### FORM OF ORDER SHEET

- <del>-</del>	lo100/ <b>2023</b>	Case		
	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge		Date of order proceedings	S.No.
	3			1
	The appeal of Mr. Sajjad Khan pre Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak Advocate.	3	10/1/202	1-
gle Bench at Swat	preliminary hearing before touring Single on Parcha Peshi is given to appellan			
f Chairman	By the order of Ch			
RAR	REGISTRA			
*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		i	\ \ ';	

# BEFORE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 100 /2023

SAJJAD KHAN

1

VS

POLICE DEPTT:

### INDEX

SKO			PA(CIE
1.	Memo of Appeal with affidavit		1-31A
2.	Copy of FIRs, Bail orders & Impugned order	A, B & C	4-7
3.	Copies of orders/judgments, departmental appeal & rejection order	D, E, F & G	8-11
4.	Copy of Revision Petition	Н	12-15
۱ 5.	Vakalatnama		16

**APPELLANT** 

Through:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK, ADVOCATE

SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL	NO	100	/2023
--------	----	-----	-------

Mr. Sajjad Khan, Constable No.6065, Police Line Bajaur.

.APPELLANT

#### **VERSUS**

- 1- The Inspector General of Police Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Regional Police Officer, Malakand Division.
- 3- The District Police Officer, Bajaur.

...... RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUNGNED ORDER DATED 25.04.2022 WHEREBY THE APELLANT HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM SERVICE AND AGAINST THE APPELLATE ORDER DATED 19.09.2022 WHEREBY THE DEPARMETNAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN REJECTED ON NO GOOD GROUNDS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE REVISION PETITION OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS

### PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the impugned orders dated \$2.04.2022 and \$10.09.2022 may very kindly be set aside and the appellant may please be reinstated into service with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Service Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

### R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

## Brief facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:

- 1- That the appellant was appointed as Constable in the respondent Department and was serving the respondent Department quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of his superiors.
- 2- That during service the appellant was falsely charged in FIR's No.13 dated 23.2.2022 and No.15 dated 25.2.2022. That in both the FIR's the appellant was granted bail by the competent court of

- 3- That after earning acquittal in case FIR No.13 and regular bail in case FIR No.15 the appellant visited the concerned quarter for joining of duty but in response the appellant was handed over the impugned order dated 25.4.2022. That feeling aggrieved the appellant preferred departmental appeal before the respondent No.2 but the same was rejected by the respondent no.2 on no good grounds. Copies of the orders/judgments, departmental appeal and rejection are attached as annexure D, E, F & G.
- 5- That appellant, having no other remedy, prefer the instant appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

### **GROUNDS:**

- A- That the impugned order dated 25.04.2022 and appellate order dated 19.09.2022 are against the law, facts, norms of natural justice and materials on the record, hence not tenable in the eye of law therefore are liable to be set aside.
- B- That appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and rules by the respondent Department on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That no charge sheet and statement of allegations what so ever has been served on the appellant prior to the issuance of the impugned order.
- D-That no show cause notice has been issued to the appellant by the respondents prior to the issuance of the impugned order dated 25.4.2022.
- E- That no right of personal hearing/personal defense was given to the appellant before issuance of the impugned orders.
- F- That no regular enquiry has been conducted in the matter and as such the respondents violated the E&D rules, 2014 while issuing the impugned order dated 25.4.2022.

- G- That the respondents acted in arbitrary and mala fide manner while issuing the impugned orders dated 25.04.2022 and 19.09.2022.
- H- That appellant seeks permission to advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may kindly be accepted as prayed for.

Dated: 3.1.2023

**APPELLANT** 

SAJJAD KHAN

THORUGH

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

WALEED ADNAN

**UMAR FAROOQ MOMAND** 

MUHAMMAD AYUB

KHANZAD GUL

**ADVOCATES** 

AF.

