Statement of Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Advocate counsel for petitioner, on oath:

Stated that as per instructions of my client, order of this Tribunal has been fully satisfied. I, therefore, request that the same may be filed as per law.

R.O & A.C

Dated: 10.12.2020

Mr. Tamur Ali Khan Advocate

Rozina Rehman) Member (J) 22.10.2020

Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents is present.

Since the Members of the High Court as well as of the District Bar Association Peshawar are observing strike today, therefore, the case is adjourned to 10.12.2020 on which date to come up for further proceedings before S.B.

(Muhammad Jamal Khan) Member (Judicial)

10.12.2020

Taimur Ali Khan Advocate present on behalf of petitioner.

Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General respondents present.

At the very outset this Tribunal was informed that orders passed in favor of the present petitioner has been fully satisfied, he, therefore, made a request that the execution proceedings may be filed.

To this effect, statement of learned counsel was recorded and his signature was obtained thereon.

In view of the above, the present execution proceedings stand filed being fully satisfied. File be consigned to the record room.

Announced. 10.12.2021

> (Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

09.07.2020

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney for the respondents present.

This is an application for restoration of Execution Petition No. 48/2020.

The record shows that on 30.01.2020 the matter was adjourned sine-die with the permission to parties for submission of application for restoration, as and when required.

The application is allowed and the proceedings are restored to original number. To come up for further proceedings on 08.09.2020 before S.B.

Chairman

300(12)

08.09.2020

Counsel for the petitioner and Addl. AG present.

Learned counsel for petitioner requests for time to seek fresh instructions from his client. Adjourned to 22.10.2020. The respondents shall also be given notice for the next date to apprise this Tribunal regarding progress, if any, made towards implementation of the judgment.

Form-A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of			
Restoration Application No.	48	/2020	

S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2 .	3
1	12.02.2020	The application for restoration of Execution Petition No. 171/2019 submitted by Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please.
2		This restoration application is entrusted to S. Bench to be put up there on 06/03/2000 CHAIRMAN
06.0	3.2020	Learned counsel for the petitioner present. Notice be issued to the respondents for reply on 14.04.2020 before S.B. (Hussain Shah) Member
14.04.20	i:	Due to public holiday on account of COVID-19, the case adjourned to 09.07.2020 for the same. To come up for the same; as before S.B.

30.01.2020 Nemo for the petitioner. On the previous date too, the petitioner was absent. Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General present.

Copy of office order dated 24.10.2019 is available on file and perusal of the same would show that the petitioner has been reinstated in service while the issue of back benefits will be decided/settled after the outcome of de-novo inquiry.

In view of above, the present execution petition is adjourned sine die. File of the present execution petition may be kept dormant in the record room till further orders. Either party may apply for restoration/revival of the instant execution petition.

Member

Petitioner in person present. Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith representative Anwar Khan SDFO present. Representative submitted copy of Notification dated 24.10.2019 in relation to the implementation of judgment of this Tribunal and judgment of Apex Court. Petitioner seeks adjournment Lawyers community is on strike of the call of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Bar Council. Adjourn. To comë up for further proceedings on 03.12.2019 before S.B.

Member

13.11.2019

Petitioner alongwith counsel and Addl. AG alongwith Muhammad Anwar, SDFO for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for petitioner requests for time to submit written objections in respect of notification dated 24.10.2019.

Adjourned to 17.12.2019 before S.B.

Chairman

17.12.2019

Nemo for petitioner. Addl. AG alongwith Iltaf Qureshi, SDFO for the respondents present.

To come up for further proceedings on 30.01.2020 before S.B.

23.09.2019

Petitioner in person and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional AG alongwith Mr. Muhammad Anwar Khan, SDFO for the respondents present.

Representative of the department produced copy of letter dated 19.09.2019 whereby the case for implementation of judgment in favour of the petitioner has been set in motion. He requests for further time for submission of implementation report. Adjourned to 17.10.2019 on which date implementation report shall positively be submitted. Else, punitive action would be initiated against the concerned respondents under the law.

CHAIRMAN

17.10.2019

Petitioner alongwith counsel and Addl. AG alongwith Muhammad Anwar, SDFO for the respondents present.

