BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA'SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 624/2018

Date of Institution ...

08.05.2018

Date of Decision

28.01.2022

Mushtaq Ahmad, Ex-Constable, No. 1007 Buner District.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

The Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region, Swat and one another.

(Respondents)

Uzma Syed, Advocate

For Appellant

Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General

For respondents

AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR

CHAIRMAN

MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGMENT

ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR MEMBER (E):- Brief facts of the case are that the appellant while serving as Constable in Police Department was proceeded against on the charges of absence from duty and was ultimately dismissed from service vide order dated 24-08-2017. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant filed departmental appeal dated 07-02-2018, which was not responded within the statutory period, hence the instant service appeal instituted on 08-05-2018 with prayers that the impugned order dated 24-08-2017 may be set aside and the appellant may be re-instated in service with all back benefits.

O2. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that the impugned order is against law, facts and norms of natural justice, therefore not tenable and liable to be set aside; that the impugned order was passed with retrospective effect,

which is void in the eye of law. Reliance was placed on 2002 SCMR 1129 and 2006 PLC CS 221; that no regular inquiry was conducted, rather the so called inquiry officer accepted his stance of illness of the appellant; that absence of the appellant was not intentional but was due to serious illness of the appellant, which does not constitute gross misconduct, hence the penalty so awarded is harsh and needs revision; that the appellant has been condemned unheard as no proper inquiry was conducted nor the appellant was associated with proceedings of the inquiry; that the appellant has not been afforded opportunity of personal hearing, hence was condemned unheard.

- 03. Learned Additional Advocate General for the respondent has contended that the appellant was earlier awarded with major punishment as well as minor punishments, but the appellant did not mend his ways and again absented from lawful duty, for which he was served with notices, but he did not respond either to notices or to the proceedings of the inquiry, hence he was awarded with major punishment of dismissal from service vide order dated 14-06-2018; that the appellant is a habitual absentee, neither the doctor advised him medical rest nor the appellant sent the medical documents to the department for information; that the appellant did not join the inquiry proceedings inspite of repeated reminders, hence he was rightly penalized in absentia.
- 04. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.
- O5. It is un-disputed that the appellant remained absent from duty for some time, but the respondents proceeded the appellant in absentia and did not take into consideration his illness. It otherwise is mandatory that regular inquiry is must before imposition of major penalty. The appellant was not treated as per law, as in case of willful absence, the appellant was required to be proceeded against under Rule-9 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants

ż

(Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011, but the respondents acted in arbitrary

manner and dismissed the appellant.

06. The appellant was not guilty of charges of gross misconduct or corruption,

therefore, extreme penalty of dismissal from service for the charge of absence is

on higher side, hence, quantum of the punishment needs to be reduced. Reliance

is placed on 2006 SCMR 1120. Charge against the appellant was not so grave as

to propose penalty of removal from service, such penalty appears to be harsh,

which does not commensurate with nature of the charge. The appellant has

admitted his absence but such absence was not willful, which does not constitute

gross misconduct entailing major penalty of removal from service. Competent

authority had jurisdiction to award any of the punishments mentioned in law to

the government employee but for the purpose of safe administration of justice

such punishment should be awarded which commensurate with the magnitude of

the guilt, Otherwise the law dealing with the subject would lose its efficacy.

Reliance is placed on 2006 SCMR 1120.

07. In view of the foregoing discussion, the instant appeal is partially

accepted. The penalty of removal from service is converted into minor penalty of

stoppage of increments for two years and the intervening period is treated as

leave without pay. Respondents however, are at liberty to conduct inquiry, if they

so desire. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to record

room.

ANNOUNCED 28.01,2022

_ _ _ _ _ _

(AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN)

CHAIRMAN

(ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR)

MEMBER (E)

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused.

Vide our detailed judgment of today, separately placed on file, the instant appeal is partially accepted. The penalty of removal from service is converted into minor penalty of stoppage of increments for two years and the intervening period is treated as leave without pay. Respondents however, are at liberty to conduct inquiry, if they so desire. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to record room.

ANNOUNCED 28.01.2022

(AHMAD SULTAN TAREÉN) CHAIRMAN (ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR) MEMBER (E) o\(\sigma\)/04/2021

Due to COVID-19, the case is adjourned to $0\frac{7}{0}$ /2021 for the same.

READER

The state of the s

08.11.2021

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant present.

Mr. Muhammad Rashid, DDA for respondents present.

Arguments could not be heard due to general strike of the Bar. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 22.03.2022 before D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member(E) (Rozina Rehman) Member(J)

20.01.2022

Appellant in person present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. AG alongwith Mr. Nosherwan S.I (Legal) for respondents present.

Arguments heard. To come up for order on 28.01.2022 before D.B.

(Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir) Member (E) Chairman

Acephin Sevel convention - 121 6 1 Appellant Argued busilf. proceeded on absence. Imposed order by incompetet authority. absence on medical gends: D.D.A/ -24/8/2017 møged order. NO DepH appeal. 7/2/2018 D.A/time barrel.

07.12.2020

Due to COVID-19, case is adjourned to 01.02.2021 for

the same as before.

Reader

01.02.2021

Nemo for parties.

Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate General for respondents is present.

Preceding date was adjourned on account of Covid-19, therefore, both the parties be put on notice for the date fixed. Issue involved in the instant case is pending before Larger Bench of this Tribunal, therefore, case is adjourned to 05.04.2021 before D.B at camp court Swat.

(Mian Muhammad) Member(E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member(J) Camp Court Swat

02.02.2021

Nemo for appellant.

Preceding date was adjourned on account of Covid-19, therefore, notice be issued to appellant/counsel for 08.04.2021 for preliminary hearing before S.B at Camp Court, Swat

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) Camp Court, Swat 03.06.2020 Due to Covid-19, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same on 08.07.2020, at camp court Swat.

Keader

08.07.2020 Bench is incomplete. Therefore, the case is adjourned.

To come up for the same on 09.09.2020, at camp court

Swat.

Reader

09.09.2020.

Nemo for appellant.

