as the Rules for a short duration as a stop-gap arrangement in cases where the posts are to be filled by initial appointments. Therefore, continuance of such appointees for a number of years on current or acting charge basis is negation of the spirit of instructions and the rules. It is, therefore, desirable that where appointments on current or acting charge basis are necessary in the public interest, such appointments should not continue itidefinitely and every effort should be made to fill posts through regular appointments in shortest possible time."

By way of the stated valuable judgment referred to above, the august Supreme Court maintained the decision of the Punjab Service Tribunal, Lahore, whereby the appeals filed by the

to be true cour

五人

ATTESTICE

Source: (Pred) In 1559/3021 West Shorte All Klein its Covernment of AP & wheth Service Appeal Na 1660/302.

Indeed Resolve Are the Covernment at KP & wheth Service Appeal Na 1661/3011 West Westher Historia view.

Convernment of KP & wheth. Service Appeal Na 1661/3030 West Service Appeal River Appeal No. 2863/3031 West Covernment of KP & wheth over the others. Service Appeal No. 2863/3030 West Service Appeal No. Ming Me. Kalim Arthur III.m. Chairein and Mes. Roulea Peliman, Member Andictal, Elipher Pakhu Service Filhaval, Perhande

respondents were allowed and the order, impugned before the Service Tribunal dated 25.08.2008 passed by the Secretary, Communication and Works Department, Government of the Punjub, Lahore, reverting them to their original ranks of Assistant Engineers, was set aside to their extent. As a consequence, all the respondents were deemed to have been promoted as Executive Engineers on regular basis with effect from the respective dates on which they were promoted 'on officiating basis' with all consequential benefits. It was further held that the condition of on officiating basis contained in promotion orders of all the respondents shall stand deleted but it was a case where the persons promoted 'on officiating basis' were duly qualified to be regularly promoted against the promotion posts, therefore, wisdom is derived that in a case, like one in hand, where the persons promoted 'on acting charge basis' did not possess the requisite qualification or other prescribed criteria for promotion, should remain 'on acting charge basis' i.e. that made for stopgap arrangement till their qualifying for their eligibility and suitability for regular promotion or till the availability of the stituble and qualified officers. "The officers promoted 'on acting charge basis! could not, unfortunately pass the requisite either grades B&A both examinations or any of the two grades, examination, therefore, vere not found eligible as per the working paper. And as

neyswere 'on acting charge bas

Appeals Asympt No. 1818/2111 (Machil. Att Khin vs. Covernment of KPA inthers', Service Appeal Ro. 1860/2021)
While "Kilmon vorms Covernment of KPA others", Service Appeal Ro. 1861/1021 taled "Wighlan Husada versin
Service Appeal No. 2001-2001 (Machil. Appeal No. 1861/2021) While "Investigate versin Covernment & others", and
book asymptoting his Audio Arghad Khon. Chilomon and Met. Rosino Rehman, Member Andrical, Kingber Publishiba
Service Rehman, Member Andrical, Kingber Publishiba
Service Rehman, Member Andrical, Kingber Publishiba
Service Ethinal Pethron.

department seeing reluctant to fill the vacancies, (occupied by them 'on acting charge basis') by regular promotion despite availability of suitable and qualified officers.

21. The honourable High Court of Sindh in a case reported as 2019

PLC (CS) 1157 titled "Attaillah Khan Chandio versus Federation of Pakistan through Secretary Establishment and another" observed as under:

"16. Admittedly, the Petitioner was encodered in Police Service of Pakistan on 19.10.2010 and his seniority would be reckoned from that date. We are mindful of the fact that acting charge promotion is virtually a stopgap arrangement, where selection is made pending regular promotion of an officer not available at the relevant time of selection and creates no vested right for promotion against the post held."

(Underlining is ours)

22. Proceeding ahead, Rule 3 of the rules pertains to method of appointment. Sub rule (2) of rule 3 of the rules empowers the department concerned to lay down the method of appointment, qualifications and other conditions applicable to a post in consultation with the Establishment and Administration Department and the Finance Department.

23. While Rule 7 of the rules is regarding appointment by promotion or transfer. Sub rule (3) of rule 7 of the rules states that:

MPTESTED

fulfilling such conditions as laid down for the purpose of promotion or transfer to a post shall be considered by the Departmental Promotion Committee or the Pravincial Selection Board for promotion or transfer, as may be."

to be true cup.

This means only the persons possessing the qualifications and fulfilling such conditions as hid down for the purpose of promotion shall be considered for promotion because it does not leave room for the persons, who do not possess such qualification and fulfilling such conditions, to be also promotion. Vide Notification considered for such No.SO(E)/IRR:/23-5/73 dated 17.02.2011, the Irrigation Department of the Klyber Pakhtunkhwa, in consultation with the Establishment & Administration Department and Finance Department, laid down, the method of recruitment, qualification and other conditions specified in columns No.3 to 5 of Appendix (pages 1 to 5) to the above notification, made applicable to the posts in column. No.2 of the Appendix. At serial No.4 of the Appendix the post of Assistant Engineer/Sub. Divisional Officer/Assistant Director (BPS-17) is mentioned. The qualification for appointment is prescribed to be BE/BSc Degree in Civil/Mechanical Engineering from a recognized University. Sixty-five percent of the posts were to be filled in through initial recruitment. Ten percent by promotion on the basis of seniority cum fitness from amongst the Sub Engineers who acquired, during service, degree in Civil or Mechanical Engineering from a recognized University. Five percent by promotion, on the basis of seniority cum fitness, from amongst he Sub Engineers who joined service as degree holders in

Engineering.

STUD

Civil/Mechanical be true copy

Notification Vide

Service Aprent No. 25 (9) 2021 tales "Shahkt Ai Khan, vs. Government of KP & tillers", Service Aprent In. 1660/2021 tales "Reservice ressus Concernment of KP & athers", Service Aprent In. 264/2021 tales "Wajahas Hassita varves Concernment of KP & others," Service Aprent In. 264/2021 tales "Ariver Aprent In. 264/2021 tales "Ariver Aprent In. 264/2021 tales "Ariver Aprent In. 264/2021 tales "Instituted In. 264/2021 tales "Instituted Investment of KP & others," decided to 15,04,2023 by Diversibet Conservations of Ariver Kalend Arstind Kluin, Chatterian and Ars. Resina Rahman, Member Anticial, Khyber Pakhanskii Service Talanasi Peshania.

