FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of

/2023 Case No.-S.No. Date of order Order or other proceedings with signature of judge proceedings 1 3 The instant appeal presented today by Dr. Adnan 1-5/1/2023 Khan Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary hearing before touring Single Berich at Swat on _____. Parcha Peshi is given'to appellant/counsel. By the order of Chairman REGISTRAR

i persona j

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Service Appeal No. of 2023

Fazal Moula

.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and another

.....Respondents

| S. No. | Description | Annexure | Pages No. |
|--------|--|----------|-----------|
| 1. | Memo of Service Appeal with Certificate | * | 1-6 |
| 2. | Affidavit | | 7 |
| 3. | Addresses of parties | | 8 |
| 4. | Copy of Appointment Order | A | 9-11 |
| 5. | Copy of relevant text of Service Rules notified in February 2013 | В | 11-14 |
| 6. | Copy of amended Rules notified in December 2013 | С | 15-16 |
| 7. | Copy of amendments notified in July 2020 | D | 17-18 |
| 8. | Copy of amended Rules notified in March 2021 | E | 19.22 |
| 9. | Copy of representation | F | 23 |
| 10. | Copy of amended Levies Rules notified on 21-10-2021 | G | 24.25 |
| 11. | Copy of memo of second representation | Н | 26 |
| 12. | Copy of order dated 29-11-2022 | 1 | 27-50 |
| 13. | Wakalatnama | | TT |

INDEX

Appeliant Fund mela

Fazal Moula Identified by counsels

Dr. Adnan Khan Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.



Umar Sadiq Advocate High Court

Office: Adnan Law Associates, Opposite Shuhada Park College Colony, Saidu Sharif, Swat. Cell No. 0346-9415233

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Service Appeal No.

Fazal Moula S/o Akbar Khan R/o Nasar Khel Saidu Sharif, District Swat [Sepoy No.538827].

.....Appellant

<u>VERSUS</u>

- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary,
 Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 2) Government of Khyber through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 3) Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District Swat.

.....Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974.

PRAYER:

On acceptance of this Appeal, Notifications No. SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22-03-2021 & No. SO (Police-II)HD/1-3/Federal Levies 2021 dated 21-10-2021 issued by respondent No.2 may be declared as illegal and the same be set aside. Consequently, the appellant may be held entitled to complete his service till attaining 60 years age in light of Notification dated 14-07-2020.

Alternatively, the impugned notifications may be declared to be ineffective upon the rights of the appellant and he may be allowed to complete his service till attaining 60 years of age.

Respectfully Sheweth:

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$

- That the appellant has been serving as regular member of Levies Force having a considerable length of service at his credit (Copy of Appointment Order is Annexure "A").
- That services of the appellant were initially regulated under the Federal Levies Force Rules, 1962, which were nonstatutory.
- That subsequently, the Governor Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, under the omitted Article 247(4) of the Constitution promulgated the PATA Levies Force Regulations, 2012.
 Consequently, the Provincial Government framed Service Rules for the Levies Force in 2013 (Copy of relevant text of Service Rules notified in February 2013 is Annexure "B").
- 4) That Schedule-III of the above mentioned Rules, which provided for length of service towards retirement had certain anomalies. Hence, the said Rules were amended in December 2013 and the above stated anomalies were removed to a larger extent (Copy of amended Rules notified in December 2013 are Annexure "C").
- 5) That the relevant Rules were further amended in July 2020. Regarding the length of service towards retirement, Rule 17 was amended to the effect that all Levies personnel shall retire from service on attaining the age of superannuation i.e Sixty Years. Furthermore, Schedule-III which provided for certain length of service, was deleted by virtue of the amendment (Copy of amendments notified in July 2020 are Annexure "D").
- 6) That the rules were further amended on 23-03-2021. Rule 17, which provided for retirement on reaching superannuation was again omitted and Schedule-III was revived with certain amendments. Consequently, the junior

2

rank officials like the present appellant would retire after performing certain years of service or on reaching certain age. For instance Hawaldar would retire on reaching 31 years of service or 51 years of age, Naik 29 years of service or 48 years of age, L/Naik 27 year of service or 45 years of age and Sepoy 25 years of service or 42 years of age, whichever is earlier (Copy of amended Rules notified in March 2021 are Annexure "E").

That the above mentioned Rules being extremely detrimental to him, the appellant filed representation before the concerned quarters for redressal of his grievances. The same has not been responded to as yet (Copy of representation is Annexure "F").

7)

- 8) That some of the personnel of the Levies Force got retired from service on reaching certain age/completion of certain length of service as prescribed by the above mentioned Rules.
- 9) That the appellant along with other similarly placed persons invoked the Constitutional Jurisdiction of the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court by way of filing various constitutional Petitions, challenging vires of the above mentioned rules.
- 10) That during the pendency of the said petitions, the Provincial Government made further amendments in the Federal Levies Rules on 21-10-2021, whereby retirement age in respect of lower ranked members of the Force was enhanced by a few years (Copy of amended Levies Rules notified on 21-10-2021 are Annexure "G").
- 11) That the appellant filed another representation against the above mentioned further amendments in the relevant rules, which was never responded to. (Copy of memo of second representation is Annexure "H").

12)

That against the above mentioned Rules framed by the Provincial Government, the appellant alongwith other similarly placed persons filed various other constitutional petitions (W.P No.469-M/2021, W.P No.470-M/2021, W.P No.337-M/2021, W.P No.338-M/2021, W.P No.333-M/2021, W.P No.1252-M/2021 and W.P No.335-M/2021) before the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court. However, because of jurisdictional issues, the matter was referred to a larger Bench.

13) That in light of the above mentioned Act, other employees of the levies force filed a constitutional petition (WP No. 1281-M/2022) before the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court Mingora Bench to the extent of their re-instatement in light of the Provincial Assembly's Act. The petition was allowed by the Hon'ble High Court vide judgment dated 23-11-2022 whereby the respondents were directed to re-instate the then petitioners. It is worth mentioning that vires of the impugned rules were not challenged in the said petition, which are being impugned through the instant appeal.

- 14) That a larger Bench of the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court heard the connected petitions on 29-11-2022. Consequently, the Hon'ble High Court decided that personnel of the levies force are civil servants and their employment matters would be dealt with by this Hon'ble Tribunal (Copy of order dated 29-11-2022 is Annexure "I").
- 15) That being aggrieved with the impugned notifications, the instant appeal is being filed before this Hon'ble Tribunal, *inter alia*, on the following grounds:

GROUNDS:

A) That the impugned act of amending the relevant Service Rules to the detriment of the appellant and subsequent retirement is illegal and un-Constitutional. Hence, the same acts are liable to be declared as such. 5

That it is a settled law that service rules cannot be amended to the detriment of public sector employees. Even, if they are so amended, the same having prospective effect cannot be applied against the existing employees

That after the 25th Constitutional amendment, the new Constitutional regime demanded streamlining of the erstwhile Tribal Areas with the rest of the Province. So much so, Levies personnel serving in erstwhile FATA and Khasadar Force were accommodated to a larger extent where the Provincial Assembly passed an Act aimed at streamlining the service structure of Ex. FATA Levies Force. The Act not only provides uniform retirement age i.e 60 years for the whole Force but rather enjoins upon the Provincial Government to take steps towards absorption of the said Levies and Khasadars in regular police. Regrettably, the appellant have been treated in violation of the Constitutional spirit particularly after 25th the Constitutional amendment and subsequent orders.

That further grounds, with leave of this Hon'ble Tribunal, would be raised at the time of oral submissions.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this appeal,

(i) The impugned Notifications No. SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 & No. SO (Police-II)HD/1-3/Federal Levies 2021 dated 21-10-2021 issued by respondent No. 2 be declared as illegal, the same may be set aside. Consequently, the appellant may be held entitled to complete his service till attaining 60 years of age in light with Notification dated 14-07-2020.

Alternatively, the impugned notifications may be declared to be ineffective upon the rights of the

D)

B)

C)

appellant and the appellant be allowed, to complete his service till attaining 60 years of age.

 ii) Any other remedy though may not specifically prayed for, but which circumstances of the case would demand in the interests of justice, may also be granted.