Mr. Riaz Paindakhel learned Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Issue involved in the present case is pending before Larger Bench of this Tribunal, however notice be issued to the appellant.

Adjourned to 07.12.2020 for arguments before D.B at Camp Court, Swat.

Attiq-ur-Rehman) Member

Camp Court, Swat

(Rozina Rehman) Member Camp Court, Swat 04.02.2020

Appellant absent. Learned counsel for the appellant absent Mr. Muhammad Jan learned Deputy District Attorney alongwith Nowsherwan Inspector (Legal) for the respondents present. Lawyers are not attending the courts today on the call of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Bar Council. Adjourn. To come up for further proceedings/arguments on 04.03.2020 before D.B at Camp Court Swat. Appellant be put to notice for the date fixed.

at Camp Court Swat

Appellant in person present. Mr. Riaz Paindakheil learned 04.03.2020 Assistant Advocate General present. Learned counsel for the appellant not available. Adjournment requested. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 08.04.2020 before D.B at Camp Court, Swat.

Member

Member Camp Court, Swat.

Due to corrora virous tour to coup count swart has been conce they. To come up for the Same on 03-66-2020

02.09.2019

Appellant in person present. Mian Amir Qadir, DDA alongwith Mr. Jehan Zada, ASI for respondents present. Appellant submitted an application for adjournment, wherein he stated that his counsel was busy before the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 04.11.2019 before D.B at camp court Swat.

Member

Member Camp Court Swat

04.11.2019

Appellant in person and Mr. Riaz Ahmad Paindakheil, Assistant AG alongwith Mr. Nowsherwan Inspector (Legal) for the respondents present. Appellant submitted application for adjournment on the ground that his counsel is busy at Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. Application is placed on record. Case to come up for arguments on 04.12.2019 before D.B at Camp Court Swat.

(Hussain Shah)
Member
Camp Court Swat

(M. Amin Khan Kundi)

Member

Camp Court Swat

04.12.2019

Lawyers are on strike on the call of Bar Association. Adjourn. To come up for further proceedings/arguments on 04.02.2020 before D.B at Camp Court, Swat.

Member

Member Camp Court, Swat 07.03.2019

Counsel for the appellant and Mian Amer Qadir, District Attorney alongwith Tajbar, ASI (*) for respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requests for adjournment as she could not prepare the brief due to engagement in other cases. Adjourned to 02.04.2019 before the D.B at camp court, Swat.

Member

Chairman Camp Court, Swat

02.04.2019

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Mian Ameer Qadir, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Nowsherwan, S.I for the respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 10.06.2019 before D.B at Camp Court Swat.

(M. Amin Khan Kundi) Member Camp Court Swat

(M. Hamid Mughal)

Member

Camp Court Swat

10.06.2019

Appellant in person and Mian Ameer Qadir learned District Attorney alongwith Mr. Nowsherwan, S.I for the respondents present. Appellant submitted application for adjournment. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 02.09.2019 before D.B at Camp Court Swat.

(M. Amin Khan Kundi) Member Camp Court Swat

di) (M. Hamid Mughal)

Member

Camp Court Swat

11.10.2018

Lateron the above named representative of the respondents turned up and submitted written reply. Since the case pertains to territorial limits of Malakand Divison, therefore, the same is fixed for rejoinder and arguments on 05.12.2018 at camp court, Swat. Appellant and his counsel may be informed accordingly.

Chairman

05.12.2018

Appellant in person present. Mr. Usman Ghani learned District Attorney alongwith Mr. Aman Ullah H.C for the respondents present. Adjournment requested. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 07.02.2019 before D.B at camp court Swat.



Member Camp Court, Swat

07.02.2019

Appellant in person and Mian Amir Qadar learned District Attorney alongwith Nowsherawan SI present. Appellant seeks adjournment as his counsel is not in attendance. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 07.03.2019 before D.B at Camp Court Swat.

Member

Member
Camp Court Swat.

29.05.2018

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments heard and case file perused. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that on account of willful absence from duty disciplinary proceedings were initiated against him and vide impugned order dated 24.08.2017 major penalty of dismissal from service was imposed on him from the date of his absence i.e. 24.08.2017. He filed departmental appeal on 07.02.218, which was not responded within stipulated period, hence the instant service appeal. Dismissal order was passed with retrospective effect which is void ab-initio and no limitation runs against a void order. Formalities prescribed in the rules before imposing major penalty were not observed and as such he was condemned unheard.

Appellant Deposited Security & Process Fee

Points urged need consideration. Admit subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 13.08.2018 before S.B.

કાર્ય ટ્રુપે દ

(AHMAD HASSAN) MEMBER

13.08.2018

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, AAG alongwith ASI Samin Sher for the respondents present. Written reply not submitted. Requested for adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for written reply/comments on 11.10.2018 before S.B.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)

11.10.2018

Counsel for the appellant Mst. Uzma Syed, Advocate present. Mr. Bahramand Shah, Inspector alongwith Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl. AG for the respondents present and made a request for adjournment. Granted. To come up for written reply/comments on 05.12.2018 before S.B.

Chairman

Form-A FORMOF ORDERSHEET

Court of			
Case No	-	624/2018	

	Case No.	. 624/2016	
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge	
1	2 .	3	
1	08/05/2018	The appeal of Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad presented today but Uzma Syed Advocate may be entered in the Institution Registe	
		and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.	
,			
	· ·	REGISTRAR	
	15/05/12	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing	
2-		to be put up there on $\frac{290518}{}$.	
		\mathbb{Q}	
		CHAIRMAN	
	·		
	•	į	
,	•		
		·	

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 624/2018

Mushtaq Ahmad

V/S

Police Deptt:

INDEX

S.No.	Documents	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Memo of Appeal		1-3
2.	Copy of medical priscription	-A-	04-07
3.	copy of impugned order	-B -	08
4.	Copy of departmental appeal	-C-	09
5.	Vakalat Nama		10

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

(UZMA SYED)

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI (ADVOCATES, HIGH COURT)

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 624 /2018

Mughtaq Ahmad, EX-Constable, No.1007 Bunnir District. Nated 18 - 5-2018

.....(Appellant

VERSUS

- 1. The Regional Police officer, Malakand Region, Swat.
- 2. The District Police officer Buner.