No.SOE/IRRI/23-5/2010-11 dated 25.06.2012, the notification of 2011 was amended. The amendments, relevant to these appeals, are reproduced as under:

#### Amendments

In the Appendix,

i. Against serial No.4, in column No.5, for the existing entries, in clause (b), (c) and (d), the following shall be respectively substituted, namely:

(b) twelve percent by promotion, on the basis of seniority cum fitness, from amongst the Sub Engineers, having degree in Civil Engineering or Mechanical Engineering from a recognized University and have passed departmental grade B&A examination with five years' service as such.

Note:- For the purpose of clause (b), a joint seniority
list of the Sub Engineers having degree in Civil
Engineering or Mechanical Engineering shall be
maintained and their seniority is to be reckoned from

At the date of their appointment as Sub Engineer.

24. The working paper also contained the requirement of the rules and in view of the same, the panel of officers was prepared on proforma-II, which clearly shows that all the appellants were restaurable and the officers, who were allegedly holding acting charge

12.12.12

CHICAGO PARTICIONAL PROPERTO POR LA CONTRACTOR PORTURA POR LA CONTRACTOR POR LA CONTRACTOR POR LA CONTRACTOR PORTURA PORTU

of the posts, were not eligible. Neither any deficiency of any of the appellants could be pointed out in the replies nor argued before us rather in paragraph 6 of the replies, the eligibility and fitness of the appellants was admitted in unequivocal terms. The only reason which was stated in the replies, the non-availability of the posts because the vacant posts, detailed in the working paper and in the minutes of the DPC, were occupied by the ineligible officers on acting charge basis since 2011 in utter violation of the rules and the method laid down by the department concerned.

25.In a recent judgment reported as 2022 SCMR 448 titled "Bashir Ahmed Badini, D&SJ, Dera Allah Yar and others Versus Hon'ble Chairman and Member of Administration Committee and Promotion Committee of hon'ble High Court of Balochistan and others", the august Supreme Court of Pakistan has held as under:

12/12/21

"13. According to Section 8 of the Civil Servants Act, 1973, for proper administration of a service, cadre or post, the appointing authority is required to make out a seniority list of the members, but no vested right is conferred to a particular seniority in such service, cadre or post. The letter of the law further elucidates that seniority in a post, service or cadre to which a civil servant is appointed shall take effect from the date of regular appointment to that post, whereas Section 9 is germane to the promotion which prescribes that a civil servant possessing such minimum qualifications, as may be prescribed shall be eligible for promotion to a higher post under the rules for departmental promotion in the service or cadre to which he belongs. However, if it is a Selection Post then promotion shall be granted on the basis of selection on merit and if the post is Non-Selection Post then on the basis of seniority-cum-fitness. A quick look and preview of Rule 8-B of the Civil Servants (Appointment, Proniotion and Transfer) Rules, 1973 (1973 Rules') shows that an Acting Charge Appointment can be made against the posts to fall vacant for a period of six months or

BESTED

te be true cov

11

a coupled difficult to limitisment of the annex. So then not of for A white to Courted Lyand the 1641/1011 intert. Two less different for 1861/1011 intert. Two consultations are to the 1961 the 1861/1011 intert. The annual couple intert. The annual couple intert. The annual couple intert. The annual termination of the termination of t

he made appointment entirecommendations of Departmental Promotion Committee or the Selection Board. The acting charge appointment does not amount to an appointment by promotion on regular basis for any purpose including senterity and also does not confor any vested right for regular promotion to the post held on noting charge bosts. Under Rule 18, the method of making Ad-hoc Appointments is available with the procedure that If my post is required to be filled under the Federal Public Service Commission (Function) Rules, 1978, the appointing authority shall forward a regulation to the Commission immediately. However, in exceptional cases ad-hae appointment may be made for a period of six months or less with prior clearance of the Commission as provided in Rule 19 wherein if the appointing authority considers it to be in public interest to fill a post falling within the purview of Commission urgently pending nomination of a candidate, it may proceed to fill it on adhoe basis for a period of six months. The reading of Balochistan Civil Servants Act, 1974 also reveals that the provisions made under Section 8 are similar to that of Civil Servants Act, 1973. Here also in Section 8, it is clarified that the seniority in the post, service or cadre to which a civil servant is promoted shall take effect from the date of regular appointment to that post and the criteria for promotion is also laid down with like prerequisites for the selection post and or non-selection post as provided in Civil Servants Act, 1973. So far as ad-hoc and temporary appointments are concerned, Rules 16 to 18 of Balochistan Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 2009 also enlightened that in case a post is required to' be filled through Commission, the Administrative Secretary of the Department shall forward a requisition in the prescribed form to the Commission, however, when an Administrative Department considers it to be in public interest to fill in a post falling within the purview of Commission urgently, it may, pending nomination of a candidate by the Commission, with prior approval of the competent authority, proceed to fill such post on ad-hoc basis for a period not exceeding six months by advertising the same. The Acting Charge appointment is encapsulated under Rille 8 with the rider that appointment on acting charge basis shall neither amount to a promotion on regular basis for any purpose including seniority, nor shall It confer any vested right for regular promotion to the post held on acting charge basis."

26. Last but not the least, it seems quite astonishing that, while negating their own stance that there was no vacancy available so that the appellants could be promoted, the respondents, vide Notification No.SO(E)/IRRI:/4-3/DPC/2019/Vul-IX dated 28.03.2022, promoted Engr. Bakhtiar, (only one of the eligible) Graduate Sub-Engineer/Assistant Engineer BS-17 (ACB means acting charge basis), to the post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) on regular basis. This action of the respondents not only speaks volumes about their malafide but also proves the stance taken by the appellants that they were being discriminated and were not being dealt with equally or in accordance with law.