### 5 3 A

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL No.	/2023
------------	-------

SAJJAD KHAN

VS

**POLICE DEPT:** 

### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Sajjad Khan, Constable No.6065, Police Lines, Bajaur do hereby solemnly affirm on oath that the contents of the above appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and believe and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

DEPONENT

3164-52-11883-9-1.1-1 G IBADARY- JUAN 1 300 34 4 36 33 الملالي اطالى ويوسي الذال طاأل است ارمالا عياصه مروارية प्राचित्र प्राचित्र हार हा उन्हरू الدوالي بِتُغَيِّلُ مِنْ عَلَقِ كَانِي أَراهِم بِأُورِيَّ كُم مِن مِن تَفَاتِ اللهِ وَحِدَ وَمِن أَو ميه درة مته صب برسانها لياظا المسيجارين K and the said with the continues we then ye where it the trainer or here مين شيد سيد و ما در در در در ايد سيمومون چه سي در در و در در در سري ما سري در a distribute the state with a see offer in 616 3 or a series of very quite this letter who was The way the the the total trops on the Use صور وهم المقائم للألمع هذا النصب والمعالم المدين والمرام الرامان والمرام الأمري المرام والمرام المام ا ~ = 490234 Ver per 159 - 64 120- 2004 1 1 5 3000 and in a will of some of all the first of with the of the office of orther was and the sold we will , a The part of the spirit is a court of the property of the second we have solve by me to be to fitter adoptate a born the Colorer 4 " " 300000g سعيد المسرون سقان صعرف كرفاه بها كل يا معتبد مير فاردير مدراد ما ياه - مين الله تعرب من المريث على من عادمات ومراوق مدما في عالم وعد ويؤ ويل في الأول المان عبير الميوا ميرا ميان عالما بعد الد المعنفيات المبر عبن الله و يقرف أر معن أمرة والارت الكوام إذا والربيان الكيتية الديان on the state is the contract of the state of the contract of the state 0301 8983433 chien 18802-0827282-1 Alel Gold 126 TO NOT PETERS TORAN STORE OF S 25.2.2022

م ورنمنٹ پرلیس بیٹا درجا بنمبر 540/19 فارم سٹور ۔ لقداد دو برادر جسٹر ڈے مور میہ 23 مارچ 2006 رپی فور ( فارم سٹور جابز )منمنی فارم (پولیس )

انسكنر جزل بولبس صوبه مرحد فارم نبر٣٧

فادم نبر۱۲۳۵ (۱)

# ابتدائي اطلاعي ربورث

كاؤنثرفا ئيل

### ابتدائي اطلاع نسيت جرم قابل دست اندازي يوليس ريدرف شده زميد فعد 164 مجتوعه ضابط فوجداري

لع: \_باجوز

تقانير: \_خار

تاريخُ ونت وتوعه 22-2-23 ونت نامعلوم

علت نمبر: ـ 15

عِا کیدگ پرچہ	تاریخ وقت رپورٹ: _22-2-7 وقت 15:40 بج	1
اشفاق على خان دلدتاج على خان ساكن غريب آباد	نام وسكونت اطلاع د بهنده مستنغيث	2
PPC 381-A-34	مخضر كيفيت جرم (معدوفعه) حال اگر يجه ليا گيا ہو۔	3
نزد عسكرى پيپ ميٹرنى موم خار بفاصلة قريباً 1 كلوميٹر جانب خرب از تقانه	جائے وقوعہ فاصلہ تھانہ ہے اور سمت:۔	4
حجاد خان دلد نورمجمه به امان الله ولدعن يز الرحمان ساكن صديق آباد عيها نك	نام وسكونت ملزم	5
حسب رائے جناب ڈی پی پی صاحب پر چہ دیا گیا	کارروائی جوتفتیش کے متعلق کی گئی اگراطلاع درج	6
	کرنے میں تو تف ہوا ہوتو وجہ بیان کرو	
بىبىل ۋاك	تھانہ سے روائگی کی تاریخ وقت	7