.....(Respondents)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 24.08.2017 WHEREBYTHE APPELLANT HAS BEEN DISMISSED FROM THE SERVICE AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN STATUTORY PERIOD OF 90 DAYS.

PRAYER:

Filedto-day
Registrar

THAT ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 24.08.2017 MAY PLEASE BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY BE REINSTATED IN TO SERVICE WITH ALL BACK AND CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPOPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARADED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

<u>FACTS:</u>

Facts giving rise to the present service appeal are as under:

- 1. That the appellant was appointed as Constable in Police and the appelland was perfored his duties with entire satisfaction of his superiors.
- 2. That the appellant had been seriously ill due to which appellant didn't performed his duties so the abscentia of the appellant was not willing full but due to serious illness. The copy of medical prescription is attached as Annexur-A
- 3. That, thereafter, the appellant was departmentally proceeded, without charge sheet, statement of allegation, regular inquiry and even without showcause notice, the impugned order dated 24.08.2017 was passed against the appellant whereby the appellant was dismissed from service from retrospective effect. The appellant been agrrived from the impugned dismissal order preffered departmental appeal but the same was not respondend within statutory period of 90 days. Copy of impugned order is attached as Annexure-B.
- 4. That now the appellant come to this august Tribunal on the following grounds amongst others.

GROUNDS:

- A) That the impugned orders dated 24.08.2017 are against the law, facts, norms of justice and void as from retrospective effect. So material on record, therefore not tenable and liable to be set aside.
- B) That the impugned order was retrospective order which was void in the eye of law and according to Superiors Court Judgment reported as 2002 SCMR, 1129 and 2006 PLC 221.
- C) That no regular enquiry has been conducted nor the enquiry office is rebutted the plea of illness of the appellant because as medical prescription have not been cross examined from the concerned Doctor, and in case of any doubt the authority was required to refer the matter to Medical Board for their opinion. therefore, without adopting that procedure the impugned penalty order has been passed which is not sustainable in the eyes of law.

- D) That there is no order in black and white form to dispense with the regular inquiry which is violation of law and rules and without charge sheet, statement of allegation and proper inquiry the appellant was dismissed from the service vide order dated 24.08.2017 without given personal hearing which is necessary and mandatory in law and rules before imposing major penalty. So the whole procedure conducted has nullity in the eye of law. So the impugned order is liable to be set aside.
- E) That the appellant has been condemned unheard and has not been treated according to law and rules.
- F) That the appellant has not been treated under proper law despite he was a civil servant of the province, therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside on this score alone.
- G) That the penalty imposed upon the appellant was so harshed and not commusirate with the guilt liable tot be setaside.
- H) That the appellant's guilt has not been proved beyond the shadow of doubt and the appellant has been punished on the basis of conjecture and surmises.
- I) That no chance of personal hearing was provided to the appellant and as such the appellant has been condemned unheard throughout.
- J) That the appellant seeks permission to advance others grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANTMushtaq Ahmad

THROUGH:

(UZMA SYED)

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI) ADVOCATES, HIGH COURT

Kunox

ORDER

will dispose-off Departmental This initiated against Constable Mushtaq Ahmad No. 1007 of this district police vide this office No. 17/Enquiry dated 07.07.2017.

Constable Mushtag Ahmad No. 1007 of this district police while posted to Police Lines Daggar absented himself from His Lawful duty with effect from 21.02.2017 to date without prior permission. He was proceeded departmentally and served with Charge sheet and statement of allegation under Police Disciplinary Rules-1975. Mr. Saddique Akbar Khan DSP HQrs was appointed as Enquiry Officer to conduct departmental enquiry against the defaulter official. The Enquiry Officer in its finding has recommended the official concerned for major punishment. He was called to orderly room on 01.08.2017 in order to give opportunity of self-defense but he did not appear.

Therefore, his service record was perused and order to take decision in line with above circumstances, where it was found that he is habitual absentee and there are 18 finalities on his part including major punishment i.e reduction in pay to lower stage of time scale for a period of 5 years vide OB No. 75 dated 10.08-2016. The service record of defaulter constable is very much unfair and unsatisfactory.

Ample opportunity provided to him but there is no Yeason to believe on make a good officer in future.

Therefore I, Muhammad Irshad District Police Officer Buner as competent authority and in exercise of the power vested to me under police disciplinary rules 1975, award Constable MUShtag Ahmad No. 1007 major punishment i.e dismissal from service with effect from the date of his absence i.e 21.02.2017.

Order announced.

(Muhammad Irshad) DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, BUNER

OB No. 108

Dated 24/08

CC:

To all dealing hands for necessary action.

كفورفيا - رختال كولس أ مسر ملائلة رطن سول

ورنان گذارش هند بنوی شود کا کوری سے - دوران ڈلولی کی قسم کی لوٹائی بنس کی سے اور اس دوران کی جواسی (موج ولولس) البرات و می میر الله به الكي الله و الى ولوفى وب المالاً وى سرافاً دی سی دوران ڈیوٹی کھی بھی ارسے افران بالدلو خوار كا وقع بنس دمايد . فجه عرصه قبل مايى عرف سما د بها رى les hol bienes is of fir on 2000 les mis un کر میں لوگی نارینہ و د مزیرو نے لیموم سے بروھت اوران بالدلو PoBZonin'our cenedant le 5 à Eule! me sin de la richer see sur vois de la mil se Old we is the control of white in order الفاع عال فول والديم ، در نفرها نن صرف اور حرف ار مین می اور مین مین اور مین مین اور مین کو مین استان کو مین استان کو مین استان کو مین مین مین مین مین مین می 30,000 slocheb/2 1860,000 8 0,0 2/2/2 عين لوازئن بيوى العارض