27. Before parting with the judgment we deemed it appropriate to address a possible question and that is whether the minutes of the meeting of the DPC, deferring the Agenda item-III pertaining to promotion, whereby the appellants were, in a way, ignored from promotion on the pretext discussed hereinabove, could be termed as 'final order' enabling the appellants to file appeal before this Tribunal. In this respect we will refer and derive wisdom from the judgment of the august Supreme Court of Pakistan reported as PLD 1991 SC 226 titled "Dr Sabir Zameer Siddiqui versus Mian Abdul Malik and 4 others". It was found by the honourable Supreme Court

> "5. There is no requirement of law provided anywhere as to how a final' order is to be passed in a departmental proceeding. In the present case, not only the representative of the competent authority considered the de fereil in the High Court to be the final

that:



Science Special the 1639-7071 third "Simble All Khan, vs. Government of AP & others", Service Appeal therein the defect of the Association of AP & others "Service Appeal the Rolling of the Association of AP & others "Service Appeal the 1641-1651 third "Weighbut Hurmin of Generoment of the Association of the Associat Seester Tellowed, Prehi

order but the High Court itself acted on such representation thereby inducing the appellant to seek further relief in accordance with law. The appellant could, in the circumstances, approach the Service Tribunal for the relief."

(Underlining is ours)

28. We also refer to the judgment of the honourable High Court of Sindh reported as 2000 PLC CS 206 titled "Mian Muhammad Mohsin Raza versus Miss Riffat Shiekh First Sentor Civil Judge and others", wherein the honourable High Court of Sindh; while dealing with the term 'final order' observed as under:

> "It would not be out of place to mention that appeals before the Service Tribunal are provided by section 4 of the Sindh Service Tribunals Act, 1973, against any "final order", The term "order" cannot be given any restricted connotation and as held in Muhammad Anis Qureshi v. Secretary Ministry of Communication 1986 PLC (C.S.) 664, the word "order" as used in section 4 of the Service Tribunals Act, 1973, is used in a wider sense to include any communication which adversely affects a civil servant."

(Underlining is ours)

For the foregoing reasons, we hold that the minutes of the meeting of the DPC dated 23.06.2021, deferring the Agenda item No.III relating to promotion would amount to depriving/ignoring the appellants from promotion and is thus a communication adversely affecting them, therefore, it would be considered a 'final order' within the meaning of section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974.

29.In the given circumstances, we allow these appeals and direct the respondents to consider the appellants for promotion against the to be true copy

vacant posts. The DPC shall be held at the earliest possible, but not later than a month of receipt this judgment. Copies of this judgment be placed on all the connected appeal files. Consign.

30. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 15th day of April, 2022.

Chairman

ROZINA Member Judicial

(Approved for Reporting

Certified to be ture copy

l'enhawar

Dine of Delivery of Cop





# GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT

(Establishment Section)

Dated Peshawar the 26th August, 2022

# NOTIFICATION:

In light of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa No. SO(E)/IRR/4-3/DPC/Vol-X: Service Tribunal Judgement dated 15.04.2022 and recommendations of Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC), in its meeting held on 19.07.2022, the competent authority is pleased to promote the following Graduate Sub Engineers (BS-12) to the post of Assistant Engineer/Sub Divisional Officer (BS-17) in Irrigation Department on regular basis with effect from 23.06.2021.

- i. Mr. Inamullah Khan,
- ii. Mr. Shahid Ali Khan
- iii. Mr. Rizwan
- iv. Mr. Javed Ullah Khan
- v. Mr. Wajahat Hussain
- The officers on promotion will remain on probation for a period of one 2. year extendable for further one year in terms of Section 6 (2) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 read with Section 15 (1) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion & Transfers) Rules, 1989.
- Consequent upon their promotion on regular basis as Assistant Engineer/Sub Divisional Officer (BS-17), they are allowed to continue duties against their already occupied posts.

## Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, **Irrigation Department**

# Endst. No. & date even.

Copy forwarded to: -

- 1. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Chief Engineers (North/South/Merged Areas) Irrigation Department.
- 3. The Director General, Small Dams, Irrigation Department, Peshawar.
- 4. All Superintending Engineers of Irrigation Department.
- 5. All Project Directors, Irrigation Department.
- 6. The officers concerned.
- 7. PS to Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 8. PS to Secretary Establishment Department, Peshawar.
- 9. The District Accounts officer (concerned).
- 10.PS to Secretary Irrigation Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. Master file. Attested 12. Personal files of the officers.

be true copy

(Ijaz Khan)-Section Officer (Estt:)6/8

Anne-F

Date: 06-09-2022



Τo

The Secretary Irrigation Department, Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

Subject:

APPEAL REGARDING CORRECTION OF REGULARIZATION DATE OF THE 5 NO'S GRADUATE SUB ENGINEERS PROMOTING TO THE ASSISTANT ENGINEERS (BS-17) ON REGULAR BASIS AS PER SERVICE TRIBUNIAL JUDGEMENT DATED 15.04,2022.

Sub Hend:

GRIEVANCE ON NOTIFICATION NO. SO(E)/IRR/4-3/DPC/VOL-X DATED PESHAWAR THE 26<sup>TH</sup> AUGUST, 2022 ISSUED BY ESTABLISHMENT SECTION, IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT KPK.