ابتدائی اطلاع ینچدرج کرو\_

مستنفیث مندرجہ خانہ نمبر 2 کے رپورٹ بحوالہ مد 14 روز تا مید 22-02-02 میں ورج کر کے جس پر 157 من ف شروع کی تھی دوران اکتوائزی مستنفیث بالا نے طوبان بالا پر با تا عدہ دو بداری کرکے دی ہے جسٹر پنی بیان میں تلمیند کر کے انکوائزی پر جناب ڈی پی پی صاحب سے قانو فی دائے ورہنمائی حاصل حاصل کر کے حسب دائے جناب 100 صاحب برخلاف طور پر چہ بجرم بالا بالا کوا طلاع دی جارتی ہے دی کے بجسٹریٹ بیان ذیل پر چہ معدا کلوائزی کا فذات بغرض تغییش موالہ 164 من ف حلفا بیان کیا کہ مورجہ 212022 بوقت شب نا معلوم کی طرم المؤ بان بالا کوا طلاع دی جارتی ہے دی کے جسٹریٹ بیان ذیل ہے موری کے دیرامکنتی گاڑی موڑی کار موز کار سوز دی مہران باؤل کہ 200 رہٹریٹن نمبر 159 - 11 اجمی نمبر 200 و چیس نمبر معلوم نے میرامکنتی گاڑی متذکرہ بالا کو موری کے دیرامکنتی گاڑی متذکرہ بالا کو موری کے بابت میں نے تعانبہذا میں رپورٹ کر کے جو تقلمہ 14 روز تا می 2012 میں درج کی تھی معلومات و چہ براری پر جھے پورایقین و آسلی ہے کہ میرامکنتی گاڑی متذکرہ بالا جس کا مطور مرمزتہ کی ہے کہ میرامکنتی گاڑی متذکرہ بالا جس کا مطور پر مرقہ کی ہے میں اپنا گاڑی چوری کر نے کا برخلا ف بردہ کسان بالا دمویداری کرتا ہوں سب بھی میرائیان ہے جو کہ حقیقت پر جس کا میلئزی کی العبداشفاق علی خان ولد تا رہے کا برخلا ف بردہ کسان بالا دمویداری کرتا ہوں سب بھی میرائیان ہے جو کہ حقیقت پر بی کے بیاج رشاختی کا رفز نمبر کردرست شلیم کیا العبداشفاق علی خان ولد تاری کی خوری کرتا ہوں صب بھی میرائیان ہے جو کہ حقیقت پر بی خورشاختی کا رفز نمبر کردرست شلیم کیا العبداشفاق علی خان ولد تاری علی خان سکن خریب آباد اساعیلہ شلع صوابی حال نوٹ برطانی اصل ہے۔

د سخط اگریزی .....

ابتدائی اطلاع نسبت جرم قابل وست انداؤی بولیس و بورث شده و م دفعه ۱۵ مج وعد مشابط نو جداری ا Jel 36 1/2 1/1 1/ 1/1/2 1/1/2 350-34 نز کیفیت برم ( معدد قعه ) مال اگر م*تحالیا کیا ا*و به בול הו עובי שונים ביו לעוני עוש שיים ליון בים מעל עם עובים ביו שונים בים אונים בים אונים בים אונים בים אונים לי و ال جر تعيش سيم معلق كالمن الراطاع ورن كرية بين وَ آتَ: وا واو وجه مان كرو و بریم نس تهن به و بادن رنگاه مگیری ادانید شامال DAY 9 1 6 - 10 to First proper with 101. 12 11 5112 14 OUTE 16 16 CO 16 V. ifted orther by Will of Cop 6 4 1 21 x x com 11 4 1 C 2 V The was the color of the color with the of it is the inter stay in it is it is to in the in the in it is in the with the ford a che in a second problem in the The party con un by william , we have the deliver when it ب عرب المستب الرساس و المراه الرسال المراس المستال الم رمرت من روم ولهي شين راهي نورن الله المان المراضيع ما جو المراضي ما المواتر المساعين المان المانية 152 politico de - 6: 030 4. 17 52605 2 14 00

### BETTER COPY

مورنمنٹ بریس بیثاور جاب نمبر 540/19 قارم سٹور۔ تعداود و ہزار رجسر ڈ۔مور نے 23 مارچ2006 بی فور ( فارم سٹور جابز ) خمنی فارم ( اپرلیس )

انسيكنز جنزل بوكيس صوبه سرعدفارم نمبرس

فارم نمبر۲۳\_۵(۱)

## ابتدائی اطلاعی ربورٹ

كا وُ نثر فا ئيل

### ابتدائی اطلاع نسبت جرم قابل دست اندازی پولیس رپورٹ شدہ زیر دفعہ 154 مجموعہ ضابطہ نوجداری

سلع: - باجوار

تفانه! ٥٠ فاله

تاريخ ونت وقوعه 022-02-06 ونت شب نامعلوم

علت فمبر: -13

تاريخ	1
نامور	2
مخقر	3
ا جا	4
نام	
אננ	6
اکر_	
تفانه	7
	مختفر جائے نام و کارر کارر