Flored male Appellant

Fazal Moula

Dr. Adnan Khan Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Umar Sadiq Advocate High Court

CERTIFICATE:

Certified that no such like appeal has earlier been filed before this Hon'ble Tribunal on the subject matter.

Appellan Flizd mata

Fazal Moula

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Service Appeal No._____ of 2023

Fazal MoulaAppellant

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and another

.....Respondents

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Fazal Moula (Appellant), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the above titled Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Furthermore, no such like appeal has earlier been filed before this Hon'able Tribunal or elsewhere on this subject matter

DEPONENT

Fund mela

Fazal Moula



BEFORE THE KHYBER PARHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Service Appeal No._____ of 2022

Fazal Moula ...

.....

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and another

VERSUS

.....Respondents

ADDRESSES OF THE PARTIES

APPELLANT:

Fazal Moula S/o Akbar Khan R/o Nasar Khel Saidu, Sharif, District Swat [Sepoy No.538827].

(NIC#15602-0459952-3)

3) (Cell#

)

.....Appellant

RESPONDENTS:

- 1) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 2) Government of Khyber through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 3) Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District Swat.

.....Respondents

Appellant Fissmele

Fazal Moula Identified by counsels

Dr. Adnan Khan Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Umar Sadiq Advocate High Court

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT COORDINATION OFFICER SWAT/COMMANDANT

SWAT LEVIES.

No. 15263 /DCO

Eated the dS/6/2010.

ORDER.

As per recommendations of the Departmental Selection Committee, the District Coordination Officer/Commandant Swat Levies has been pleased to notify the appointment of the following candidates as Sepoy BPS-05 as Technical Staff plus usual allowances against the vacant post with immediate effect:-

| .No ⁻ | Name | Father Name | Address |
|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| ook | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 1 | Amjad Ali | Usman [:] Ali | Watkay Shahdara, Mingora, Swat |
| 2 | Sami Ullah | Mian Gul Bosten | Near Girls College Vill & P.O Saidu Sharif |
| lumb | er · | | |
| .3 | Islam Ullah | Rustam Zaman | Afsur Abad, Saidu Sharif, Swat. |
| 4 | Riaz Ahmad | Hameed Gul | New Chail P.O Madiyan, Teh. Bahrain District Swat. |
| Carper | nter . | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 5 | Barkat Ali Khan | Buzarg Jameer Khan | Moh. Dag Bazar, Bahrain . |
| 6 | Nian Umar Khan | Juma Khan | Skha Chona Amankot |
| 7 | Muhammad Rasool Khan | Muhammad Aziz | Guikada No. 1 Saidu Sharif, Swat. |
| Electr | lcian | | |
| δ. | Farman Ali | Said Fagir | Nasar Khel Saidu Sharif District Swat. |
| 9 | Sana Ullah | Janullah | Mob. Afsar Abad P.O Saidu Sharif District Swat |
| 10 | İbrahim Khan | Muhammad Zarin Khan | Minzora Swat |
| 11 | Akbar Shoh | Zahir Shah | Moh Musa Khel Naway Kilay Mingora, District Swat |
| Tailoi | _! r | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 12 | Sajjad Ahmed | Farid Gul | Moh Zorr Cham Saidu Sharif District Swa |
| 13 | Sher Alam | Haidar Zaman | Moh. Nasar Khel Saidu Sharil District Swe |
| - 14 | Rahmat Ali | Ali Rahman | Moh. Molvi Abdul Manan Rahim Abad Mingora District Swat |
| Swee | per i | | |
| 15 | Shah Wali | Shah Zameen Khan | Moh. Usoman Khel Amankot District Swa |
| 16 | Muhammad Rahim | Fazal Karim | Bashigrain P.O Madiyan Tch Bahrain |
| 17 | Zia Ullah Jan | Atta Ullah Jap | Mch. Zorr Cham Saidu Sharif, Swat |
| 18 | lmran Ullah | Aziz ur Rahman | Mch. Marghazar Town, Mingora Swat |
| Cobl | ler | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 19 | | Rahim Gul | Geli Gram P.O Saidu Sharif District Swal |
| Dho | bi | | |
| - 20 | Abdul Bari | Abdul Khaliy | Aluar Abad, Saidu Sharif, Distt. Swat |

| Garden | ier - | | |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| 21 | Muhammad Nacem | Muhammad Iqbal | Moh. Khona Cham P.O Saidu Sharif District Swat. |
| Mason | 1 | · · · · · · | |
| 22 | Shafi ur Rahman | Shamsul Amin | Baram Akbar Abad, Mingora Swat |
| 23 | Adnan | Pervesh Khan | Moh. Usman Khel Amankot, Swat |
| 24 | Umar Zada | Arsala Khan | Mot. Shahid Abad P.O Saidu Sharif Swat |
| Dhobi | · · · | <u> </u> | |
| 25 | Abdullah | Gul | Muhalla, Matikhel, Kot |
| 26 | Asad Khan | Sarfaraz Khan | Moh. Mat Khel Amankot, Swat |
| Painte | 21 | | ······································ |
| 27 | Haidar Ali | Ziarat Gul | Sohrab Khan Mingora Swat |
| 28. | Fazal Maula | Akbar Khon | Moh. Nasar Khel Vill & P.O Saidu Sharif Swat |
| Barbe | r ' | | |
| 29 | Afzal Khan | Muhammad Rasool Khan | Mch. Bostan Khel Ingaro Dherai, Swat |
| 30 | Ajmal | Shor Bahadar | Mch. Dawlat Khel Amankol, Swat |

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- Their recruitment will be subject to the verification of the antecedents of the applicant from the concerned agencies.
 - The appointees will be allowed to resign the service on one month prior notice and in case of resignation without notice, two months Pay/allowances if any shall be forfeited in favour of Government.
 - . Their services can be terminated at any time in case their performance is found yn-satisfactory.
- The appointees should join duties within one week of the issue of this order.

DISTRICT COORDINATION OFFICER/ COMMANDANT SWAT LEVIES SWAT

DISTRICT COORDINATION OFFICER/ COMMANDANT SWAT LEVIES SWAT

No: 15264-6 Copy, forwarded to:-

District Accounts Officer, Swat.
 Officials concerned.

KHYBER PAKHTUNK H Published by Authority

PESHAWAR, FRIDAY, 15TH FEBRUARY, 2013

GOVERNMENT OF KINBER PAKHTUNKIWA HOME & TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

> NOTIFICATION bering the 4th February 2018

SERVICE RULES FOR FEDERAL LEVIES FORCE RI PATA

in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 9 of the PATA Levies Force Regulation Provincial Government of Knyber Pakintunkiwa is pleased to make the following rules, namelys

Short title and commancement- (1) These rules may be called standing standard And PAULING AND Service (Amendos) Rules 20135

They shall come into force at once,

(=)

(c)

ľđĺ

(e)

65

25/61 Destablicans.-(1) In these Raise, unless the context divervise require, the following a (2) shall have the meaning hereby respectively assigned to them, namely-

"Appointing Authority" means the appointing authority specified in rule 4

"Commandant" means Commandant of the Force, who shall be the Drive Commissioner in their respective jurgediction;

"Deputy Commandant (Operation)" means an Assistant Commissioner. officer of the District designated as such by the provincial government who shall Depity Commandent (Operation) of the Force in PATA, to exercise in his resultant jurisdiction such powers and perform such functions as may be prescribed, a who shall be responsible to the Commandant for operational matters of the Fo In PATA.