Reference: The copy of each of the following is attached for ready reference please:

- Service Appeal No. 7659/2021 to 7663/2021, Pronounced in open court at Peshawar and given under our hand and the seal of the Tribunal on this 15th day of April, 2022.
- Notification No. SO(E)/IRR/4-3/DPC/VOL-IX DATED PESHAWAR THE 28<sup>711</sup> MARCH, 2022
- III. Notification No. SO(E)/IRR/4-3/DPC/VOL-X DATED PESHAWAR THE 26TH AUGUST, 2022

It is submitted with great regards in your honor that The Secretary to Govt. of Khyher Pakhtunkhwa, Irrigation Department, Establishment section has recently issued notification, vide notification No. SO(E)/IRR/4-3/DPD/Vol-X, dated Peshawar the 26<sup>th</sup> August, 2022 regarding promotion of 5 Graduate Sub Engineers (BS-12) to the post of Assistant Engineer/ Sub Divisional Officer (BS-17) in Irrigation Department on regular basis with effect from 23.06.2021, in light of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Judgement dated 15.04.2022 and its recommendation for DPC held on 19.07.2022. Before proceeding further, the following is submitted please:

 It is humbly submitted that the Graduate Sub Engineers submitted their appeals on 13.07.2021 regarding the deferring of agenda Item No. III of DPC in its meeting held on 23.06.2021 but the appeals were not submitted within statutory period.

2. The appellants filed appeals in the court of Service Tribunal for their right of promotion and the respondents were directed by the Hon'ble Court to file reply/comments in admission of full hearing.

instruction of the ball

petere con.

Scanned with CamScanner

ĩ

Ī

- 3. It is submitted in the reply/comments of the respondent, that in this case the Agenda Item No. III for promotion was dropped due to non-availability of vacancies under 12% share quota of Graduate Sub Engineers for their promotion to the rank of Assistant Engineers (IIS-17) i.e. 6 Nos Sub Engineers are working on regular basis while 7 Nos Sub Engineers are working on Acting Charge Basis against 12 posts in the share quota of Graduate Sub Engineers which already exceeds by one number.
  - 4. For DPC held on 23.06.2021, the working paper for promotion was prepared on Proforma-1, wherein the details of the posts were given. According to the working paper. 6 Nos posts were shown vacant for making promotion under 12% Graduate quota. Along with the working paper, a panel of Graduate lingineers from serial No. 1 to 15 for consideration was also annexed on Proforma-II.
  - 5. The DPC held on 23.06.2021 recorded the minutes of the proceeding and sought charification from the Establishment Department vide letter No. SO(E)/Irr/4-3/DPC/2019/Vol-1X dated 04.10.2021, which was responded by the Establishment Department vide letter No. SOR-V(E&AD)/7-1/Irrig dated 23.11.2021 as desired from the Secretary Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Irrigation Department.
  - 6. After that, the additional documents were placed during the pentlency of the appeals, where by the fresh working paper was prepared for Mr. Bakhtiar listed at Serial No. 4 of the panel list for promotion. In light of the reply from the Establishment Department, the DPC was stated to be held on 13.01.2022 and Mr. Bakhtiar was promoted to the vacant post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) vide notification No. SO(E)/IRR/4-3/DPC/2019/Vol. IX dated 28.03.2022 (copy attached)
  - 7. The others at serial No. 1 to 3, 5 to 7, 9, 12 and 14 did not clear Departmental R & A exam upto DPC held on 13.01.2022 and officers at serial no. 4, 8, 10, 11, 13 and 15 cleared departmental B & A exam. From the above the 7 Nos Graduate Sub lingineers were working on Acting Charge Basis since 2011 but as per amended rules of Irrigation Department notified on 25.06.2012 stated as "(b) twelve percent by promotion, on the basis of seniority cum litness, from amongst the Sub Engineers, having degree to Chrit Engineering or Mechanical Engineering from a recognized university and have passed Departmental Gradu B & A Examination with five years' service as such."

    Graduate Sub Engineers should be considered eligible for promotion to the post of

The state of the s

Attested ...

Sain 5

Sein D. S.C.

(44)

Assistant Engineer (BS-17) if they fulfil above requirements, and subject to considering their eligibility by the DPC and availability of vacant post as per Service Rules.

- 8. Hon'ble Court directed in para 26 of Service Tribunal Judgment, that appellants should be dealt equally in accordance with law as Engr. Dakhtiar Graduate Sub Engineer working on Acting Charge Basis promoted to the post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) on regular basis vide notification no. SO(E)/IRR/4-3/DPC/2019/Vol-IX dated 28.03.2022.
- Para 28 of the Service Tribunal Judgment 15.04.2022, which stated that "It would be considered a 'final order' within the meaning of the section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974".
- 10. The Hon'ble Court of Service Tribunal has given a Final Order in para 29 of the Judgment (copy attached at serial No. I) that "In the given circumstances, we allow these appeals and direct the respondents to consider the appellants for the promotion against the vacant posts. The DPC shall be held at the earliest possible, but not later than a month of receipt this judgment. Copies of this judgment be placed on all the connected appeal files. Consign."
- 11. As per Final Order of the Hon'ble Court of Service Tribunal quoted above stated that the fresh DPC should be held for the deprived eligible 5 Nos of officer mentioned at serial No. 8, 10, 11, 13 and 15. The deprived officers should also be dealt equally in accordance with law as per para 26 of Service Tribunal Judgement dated 15,04,2022, and these 5 appellants should also be promoted to the post of Assistant Engineers (BS-17) as dealt with Engr. Bukhtiar, who promoted to Assistant Engineer (BS-17) on regular basis vide notification no. SO(E)/IRR/4-3/DPC/2019/Vol-IX dated 28.03,2022.
- 12. In light of the above Judgment the fresh DPC was held on dated 19.07.2022 and the appellants 5 Nos officers were promoted to the post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) on regular basis but with effective from 23.06.2021, it is quite astonishing that they are regularized from the date 23.06.2021 which negates the para 26, 29 of the Final Order of Hon'ble court of Service Tribunal Judgment. It is pertinent to mention hereby that the Final Order of Hon'ble Court states that these 5 No's Graduate Sub Engineers should be regularized to the post of Assistant Engineer (BS-17) with the DPC held not later than a

Attested

be true ou

2

Ale (Sail)

En j

7

month time as dealt with Mr. Bakhtiar vide notification No. Notification No. SO(E)/IRR/4-3/DPC/VOL-IX dated Peshawar the 28<sup>111</sup> MARCII, 2022