> الكانال المالك المالك المواديم مان در مع ماله المواديم در م 102-02-00

8088585-1880 8088585-1880

لعتدر البرجة وعوى 7. باعث تحريرآ نكه مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالامین این طرف سے داسطے بیروی وجواب دہی دکل کاردائی متعلقه نصاب منا ، ان مقام مسيد اود كيام على المام الما مقرركر كاقراركيا جاتا ہے۔ كەصاحب موصوف كومقدمه كى كل كارواكى كاكال اختيار ، وكا ينز وكيل صاحب كوراضى نامه كرنے وتقرر ثالت و فيصله برحلف ديسيح جواب دہى اورا قبال دعوى اور بسورت ذکری کرنے اجراء اورصولی چیک وروپیارعرضی دعوی اور درخواست ہرتئم کی تقدیق زرایں پردستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیزصورت عدم پیردی یا ڈگری میکطرف یا بیل کی برایدگی ادرمنسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اپیل نگرانی ونظر ثانی دبیروی کرنے کا ختیار ہوگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کے کل باجزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل ما مختار قانونی کوایے ہمراہ نیا اپنے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار موگا اور صاحب مقرر شده کوجهی و بی جمله مذکور «بااختیا رات حاصل موں مے اور اس کا ساخت برواختة منظور قبول موگا۔ دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چدد ہر جانبالتوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے وہوگا۔ کوئی تاریخ بیتی مقام دوره پر مویا حدے باہر موتو وکیل صاحب پابند موں مے۔ کہ بیروی مذکورکر میں۔لہذاوکالت نامہ کھدیا کے سندرہے،۔ free pto 20 - Eshawa g

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 624/2018

Mushtaq Ahmad Ex-constable of district Buner Appellant

VERSUS

- 1. Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region at Saidu Sharif Swat.
- 2. District Police officer Buner.

...... Respondents

INDEX:

S#	DOCUMENTS	ANNEX	PAGE
1	Para wise comments		1 & 3
3	Affidavit	·	04
2	Authority letter		05
4	Previous Penalty Record	Annex "A,	. 6
5	Charge Sheet, Statement of Allegation	Annex: "B, C"	7-8
6	Finding Report and Enquiry Papers	Annex: "D,E"	9-15
7	Final Show Cause Notice	Annex: "E"	16

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

BUNER

(Respondent No. 02)





Service Appeal No. 624/2018

Mushtaq Ahmad ex-constable of district Buner Appellant

VERSUS

- 1. Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region at Saidu Sharif Swat.
- 2. District Police officer Buner.

..... Respondents

Parawise comments on behalf of respondents.

Respectfully sheweth: Preliminary Objections:-

- 1. That the service appeal is time barred.
- 2. That the service appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 3. That the appellant has got no cause of action and locus standi to file the instant appeal.
- 4. That the service appeal is bad due to mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.
- 5. That the appellant has concealed the material facts from this august tribunal.
- 6. That the appellant has not come to this august tribunal with clean hands.
- 7. That the appellant has been estopped due to his own conduct.
- 8. That no departmental appeal has been filed by the appellant.

ON FACTS:

- 1. Para No.1 relates to the service record of the appellant i-e he is enlisted in police department as constable on 20/12/2010, but in his short term of service there are 5 penalties including major punishment are exist in his service record. (Copies as annex: "A".
- 2. Incorrect. That the absence period of the appellant is willfully because the appellant is habitual absentee, neither the doctor advised him medical rest nor the appellant sent the medical documents to the department for information. The appellant not only admitted his absence but also admitted that he had not submitted any



application or medical prescription. Therefore, the attached medical documents of the appellant are speculative.

- 3. Incorrect. That the appellant has been proceeded departmentally charge sheets, statement of allegations was issued to the appellant. Regular enquiry has been conducted. Final show cause notice was issued to the appellant. Opportunity for self defense was offered to the appellant by respondent No.2 but he did not appeared to explain his plea; therefore, he was rightly dismissed from service. The departmental appeal of the appellant is not available on the record. Copy of charge sheet, statement of allegations, finding report, enquiry papers and final show cause notice as Annex-"B,C,D, E & F".
- 4. That service appeal of the appellant is liable to be dismissed on the following grounds.

✓ GROUNDS

- A. Incorrect. That the impugned orders dated 24/08/2018 are legally and according to the law & Rules.
- B. Incorrect. That all the codal formalities have been fulfilled therefore, the impugned order is according to Law, Rules and justice.
- C. Incorrect. That regularly enquiry has been conducted the enquiry officer called the appellant again and again but the appellant did not appear to the enquiry office to explain his plea and neither he sent the medical documents to the enquiry officer that could be examined / referred to medical board for opinion. Therefore, the whole proceedings and dismissal orders is legal and according to law.
- D. Incorrect. The appellant has been proceeded departmentally charge sheet, statement of allegations were issued to the appellant proper departmental enquiry was conducted. Final show cause notice was also issued to the appellant. Opportunity of personal hearing for self defense was offered, but the appellant could not appear to explain his plea to the competent authority. Therefore, the whole proceedings conducted according to Law, Rules and justice.
- E. Incorrect. The appellant despite calling not appeared to the enquiry officer and neither to the competent authority to explain his compulsion, also he failed to sent

(3)

any application for grant of medical leave, coupled medical documents. Therefore, the whole proceedings are according to the Law & Rules.

- F. Incorrect. As explained in para "D and "E" all the codal formalities have been fulfilled. Therefore, the impugned order is mandatory and according to the Law & Rules.
- G. Incorrect. That the appellant is habitual absentee and not interested to his job, therefore, he was rightly dismissed from service.
- H. Incorrect. The appellant's guilt has been proved and the punishment is not on the basis of conjecture and surmises.
- I. Incorrect. As explained in para D & E opportunity of personal hearing was offered to the appellant but he did not appear to explain his compulsion.
- J. That the respondents also seek permission from this august tribunal to adduce more points / grounds at the time of arguments.

✓ PRAYER:

In view of the above comments on facts and grounds it is most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may graciously be dismissed with costs.

DISTRICT-POLICE OFFICER,

(Respondent No. 02)

/REGIONAL COLICE OFFICER, MALAKAND REGION AT SAIDU SHARIF SWA'

(Respondent No. 01)
Regional Police Officer,

Malakand at Saidu Sharif, Swal.