"Deputy Commandant (Administration)" means beputy Commandant (Administration) of the Force, who shall be an officer of the provincial groups or any officer of the District designated as such by the provincial govern eterroise in his respective jurisdiction such powers and perform such func-may be proscribed and who shall be responsible to the Commany administratios and establishment matters of the Force in Pr

"Government" means the Government of Kinyber Pakitionitives;

"Home Department", means Provincial Home & Tribel Affeirs Department;

"initial retruitment" means appointment made other then by promot transfer:

أثتر عد "Schedule" means the Schedule append dtofi

550 NIMBER PARINTUMINIWA GOVERNMENT GAZETTE, EXTRADRONVARY, 1500 FEBRUARY, 20172/2008 SCHEDULE -11 Soor Rudo 17

80 years age dat . thickey + Rank 1 63 100 ornica of par or ST T dever. Anion(85-10) 1 1 US 10 10 (61-13) searil, R (89-11) 29 ٥ť 20

143-510



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME & TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION Peshawar the 4th February 2013

SERVICE RULES FOR FEDERAL LEVIES FORCE IN PATA

In exercise of the power conferred by Section 9 of the PATA Levies Force Regulation 2012, the Provincially Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is pleased to make the following rules, namely:-

1. Short title and commencement:- (1) These rules may be called Provincially Administrated Tribal Areas (PATA) Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules, 2013.

(2) They shall come into force at once.

(a)

(Ь)

(c)

(đ)

(c)

(f)

(ġ)

(h)

2. Definition:- (1) In these Rules, unless the context otherwise require, the following expression shall have the meaning hereby respectively assigned to them, namely:-

"Appointing Authority" means the appointing authority specified in rule-4; "Commandant" means Commandant of the Force, who shall be the Deputy Commissioner in their respective jurisdiction;

"Deputy Commandant (Operation)" means as Assistant Commissioner or any officer of the District designated as such by the provincial government who shall be Deputy Commandant (Operation) of the Force in PATA, to exercise in his respective jurisdiction such power and perform such functions as may be prescribed; and who shall be responsible to the Commandant for operational matters of the Force in PATA.

"Deputy Commandant (Administration)" means Deputy Commandant (Administration) of the Force, who shall be an officer of the provincial government or any officer of the District designated as such by the provincial government to exercise in his respective jurisdiction such powers and perform such functions as may be prescribed and who shall be responsible to the Commandant in administration and establishment matters of the Force in PATA.

"Government" means the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa;

"Home Department" means Provincial Home & Tribunal Affairs Department;

"Initial recruitment" means appointment made other than by promotion or by transfer;

"Schedule" means the Schedule appended to these rules'

SCHEDULE-III

ĩ

(14)

CTC

| | | See Rule 17 |
|-----|-----------------------|---|
| 3.# | Post/Rank | Length of service/Age |
| 1 | Subedar Major (BS-16) | 38 years service or 03 years service as Subedar Major or 60 years age whichever is earlier |
| 2 | Subedar (BS-13) | 35 years service or 03 years service as Subedar or 57 years age whichever is earlier |
| 3 | Naib Subedar (BS-11) | 32 years service or 03 years service as Niab Subedar or 54 years age whichever is carlier. |
| 4 | Havaldar (BS-8) | 29 years service or 03 years service as Havaidar or 51 years age whichever is earlier |
| 5 | Naik (BS-7) | 26 years service or 03 years service as Naik or 48 years age whichever is cartier |
| | 5 L/Naik (BS-6) | 23 years service or 03 years service as L/Naik or 45 years age whichever is carlier |
| • | 7 Sepoy (BS-5 | 20 years service or 42 years age whichever is earlier |
| | <u></u> | |

. 2015

The competent suthority has been pleased to luriher amendments IR Schadule-Lof Rile-4(2) and Schedule-III of Rulesc27 under Par Jun Regulation for PATA Levies Force, 2012 & Rule 24 of the Provincially Administere Licas (RATA) Federal Levies Force Service (Amendeol Rules, 2019 as under-

Aula-4(2) Schedulari

| Uniformed Force | FileIbility for Pipinotion Direct : Dualificat |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Post/Rank | oromotion Olivia Coora |
| SubetarMalon | Sibadri |
| | A Nib Subedat |
| Naib Subedar | One getr service at |
| (85-12) Harvaldar | d Oos yearservice as Store |
| (BS-8) 1 Nolk | One year service as 100% |
| (BS-7 tonce Nalk | Five years service 100% |
| (85-6) Sepoy | Matric |
| (BS-S) Haad Armorer | - Five years' service 100% . Mutric us Assistant |
| (BPS-5) | Armorer 100% Mater |
| Assistant Armorer (8P\$-1) | of An |

7 (Retirement) (1) All uniform levy personnel shall retire as per Sch uct for retirement after completies of 25 years of regular se vice and no word retirement thall be granted

÷.

- ECHEDULZ-III

CTC

| | 1 Ruls-17 Bledremaht | in a stranger to |
|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Porti Rank | Angth of service / age f | ars of age whichever is earlie |
| Subedar Majoriasada | 37 years, service or 60V | ers of age whichever serile |
| | | |
| New States | 31 YEARS SANICE UPOU | tais vi unitabever serlie |
| Hawaldar(88-8 | 1. 20 VERTS SELVICE OF OU | SII163 19Ver date the second |
| inne Natkins-6 | . ballvears service of bo | vears of age which aver early |
| | 28 years service on co | |

Government of Kyber Pakhtunkhwa, Home & Tribel Affairs Department Dated Peshawar the 12th December, 2013

NOTIFICATION

O. SO(Lavies)HD/FLW/1-1/2013/Vol.1: The Competent authority has been pleased to order ather amendments in Schedule-1 of Rule-4(2) and Schedule-III of Rules-17 under Para of the regulation for PATA Levies Force, 2012 & Rule-24 of the Provincially Administered Area (equision for PATA Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules, 2013 as under.tula-4(2) Schedule --i

SCHEDULE-See Rule 4(2)

| niform S.No | ed Force Post/Rank | Eligibility for promotion | Promo tion | Direct Quota | Qualification |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| | | | Quota | | |
| 1. | Subedar Majof (BS- | One year service as Subedar | 100% | | |
| 2. | 16) Subedar (BS-13) | One year service as Nalb Subedar | 100% | | |
| 13. | Nalb Subedar (BS-11) | One year service as Hawaldar | 100% | \ | |
| 4. | Hawaldar (BS-8) | One year service as Naik | 100% | <u>_</u> | 1 |
| 6 | | One year service as Lance Naik | 100% | | · |
| 6. | Lance Naik (BS-6) | One year service as Sepoy | 100% | | Middle Pass/Matric |
| 1 | | | | 100% | Middle Passentiatio |
| 17. | Sepoy (BS-5) | | 100% | | Middle Pass/Matric |
| 8. | Head Armorer (BS-5 | as Assistant | | | Atotic |
| - | | Armorer | | 100 | % Middle Pass/Matric |
| 9 | Assistant Armorer | | | | er Schedule-III or opt for |

Rule-17 (Retirement); (1) All uniform levy personnel shall retire as per So retirement after completion of 25 years of regular service and no extension beyond retirement

shall be granted.

7

Seco

HEDULE -III

| | | Rule -17 (Retirement) |
|------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Dewt Dank | Length of service/age for retirement 37 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier |
| S.NO | Post/Rank, Subedar Major (BS-18) | 37 years' of service of 60 years of age whichever is earlier 35 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier |
| | Subedar (BS-13) | 35 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier 33 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier |
| 2. | Naib Subedar (BS-11) | 33 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier 31 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier |
| 3. | Nalb Subedar (BS-1.7 | 31 years' of service or 60 years of age white our is earlier |
| 4. | Hewalder (BS-8) | |
| 5. | Nalk (BS-7) | 29 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier 28 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier |
| 6. | Lance Naik (BS-6) | 28 years' of service or 60 years of age whichever is earlier |

Anux D (17)

And 14-07-2-20

Parelie 17 the following fail be substituted methody: "17 Retirement All Lavies Persival shall collies from spritch on

attaining then age of seperantipation i.e. sixty (60) years or they may out for retirement atter completion of twenty-five (15) years regular services.

(b) Schende Lit shall be detect.