<u>ابتدائی اطلاع نیچ</u>درج کرو\_

ستنیف مندرجہ طانہ نمبر 2 نے ایک تحریری درخواست جناب ایس ان اوصا حب میں دائر کہتی جو مد 18 روز نامچ 22/02/22 میں درخ کے مستنیف مندرجہ طانہ نمبر 2 نے ایک تحریری درخواست جا کو اگری در یافت زیر دفعہ 152 شروع کی تھی دوران انگوائری ستنیف بالاطر مان پر با قاعدہ دعویداری کر سے جسٹریٹی بیان زیر دفعہ 164 میں ف کر کے انگوائری پر جناب ڈی پی بی صا حب برخلاف مرز بان بالا پر چہ بجرم بالا چاک کیا جا کرفقل پر چہ بعدا نکوائری کا غذات بخرض تغیش حوالہ HC کا پائی جا تی ہا کو اطران بالاکوا طلاع دی جارتی کی مجسل کی محسل کی محس

Order Sheet

### IN THE COURT OF NAJEEB-UL-HAQ. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, BAJAUR

0.......0318/03/2022

21R No.15 . US 381 A. 34 PPC

Dated 25/02/2022, F.S Khay, Bajaur

Accused/peritioner "grough counsel present. APP for the state present while complainant also present

Aman Ullah S/n Aziz Ur Rahman Accused/petitioner namely seeks his post arrest built in case FIR No.11 dated 25/02/2022 U/S, 381-A, 3.1 PPC registe, ed at Police Station Khar, District Bajaur,

Arguments heard and record perused. Perusal of record shows that accused/petitioner was charged in the instant case along with other coaccused. As the co-accused Sojjad Khan has already been released on bail on the basis of compromise vide order dated 04.03.2022. Therefore rule of consistency also attracted to the present case.

So in the light of statement of complainant the accused/petitioner is entitled for the concession of ban. The application in hand is accepted, Accused/petitioner be released on bail subject to furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 86,000% with two reliable sureties each in the like amount. Copy of this order be praced on police file and be returned to the quarter concerned while file of this be consigned to record room after necessary completion and compilation.

Announced: 18/03/2022

Najelb-Ul-Haq SCI/Judicial Magistrate, Bajaur

CHECKED COPYLIST







### OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER. BAJAUAR AT KHAR

No. 13/7/BP, dated 25\_/04/202

### OFFICE ORDER.

SHO Khar reported vide DD No. 13 dated 06.10.2021 that Constable Sajjad Khan No. 6065 of this District Police was charged accused in case FIR No. 13 U/s No.380-34PPC dated 23.02.2022 & No.15 U/s 381A-34PPC dated 25.02.2022 PS Khar.

In view of the above report of SHO the delinquent official was subjected to departmental action and place under enquiry.

The enquiry officer after conducting enquiry submitted his recommendations to the undersigned, that however, did not agree to the recommendation of the enquiry officer, therefore, entrusted the enquiry to Inspector Mazoom Khan.

Inspector Mazoom Khan enquiry officer after through probe into the matter submitted his discreet findings on the basis of available record and submitted that delinquent police official is involved in case FIR No. 13 U/s No.380-34PPC dated 23.02.2022 & No.15 U/s 381A-34PPC 25.02.2022 PS Khar; hence recommended that major punishment may be imposed on the said official.

Therefore, I Abdus Samad, District Police Officer, Bajaur in exercise of power vested under (E&D) rules 1975 with amendment 2014 and Consequent upon recommendations of Enquiry Officer awarded Constable Sajjad Khan No. 6065 major penalty of removal from service with immediate effect due to his involvement in criminal case which is against good order and discipline in the Police Force.

OB # NO 119.
25/04/2022 DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER. SAIAUR AT KHAR.

Copy forwarded to the:

- Regional Police Officer, Malakand Division.
- 2. District Accounts Officer, Bajaur.
- 3. SP Investigation, Bajaur.
- 4. Sub Divisional Police Officer, Khar & Nawagai.
- 5. Lines Officer, Police Lines Bajaur.
- 6. Accountant/Pay Officer, District Police Office, Bajaur.
- 7. Station House Officer, Khar.
- 8. OASI/OHC, District Police Office, Bajaur.

POLICE OFFICER.

10".8-

<u>Order#07</u> 15.06.2022 APP Arshad Ali for the state present. Accused on bail present. Complainant is also in attendance. Today the case is fixed for attendance, however the complainant stated at the bar that he has patched up the matter with the accused Sajjad and Aman Ullah and forgave the accused in the name of Allah and if the accused are acquitted in the instant case, he has got no objection, in this regard statement of complainant recorded and placed on file. The accused Saddam Hussain has already been acquitted U/s 249-A Cr.PC on the basis of compromise vide order dated 25.04.2022.