It is therefore humbly requested in your honor that the Notification No.SO(E)/IRR-/4-3/DPC/Vol-X on dated 26th August, 2022 should be corrected according to the Judgment of the Hon'ble Court of Service Tribunal on dated 15.04.2022, and corrigendum should be issued for the Notification No. SO(E)/IRR/4-3/DPD/Vol-X, Dated Peshawar the 26th August, 2022, and the effective date should be replaced by "WITH IMMEDIATE EFFECT" instead of "23.06.2021" as dealt with Mr. Bakhtiar vide notification No. SO(E)/IRR/4-3/DPC/VOL-IX dated Peshawar the 28<sup>TH</sup> MARCH, 2022. We respect the Decision of Hon'ble Court of Service Tribunal, and its Final Order should be followed in true spirit. The 5 Nos deprived officers shall be regularized from the immediate effect after the DPC held on 19.07.2022 as per direction of Hon'ble Court of Service Tribunal Judgment on 15.04.2022, as it deprived our rights in the seniority lists of the Irrigation Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Copy for information and forwarded for your necessary action please:

- 1. Chief Engineers (South, North, Merged Areas) and Director General Small Dams
- 2. PSO to Hon'ble Chief Minister, Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. PS to Chief Secretary Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 4. PS to Minister for Irrigation, Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. PS to Secretary Establishment Department, Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 6. SOE Irrigation Department Peshawar.

Yours Sincere Officers Assistant Engineer/SDO (BPS-17) Irrigation Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa 1. 16. 9. 2. 17. 10. 3. 18. 4. 19. 12. 5. 20. 6. 21. 7. ttested be true copy U. 0121 110005:

No.	Name of Officer	Signature
	Engr. Zeeshan Ullah	208
2	Engr. Faisal Pervez	Taner 7
3	Engr. Salman Ahmad	Que.
4	Engr. Naveed Utlah	Keri
5	Engr. Amjad Ali	A MIND
6	Engr. Syed Atiq Ahmad	excip Al
7	Engr. Noor Yaseen	
8	Engr. Manzoor Elahl	(M) www.
9	Engr. Siddique Umar	24 mil
10	Engr. Farhan Alam	frh-th.
11	Engr. Babar Saani	(Pia)
12	Engr. Muhammad Suhail Khan	esu
13	Engr. Riaz Ud Din	1111
14	Engr. Sadiq Ali	Dub
15	Engr. Muhammad Mustajab Khan	(M) Frank
16	Engr. Muhammad Wajahat Ali Khan	an ribi
17	Engr. Salf Ur Rehman	Sontin
18	Engr. Shazia Batool	in Jagno
19	Engr. Hafsa Wadood	At-ber la
20	Engr. Alman Afridi	AA
21	Engr. Arif Gul	
	Attested	LP -



ATTICITED TO THE PART PARTY

No. SO(E)/Irr:/2-1/2006/Seniority/Vol-1X Dated Peshawar the 29th November, 2022



100

wi Fir

To

 The Chief Engineer (South), Irrigation Department, Peshawar. Amos G

- The Chief Engineer (North), Irrigation Department, Peshawar.
- 3. The Chief Engineer (Merged Areas), Irrigation Department, Peshawar.
- 4. The Director General (Small Dams), Irrigation Department, Peshawar.

Subject:

FINAL/UN-DISPUTED SENIORITY LIST OF ASSISTANT ENGINEERS (BS-17) (GRADUATE, B-TECH AND D.A.E) IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT AS STOOD ON 27.10.2022.

I am directed to refer to the subject noted above and to enclose herewith a copy of Final/un-disputed seniority list of Assistant Engineers/Sub Divisional Officer/Assistant Director (BS-17) (Graduate, B-Tech and D.A.E) Irrigation Department as stood on 27.10.2022 for information and record, please.

Encl: as above

(Maqsood Khan)
Section Officer (Estt:)

Endst: Even No. and Date. Copy forwarded for information to: -

PS to Secretary Irrigation Department.

2. PA to Additional Secretary, Irrigation Department.

3. PA to Deputy Secretary (Admn) Irrigation Department.

Section Officer (Estt:

T 3.7

30111 4 Attested

to be true copy

32

Amma- G



F

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT (ESTABLISHMENT SECTION)

# NOTIFICATION

circulated/ notified for general information. Sub Divisional Officers/Assistant Directors (BS-17) (Graduate) as stood on 27.10.2022, Irrigation Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is hereby Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989, the Final/Un-disputed Scnionty list of Assistant Engineers/ NO. SO(E) IRR/2-1/2006/VOL-IX. In pursuance of Section 8 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 read with Rule- 17 of Khyber

m:	Name of Officer and Education Qualification	Date of Birth with	Date of Retirement	Date of 1st Entry into Govt Service on	First Regular Appointment to the Service/Cadre	Regular Appointme	intment to	
		Domicile		Regular Basis.	Date	Sdg	Meth	Method of recruitment
	1	2	3	4	5	6		7
-	Engr. Amir Mohammad, B.E (Cwil)	26.11.1963 Lakki Marwat	27.11.2023	12.12.1990	25.03.2003	17	Proj	By Working as XEN (OPS)
1,2	Engr. Khushel Khan, B.E (Civil)	12,04.1965 South Waziristan	11.04.2025	10.01.1989	01.11.2004	17	pro	By promotion -do-
L	Engr. Masood Ahmad, B.E (Civil)	23.07.1979 Lakki Marwat	22.07.2039	18.02.2010	18.02.2010	17	ie Bir	By initial -do-
ţ.	Engr. Sohail Khan, B.E (Civil)	03.03.1982 Swabi	02.03.2042	02.02.2010	02.02.2010	17	By	uitment do-
Üı	Engr. Imuaz Khan. M.Sc (Civil)	25.09.1984 Kohat	24.09.2044	06.02.2010	06.02.2010	17	By-	By mital recruitment
D	Engr. Ali Ahmad, B.E (Civil)	03.03.1985 Chitral	02.03.2045	01.02.2010	01.02.2010	17	By-	By initial recruitment
7	Engr. Tabinda Nousheen, B.E(Civil)	05.01.1987 Charsadda	04.01.2047	09.02.2010	09.02.2010	17	recting.	By initial recruitment
ça	Engr. Taimoor Zahid, B.E (Mech)	11.02.1985 Swabi	10.02.2045	08.02.2010	08.02.2010	17	By	By initial recruitment
.6	Engr. Fazli Maula, B. Sc (Civil)	20.01.1969 Malakand	19.01.2029	19.01.1995	15.08.2011	17	D.	By:
10.	Engr. Anwar Ullah Khan, B.E (Civil)	05.01.1979 North Waziristan	04.01.2039	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17	Ey.	By initial recruitment