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 624/2018

Mushtaq Ahmad Ex-constable of district Buner Appellant

VERSUS

- 1. Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region at Saidu Sharif Swat.
- 2. District Police officer Buner.

..... Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

We the above responded do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath that the whole contents of these Para-wise comments are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this August tribunal.

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

(Respondent No. 02)

REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER, MALAKAND BECTON AT SAIDU SHARIF SWAT (Respondent No. 01)

> Regional Police Officer Malakand at Saidu Sharif, Swat.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR CAMP COURT SWAT

Service Appeal No. 624/2018

2tng Ahmad Ex-constable of district Buner Appellant	enta 🗼 🔐
VERSUS	
ual Police Officer, Malakand Rogion at Saidu Sharif Swat. et Police officer Bunct	1. Regie
et Police officer Bunce	2. Disto
Respondents	

AUTHORITY LETTER

We, the above respondent, do hereby authorize and allow Mr. Newsherawan SEL egal to file the accompany parawase comments on our behalf and do whatever is needed in the Court.

DISTRICT POLICE HPFICER,

BUNER.

(Respondent No. 02)

REY KIYAT PAGICE OFFICER, MALAKADE REGION ATSAIDU SIARIF SWAY (Respondent No. 01)

Region of Fire a Petron

Principle 1

(Continued)

Serial No:

15. CENSURES AND PUNISHMENTS

ORDER! Absone from Drity 2 day, 5 with out
Pay OBNO 40
0Po 24 4
18

ORDER

Suspended involed in case FIR No. 221 dated -13/2/2016 4/5 419/420-PPC PS Hoyadahad Pedrawan Wolf DPU OB NO 48 dated 16/03/2016

SPCI) Invest

White Poller Wilce:

Missoned as closes lead with out pay work-will MO Brito 5 dt 12 1:016.

DF 10-08-2016.

elage! involved in Case Gie No: 221, dated: 13-2-2016, UN 418/420-PPC PS Hayataback prinighent: Mojor funishment i.e. Reduction in Pay to Lower Stage of time Scale bone a peniod of the years with unrediate eblect. 0BM: 75

Serial No: 15. CENSURES AND PUNISHMENTS - Contd Charge Assence: himself bown his lawful duty 58 days Lawe with ow. Pay . e. & 01-08 2016 to 27-09 2016. famighment! niver famighment i-e. bine RS! bord-5/2NO: 108 THE STATE OF THE S 7:25/10/16 Foli 1-12/12 11/16 to 27/9/16 Arrow of by 4 allown ho -11/11/16 to 27/9/16 0 DNO 108 dl 58) drys 28 7 to 3/- 1/04 0 DNO 108 dl 25 =16 RIUR. 100.6.44 \$ Po fr ~ ~ ~ ~ 2/2017 action through computer 1/2/17. zecommendodi Order - Dismissed From Jervice OF DSP Dasgan Saddivue Akberr (EO). This Office Memo No. 1231 Invest Daled: - 23 - 08 - 2017 DPO 08 NO 108

NO. 974 /Enquiry.

Dated 7 - 7 /2017.

CHARGE SHEET

I Head of Investigation, Buner as competent authority, under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Disciplinary Rules-1975, hereby charge you Const: Mushtaq Ahmad No.1007 while posted to Police Line Daggar District Buner as follow:-

- 1. You Const: Mushtaq Ahmad No.1007 While posted to Police Line Daggar District Buner it is alleged that You have absented yourself, willfully with effect from 21.02.2017 up till now without leave or prior permission vide DD report No.57, dated 21.02.2017 Police Line daggar. You are habitual absentce, there are five(5) bad entries in service roll form the date of your enlistment i.e 20.12.2010.
- 2. You have already been awarded major punishment, reduction in pay to lower stage of time scale for a period of five years vide District Police Officer OB No.75, dated 10.08.2016, excluding dismissal conditionally i.e during one year any misconduct, inefficiency and negligence against you if reported, you shall be consider as dismissed from service.
- 3. And also fine of Rs.6000/- vide OB No.108, dated 25.08.2016, Your this act amount to gross misconduct, and malafide on your part which rendered, you liable to be proceeded against you departmentally under Police Disciplinary Rules-1975.
- 4. By reasons of the above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in Rule-4 of the Disciplinary Police Rules, 1975.
- 5. You are; therefore, require to submit your written reply within 07 days of the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the Enquiry Officer under Rules-6 Sub Rules (i) (b) of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975.
- 6. Your written reply, if any, should reach the Enquiry Committee within the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and in that case ex-parte action shall follow against you.

7. Intimate as to whether you desire to be heard in person or not?

8. A statement of allegations is enclosed

Head of Investigation, Buner

(4)



Annexture "C"

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

I Head of Investigation, Buner as competent authority, under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Disciplinary Rules-1975, is of the opinion that Const: Mushtaq Ahmad No.1007 while posted to Police Line Daggar District Buner have rendered himself liable to be proceeded against departmentally and committed the following acts/omission as defined in Rule-2 (iii) of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

- 1. You Const: Mushtaq Ahmad No.1007 While posted to Police Line Daggar District Buner it is alleged that You have absented yourself, willfully with effect from 21.02.2017 up till now without leave or prior permission vide DD report No.57, dated 21.02.2017 Police Line daggar. You are habitual absentee, there are five(5) bad entries in service roll form the date of your enlistment i.e 20,12.2010.
- 2. You have already been awarded major punishment, reduction in pay to lower stage of time scale for a period of five years vide District Police Officer OB No.75, dated 10.08.2016, excluding dismissal conditionally i.e during one year any misconduct, inefficiency and negligence against you if reported, you shall be consider as dismissed from service.
- 3. And also fine of Rs.6000/- vide OB No.108, dated 25.08.2016, Your this act amount to gross misconduct, and malafide on your part which rendered, you liable to be proceeded against you departmentally under Police Disciplinary Rules-1975.
- 4. For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of said officer with reference to the above allegations Mr. Saddiq Akbar DSP/Hqr: is appointed as Enquiry Officer under Rules 5 (4) of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975.
- 5. The Enquiry Officer shall conduct proceedings in accordance with provision of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 and shall provide reasonable opportunity of defence and hearing to the accused officer, record its findings and make within ten (10) days of the receipt of this order, recommendation as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused officer under Rules 6 (v) of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975.

