Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of

		Exe	cution Petition No. <u>43/2023</u>
		Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
	1	2	3
	1	19.01.2023	The execution petition of Dr. Muhammad Iqbal
			submitted today by Mr. Inayat Ur Rehman Khattak
			Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before
			Single Bench at Peshawar on, Original
			file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. The
			respondents be issued notices to submit
		•	compliance/implementation report on the date fixed.
		-	By the order of Chairman
			REGISTRAR
		· · · ·	-
		-	
		I	

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{k}}$

.

ļ

.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR CHECK LIST

Case Title:

St		YES	NO
]	This Appeal has been presented by: men Luo Do ham an A	h1	NO
2	whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponent have signed	<u>2017.</u>	<u> </u>
Ē	The requisite documents?		
3	Whether appeal is within time?		+
4	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is files	il — —	+
	inentioned?		
5	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct?	~	<u> </u>
6	whether andavit is appended?	V	
7	Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath		
	Commissioner?	~	1
8	Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged?	1-	┝
9	whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the		
10	3 subject, intribued?	~	
11	in the billexures are regipter	~	*
12	Whether annexures are attested?	V	
13	Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear?	~	
	Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG?	+	
14	Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested		
15	and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents?	~	
16	Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct?	<u> </u>	
17	Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting?		
18	Whether list of books h eeen provided at the end of the appeal?		
	court?		
	a spare copies attached?	~	
	y is filed in separate file cover?	~	
	with addresses of the given are complete?	~	
~		~	
44			
25	The service Tribunal Ruler		
	is a figure of a second and annexure had	.	
		-	
26	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On		{
i	Whether copies of commonts (and b) is a		
27	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite party? On		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been

Name:

Signature: Dated:

any Advocale. 18 1 202

BEFORE THE COURT OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK

PESHAWAR

Execution Petition # 43/2023 In Reference Appeal No. 1038-P/2015

Dr. Muhammad Iqbal, Director Livestock Research & Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar....**Applicant/Petitioner**

VERSUS

.

S.No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Appeal		01-03
2.	Affidavit		04
3.	Judgment order No. 1038/2015 Dated: 14.01.2021	"A"	5-9
4.	Order No. FD/SOSR-I/1-1/2022 through DG Live Stock.	۶B".	10-13
5.	Wakalatnama		14

INDEX

• .

Applicant/Petitioner Through Inayat Ur Rehman Khattal Advocate High Court,

Dated: 13.01.2023

BEFORE THE COURT OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK

Execution Petition # 43/2023 In Reference Appeal No. 1038-P/2015

Dr. Muhammad Iqbal,

Director Livestock Research

Khyber Palahtiskhwa Service Tribanal Diary No. 3052

& Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Peshawar.....Applicant/Petitioner

VERSUS

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Establishment Department, Peshawar.
- Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperative Department, Peshawar.

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ORDER DATED 14.01.2021 REGARDING THE GRANT OF HIGHER POST BENEFIT I.E THE PERIOD FROM 30.04.2009 TO 14.10.2009.

Respectfully Sheweth:

 That the applicant field a service appeal before this Honorable Tribunal which was dismissed vide order dated: 14.01.2021 but, the benefits of his work on the higher post was preserved/kept intact in the following words in para No.9 of the judgment. (Amer. A).

2

"For what has been discussed above, the appeal in hand is meritless and is accordingly dismissed. Before parting with this judgment we consider it appropriate to note that the right of appellant regarding the receipt of emoluments at a higher rate, if any, for the period he worked on a post in BPS-19 against own pay scale, shall not be affected any of the contents of instant judgment" (Attested Copy is attached).

- 2. That the appellant submitted the judgment of this Honorable Tribunal for implementation before the respondent No. 3 and response to which the petitioner was provided with a copy of order No. FD/SOSR-1/1-1/2022 issued by the worthy Secretary Finance, Government of KP received on 21.12.2022. (Amer.B)
- 3. That the in-action of the respondents and nonimplementation of the order of this Honorable Tribunal is against the law, rules, regulations

ىلى ¹ ئەت ئېلى 🔨 🕴 🕴 🕹 .

pertaining to the matter, hence not tenable in the eyes of law.

З

- 4. That the respondents are legally bound to implement the order of this Honorable Tribunal.
- 5. That the appellant is entitled to the emoluments/benefits of higher post form 30.04.2009 to 14.10.2009.
- 6. That the application seeks permission of this Honorable Tribunal to forward further point with a prior permission of this Honorable Tribunal Court.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that respondents may kindly be directed/ordered to implement the order of the court in its true spirit.