ANTIOL KINTER PASHTIMENTA

Copy forwarded to the

e maiel stal

1. Principal Sectors to Chief Mishar's Neuranit Kirter Pathninkling, Pesitiwe

All Advertision of the Colored Production

of standard fundamental Classon Pelitanakirit

All Constant Constanting and King Public All Statistics

Beindentel Starting (Semininger, Khyper Politicality

The state of the State of Street, The State Public and States

the barrent of Annulue I Description in Kinder Patternation

TO, POO to Chief Humany, Kingler Patron time. Pe

11. Anonument General of Kingless Petrositions.

12. Dissout Information Kleiber Pathanation, Press Press

1. The Manager Conversion Conversion of the second statement of the Conversion of th

in second a position are shall and in find the date to the light find

Patchickdown and supply 50 Capitot (Existed) of #

COP 2

BETTER COPY

HOME DEPARTMENT

Dated Peshawar the 14-07-2020

Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation 2012, the Government No. SO Tribal of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is pleased to direct that in the Provincially Administered following further amendments shall be made, (Police-IDHD/1-3: In Areas (PATA) Foderal exercise Levies Farce Service of the power namely: conferred by (Amended) Rules, Section 9 2013, of the he

Amendments

In the said rules:-

a) Fro rule 17, the following shall be substituted, namely:

"17. Retirementattaining then age of superainvation and for retirement after completion of twenty-five (25) years regular service - All Levies Personal shall retire i.e sixty (60) years or they may opt from service g

b) Schedule-III shall be deleted.

SECRETARY TO GOVT: OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME DEPARTMENT

Copy forwarded to

XXXXXXXXX

GOMENSMENT OF WITHER PADITUM

NOTIC ATTAC

Alter and an and the PATA inside Fate Regulation, 2012, the Provintial Revised by Bailton & of the PATA inside Fate Regulation, 2012, the PATA Poderal Revised by Bailton & of the PATA inside Fate Revised to them. But is the PATA Poderal Revised by Bailton & of the PATA inside and the Same and the the PATA Poderal Revised by Bailton & Arrowsheet River, 2012, the observe Revised entropy and revised Ports Comparison (Arrowsheet) River, 2012, the observe Revised entropy and revised Ports Same Revised (River, 2012, the observe Revised entropy and the revised Ports Same Revised (River, 2012, the observe Revised entropy and the revised Ports Same Revised (River, 2012, the observe Revised entropy and the same R

In the add Claster 1. Andread 4, patrona (1). The Samehold shall be attached specific to be in the second state of the initial of the second state of the second stat

personal ant the same Description

2. Ver Part 17, ben feltereten statt bie stelle sebre mit per Bebendelle ill briden

| • | 1. Tel Schulde ³⁶ | Sandrada TT | Franklin Land | Age | • |
|-----|------------------------------|---------------|--|--|------------------------|
| 14 | Fine of the Field / Field. | 64 5 0 | | | 1 |
| | 1 State May Do we | | Andres Andres Yunni Re Construction A Construction | A CONTRACTOR | |
| | | | An Cost Passed in 1 State | | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | | - | | | |
| | | | Bartunder Bestell | Title Time | |
| | | 41 | Alexandre Care Parales | Sarater er Stille Salariter er Stille | |
| | | | and the second second | | |
| | | | annen lienskint. | | |
| · 1 | | 100126168 | HIL OF | 38.0 | - مربعی او ا |

20

| | Currilling an Printerstein | Lange of Service I |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Dere Campanet annan |
| Final States | | Corysteret syn. |
| | + | Tranto Person State |
| | | |
| Line (SE (SI) | | |
| | | |
| Barry Baller | | |

SECRETARY TO DEPENDENT OF SUPERIOR PARTY CONTENTS

anter fur feiner Balanter in fin Genetent, Diefen Palater frankter

There is a second of the secon

A COMPANY OF A COM

a piero ta dia statuta di statu

The Second Statement of the Second Statement of Second Statement of the Second Se

- the in the second second second and the second se

なが異

System Officer (Pol

Better COU

ubstituted notification of even No & date. GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME AND TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION Peshawar, dated the 22-3-2021

powers of the in exercise conferred by Section-9 of the PATA Levies Force Regulation, 2012, the Provincial Government of Knyber Pakhtunkhwa is pleased to direct that in the PATA Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules, 2013, the following turther amendments shall

be made, namely:-

Amendments

In Rule 4, sub-rule (\$), the following shall be substituted, namely: in the said rules:-(I)- Commandant shall be the appointing authority for initial

recruitment and promotion up to the rank of Subedar: Provided that the appointing authority for purpose of promotion to the posts of Subedar Major and Superintendents shall be

Secretary, Home Department.".

For Rule 17, the following shall be substituted namely: 417. Retirement: All Levy personnel shall retire as per Schedule-III and no extension in service after relirement shall be granted".

| | | (see rule 17) | Length of Service / |
|----|--|---|--|
| | Name of the Post / Rank Subedar Major (85-16) | Qualification for Promotion Qualification for Promotion On the basis of Seniority- cum-fliness from amongst the Subegars having | Thirty Seven Years or Three Years' Service as Subedar Major or Sixly Years of age whichever |
| | Subedar (BS-13) | By promotion, on the basis of Sentority Cum Fitness In manner | is earlier Thirty Five Years service of Five Yeras service as Subedar or service as Subedar or |
| | | the following namety: (i) Filly Percent (50% from amongst th Naïb Subeda having intermedia qualification; and |) whichever is earlier is te |
| | | (8) Filty Percent (50) from amongst N Subedars hay Secondary Sch | ool . Yasta |
| 3. | Naib Subedar (BS-11) | By promotion, on the bi of Seniority Cum Fitnes the following man namely: | and Service of Seven Years |

For Schedule-III, the following shall be substituted, namely:

-Schedule-III

| | | (ii) Filty Percent (50%) from amongst Hawaldars. | |
|--------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 8. No. | Nartie of the Post / Rank | Qualification for Promotion | Langth of Service / |
| 4 | Hawaidar (85-08) | | Thirty One years service or Three years service as Hawaidar or Filly One years of age, whichever is certier. |
| 5 | Naik (65-07). | | Twenty Nine years service or Three years service as Naik of Forty Eight years of age, whichever is earlier, |
| 6 | Unak (85-06) | | Twonly Seven years , service or Three years service as L/Nalk or Forty Five years of age whichever is earlier. |
| 7 | Sapoy (BS-05) | | Twenty Five years service or Forty Two years of age, which we is certior." |

SECRÉTAR KHYBER PAJ /ERNMENT OI FFAIRS DE OME & TRIE

1)

Copy forwarded to the

- Principal Secretary to the Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
- Principal Secretary to the Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
- All Administrative Secretaries to Bovernment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa 2.
- Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. All Commissioners, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. З.
- б.
- All Deputy Commissioners, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 6.
- Provincial Police Officers, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 7.
 - All Heads of Attached Department in Khyber Pakhlunkhwa
- B PSO to the Chief Secretary, Knyber Pakhtunkhwa. 9.
- 10. Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. Direction Information, Khyber Pakhnunkhwa.

The Manger Government Printing & Stationery Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. He is requested to publish the above Notification in the Extra Ordinary Gazette of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and supply 50 copies (Printed) of the same to the Home Department

Section Office

1

جناب عالى

گزارش بے کہ سال سوات لیو یزیمن جیٹیت سیادی علیم سوات بین مطلف پویپ وگا دوایتی دیویلیاں جراحیا ہوئے ہیں۔ یہ کہ تکمدا بذ شرائل ایفرز ڈیپار شبنٹ بشاور کو ٹیفیکیٹن مور دو، 22/03/2021 سے شیڈول 32 سے مطابق ۱ کم ایسے سیابان میں دیتا بیر ڈمور ہے ہیں ۔ جن کے کل سروں 10/12 سال بنی ہے۔ اس طرن لاکس مطابق پر یا تر او ہور ہے ہیں ۔ جبکہ نا کیک 22/12 سال میں اور حوالداران میں 25 سال بنی ہے۔ اس طرن لاکس ما بیک بنی 2028 پر کہ ندکورہ نوئیفیکیٹن کے مطابق خوالدار کی سکیل سروں 25 سال بنی ہے۔ اس طرن لاکس ما بیک بنی 2020 ایس لا چر کہ ندکورہ نوئیفیکیٹن کے مطابق خوالدار کی سکیل 9 سے 10 دار ان میں 25 سال ایس بی کہ بنی دیگار دوسر میں۔ چر کہ ندکورہ نوئیفیک ہے اور آسین دقابون سے مزان ہے میں میں کا تک 20 میں کہ میں کی سیل 7 سے 5 میں شرق کی کی ہے۔ سر کہ ندکورہ نوئیفیک ہے اور آسین دقابون سے مزان ہے۔