be true com

Dated Peshawar the 29th November, 2022

24. En		23. En	23. En	21. E.M. E.D.	20. En	19. En	18. En	17. En B.	16. B	15. En	14 En	13. En	12. En	11. Sh			S.#	
Engr Inamullah,	Engr Aftab Alam, B.F. (Civil)	Engr. Abdul Sadiq, B.F. (Civil)	Engr. Abdul Shakoor, B.E (Civil)	Engr. Rabia Abbasi, M. Sc (Environmental	Engr. Rajab Ali, B. Sc (Civill	Engr. Syed Suliman,	Engr. Qamar Shehzad Hussain, B. S. (Civil)	Engr. Sherin Khan. B. Sc (Civil)	Engr. Mazhar Hussain, B. Sc (Civil)	Engr. Hassan Khan, B. Sr (Civil)	Engr. Mustafa Ali, B. E. (Civil)	Engr. Ayisha Amir, B. Sc (Civil)/MS)	Engr Mamriz Khan, B. Sc (Civil)	Engr. Syed Ahmad Amin Shah, B. Sc (Civil)	1		Name of Officer and Education Qualification	
15.01.1982	01.01.1981 Charsadda	02.01.1969 Dir	01.08.1981 Abbottabad	01.03.1989 Manschra	11.03.1985 Swat	25.02.1988 Swat	15.04.1983 Abbottabad	10.02.1989 Mohmand	14.04.1985 Kohat	03.04.1988 Swabi	10.04.1987 Kurram	26.05.1988 Peshawar	03.03.1986 Peshawar	12.03.1988 Charsadda	2	Domicac	Date of Birth with	
14.01.1942	31.12.2040	01.01.2029	31.07.2041	28.02.2049	10.03.2045	24.02.2048	14.04.2043	09.02.2049	13.04.2045	02.04.2048	09.04.2047	25.05.2048	02.03.2046	11.03.2048	3		Date of Retirement	
19.11.2011	17.11.2006	28.03.1992	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	4	Regular Basis.	Date of 1st Entry into Govt	
26.08.2022	01.10.2020	05.05.2020	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	S	Date	First Regui	
17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	6	BPS	Regular Appointme	
By Promotion	By promotion	By promotion	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	7	Method of recruitment	rust Regular Appointment to the Service/Cadre	
Working as SDO. Inter-se-seniority restored, in light of Para-V (d) of the	Working as XEN (OPS)	Working as XEN (OPS)	Working as SDO	-do-	-đo-	-do-	-do-	Working as XEN (OPS)	ė,	-do-	Working as XEN (OPS)	Department. (Dev.), imgadon		-do-	60		Nemarks	<b>4</b>



39.	38.	37.	36	<u>a</u>	<b>3</b> 4.	<b>1</b> 20	32	31.	30.	29.	228.	27.	26.			cn #
Engr. Farhan Alam, B.S (Civil)	Engr. Siddique Umar, B.S (Civil)	Engr. Manzoor Elahi, M.Sc (Civil)	Engr. Noor Yaseen, B.Sc (Civil)	Engr. Syed Atiq Ahmad, B.Sc (Civil)	Mr. Amjad Ali, B.S (Civil)	Engr. Navced Ullah, M.S (Construction & Engineering Management)	Engr. Salman Ahmad, B.S (Civil)	Engr. Faisal Pervez, B.S (Civil)	Engr. Zeeshan Ullah, B.S (Civil)	Engr. Wajahat Hussain, BSc (Civil)	Engr. Javed Ullah Khan, BSc (Civil)	Engr. Rizwan, BSc (Civil)	Engr. Shahid Ali Khan, BSc (Civil)			Name of Officer and Education Qualification
04.04.1995 Mulakand	05.04.1997 Kohat	01.04.1992 Peshawar	01.01.1997 South Waziristan	20.08.1994 Charsadda	01.09.1995 Dir Lower	21.04.1990 Karak	04.09.1996 Swabi	01.04.1995 Charsadda	16.10.1994 Mardan	14.08.1990 Hangu	09.08.1984 Bannu	12.03.1988 D.I.Khan	14.03.1983 Dir Lower	12		Date of Birth with Domicile
03.04.2055	04.04.2057	31.03.2052	31.12.2056	19.08.2054	31.08.2055	20.04.2050	03.09.2056	31.03.2055	15.10.2054	13.08.2050	08.08.2044	11.03.2048	13.03.2043	ш		Date of Retirement
24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	27.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	19.10.2010	19.10.2010	16.09.2013	16.09.2013	4	Regular Basis.	Date of 1st Entry into Govt Service on
24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	27.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	26.08.2022	26.08.2022	26.08.2022	26.08.2022	វា	Daff	First Regul
17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	6	SdE	Regular Appointme the Service/Cadre
By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Promotion	By Promotion	By Promotion	By Promotion	7	Method of recruitment	First Regular Appointment to the Service/Cadre
-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	Working as SDO	Working as SDO. Inter-se-senionty restored, in light of Para-V (d) of the promotion policy.	Working as SDO. Inter-se-seniority restored, in light of Para-V (d) of the promotion policy.	Working as SDO. Inter-se-seniority restored, in light of Para-V (d) of the promotion policy.	Working as SDO. Inter-se-senionity restored, in light of Para-V (d) of the promotion policy.	Çe		H Remarks

to he title cop:

Scanned with CamScanner

57	50.		49.	48	47.	46.	4.	4,	43.	413	41.	4 0.			<i>U.</i>
Engr. Bakhtiar BSc (Civil)	Engr. Arif Gul, B.E (Civil)	B.S (Civil)	Engr. Aman Afridi,	Engr. Hafsa Wadood,	Engr. Shezia Batool,	Engr. Saif Ur Rehman,	Engr. Muhammad Wajahat Ali Khan,	Engr. Muhammad Mustajab Khan, B Sc (Civil)	Engr. Sadiq Ali, B.Sc (Mechanical)	Engr. Riaz Ud Din, B.E. (Civil)	Engr. Muhammad Suhail Khan, M.Sc (Civil)	Engr. Babar Saani, B.E (Civil)	1		Name of Officer and Education Qualification
07.05.1964 Swat	01.11.1992 Swat	Khyber	12.11.1997	28.12.1994 Malakand	28.10.1993 Kurram	04.03.1993 Oraktai	16.03,1995 Haripur	25.04.1998 Haripur	02.04.1996 Mardan	12.03.1995 Kurram	05.08.1992 Dir Lower	07.02.1996 Charsadda	2	ротсне	Date of Birch
06.05.2024	31.10.2032	21 10 2052	11.11.2057	27.12.2054	27.10.2053	03.03.2053	15.03.2055	24.04.2058	01.04.2056	11.03.2055	04.08.2052	06.02.2056	ធ		Date of Retirement
19.03.1992	24.09.2021		24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	27.09.2021	27.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	01.10.2021	24.09.2021	4	Regular Basis.	Date of ist Entry into Govt
28.03.2022	24.09.2021		24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	27.09.2021	27.09.2021	24.09.2021	24.09.2021	01.10.2021	24.09.2021	cn	Date	the Service/Cadre
17	17		17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	6	BPS	keguiar Appointme
Promotion	recruitment	Hy Initial	By Initial	recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recomitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	7	Method of recruitment	ntment to
Working as XEN (OPS)	it -do-	-	-do-	t -do-	-do-	-co-	-de-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	C	0	Xemarks
		1000					Att	esta			5.5				

Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Irrigation Department

to be true copy



# Endst: No. SO(E) IRR/2-1/2006/Vol-VII

Copy of the above is forwarded to: -

The Chief Engineers (South/North/Merged Areas) Irrigation Department. The Director General, Small Dams, Peshawar.
The Director General, Jabba Dam Project, Khyber.

1

7

- The Project Director, Ground Water, Peshawar.
  The Project Director, Raising of Baran Dam, Bannu. All Superintendent Engineers of Irrigation Department, Peshawar.

110.00

The Web Developer, Irrigation Department. All Executive Engineers of Irrigation Department, Peshawar.

PA to Deputy Secretary (Admn:) Irrigation Department. PA to Additional Secretary, Irrigation Department. PS to Secretary Irrigation Department, Peshawar.

Section Officer (Estt:) (Maqsood Khan)

Attested

to be true copy



# GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT




No. SO(E)/Irr:/2-1/2006/Senlority/Vol-VII Dated Peshawar the 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2021

=======	:========	========		A
То				Armac-G
1. 2. 3. 4.	The Chief Engine The Director Gen	er (North/South), Irr er (Merged Areas), 1 eral, (Small Dams/ J rectors, Irrigation De	igation Department Irrigation Department. abba Dam), Irrigation D	
Subject:	19), EXECUTIV	VE ENGINEERS	F SUPERINTENDING (BS-18), CANAL CO ), DEPUTY COLLECT 17) OF IRRIGATION	COR (BS-17) AND
Dear Sir,				
	I am directed to	refer to the subject no	ated above and to Inform	that tentative seniority
lists of Supe	erintending Engineers	(BS-19), Executive Eng	Ineers (BS-18), Canal Colle	ector (BS-18), Assistant
Engineer (B	S-17), Deputy Collect	or (BS-17) and Admini	strative Officer (BS-17) of	irrigation Department
with the re-	quest that the <b>certifi</b>	cate given below ha	s been uploaded on offici	al website of imgation
Department	t (http://irrigation.g			en til Ma
			o kindly direct all the Irri	
			bove mentioned website a	
			signed, Indicating error/ o	
purpose of			ocuments up-to 03.02.20	
	In case of receip	t of no response by the	due date, it would be cor	isidered that particulars
nave deen	found correct.	CERTI	SETE SETE	ection Office (Estt.)
Subject		TIVE SENIORITY		
		) IRRIGATION D		
Sr. No	of the tenta	ative seniority list of	one through my partic BS of the Irrigation dumns:-	on Department and
S. No.	Column No.	Present entry	To be replaced by	Remarks
	The following  1. 2.	discrepancies are	also brought in to the I	notice:-
		Name BPS Designation Dated Qualification	(must be indicated)	
	= 12		(must be moresty)	

SIGNATURE Attestor







# GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA (ESTABLISHMENT SECTION) IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT

Dated Peshawar the 20th January, 2022

TENTATIVE SENIORITY LIST OF ASSISTANT ENGINEER (BS-17) (DEGREE HOLDERS), IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT KHYBEK	
KHYBEK	

		.7 n <u>M</u> 0	0	5.	4.	3. Mi	N W	1. Mi	1		S.No. Na.	
Mr Fazli Maula.	Mr. Taimoor Zahid, B.E (Mech)	Miss Tabinda Nousheen,	Mr. Ali Ahmad,	Mr. Imtiaz Khan,	Mr. Sohail Khan,	Mr. Masood Ahmad,	Mr. Khushal Khan, B. E. (Civil)	Mr. Amir Mohammad, B. F. (Civil)			Name of officer and Education Qualification	
50.01.1909	11.02.1985 Swabi	05.01.1987 Charsadda	03.03.1985 Chitral	25.09.1984 Kohat	03.03.1982 Swabi	23.07.1979 Lakki Marwat	12.04.1965 S. Waziristan	26,11,1963 Lakki Marwat	ю	рошісне	Date of birth with	
19.01.1995	08.02.2010	09.02.2010	01.02.2010	06.02.2010	02.02.2010	18.02.2010	10.01.1989	12.12.1990	3	on regular basis.	Date of entry into	
15.08.2011	08.02.2010	09.02.2010	01.02.2010	06.02.2010	02.02.2010	18.02.2010	01.11.2004	25.03.2003	4	Date	First Regular Appointment to the Service/Cadre	
17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	cn	BPS	Appoir	
By promotion	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	recruitment	By promotion	By Promotion	6	Method of recruitment	tment to the	
-do-	Working as XEN (OPS)	Working as S.O (Operation), irrigation Department	-do-	-do-	do	-do-	-do-	Working as XEN (OPS)	,		Remarks	