Applicant Petidioner Through Inayat Ur Rehman Khattal Advocate High Court,

BEFORE THE COURT OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

In Reference Appeal No. 1038-P/2015

Dr. Muhammad Iqbal, Director Livestock Research & Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar......Applicant/Petitioner

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary,

Peshawar & others......Respondents

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Dr. Muhammad Iqbal S/o Lal Sahib Khan R/o Director Livestock Research & Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying **Application for Implementation** are true and correct to the best of knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

DEPONE **IDENTIFIED BY:** Inayat Ur Rehman CNIC: 17301-7217860-1 Advocate High Court, · Cell No: 0300-9001679 Peshawar ATTESTED ð VV

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR ner Pakhtunky

Appeal No. 1038/2015

Date of Institution 21.09.2015

Date of Decision

14.01.2021

Dr. Muhammad Iqbal, Director Livestock Research & Development Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. ... (Appellant)

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and six ... (Respondents) others.

Present.

Mr. Nagibullah Khattak, Advocate.

Mr. Kabiriullah Khattak, Addl. Advocate General

Mr. Khalid Rahman, Advocate ~

MR. HAMID FAROOO DURRANI, MR. ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR,

CHAIRMAN MEMBER(E)

For appellant

For official respondents.

For respondents No. 5 to 7

PILAr -*

JUDGMENT

HAMID FAROOO DURRANI, CHAIRMAN:-

On 26.02.2019, this Tribunal had burdened the appellant with costs of 1. Rs. 10000/- (Rs. Ten thousand only), to be paid to private respondents, observing that the appellant had filed the present service appeal in the year 2016. He thereafter dragged the private respondents and it appeared that he was not interested in the-decision of the same. A last opportunity was, therefore, granted to the appellant.

Today, learned counsel for respondents No. 5, 6 & 7, Mr. Khalid Rahman,

Advocate was magnanimous enough to forego his right of receiving the costs.

ATTESTED nkhwa Tribunal. Peshawar

2. The present appeal has been preferred, questioning the seniority of private respondents over the appellant. It is useful to reproduce hereunder the prayer part, as contained in the memorandum of appeal:-

"On acceptance of the instant Service Appeal, the official respondents may graciously be ordered to issue revised seniority list of Principal Research Officer/Director (BPS-19) in the Directorate General (Research) Livestock & Dairy Development Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar declaring the Appellant as Senior to Private Respondents No. 5 to 7 and the Appellant be declared entitled for all back financial benefits."

The prayer extends the impression that the appellant was aggrieved of some seniority list of Principal Research Officers/Directors. It is important to note that learned counsel failed to lay hands on any such list nor the appellant could place on brief such document.

2

3. The facts, as laid in the memorandum of appeal, are to the effect that on 29.04.2009, the appellant was posted as Principal Research Officer/Director (Planning) BPS-19 in his own pay scale at Directorate of Livestock Research & Development Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar, against vacant post. Subsequently, he alongwith other officers, was promoted to the said post on 28.07.2009 on regular basis with immediate effect. He took charge as such on 15.10.2009. In essence, his grievance is that by virtue of his posting on 29.04.2009 on his own pay scale, rendered him senior to private respondents. The emoluments/back financial benefits for the period between 30.04.2009 and 28.07.2009 were also payable to him.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant, private respondents No.
to 7 and learned Addl. AG on behalf of the official respondents. With their valuable assistance the available record has also been examined. ATTES

EXAMPLE EXAMPLER Chyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar 5. Learned counsel for the appellant attempted to argue that on the strength of order dated 30.04.2009, the appellant was burdened with higher responsibilities, therefore, he was entitled to the emoluments there-for at the higher rate. Alongside, the appellant became senior to his colleagues who all were promoted to BPS-19 through notification dated 28.07.2009, with immediate effect. Referring to the notification, it was contended that the order being made effective immediately it was not legally correct as far as the appellant was concerned. Learned counsel referred to judgment reported as 1986-SCMR-991, in support of his argument regarding entitlement of appellant receiving better emoluments for the period he performed duty against higher post/grade but in his own pay scale.

3.