بياً مرجى قابل غورب كم مم اورتعليم بافتة سيانى لانس نائيك اور حلداركور بنا برزد كياجار في بين فود ومرى طرف مررسيدهاور كم لعليم يافتة احلكان ان كومة مرمهلت دى جاربى بين -لهذا درجه بالاحقائق كومة نظر رسطته موت توفيفيكش 2016 كوابن اصل روز سي مطابق بحال كزيت اورنو يعيكيش بحزبيرد

ہدار جب کی ایک روٹ کرنے کے اخلامات سادر فرما کر سائل کی دارد دی کی جائے۔ 22/03/2021 ، کوشنون کرنے کے اخلامات سادر فرما کر سائل کی دارد دی کی جائے۔

> تاجيات دما كور مينك الرقوم · 2021/40/20

العارض ام مقل معل

والدين أكبر خان <u>تحنيه نبر 38827</u>

rogents lits





1



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME AND TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION Peshawar, dated the 21-10-2021

NO: <u>SO(POLICE-II)HD/1-3/FEDERAL LEVIES 2021</u>:- In exercise of the powers Conferred by Section-9 of the PATA Levies Force Regulation, 2012, and in Continuation of this department notification No. SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/levies/Misc/2020 dated 22-03-2021, the Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is pleased to direct that in the PATA Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules, 2013, the following further amendments shall be made, namely:-


| | EDU | HI - |
|--|-----|------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| S. No. | Name of the Post / Rank | Langth of Service / Age | | |
|-----------|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1 | Subedox Mojor (85-16) | Thirty Seven Years of service or Three Years' Service as Subadar Major or Skriy Years of age whichever is earlier, | | |
| 2 | Subedor (65-14) | Initing five Years of service or five Years' service as Subedar at Skily years of age whichever is earlier. | | |
| 4 | Noib Subedor (85-(1) | Thiny Twee Years of Service or Seven Years' service as Naib Subedar or Skity Years of age whichever is earlier. | | |
| | Howalder (55-09) | Thirly one years at service or filly one year of age whichever is earlier. | | |
| 5 | Notk (65-06) | Twenty nine years of service or forty nine years age whichever is earlier. | | |
| Ó | . /L/NO% (85-08) | . Twenty seven years of service or forty seveniyears age whichever a earlier. | | |
| 7 | Sepoy (85-07) | Iwenty five years of service or torty five year of oge whichever is earlier. | | |

SCHEDULE-I

| 5.N 0 | Post/ Rank | Eligibility for Promotion | Promotion Quota | Direct Quota | Qualificali on |
|----------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|---|
| 1 | Subedar Major (BS-16) | 82 years' service as Subedar Or Totot 21 years of service | 100% | | ; |
| 2 | Subeciar (85-14) | 02 years' service as NOID Suboclor Or Total 19 years of service | 100% | | 1 |
| <u>.</u> | Nalo Subedar (BS-11) | 04 years' service as Hawaidar Or 101al 12 years of service | 100% | | |
| 4 | Hawaldor (85-09) | US years' service as Nak. Or Total 13 years of service | 100% | <u> </u> | |
| 5 | Naik (85-06) | 03 years' service as Jance Nak Or Total 08 years of service | · · · · | 1 | |
| 4 | L/Nolk (85-08) | OS years' service as Sepay | | ··· | |
| 7 | Sepcy (85-07) | | • | 100% | SSC |
| 8 | Hood Armorer [85-\$] | 05 years' service as Assistant Amoner | 100% | | SSC Qualification with Certificate of Armorer |
| 9 | Assistant Armórer (85-1) | | | 100% | SSC Qualification with certificate of Atmater |

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA



- 1. Principal Secretary to the Governor, Khyber Pakhlunkhwa.
- 2. Prindloal Secretary to the Chief Minister, Khyber Pathlunkhwa. 3. All Administrative Secretaries to Government of Khyber Pakhlunkhwa.

 - 4. Registrar. Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
 - 5. All Commissioners, Khyber Pakhlunkhwa. 6. All Deputy Commissioners, Knyber Pakhlunkhwa.

 - 7. Provincial Police Officers. Knyber Pakhlunkhwa. All Heads of Altached Department in Knyber Pakhlunkhwa.
- 9. PSO to the Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhlunkhwa. 10. Accountant General, Khyber Pakhlunkhwa.

 - 11. Direction Information: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 12. The Manger Gavernment Printing & Stationery Department, Knyber Pakhtunkhwa. He is requested to publish the above Notification in the Extra Ordinary Gazette of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and supply 50 copies (Printed) of the same to the Home Department.

Section Officer (Police-II) 0

ALC: NOT SHOULD BE AND

بخدمت جناب سيرترى صاحب ومايند نرائيل افيئر زديبار نمنك خيبر يخونخواه وشاور

عنوان: ایمل/نگرانی جاری شده سروس رولز به نمبر NO SO(POLICE-II)HD1-3/Federal Levies 2021 بخرره 10-2021 به 21 جاری شده دفتر محادثیه جناب موصوف سیکرتری بوم ایند برایمین افیرر

جناب عالى _

سائل ذیل عرض منال این -که سائل اوات لیویز میں بحیثیت سیادی محلف عہدوں پر تعیناتی ڈیوٹیاں سرنجام دے رہے۔ یہ کہ سائل سے ملازمت میں عہدوں کے لحاظ نے محلف اوقات باقی میں۔ یہ کہ جناب موصوف کے دفتر سے سوات لیویز سے لیے حکمہ کے جانب سے مورخہ 2021-21 سرون دولز برائے عمل درآیا۔

كمانذن بوات ليويز كونونيغييش جحواما كميا بے۔

بد کر دن رولز جلد بازی میں تیار ہو بچکے ہیں جن کے اندر طاہری طور پر بے تکار خامیاں ہیں۔ یہ کہ سر دس رولز نذکورہ بے خامیاں دور کرنے اور درمت کرنے کی استد ضرورت ہے۔ یہ کہ سر دس رولز نذکورہ پر نظر ثانی نہ کرنے کی صورت میں ساکل کی جن تلفی کے شاہم ساتھ دیگر ملا دیمیں کو بھی ملا دمت اور زیٹا بر منٹ کے مسائل پیدا ہوئے۔

ب کہ مروس رولز برلظر ثانی کرنے اور درست ہونے کی مورت میں سائل ملازمت پر بخان ہوئے کی میں حقد ارلان ہیں۔ اس لیے بذرایتہ درخوانت استدعا کی جاتی ہے۔ کہ آپ صاحبان مہر بانی فر ما کر ندکور وبالاسروس رولز نظر ثانی انگر انی کر کے 10-2021-10 - 21 ترمیم منسون کرنے رولز 2016 بحال کرنے کا حکم صادر فر ما ایجائے

العارض

الرقرم: 29/10/2021

تام معن مول والديبة 1 كبرطن

ريمنية نمبر <u>53 88 27</u> EOF not 1553





<u>Judgment Sheet</u> PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR. (JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT) W.P.No.367-M/2021 with I.R, <u>CM Nos.1053/2021 & 1183/2022</u>.

JUDGMENT

Date of hearing — 29.11.2022. Barrister Dr.Adnan for petitioners. Mr.Saqib Raza, A.A.G for the respondents.