6. The accused officer shall join the proceeding on the date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

Head of Investigation,

Buner

OFFICE OF THE HEAD OF INVESTIGATION, BUNER

No. 975-76 /Enquiry, Dated Daggar the 7 / 7/2017 Copy of above is sent to:-

- 1. The Enquiry Officer for initiating proceeding against the accused officer namely under Police Disciplinary Rules, 1975.
- 2. The RI to deliver the Charge sheet upon the defaulter Constable through DFC, obtained his signature and returned to this office, please.

(فائنڈنگ رپورٹ) ،

Annealine-D

بحواله و سپنری ایکشن نمبر 7-975, چارج شیك نمبر : 17/2017 مور خه 7/7/2017 مجاربید دفتر جناب SP صاحب انویسی كيش بونير بنام كنسليبل مشاق احمد نمبر 1007

بحواله وسيلزي ايكشن نمبر 76-975 مجاربيه جناب SP صاحب انوليشي گيشن بونير انكوائيري برخلاف كنستيبل مشاق احمد نمبر 1007 معروض خدمت ہوں کہ گنسٹیبل مشاق 1007 متعینہ پولیس لائن بحوالہ مد 57 روزنامچہ 21/2/2017 غیر عاضر ہوکر بوجہ مسلسل غير حاضري منجانب افسران بالا بمور خه 7/7/2017 ومسلبنري ايكشن ،چارج شيث دفتر زير وستخطى موصول هوكر من دلي ايس پي میر کوارٹر کو اندریں بارہ انکوائیری افسر مقرر کیا گیاہے.

انگوائیری کے سلسلے میں بذریعہ پروانہ نمبری 207/HQ بذریعہ محرر لائن کنسٹیبل مشاق احمد 1007 کو اطلاع دیگئی جسکی تعمیل مذکورہ کے والد سراج اور چیا اشتر خان سے سیکئی تعمیلی ربورٹ لف شامل انکوائیری ہے اسکے علاوہ مذکورہ کنسٹیبل کے والد اور چیا کیساتھ ایکے موبائل نمبرات <u>9704576 970444, 0333 3642444</u> بات ہوئی جنہوں نے اطلاعیابی کے متعلق تسلی کی مذکورہ کے اپنے زیر استعال موبائل فون نمبر:0331 9898302 قلمبندی بیان کے سلسلے میں بات کیگئی ، بذریعہ محرر تھانہ ڈگر بحوالہ نقلمد 22 روزنامیہ 14/7/2017 انجارج گارد ڈگر کلے گنسٹیبل مشاق کو مطلع کیا گیا تاہم باوجود اطلاعیابی کے دفتر طٰذا پیش نہ ہوا اسکے والد نے فون پر جواباً واضح کیا کہ ہم اسکو نوکری کے بارے میں کہتے ہیں تو وہ واضح جواب نہیں دیتا بلکہ نوکری والی بات ٹال دیتاہے

مذکورہ قبل ازیں دفتر انولیٹی گیشن بونیر میں تعینات تھا پولیس لائن تبدیل ہونے کے بعد نوکری میں دلچیبی نہیں لی اور اکثر او قات غیر حاضر رہا جس کو بحوالہ OB نمبر75 مور نه 10/8/2016 پانچ سال سروس سے تنزلی جبکہ OB نمبر108 مور نه 25/8/2016 مبلغ 6000 رویے جرمانہ کا سزاءیاب کیا گیا تاہم سزاء کا اس پر کوئی اثر نہ ہوا اپنی روش کو برقرار رکھتے ہوئے تاحال غیر حاضر چلا آرہا

انگوائیری سے پایا گیا کہ کنسٹیبل مشاق احمد نمبر 1007 نوکری میں دلچین نہیں لے رہا عادی غیر حاضر باش ہے سابقہ ریکارڈ بھی درست نہیں ہے کئی بار سزاء یاب ہو چکا ہے وور آن انکوائیری اسکے جانب سے کسی قشم کا مثبت جواب سامنے نہیں آیا للذا کنسٹیبل مشاق احمہ 1007 کو پولیس ڈسپلزی رولز1975 کے تحت نوکری سے برخاست کیا جائے. اگر اتفاق ہو.