Learned counsel for private respondents No. 5 to 7 agitated objections regarding competence and maintainability of appeal in hand. In his view, the appellant had sought directions from this Tribunal, through the appeal, which was not within its jurisdiction. Further, there was no order, original or appellate, which was questioned by the appellant; therefore too, the appeal was not competent in view of provisions contained in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunals Act, 1974. The objection regarding delay in submission of departmental appeal was also pressed into service by the learned counsel. He relied on judgments reported as 2015 PLC(C.S) 151, 2010-SCMR-1301, 2006-SCMrR-1630 and 2009-SCMR-1121.

Learned Addl. AG adopted the arguments advanced by learned counsel for private respondents.

6. As stated herein-before, the appellant had failed to question any particular seniority list nor there was any order by the competent authority operating adversely against him. In view of provisions of Section 4 of the Act ibid, the

TESTED **K'h**vher Pakht

appeal in hand, therefore, appears to be incompetent. It is important to note here that the appeal in hand is with the prayer in the nature of a command to departmental authority. Guidance is sought from 2006-SCMR-1630.

7. It is a matter of record that the order of promotion of appellant and others to BPS-19 was issued on 28.07.2009, mentioning the name of appellant at S. No. 7, thereof. On the other hand, averments in the memo of appeal suggest that the appellant agitated his grievance in the year 2015, after lapse of more than five years. No seniority list, subsequent to the notification dated 28.07.2009, was ever pressed into service nor was claimed to have been issued by the respondents. The appellant was obligated to have preferred the departmental appeal within the prescribed time. It was, however, done with enormous delay; therefore too, the competence of appeal in hand is under the cloud. 2009 SCMR 1121 and 2006 SCMR 1630 are respectfully followed in the context.

Alongwith the appeal an application for condonation of delay has also been submitted. The application is cursory while the appellant remained at loss in setting forth cogent explanation for the delay.

8. It also requires to be noted that entire claim of appellant was on account of his transfer and posting as Principal Research Officer/Director Planning (BPS-19), on 29.04.2009 against his own pay scale. Such claim appears to be baseless as by now it is well settled to the contrary. It is repeatedly held by the Apex Court that acting charge appointment could neither construed to be an appointment on regular basis for any purpose including seniority, nor it conferred any vested right for regular appointment. Guidance is sought from 2015 PLC(C.S)

STED

tunkhwa hunal.

151.

9. For what has been discussed above, the appeal in hand is meritless and is accordingly dismissed. Before parting with this judgment we consider it appropriate to note that the right of appellant regarding the receipt of emoluments at a higher rate, if any, for the period he worked on a post in BPS-19 against own pay scale, shall not be affected by any of the contents of instant judgment.

Parties are, however, left to bear their respective costs. File be consigned to the record room.

WAZIR) MEMBER(E)

ANNOUNCED 14.01.2021

to be ture con ervice Tribunal Anich W Pesbawa

Date of Presentation of Application 10 Number of Words. Copying Fee-Яe Urgeni-Total. Nume of Consviest. 202 20 Date of Complection of Copy Date of Delivery of Copy

(HAMID FAROOQ DÙRRANI) CHAIRMAN GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Anner-B

No.FD/SOSR-I/1-1/2022: WHEREAS, Mr. Muhammad Iqbal, Senior Research Officer (BS-18), Center of Dairy Technology, Livestock Research & Development Station, Surezai Peshawar was posted as Principal Research Officer/Director Planning (BS-19) (Headquarter) at Directorate of Livestock Research & Development, Peshawar in his own pay and scale w.e.f 29.04.2009 to 27.07.2009 (Annex-I-II).

WHEREAS, on 28.07.2009 he was regularly promoted to the post of Principal Research Officer/Director (BS-19) w.e.f 28.07.2009 and he filed appeal to his Department for grant of higher post benefits for the period of OPS basis which was not entertained by his Administrative Department.

WHEREAS, he moved to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Service Tribunal which partially decided the case in his favour and directed for grant of higher post benefits (if any) to the officer concerned for the period from 30.04.2009 to 28.07.2009 prior to the issuance/effectiveness of Finance Department's policy as the same was applicable w.e.f 16.12.2009 (Annex-III).

NOW THEREFORE, after thorough examination of the case in light of relevant policy, I Secretary, Finance Department, have come to the conclusion that the petitioner i.e. Muhammad Iqbal is not entitled to the higher post benefits because the policy of higher scale benefits was enacted w.e.f 16.12.2009 (Annexed). The case is, therefore, regretted being not covered under the existing policy.