> **<u>S M ATTIQUE SHAH. J:-</u>** Through this single judgment, we shall also decide the connected writ petitions bearing Nos.337-M/2021, 406-M/2021, 503-M/2021, 514-M/2021, 518-M/2021, 450-M/2021, 601-M/2021, 681- M/2021, 632- M/2021, 919-M/2021, 968- M/2021, 980- M/2021, 1221-M/2021, 1222-M/2021, 1252-M/2021, 2210-P/2021, 2913-P/2021, 5092-P/2021, 5423-P/2021, and 5424-P/2021 as adjudication of a common question of law and fact is involved in all the petitions wherein the petitioners have challenged the vires of notification No. SO (Police-II) HD/ MKD/Levies/Misc/2020 dated 22.03.2021 whereby on the basis of impugned office order bearing No.128/DC/CSL dated





20.04.2021, they have been retired from service with further prayer that they be reinstated into service from the date of their retirement i.e. 20.04.2021 with all back benefits. In alternative, they have prayed that the respondents be directed to treat them at par with erstwhile Federal Levies of Federally Administrated Tribal Area (FATA) and; absorb them in police and; grant them full pensionary benefits.

Likewise in W.P.Nos.333-M/2021,
 334-M/2021, 335-M/2021, 338-M/2021,
 345-M/2021, 1026-M/2021, 1035-M/2021,
 1187-M/2021, 1206-M/2021, 1207-M/2021,
 34-M/2022, 212-M/202 and 993-P/2022 the
 petitioners have made the following prayer:

"On acceptance of this writ petition, the impugned Notification SO (Police-II) HD/ MKD/Levies/ Misc/2020 is against law and the fundamental rights guaranteed by the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, may be declared Illegal void ab initio and of no legal effects on the rights of the petitioners,"

EXAMINER Peshawar High Court

CITC

Similarly, in COC Nos.38-M/2021 in W.P.No.367-M/2021 and COC No.436-P/2022 in W.P.No.1335-P/2022 petitioners seek initiation of contempt of court proceedings against the respondents for violating the order of this court dated 21.04.2021 passed in W.P.No.367-M/2021. 3. : Brief facts of the case(s) are that the petitioners were appointed in the "Swat Levies Force" as Sepoys etc. and presently terms and conditions of their services are regulated by "Provincially Administrated Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation 2012* whereunder besides PATA Levies Force Rules, 2012, PATA Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules 2013 were also framed. Rule 17 of the Amended Rules 2013, deals with the retirement of personnel of the force, which was amended vide notification dated 12.12.2013 in the manner that "All the personnel shall retire 85 per Schedule-III and no extension in service beyond retirement shall be granted". On 14.07.2020 vide Notification No.SO

ΔΤΤΕS Peshawar High Court

c.T.C

(Police-II)HD/1-3, rule 17 was further amended by deleting schedule-IV as "All uniformed force shall retire from service on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. Sixty (60) years or they may opt for retirement after completion of twentyfive (25) years regular service". Thereafter once again through certain modifications rule, 17 was amended by giving life to the deleted schedule-III of the rules 2013 as under:-

> "Retirement; All levy personnel shall retire as per Schedule-III and no extension in service after retirement shall be granted."

4. Being aggrieved from the ibid amendment, the petitioners have filed the instant petitions.

5. Pursuant to the directions of this court respondents have filed their parawise comments, wherein issuance of the desired writs has been opposed.

6. Learned counsel representing the petitioners vehemently argued that the

Peshawar Algh Court

C.T.C

impugned Notification is arbitrary, perverse, illegal, issued without lawful authority and mala fide intention just to deprive the petitioners of their vested rights accrued in their favour hence violative of their fundamental rights guaranteed under the Constitution and thus not sustainable in the eye of law. That though the impugned Notification was issued under the regulation 2012. However, after the 25th Constitutional amendment, the said regulation does not hold field, therefore, the Impugned Notification is liable to be set aside.

7.:-Conversely. worthy AAG representing the respondents opposed the arguments so advanced by learned counsel representing the petitioners at the bar while arguing that after the 25th amendment the Provincial Assembly passed the continuation of laws Act No.111 of 2019 through which the laws applicable to erstwhile PATA were allowed to continue including "Provincial Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation, 2012" and;



CITC

therefore, the impugned Notification was issued per law which does not require any interference by this court in its writ jurisdiction under Article 199 of the Constitution. Further, petitioners are Civil Servants, and; matter in question revolves around the terms and conditions of their service which is the exclusive domain of the Service Tribunal as such the jurisdiction of this court is barred given the explicit provision of Article 212 of the Constitution.

8. Heard. Record perused,

9. Before discussing merits of the case we deem it appropriate to discuss the background of the matter in hand to properly comprehend the issue involved therein. It is worth mentioning that earlier the services of the Levies Force were dealt with under the Frontier Irregular Corps (FIC) rules, 1962 which was substituted by the "Provincial Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation, 2012" (regulation) and under the said regulation "PATA Levies

FXAMINER eshawar High Court

C-TI

Force (service) Rules, 2012" were framed for Provincial Levies Force. While separate service rules were also framed thereunder for PATA Federal Levies Force performing duties in "PATA" known as "PATA Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules 2013. Rule 17 of the ibid rules deals with the retirement of the Levies personnel which was amended from time to time. However, petitioners have become aggrieved from the impugned Notification vide which the petitioners' retirement age was altered which is challenged by them through instant petitions.

10. The main contention of the petitioners is that after 25th amendment the regulation has lost its efficacy and sanctity and has become redundant, therefore, the impugned amendment under the said regulation is illegal being void ab initio. It is worth mentioning that after the 25th amendment in 2018, both *FATA & PATA* were merged in the province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Federal *Levies Force*

Peshawar High

C.TC

working in FATA was merged into the regular police of the province. Albeit, in Malakand Division, Levies Force is still. regulated by "PATA Federal Levies Force Service (Amended) Rules 2013 in view of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Act No 111 of 2019 through which the laws prevalent in erstwhile PATA at the time of 25th amendment were allowed to continue including regulation 2012 which still holds the field and as such the same is a valid instrument. Therefore. Impugned Notification was issued by the respondents with lawful authority.

11. Moving toward the status of the petitioners it is worth mentioning that earlier this court while deciding *W.P. No. 528-M/2016 (Ikramuliah's case)* determined the status of personnel of the *Provincial Levies Force* as that of civil servants in the following terms:-

"19. The Provincial Levies Force ("Force") was granted statutory cover through Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Regulation No.1 of

ATTES

Peşhawar H

2014 ("Regulation"). Paragraph No.3 of the Regulation envisages for constitution and establishment of the Force and its functions. For ease reference paragraph Nos. 3 and 4 of the Regulation are reproduced as under,-

"3. Power to constitute and maintain by the Force and its functions.— (1) Government may constitute and maintain a Force for performing the following functions, namely:

(a) ensuring security of roads in PATA;

(b) ensuring security and manning of piquet;

(c) guarding Government institutions and installations;

- (d) ensuring security of jails and arrested criminals;
- (e) generally maintaining law and order providing mobile escort to VIPs;
- (f) anti-smuggling activities especially timber smuggling;

(g) destruction of illicit crops;

(h) serving of summons or procedures;

(I) raid and ambush; and

 such other functions as Government may, by notification in the official Gazette, require the Force to perform.

(2) In discharge of their functions, officers and staff of the Force shall

TES EXAMINER Peshawar High Court

CTC

be guided in accordance with this Regulation and the rules.

(3) The head of the Force shall be Commandant in his respective jurisdiction.

(4) Secretary to Government, Home and Tribal Affairs Department shall be the competent authority of the Force.

(5) The Force shall consist of such ranks and number of officers and members and shall be constituted in such manner as may be prescribed by rules.

(6) The officers and members of the Force shall receive such pay, pension, allowances and other remunerations and shall enjoy such leave and other privileges as may be prescribed by rules.

(7) The officers and members of the Force shall wear such uniform as may be prescribed by rules or instructions.

(8) The administration of the Force shall vest in the Commandant in his jurisdiction who shall administer it in accordance with the provisions of this Regulation, rules and instructions.