As the complainant has affected compromise with the accused Sajjad and Aman Ullah and it is a settled principle of law that a genuine compromise should be considered. Thus the compromise between the parties is accepted and the accused are hereby acquitted on the basis of compromise in case FIR No. 13 dated 23.02:2022 U/s 380, 34 PPC, PS Khar District Bajaur. Sureties are also absolved from the liability of bail bonds. Case property (if any) be dealt in accordance with law.

File be consigned to record room after necessary completion and compilation.

Announced 15.06.2022

> Najeeb-Ul-Haq Senior Civil Judge/JM Bajaur

CHECKED

ATTESTED

DA FE SOO

Proceedings

x 16

C Order Sheet

. . . second in E

# M THE COURT OF NAJEER-UL-HAO, SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE/JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, BAJAUR

Q......02 27/05/2022

- FIR Andron - WS 381-A, 34PPC

Dated 25/02/2022, P.S Khar, Bajaur,

APP Arshad Ali for the state present. Accused/petitioner through counsel present.

Record available before the Court. Accused/petitioner namely Sadaam Hussain S/o Malang Gul seeks his post arrest bail in case FIR No.15 tated 25/02/2022 IJ/Sec 381-4, 34 PPC registered at Police Station Khar, Bujaur.

Arguments heard and record porused.

Record Perused, which revenus that the accused/petitioner is charged in the instant FIR.

Since the section of law reveled against the accused/petitioner carries punishment of less than 10 years which does not fall within the prohibitory clause of 497 Cr.PC. The accused/petitioner has not recorded any confessional statement in the instant case. Gran of bail in such like cases is a role, while refusal is an exception.

Thus in these dire-instances the accused/petitioner is entitled for the concension of bail, hence the application in hand is accepted. Accused/peritioner be released on ball subject to furnish bail bonds of Rs. 80,000/- with two suretics each in the like amount. Copy of this order be placed on police file and be returned to the quarter concerned while file of this be consigned to more non after necessary completion and compilation.

Amounced: 17/05/2022

Najeeb-Ul-Haq SCI/Judicial Magistrate, Bajaur

CHECKED

COPYIST

ATTESTED

70.06.2

پر تور تحد منا کن غانے وا کانہ تعرب سے سیاما تو مد رہا،

رحما بيل

سب ذیل عر<sup>ما</sup>ل ہے۔

والجادية الإلادية

1) یہ کہ سائل غانے ڈاکی نہ اخرے مخصیل ماموند ضلع باجوڑ کا باشندہ ہے۔ نفل شناختی کارڈ لفہ ہے۔

2) بدكر سائل ابتداميں مورخه 2009/12/ 12/ ليويلز فورس باجوڑ ميں تعينات ہوا تفا۔ نقل سروس كار ڈلف ہے۔

() کے 25 داں تر میم سے بعد باجوڑ لیویز مستقل طور ٹی محکمہ پولیس میں صنم ہوااور پوسائل باقاعدہ طور براہے: ڈیوٹی المریقے ہے انجام دیا ہے۔ روز کی اندیان کی ادائل آئیں کیا ہے۔

2) ہے کہ سال کاوالہ میار متی اور سائل اپنی والدہ کے ساتھ ہسپتال میں تھاائ و دران مجھے اطلاع کی کہ سائل کو اوکر ہے۔ ڈی لیا او باجو ڈیٹے برخا ان ارائیا ہے ، بدیری وجہ جب سائل بیاری سے والی اسے تو معلومات کی تو واقع سائل کو نوکری سے برخاس کیا گیا تھا۔

د این که سال مین داری اوافس میں معلومات کی ساک اوان کے برخاس کی نسبت کوئی وجد نابتا کے اور ساتھ ان سائل کو متعاقد کا غذات

المراكبة (dismison) order

المراكل ديدة الرئ بار مورف كر كركودي إلى اوآ فل كوكياتو سائل كوچهونى سے كاغذى يد الفاظ تريد كى كى

Removal from service order no.1317/Bp df:4/2022

ا منافذا أسر من يد كام زات وريمول اور وين سے الكار في تقريد برير اوجد سائل برام مجبود كاجناب كي هنور ميس ور خواست الا اوا كرر ما مول ا