Attered

(IKRAMULLAH KHAN) Secretary Finance



DIRECTORATE GENERAL (EXTENSION), LIVESTOCK & DAIRY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT,

Bacha Khan Chowk, Charsadda Road, Peshawar. 091- 9210276, 9210249 FAX: 091-9210285

21470 No.

Dated Peshawar the 20 /12/2022

То

The Director, Livestock Research & Development, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AND PAYMENT OF EMOLUMENTS/FINANCIAL BENEFIT W.E.F. 01-05-2009 TO 14-10-2009

With reference to letter No. SO (LFC)/AD/DR/PF-03/1990, dated 9th December 2022, regarding the subject noted above.

Enclosed please find herewith the subject letter along with enclosures is here by submitted for information and further necessary action if any at your end.

DIRECTOR ARTERS

No.

Dated Peshawar the

/12/2022

Copy of the above is placed in the personal file of the officer concerned for record please.

DIRECTOR HEADQUARTERS

21/12/2000 Full Del



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK, FISHERIES & COOPERATIVE DEPARTMENT

No. SO (LFC)/AD / DR / PF-03 /1990 Dated Peshawar the December 9, 2022

To

The Director General (Extension), Livestock & Dairy Development Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject:

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AND PAYMENT OF EMOLUMENTS/FINANCIAL BENEFIT W.E.F 01-05-2009 TO 14-10-2009.

I am directed to refer to your letter No.14869 dated 06-09-2022 on the subject noted above and to enclose herewith a copy of letter No.FD/SOSR-I/1-1/2022/M. Iqbal dated 01-12-2022 received from Finance Department for information and further necessary action. **Encl: As Above.**

Endst: No. & date even:

Copy forward to:

1. PS to Secretary Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries & Cooperatives Department.

2. Master File.

KORFICER (LFC) SECTION'

OFFICER (LFC)

SECTIC

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT

 Finance Department Civil Secretariat Peshawar

 http://www.finance.gkp.pk

 facebook.com/GoKPFD

 NO. FD (SOSR-1)

 1-1/2022/Muhammad Iqbal

Dated Peshawar the: 01-12-2022

<u>][</u>.,

The Section Officer (LFC), Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries & Cooperative Department, <u>Peshawar</u>.

Subject: - <u>SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE</u> <u>KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT,</u> <u>1974 AND PAYMENT OF EMOLUMENTS/FINANCIAL</u> BENEFIT W.E.F 01.05.2009 TO 14.10.2009.

I am directed to refer to your letter No.SO(LFC)AD/DR/PF-3/1990 dated 04.10.2022 on the subject noted above and to enclose herewith a self-explanatory Speaking Order (duly signed by Secretary Finance) in respect of Dr. Muhammad Iqbal, Divisional Level Director of Directorate General Livestock (BPS-19), for information, please.

Encls-(As above)

Τо

SECTION OFFICER (SR-1)

Copy forwarded for information to PA to Deputy Secretary (Reg-I), Finance Department, Peshawar.

SECTION OFFICER (SR-1)

4994 ايدوكيك: <u>عنوا من ا</u> يشاور بارايسوسى اليشن، خيبر پختونخواه 0311-9539934 دابط ممبر: بعدالت جناب: مسرميم مي مي مي الم خيس خيس طريق ال 121 plus :- inplementation appication, غر آمرا 17. تحانه باعيث تحرب مقدمه مند رجبحنوان بالاميس اپني طرف ے داسطے پیروی وجواب دہی کا ردائي متعلقہ آن مقام <u>() در کلیے عندا میں الرکمن کس</u> _موصوف کومقدمہ کی کُل کاردائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا، نیز دیکا جاتا ہے کہ صاحبہ راضی نامه کرنے وتقر رثالث و فیصلہ برحلف دینے جواب دعویٰ اقبال دعویٰ اور درخواست از ہرشم کی تھ زریں کرد شخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا، نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری یکطرفہ یا اپیل کی برآ مدگی اُؤر مُنسو تی دائر کرانی ایل گرانی دنظر ثانی و پیروی کرنے کا مختار ہوگا اور بصورت ضرورت مقدہ ند*کورہ کے کُل*ا چزوی مسلول كارواني يسبح واسط ادروكيل بامختارة انوني كواتية ممراه يا السية بجائي تقرر كااختيار بوگااور صاح ے اور اس کا ساختہ پرداختہ منظور وقبول ہوگا مقررشده كودنني جمله ندكوره بااختيارات حا ب- وقا- كول الرائح في مقام دوره ما حد د دران مقدمة مي جوخرجه برحانه التوائح مقد بابر بوتو دک الرقوم: نونوكاني تاتا بل تبول نوث:اس دکالر