ڈی ایس ٹی ہیڈ کوارٹر بونیر مور خد7/2017

Head of Junestr. Bours,

	تاریخ،وقت کاروائی	نمبر شار
امر وز وفتر جناب SP صاحب انویٹی گیشن بونیر سے چارج ثیث نمبر 974/ENQ بنام کنسٹیبل مشاق احد1007 بسلسلہ غیر حاضری بذریعہ ڈاک موصول ہوئی. رجسٹر انکوائیری سلسلہ 17پر درج کی گئی ہے ۔ سر المالمان کے اسلام المالمان کی ہے۔ سر المالمان کے المالمان کی سلسلہ 100 میں المالمان کی سلسلہ 100 میں المالمان کی سلسلہ 100 میں المالمان کے المالمان کی سلسلہ 100 میں المالمان کی میں المالمان کی سلسلہ 100 میں المالمان کی میں المالمان کی سلسلہ 100 میں المالمان کی میں کی میں المالمان کی میں المالمان کی میں کی کی میں کی میں کی میں کی میں کی کی میں کی کی کی کی کی کی میں کی کی میں کی کی کی کی کی میں کی کی کی کی کی کی کی کر		1
انکوائیری طٰذاکے سلسلے میں پرواند نمبری 207/HQ بابت اطلاعیابی کنسٹیبل مشاق 1007 بجانب لائن /محرر لائن جاری کیگئی. سینسیسیں DSP HQ		2
اس وقت بذریعه ریزر محررلائن کواطلاع دیگئی که کنسٹیبل مشاق 1007 کومطلع کیاجائے. که وه مور خه 11/7/2017 د فتر طذا پیش ہو جائے ۔۔ - DSP HQ	مور خه:77/2017وقت15:10 بجر 	3
پر وانہ جاری شدہ بعد از تعمیل والیں ہو کر جسکی تغمیل والداور چپاہے کیگئی. سے آگھیں۔ پر وانہ جاری شدہ بعد از تعمیل والیں ہو کر جسکی تغمیل والداور چپاہے کیگئی. سے آگھی۔		4
اب تک کنسٹیبل مشاق 1007 بسلسلہ انکوائیری پیش نہ ہو کر بذریعہ ریڈراسکے والدیسے موبائل فون پر بات کی . جس نے واضح کیا کہ وہ گھر پر نہیں ہے . جیسے ہی آئیگا مطلع کر ونگا ۔ ۔ DSP HQ		5
امر وزبسلسلها نکوائیر مذکوره کیساتھ ریڈرنے اسکے زیراستعمل موبائل نمبر 9898302 پربات کی جس کو کل بمور خه 14/7/2017 دفتر طذا پیش ہونے کی صدایت ہوئی. سیسیسکی کے DSP HQ		6
آج كنسٹىبل مشاق1007 بىلىلەا ئكوائىرى باد جوداطلاعيا بى دفتر ھذا پیش نە ہوا. سىد كىستىل مۇئاق 1007 بىلىلە انكوائىرى باد جوداطلاعيا بى دفتر ھذا پیش نە ہوا.	مور خهه:3/7/2017وقت 13:00.	7
امر وز محرر تھانہ ڈ گر کو اطلاع دیکر محرر تھانہ نے بذریعہ انچارج گارو ڈ گر کلے مذکورہ کنٹیبل کو مطلع کیا۔۔۔۔۔۔DSP HQ		8
آج کنسٹیبل مشاق 1007 بسلسله انکوائیری باوجود اطلاعیابی دفتر طدا پیش نه ہوا ہے۔ م		9
امروز ریڈر نے مذکورہ کے والد سراج اور چیا اشتر خان سے بذریعہ موبائل فون رابطہ کیا کہ بیان قلمبندی کے سلسلے میں س وفتر طذا پیش ہوجائے کی سلسلے میں سک وفتر طذا پیش ہوجائے کی الم		10
آج باوجود اطلاع کے مذکورہ کے والد اور کیچا و فتر طفذا نہ آئے ہے۔ DSP HQ	مور خد:18/7/2017 وقت 11:10 بجه	

فعا - عالى

آز د فتر ڈی ایس پی ہیڑ کوارٹر

بروانه

نمبر 207-HO

بجانب لائن آفیسر / محرر لائن نمبری:

مور خد7/7/2017

کمدیم کو کارٹر ہونیر ڈی ایس پی ہیڈ کوارٹر ہونیر مور خہ: 7/7/2017

15/01-9409390-1

03333642999 03333642999 03333642999 05101-0418100-7

النفر فان ولد امير رواي كوزه فير

03339704576

وارش المرادة العلامل الما الما الما المرادة ا

MINIPLID affect

(1) 14/67 13t 122 Nd مجا في المراسم 012 14/3 601 14 67 (13:40 00 SHO C) 11 1/2 21 10 22 10 SHO C) 11/2 (18) 22 13 140 00 SP/HQL 10) HE را در الراع وی کی وسائی وسائی می افزار نام می افزار الراع وی کافت کرد و می افزار می می افزار می می افزار می الروسائی و می الروس ·Wells will 20 of Bleoto c fichely MM PS Deffat
17 7 017 Zorworded. sto 15 Der 880 17/2/012

In a plat Jul (17 17 17 17 11) 1 12! 10 JUME JULS / 29 6/6/1 29 10 fight is if our is his per gen psp solie it the gente To 19 1 1 1 1 1 1007 12 1007 12 1007 12 1007 13 pel So Thomas I double E all you 1/2 2 / fell & jight of which for the sent With Spillinger Day is the sent fel 2 glipp por 81/61 and 65 19-7-017

35.1038

 \mathcal{E} Anno

Dared 12 22 2 203

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

I Darvesh Khan Head of Investigation, Buner as competent authority, under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Disciplinary Rules-1975, do hereby serve you, Constable Mushtaq Ahmad No 1007 of this District Investigation wing Buner as follows:

1. (i) that consequent upon the completion of enquiry conducted against you by the enquiry officer for which you were given opportunity of hearing vide communication No.975-76/Invest; dated 07/07/2017:

(ii) On going through the finding and recommendation of the enquiry officer, the material available on record and other connected papers including your defense before the enquiry officer.

I am satisfied that you have committed the following acts/omissions specified in Rule-3 of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975.

You Constable Mushtaq Ahmad No. 1007 of this District Investigation wing it is alleged that you Constable Mushtaq Ahmad No. 1007 willfully absented with effect from 21.02.2017 up till now with out leave or prior permission vide DD repot No.57, dated 21.02.2017 Police line Daggar. You have already been awarded major punishment, reduction in pay to lower stage of time scale for a period of five years vide DPO OB No.75, dated 10.08.2016, excluding dismissal conditionally i.e during one year ay misconduct, inefficiency and negligence against you if reported, you shall be consider as dismissed from service and also fine of Rs.6000/- vide DPO OB No.108, dated 25.08.206, being a disciplinary force your this act amount to gross misconduct on your part which rendered you liable to be proceeded against departmentally under Police disciplinary Rules-1975.

- As a result therefore, I, Darvesh Khan, Head of Investigation. Buner as a competent authority, have tentatively decided to impose upon you one or more penalties including Dismissal from Service as specified in Rule-4 of the Ibid Rule.
- 3. You, are, thereof, required to show cause as to why the aforesaid penalties should not be imposed upon you and also intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.
- 4. If no reply to this Notice is received within seven (07) days of its delivery, it shall be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case an ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

5. A copy of the findings of the inquiry officer is enclosed.

(DARVESH KHAN)
Head of Investigation,
Buner

Copy to the:

neceived Copy: -

1. Lines Officer with the direction to serve the copy of this Show Cause Nobel and Constable Mushtag Alimad No. 1007 through DFC or Constable and copy thereof may be sent to this Office.