4 کے سربالی سند کوئی سے کا تال 4 ماہ دویت ہے۔ کیٹن وی بی او بغیر کس اور اطلاع وید بغیر سائل کو بھیر کی او بعیر کس اور اطلاع وید بھیر سائل کے بھیر کی ہے۔ یہ والی ا اباد و میر مسیر باتا ہوں کرا ہائٹ سیر اور بتاکیو تک سائل اختافی غریب کھرانے کے تعلق رکھتا ہے۔ اور سائل نے مشکل و ذائب بھنی طالبان کے واور ر ابہت جال آئری والی کئے بغیر ایسے ڈیوٹی اعجام و سے ہے۔

(8) ہے۔ لہ سائل بینیر کسی قصور کے غیر قانونی طور پر اوکری ہے برخاس کرناسائل کے ساتھ نیادتی ہے۔

ا ، ۱۱ سند اعلہ ہے کہ سائل اپنے ڈیوٹی بر بحیثیت سپائل اپنے ایوٹی انجام دینے کے نسبت علم صادر آرمائے جا ۔ نے اورجو بھی ارتفاظ تاؤی اوصاحت از سائل کے خلاف صادر کی ہے منسوخ فرما یاجائے۔

عريعنه

جاد تهان 6056 reg ما ولد تؤر محمد سنان شافے ذا كاند اور مد خصيل ما حوالہ مالى بالدار

03049000928 zfd in





### OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER, MALAKAND AT SAIDU SHARIF SWAT.

Ph: 0946-9240388 & Fax No. 0946-9240390 Email: ebmalakandregion@gmail.com

#### ORDER

This order will dispose of appeal of Ex-Constable Sajjad Khan No.6056 of Bajaur District, in connection with major punishment awarded by the District Police Officer, Bajaur vide OB No.119, dated 25-04-2022 i.e. removal from service with immediate effect due to his involvement in criminal case which is against good order and discipline in the Police Force.

Brief facts of the case are that the Line Officer, Police Line Bajaur vide DD No.13, dated 06-10-2021 submitted that as per report of security Incharge line gate Police Lines Bajaur, Constable Sajjad Khan No.6056 was absent from his duty since 04-10-2021 endorsed by OHC Bajaur Police on 07-10-2021. Pursuance to the above report he was issued Show Cause Notice & placed under suspension and his salary was stopped on 05-11-2021. However, he did not tender reply to the show cause notice, hence subjected to departmental action and Charge Sheeted vide No.601/B.P, dated 23-02-2022 and SDPO Khar was appointed as Enquiry Officer. The investigation officer, PS Khar vide report dated 28-02-2022 submitted that said Constable Sajjad Khan No.6056 is also nominated accused in case FIR No.13, dated 23-02-2022 U/S 380,34 PPC and FIR No.15, dated 25-02-2022 U/S 381-A, 34 PPC PS Khar which was marked to the enquiry officer to consider the said in the enquiry. The Enquiry Officer, after through probe into the matter submitted his recommendation that major penalty of reduction of increment for the period of three years may be imposed as the said official being involved in serious cases of stealing, however, the District Police Officer, Bajaur being competent under the rules did not agreed with recommendation of the Enquiry Officer and entrusted the enquiry file to Inspector Mazoom Khan for re-inquiry for departmental enquiry as per rules. Meanwhile, the investigation officer PS Loesam District Bajaur vide report dated 19-04-2022 submitted that Constable Sajjad Khan No.6056 is nominated in case FIR No.17, dated 12-04-2022 U/S 392, 34 PPC PS Locsam. The Enquiry Officer, after conducting enquiry wherein statement of the said delinquent official was also recorded recommended that the said Constable be imposed with major punishment, hence removed from service vide OB No.119, dated 25-04-2022.

. He was called in Orderly Room on 07-09-2022 and heard him in person, but he did not produced any cogent reason to defend the charges leveled against him, therefore, his appeal is hereby rejected.

Malakand Region Swat

Copy to the District Police Officer, Bajaur for information with reference to his office Memo: No.1932/BP, dated 24-06-2022. Enquiry file of above-named Ex-Constable, received with the Memo: under reference is returned herewith for record in your office.

Encle Enquiry file

# BEFORE THE WORTHY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA AT PESHAWAR

Appeal No	of 2022

#### **VERSUS**

- 1) District Police Officer Bajaur.