(9) The Commandant shall exercise his powers and perform his functions under the general supervision and directions of Government.



lo -

4. Powers and duties of officers and members of the Force.—An officer or member of the Force shall-

- (a) take effective measures for ensuring security of assigned jurisdiction and for safeguarding against acts of unlawful interference;
- (b) prevent unauthorized persons and vehicles from access to the territorial jurisdiction;
- (c) take effective measures for preventing sabolage, placement of car bombs, letter bombs, dangerous article and carriage of arms and ammunition into the restricted area;
- (d) use such arms and ammunition and equipment as may be authorized by the Commandant or an officer authorized by him;
- (e) search and arrest without warrant any person who he suspects of endangering or attempting to endanger or having endangered the safety of an installation and may use such force as may be necessary in the discharge of his aforesaid duties; and
- (f) perform such other legal functions as the competent authority may require him to perform".

20. The close perusal of the Regulation would clearly show that the Force is receiving its salary from the Provincial





Exchequer and performs the policing service in the erstwhile PATA.

21. Having said this, we would now refer to the crucial issue as to whether the employees of the Force can be termed as a civil servants and as such they cannot maintain a constitutional petition before this Court for enforcement of the terms & conditions of their service.

22. The connotation 'civil servant' is defined and explained in respect to the Province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, in the Civil Servants Act, 1973 ("Act, 1973"). For ease reference, we would refer to Section 2 (b) of Act, 1973, which reads as under:-

*2. Definitions.—(1) in this act, unless the context otherwise requires the following expressions shall have the meanings hereby respectively assigned to them, that is to say-

(8)

(b) "civil servant" means a person who is a member of a civil service of the Province, or who holds a civil post in connection with the affairs of the Province, but does not include—



a person who is on deputation to the D. Province from the Federation or any other Province or other authority; (II) a person who is employed on contract, or on work charged basis, or who is paid from contingencies; or (iii) a person who is a "worker" or defined in "workman" as the Factories Act, 1934 (Act XXV of 1934), or the Workman's Compensation Act, 1923 (Act VIII of 1923)*.

13

23. The perusal of the definition would show that a member of a civil service of the Province or who holds a civil post in connection with the affairs of the Province is civil servant. All Pakistan Services are explained in Article 260 of the Constitution, which reads as under:-

***260.** (1).....

.....

.....

"service of Pakistan" means any service, post or office in connection with the affairs of the Federation or of a Province, and includes an All-Pakistan Service, service in the Armed Forces and any other service declared to be a service of Pakistan by or under Act of [Majils-e-Shoora

> ATTESTED EXAMINER Peshawar hob Sourt

(Parliament)] or of a Provincial Assembly, but does not include service 85 Speaker, Deputy Speaker, Chairman. Deputy Chairman, Prime Minister, Federal Minister, Minister of State, Chief Minister, . Provincial Minister, [Attomey-General], Advocate-General],] Parliament Secretary] or [Chairman or member of a Law Commission, Chairman or member of the Council of Islamic Ideology, Special Assistant to the Prime Minister, Adviser to the Prime Minister, Special Assistant to a Chief Minister, Adviser to a Chief Minister] or member of a House or a Provincial Assembly;

Whereas Article 240 of the Constitution envisages that:-

"240. Subject to the Constitution, the appointments to and the conditions of service of persons in the service of Pakistan shall be determined --

(8)

(b) in the case of the services of a Province and posts in connection with the affairs of a Province, by or under Act of the Provincial Assembly:

Explanation - In this Article, "All-Pakistan Service" means a service common to the Federation and the Provinces, which was in existence immediately before the commencing

> ATTESTED EXAMINER Peshawar High Court

day or which may be created by Act of [Majlis-e-Shoora (Parliament)]".

15 :

24. The Phrase "performing in connection with the affairs of Federation or for present matter Province" elaborately was explained in the case of Salahuddin and 2 others vs. Frontier Sugar Mills & Distillery Ltd., Tokht Bhai and 10 others (PLD 1975 Supreme Court 244). In the said judgment, the Apex Court has held:

"Now, what is meant by the phrase "performing functions in connection with the affairs of the Federation or a Province". It is clear that the reference is to governmental or State functions, involving, in one from or another, an element of exercise of public power. The functions may be the traditional police functions of the State, involving the maintenance of law and order and other regulatory activities; or they may comprise functions pertaining to economic development. social welfare, education, public utility service and other State enterprises of 80 industrial or commercial nature. Ordinarily, these functions would be performed by persons or agencies directly appointed, controlled and financed by the State, i.e., by the



Federal Government or a Provincial Government".

16

25. Admittedly, as evident from the bare reading of paragraph-3 & 4 of the Regulation, the present petitioners are performing policing service in the erstwhile tribal area, however. their terms and conditions are being regulating through Regulation No.1 of 2014 and after the omission of Article 247 from the Constitution; through a provincial statute i.e. the Khyber Continuation of Laws in the **Erstwhile Provincially Administered** Tribal Areas Act, 2018 (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Act No. III of 2019), the operation of Regulation No.1 of 2014 was continued. Thus, the essential criteria for being a civil servant is that the person holding the post must perform his functions in connection with the affairs of Federation/Province and the terms and conditions of his service should be determined by or under the Act of Parliament/Provincial Assembly, The Apex Court in the case of Federation of Pakistan through Secretary, Ministry of Interior (Interior Division). Islamabad and 2 others vs. RO-





<u>177 Ex-DSR Muhammad Nazir</u> (1998 SCMR 1081), while dealing with the case of an employee of Pakistan Rangers has observed that:

7....Perusal of these rules clearly shows that they are all embracing, and therefore, under the amendment of section 1 of the Pakistan Rangers Ordinance, these rules would prevail over the Rules of 1973. The Pakistan Rangers Ordinance was promulgated to constitute a force called the Pakistan Rangers for the protection of and maintenance of order in the border areas. Since with regard to the status of the members of the force the Pakistan Rangers Ordinance is silent. therefore, it can be safely said that the employees of the Pakistan Rangers will be deemed to be civil servants as they are performing duties in connection with affairs of the Federation and hence under the Service Tribunais Act, 1973, an appeal by a member of the Pakistan Rangers regarding a matter relating to terms and conditions of his service is competent before the Federal Service Tribunal...".

26. Similarly, in the case of <u>Commandant.</u> <u>Frontler</u> <u>Constabulary.</u> <u>Khyber</u> <u>Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and</u>



others vs. Gul Ragib Khan and others (2018 SCMR 903), the Hon'ble Apex Court has elaborately examined service structure of the employees of Frontier Constabulary, which is established under Frontier Constabulary Act (Act-XIII) of 1915. Relevant paragraphs of the said judgment are reproduced as under:-

18

Three broad tests *6. for establishing the status and character of a civil servant emerge from the Constitutional mandate of the aforegoing Articles. Firstly, under Article Constitution. 240(a) of the . appointments to and the terms and conditions of service of the persons in the "service of Pakistan" are be determined by or under Act of Parliament, Secondly, by virtue of Article 260 of the Constitution, 'service of Pakistan' means any service, post or office in connection with the affairs of the Federation. Thirdly, under Article 212(1) (a) of the Constitution, the exclusive jurisdiction to adjudicate disputes relating to the terms and conditions of persons, who are in the service of Pakistan vests in an Administrative Tribunal, namely, the Federal Service Tribunal. These tests are mentioned in the Muhammad Mubeen-us-Salam_ case



ibid (at pp. 686-689 of the law report). The definition of the term 'civil servant' in the Act adopts the Constitutional criteria given in Article 260 noted above to reiterate that a person who, inter alia, holds a civil post "in connection with the affairs of the Federation^{*} including any such post connected with defence, to be a civil servant. The larger Bench has in this respect taken the logical step to incorporate the requirements under Article 240 (a) and 260 of the Constitution as the definitional criteria of the term "civil servent" (at p. 682 of the law report).