Received By Name: - 15101-4023302 j. Ches 21 6/2000 519nature :- 15101-4023302 j. Ches 21 6/2000

Date

No	/Invest:
Dated 9-8-	/2017

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

I Darvesh Khan Head of Investigation, Buner as competent authority, under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Disciplinary Rules-1975, do hereby serve you, Constable <u>Mushtaq</u> <u>Ahmad No 1007</u> of this District Investigation wing Buner as follows:

- (i) that consequent upon the completion of enquiry conducted against you by the enquiry officer for which you were given opportunity of hearing vide communication No.975-76/Invest:, dated 07/07/2017:
- (ii) On going through the finding and recommendation of the enquiry officer, the material available on record and other connected papers including your defense before the enquiry officer.

I am satisfied that you have committed the following acts/omissions specified in Rule-3 of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975.

You Constable Mushtaq Ahmad No. 1007 of this District Investigation wing it is alleged that you Constable Mushtaq Ahmad No. 1007 willfully absented with effect from 21.02.2017 up till now with out leave or prior permission vide DD repot No.57, dated 21.02.2017 Police line Daggar. You have already been awarded major punishment, reduction in pay to lower stage of time scale for a period of five years vide DPO OB No.75, dated 10.08.2016, excluding dismissal conditionally i.e during one year ay misconduct, inefficiency and negligence against you if reported, you shall be consider as dismissed from service and also fine of Rs.6000/- vide DPO OB No.108, dated 25.08.206, being a disciplinary force your this act amount to gross misconduct on your part which rendered you liable to be proceeded against departmentally under Police disciplinary Rules-1975.

- 2. As a result therefore, I, **Darvesh Khan**, Head of Investigation, Buner as a competent authority, have tentatively decided to impose upon you one or more penalties including **Dismissal from Service** as specified in Rule-4 of the Ibid Rule.
- 3. You, are, thereof, required to show cause as to why the aforesaid penalties should not be imposed upon you and also intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.
- 4. If no reply to this Notice is received within seven (07) days of its delivery, it shall be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case an ex-parte action shall be taken against you.
- 5. A copy of the findings of the inquiry officer is enclosed.

(DARVESH KHAN)
Head of Investigation,

Copy to the:

1. Lines Officer with the direction to serve the copy of this Show Cause Notice upon Constable <u>Mushtaq Ahmad No. 1007</u> through DFC or Constable and copy thereof may be sent to this Office.

اعدال سروس فریسول خبر نخری ای کرد ایما کرد ایما

میں آئے کا کے بیتی تفہور عبالت سور بقریت میں آئے کی کورٹ نیکا ودرا الاقفاء ہوا ۔

میں آئے باک کا وکیل باقی کورٹ نیکا ودرا الاقفاء ہوا ۔

میں دیکر عیدیا ۔ سی مصروف عمل سے عبالہ دعور س

Eg GJó

10-6-2019

بعدالت جناب سروس ٹریبوبنل کیمپ کورٹ گلکد ہسیدونٹر یف سوات

مشاق احمد کسینام سرکار

درخواست بمرادتبديلي تاريخ پيثي

جناب عالی! حسب ذیل عرض ہے۔

۔ یہ کہ مقدمہ عنوان بالا عدالت حضور میں زیر ساعت ہے۔ جس میں آج مورخہ 02/09/2019 تاریخ بیشی مقررہے۔

یہ کہ سائل کا وکیل عظمٰی سید ایڈوکیٹ دیگر مقدمات کے سلسلے میں بیٹاور ہائی کورٹ بیٹاور میں مصروف ہے۔ ،بدیں وجہ عدالت حضور میں بیش ہونے سے قاصر ہے۔

للندااستدعاہے کہ بمنظوری درخواست بندا سائیل کو تبدیلی تاریخ پیشی کا حکم صادر فر مایا جائے۔المرقوم:02/09/2019

عریض عریض مثاق احمد (سائل)

بعدالت جناب سروس ٹریبوبنل کیمپ کورٹ بمقام گلکد ہسیدوشریف سوات

نام سرکا

مشاق احمه

<u> درخواست بمرادتبدیلی تاریخ پیثی</u>

جناب عالی! حسب ذیل عرض ہے۔

ا۔ یہ کہ مقدمہ عنوان بالا عدالت حضور میں زیر ساعت ہے۔ جس میں آج مورخہ 04/11/2019 تاریخ پیشی مقرر ہے۔

ا۔ بیر کہ سائل کا وکیل عظمیٰ سید ایڈوکیٹ دیگر مقدمات کے سلسلے میں پشاور ہائی کورٹ پشاور میں مصروف ہے۔ ،بدیں وجہ عدالت حضور میں پیش ہونے سے قاصر ہے۔

لہذااستدعاہے کہ بمنظوری درخواست ہذا سائیل کو تبدیلی تاریخ پیشی کا تھم صادر فرمایا جائے۔المرقوم: 04/11/2019

عریض مثاق احد (سائل)

بعدالت جناب سروس ٹریبوبنل کیمپ کورٹ بمقام گلکد ہسیدوشریف سوات

م سرکا

مشاق احمه

<u> درخواست بمراد تبدیلی تاریخ پیثی</u>

جناب عالى! حسب ذيل عرض ہے۔

- ۔ بید کہ مقدمہ عنوان بالا عدالت حضور میں زیر ساعت ہے۔ جس میں آج مورخہ 04/03/2020 تاریخ بیشی مقررہے۔
- ۲۔ یہ کہ لار جزئینی پیثا ورجو کہ مورخہ 12/03/2020 کو مقررہے۔ بدیں وجہ سائل کو فدکورہ لا رجز بیٹی کے بعد تاریخ بیثی دینے کا حکم صا در فر مایا جائے۔

لبذااستدعاہے کہ بمنظوری درخواست ہذا سائیل کو تبدیلی تاریخ پیشی کا حکم صادر فر مایا جائے۔المرقوم:04/03/2020

عریض مشاق احمد (سائل)