APPEAL AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 19-09-2022 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO. 2 WHEREBY HE DISMISSED THE APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT AND MAINTAINED THE ORDER DATED 25-04-2022, WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS REMOVED FROM SERVICE.

### PRAYER:-

On acceptance of this appeal the orders passed by the Respondents be declared as illegal, discriminatory, against law, void ab-initio and may graciously be set aside the same and the appellant may be reinstated on service with all back benefits. Any other relief which are proper in the instant circumstances of the may also be granted though not specifically asked for.

#### Respectfully Sheweth,

- 1) That the appellant was appointed in District Police Bajaur as constable.
- 2) That the appellant performed his duties honestly vigilantly throughout his service in different Police post, police stations, different wings of police department with unblemished service record.
- 3) That the appellant was charged mala-fidely in the criminal case vide F.I.R No. 13 dated 23-02-2022 under sections 380/34 PPC & FIR No. 15 dated 25-02-2022 under sections 381-A / 34 PPC Police Station Khar, District Bajaur.
- 4) That during the pendency of the trial, the appellant was removed from service by the respondent No. 1 vide removal order dated 25-04-2022 (Copy of removal order dated 25-04-2019 is attached annexure "A")
- 5) That the appellant was acquitted by the Senior Civil Judge / Judicial Magistrate Bajaur in the both FIRs. (Copies of judgments are attached as annexure "B")
- That after discharging the appellant approached to the concerned department for his adjustment / reinstatement but the department on one pretext or other cannot respond positively, and dismissed the appeal of the appellant on 19-09-2022. (Copy of order dated 19-09-2022 is attached as annexure "C")

7) That extremely aggrieved from the orders, the appellant have no other remedy except to file instant appeal before this appellate authority on the following grounds.

#### GROUNDS:-

- a. That the orders of the respondents No. 1 & 2 are illegal, against the rules & regulation and exercised their power not vested to them.
- b. That in case of imposing major penalty principle of natural justice requires that a regular inquiry was to be conducted in the matter and opportunity of defense of personal hearing was to be provided to the civil servant proceeded against him otherwise the procedure against him without following the rules would be amount to condemned unheard. So, on this ground to the impugned order regarding dismissal / removal is liable to be struck down on this score alone.
- c. That issuance of show cause notice and holding of inquiry was necessary under section 3 removal from service ordinance 2000 amended 2001 but Respondent No. 1 fails to issue show cause notice nor any inquiry was conducted and major penalty of dismissal / removal was imposed upon him without adopting mandatory procedure resulting in manifest injustice so, the impugned orders are liable to be set at naught.

- That the penalty of dismissal / removal from service d. imposed upon appellant for absence from service is illegal being violative of section 7 (a). '
- That the appellant was illegally treated & dismissal / removal from service is too harsh so, the impugned orders are not maintainable in the eye of law.
- That in service law concept of penalty was to make an attempt to reform the individual wrong doer (if any) but such penalty deprived the appellant from the right of earning, which defeat the reformatory concept of punishment in administration of justice so, the orders passed by the Respondents are not sustainable on this ground too.

Therefore in view of the above submissions, it is most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this appeal the orders passed by Respondents be declared as illegal, discriminatory, against law, void ab-initio and may graciously be set aside the same and the appellant may be reinstated on service with all back benefits. Any other relief which are proper in the instant circumstances of the may also be granted though not specifically asked for.

Appellant

Sajjad Khan 17/10/2022

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

APPEAL NO:	OF 20
Ba Dad Khon.	(APPELLANT) (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)
<u>VERS</u>	<u>sus</u>
Police Deptl  I/We (Appellant)	(RESPONDENT) (DEFENDANT)
Advocate Supreme Court to a withdraw or refer to arbitrate Counsel/Advocate in the above not for his default and with the author Advocate Counsel on my/our counsel to deposit, withdraw an sums and amounts payable or deposit above noted matter.	ppear, plead, act, compromise tion for me/us as my/ou ted matter, without any liability ity to engage/appoint any other ost. I/we authorize the said of receive on my/our behalf at
Dated/2022	CLIENT
	ACCEPTED  NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT (BC-10-0853) (15401-0705985-5)

&

MUHAMMAD AYUB **ADVOCATES** 

EED ADNAN

n) Adlnay

UMAR FAROOQ MOHMAND

OFFICE: Flat No. (TF) 291\*-292 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Deans Trade Centre, Peshawar Cantt. (0311-9314232)