			III							. 2								
S.No.			10.	11.	12.	13.		14.	15.	16.	17.	100	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	24.
Name of officer and Education Qualification		j-i	Mr. Anwar Ullah Khan, B. E. (Civil)	Syed Ahmad Amin Shah, B. Sc (Civil)	Mr. Mamriz Khan,	B. Sc (Crv1) Miss. Ayisha Amir,	B. Sc (Civil)/MS)	Mr. Mustafa Ali, B.E (Civil)	Mr. Hassan Khan, B. Sc (Civil)	Mr. Mazhar Hussain, B. Sc (Civil)	Mr. Sherin Khan, B. Sc (Civil)	Mr. Qamar Shehzad Hussain, B. Sc (Civil)	Syed Suliman, B.E (Civil)	Mr. Rajab Ali, B. Sc (Civil)	Miss. Rabia Abbasi, M. Sc (Environmental Engineering)	Mr. Abdul Shakoor, B.E (Civil)	Mr. Abdul Sadiq, B.E (Civil)	Mr. Aftab Alam, B.E (Civil)
Date of birth with	Рошисис	2	05.01.1979 N. Waziristan	12.03.1988 Charsadda	03.03.1986	26.05.1988	Peshawar	Kurram	03.04.1988 Swabi	14.04.1985 Kohat	10.02.1989 Mohmand	15,04.1983 Abbottabad	25.02.1988 Swat	11.03.1985 Swat	01.03.1989 Manschra	01.08.1981 Abbottabad	02.01.1969 Dir	Charsadda
Date of entry into	on regular	ω	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012		17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	28.03.1992	17.11.2006
First Regular A	Date	4	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17 05 2012		17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	17.05.2012	05.05.2020	01.10.2020
Appou	BPS	CR	17	17	17	17		17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
First Regular Appointment to the Service/Cadre	Method of recruitment	6	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial	De initial	recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recivitment	By initial recruitment	By initial recruitment	By promotion	By promotion
V.C. man and		7	-do-	-do-	-do-	Section Officer (Dev		Working as XEN (OPS)	-do	Working as SDO	Working as XEN (OPS)	-do-	·do	-do-	-do-	Working as SDO	-do-	Working as XEN (OPS)



S.No.			25.	26.	27.	28.	29.	30.	31.	32.	33.	34.	35.	36.	37.	38.
Qualification		H	Mr. Zeeshan Ullah, B.S (Civil)	Mr. Faisal Pervez, B.S (Civil)	Mr. Salman Ahmad, B.S (Cjvil)	Mr. Naveed Ulah, M.S (Construction & Engineering Management)	Mr. Amjad Ali, B.S (Civil)	Syed Atiq Ahmad, B.Sc (Civil)	Mr. Noor Yaseen, B.Sc (Civil)	Mr. Manzoor Elahi, M.Sc (Civil)	Mr. Siddique Umar, B.S (Civil)	Mr. Farhan Alam, B.S (Civil)	Mr. Babar Saani, B.E (Civil)	Muhammad Suhail Khan, B.E (Civil)	Mr. Riaz Ud Din, B.E (Civil)	Mr. Sadiq Ali, B Sc (Mechanical)
with		ы	16.10.1994 Mardan	01.04.1995 Charsadda	04.09.1996 Swabi	21.04.1990 Karak	01.09.1995 Dir Lower	20.08.1994 Charsadda	01.01.1997 S. Waziristan	01.04.1992 Peshawar	05.04.1997 Kohat	04.04.1995 Malakand	07.02.1996 Charsadda	05.08.1992 Dir Lower	12.03.1995 Kurram	02.04.1996 Mardan
entry into	on regular	ω	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021	13.10.2021
Service/Cadre	Date	4	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020	13.10.2020
e noddw	BPS	CTI	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
Service/Cadre	Method of recruitment	6	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment	By Initial recruitment
NC ELECTION		7	Working as SDO	ďo	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	ďo	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-

32 be true conv



S.No.	Name of officer and Education Qualification	Date of birth with	Date of entry into	First Regular / Service/Cadre	Appoi	First Regular Appointment to the Service/Cadre	Remarks
			on regular	Date	BPS	Method of recruitment	
	H	N	ယ	4	CT	6	
39.	M. Mustajab Khan, B.Sc (Civil)	25.04.1998 Haripur	13.10.2021	13.10.2020	17	By Initial recruitment	-do
40.	M. Wajahat Ali Khan, B.S (Civil)	16.03.1995 Haripur	13.10.2021	13.10.2020	17	By Initial recruitment	-do-
41	Mr. Saif Ur Rehman, B.Sc (Mechanical)	04.03.1993 Orakzai	13.10.2021	13.10.2020	17	By Initial recruitment	-do-
42	Miss. Shazia Batool, B.E (Civil)	28.10.1993 Kurram	13.10.2021	13.10.2020	17	By Initial recruitment	-do-
43	Miss. Hafsa Wadood, B.Sc (Civil)	28.12.1994 Malakand	13.10.2021	13.10.2020	17	By Initial recruitment	-do-
44	Miss. Aiman Afridi, B.S (Civil)	12.11.1997 Khyber	13.10.2021	13.10.2020	17	By Initial recruitment	-do-
in tr	Mr. Arif Gul, B.E (Civil)	01.11.1992 Swat	13.10.2021	13.10.2020	17	By Initial recruitment	-do-



32 Chi