Having noticed the qualifying 7. criteria of a civil servant under the law, it is appropriate now to examine the factual matrix of the present controversy. The FC was established by the NWFP Constabulary Act, (Act-XIII) of 1915 ("Constabulary Act"). Section 3 of the Constabulary Act empowers the Federal Government to maintain the FC as a force for the better protection and administration of the external frontiers of Pakistan within the limits of or adjoining North-West Frontier or any part thereof'. Section 3-A of the Constabulary Act authorises the Federal Government to employ the FC outside the limits of or adjoining the North-West Frontier Province in other parts of Pakistan for



the better 👘 protection and administration of those parts. Section 5(1) of the Act ibid vests the Federal Government with power to appoint the Commandant and other persons Including the District Constabulary Officers or Assistant Constabulary Officers of the force in one or more districts. Section 6 delegates to the Commandant and District Constabulary Officer the power to appoint subordinate officers in the manner prescribed by Rules made under the Act. The Federal Government exercised its power conferred by Section 21 of the Constabulary Act, to frame the NWFP Constabulary Rules, 1958 ("Constabulary Rules"), In order to provide the terms and conditions of service of the officers and men in the FC.

20

8. It will be observed that the matter of terms and conditions of service of the respondent-employees of the FC, are in the first place regulated by the Constabulary Act and elaborated pursuant thereto by the FC Rules. The provisions made by the Constabulary Rules are in furtherance of and in exercise of the power conferred by the Constabulary Act. Therefore, the terms and conditions of service the of employees of the FC are prescribed



in the Act and the Rules. The test laid down in Article 240(a) of the Constitution requires that the appointment to and the terms and conditions of service of posts in connection with the affairs of the Federation and of a service of Pakistan shall be determined "by or under an Act of Parliament. The expression "by or under" in Article 240(a) of the Constitution authorizes the terms and conditions of service of a civil servant to be provided both by statute or by statutory rules. The provision made in the Constabulary Act and the Constabulary Rules, therefore, satisfy the Article 240(a) test. The judgment in the Muhammad Mubeen-us-Salam case ibid endorses this point of view:-

21

"86.... The terms and conditions of service of those employees, however, are required to be specified under Article 240 of the Constitution by or under Act of the Parliament. Thus, the conclusion would be that only those persons, who are in the service of Pakistan, as discussed hereinabove, and if their terms and conditions are governed either by a statute or statutory rules, in terms of Article 240 of the Constitution, can seek remedy before the Service Tribunals.."

27. Similarly, this Court in the case of <u>Gui Munir vs. The</u>



Government of Pakistan through Secretary, Ministry of States and Frontier Regions (SAFRON), Islamabad and others (2019 PLC (C.S) 645), on the basis of law laid down by the Apex Court in Commandant. **Constabulary** Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar's case (2018 SCMR 903), while dealing with the case of Federal Levies Force, which was established through Federal Levies Force -Regulation, 2012 having the same structure of service for lts employees/force as provided in Regulation No. 1 of 2014 has held that employees of the Federal Levies Force whose terms and conditions of service are governed under Federal Levies Force Regulation, 2012 are civil servants. Keeping in view the above, the Force established under Regulation No. 1 of 2014 qualifies the criteria of being civil servant in view of its composition, functions and duties as per law laid down by the Apex Court in the cases of Federation of Pakistan through Secretary, Ministry of Interior (Interior Division), Islamabad and 2 others



 $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{C}$

vs. RO-177 Ex-DSR Muhammad Nazir_ (1998 SCMR 1081) and Commandant. Frontier Constabulary. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Peshawar and others vs. Gui Ragib Khan and others (2018 SCMR 903), thus, the preliminary objection raised by the learned counsels for the respondents is sustained and accordingly, the present petitions In view of clear bar contained in Article 212 of the Constitution are not maintainable. The present agitate their petitioners may grievances before the Provincial Services Tribunal. However, prior to this judgment, the status of present petitioners being a civil servant was not determined and in the similar cases, the Apex Court in Gul Ragib <u>Khan's case</u> (2018 SCMR 903) has held that:

"11. It follows from the dicta laid down above that the protection of the border areas is a sovereign function belonging to and performed by the Federation. The same duty is performed equally I the present case by the FC not only on the frontiers of KPK Province but also by maintaining order in other parts of Pakisten. For discharging such functions, the



CTC

services rendered by the FC have direct nexus with the affairs of the Federation. Therefore, the reasons given in the Muhammad Nazir case (supra) fully apply here as well and we hold that the employees of FC are civil servants. Insofar as the question of competent remedy in respect of service disputes of FC men is concerned, we hold that in a matter relating to the terms and conditions of service of the respondent-employees of the FC, an appeal before the Federal Service Tribunal is available to them as the exclusive remedy under the law. Accordingly, this remedy may be availed by them within the statutory period of limitation commencing from the date of issuance of certified copy of this judgment. All these appeals filed by the appellant-Commandant, FC are according allowed in above terms".

When case of the petitioners (PATA Federal Levies Force) was examined in juxtaposition with the Provincial Levies Force and ibid judgment, we observed that service of both the forces is governed under the rules so framed under the provisions of "Provincial Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation,


ATTES

EXAMINER Peshawar High Court

CTC

CT.C

Therefore, we believe that the 2012". status of petitioners is that of civil servants for all practical and material purposes, and as such, the matter of terms and; conditions of their service squarely fails outside the ambit of writ jurisdiction of this court given the explicit bar contained in Article 212 of the Constitution. As earlier discussed service rules of the petitioners (PATA Federal Levies Force) and Provincial Levies Force both were framed "Provincial the provisions of under Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation, 2012* and through the ibid judgment, the personnel of Provincial Levies Force were declared as Civil Servants after exhaustively discussing the matter of Levies Force performing their duties in PATA. Therefore, on the same premise, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioners are Civil Servants as their service fulfills the entire criteria of Civil Servants so provided by the law. Learned counsel representing the petitioners could



CTC

not distinguish the status of petitioners (PATA Federal Levies Force) vis a vis Provincial Leavy Force in any manner. Both forces are performing their functions in the same area for the same object and; purpose, and both are being maintained through the provincial exchequer. Therefore, the matters arising out of the terms and; conditions of service of the petitioners are only amenable to the jurisdiction of the Service Tribunal in terms of Article 212 of the Constitution.

26

So far as the contention of petitioners that they have challenged the vires of the impugned Notification and as such the same are not amenable to the jurisdiction of the Service Tribunal is concerned, suffice it to state that it has long been settled that Service Tribunal has ample jurisdiction to deal with the issue of vires of the law and rules framed thereunder. 2015 SCMR 253 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY SECRETARIAT through Sectrary V. AHMAD MANZOOR and others,

> EXAMINER eshawar High

Therefore, the contention so agitated at the bar is misconceived and as such repeiled.

27

In view thereof, the status of petitioners has been declared as that of Civil Servants and the matter in question revolves around the terms and; conditions of their service which does fall outside the jurisdiction of this court given the baring provision of Article 212 of the Constitution and as such instant petitions are dismissed; being not maintainable. However, the petitioners may approach the worthy Service Tribunal for the redressal of their grievance if so advised. Albeit, earlier the status of petitioners being civil servants was not determined, therefore, the petitioners may avail the remedy of appeal within the statutory period of limitation commencing from the date of issuance of the certified copy of this judgment in terms of the judgment of august apex court in Gul Raqib khan's case 2018 SCMR 903.

Nos.38-M/2021 in

c-T-C

W.P.No.367-M/2021 and; COC No.436-

ATTESTED

n Couri

COC

10 H.7 CH

P/2022

W.P.No.1335-P/2022 are

dismissed for having become infructuous.

JUDG JODGE Announced. JUDGE Dt.29/11/2022 HON'BLE MR JUSTICE LAL JAN KHATTAK, HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S M ATTIQUE SHAH & HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SYED ARSHAD ALL IC BE TRUE 61136 19 DEC:2022 Date of Presentation of Application No of Pages 31-1 Copying fee Total 134 Date of Delivery of Capy 4.9-12-2 Renorived By k

مص **لحد الرت خ**يبر پختونخواسرو**س ثرّيبول پ**ثاور *اسو*ات کيم کور په

No 16.

190 je

موزخه

بقد

دعود

المرقوم

Co J

_واه ش ــــــد الع Accepted by Adu Ald Since / Sol plan. سم لتح منظوره ب

ماه رَ /

no

r.22