The representative of respondents states that the competent authority had approved the reinstatement of petitioner, however, a formal notification in that regard is yet to be issued. He therefore, requests for a short adjournment.

Adjourned to 29.10.2019 on which date the copy of requisite notification/implementation report shall positively be produced before the Tribunal. Else, the defaulting respondent shall be proceeded against in accordance with law.

05.07.2019

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ziaullah, DDA alongwith Mr. Muhammad Anwar, SDFO for respondents present.

Representative of the respondents produced a copy of an application moved by Advocate on record, Supreme Court of Pakistan for early hearing of CPLA no. 168-P/2019 in the Supreme Court of Pakistan and the same is placed on record. As per practice invogue, respondents are directed to either get the judgment of this Tribunal date d 17.12.2018 suspended from the Supreme Court of Pakistan or produce provisional implementation order. Case to come up for further proceedings on 15.08.2019 before S.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member

26.08.2019

Petitioner in person and Addl. AG alongwith M/S Faizullah, DFO and Muhammad Anwar Khan, SDFO for the respondents present.

The representative of the respondents states that CPLA submitted against the judgment under implementation stands dismissed by the Apex Court on 25.07.2019. Thereafter, opinion of the S.O (Litigation) has been sought regarding implementation of the judgment of the Tribunal.

This Tribunal has no concern with the internal correspondence of the respondents. As a matter of fact, the judgment under implementation has attained finality and warrants its execution in letter & spirit in accordance with law. The respondents are, therefore, required to submit an implementation report on next date of hearing, failing which punitive action would be taken against the concerned respondents under the law.

Adjourned to 23.09.2019 before S.B.

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of		
Evacution Potition No.	171/2010	

Execution	Petition	No.

	Executi	on Petition No. 171/2019
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	04.4.2019	The execution petition of Mr. Abdul Manan submitted today by Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Advocate may be entered in the relevant
		register and put up to the Court for proper order please. REGISTRAR 4419
2-	09/04/19	This execution petition be put up before S. Bench on
		CHAIRMAN
06.0	5.2019	Counsel for the petitioner present. Notice be issued to the
	respon	dents for implementation report for 14.06.2019 before S.B.
		(MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI MEMBER
:		
14.06	.2019	Petitioner in person and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional A
	alongv	ith Mr. Muhammad Anwar Khan, SDFO for the respondent
_	•	t Implementation report not submitted. Representative of the
		ment is directed to furnish implementation report on the nex
	date p	ositively. Adjourned to 05.07.2019 for implantation report
,	before	S.B. (MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI) MEMBER

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. /// /2019 In Service Appeal No.1244/2016 Diary No. 416 X Dated 4-4-19 &

Abdul Manan Forester, Upper Kohistan, Forest Division, Dassu District, Kohistan.

PETITIONER

VERSUS

- 1. Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Forest, K.P.K Peshawar.
- 3. Divisional Forest Officer, Upper Kohistan, Forest Division, Kohistan at Dassu.

RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT DATED 17.12.2018 OF THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- 1. That the petitioner has filed service appeal No.1244/2016 against the order dated 30.06.2016, whereby the major penalty of compulsory retirement was imposed upon the petitioner and against not taking action on the review petition of the petitioner within the stipulated period of ninety days.
- 2. The said appeal was finally heard by this august Service Tribunal on 17.12.2018 and the august Service Tribunal set aside Impugned order dated 30.06.2016 and the petitioner was reinstated into service. Respondents were directed to conduct de-novo inquiry strictly in accordance with law and rules within a period of 90 days from the date of the receipt of the judgment. The issue of back benefits shall be subject to the outcome of the denovo inquiry. (Copy of judgment dated 17.12.2018 is attached as Annexure-A)

- 3. That since the announcement of the judgment, the petitioner waited for more than 90 days to implement judgment dated 17:12.2018 of this Honourable Service Tribunal, but the respondents neither reinstated petitioner nor conducted denovo inquiry within the stipulated period of 90 days according to the judgment of this Honourable Tribunal. The petitioner also submitted his arrival report but no action has been taken on his arrival report by the respondent department.
- 4. That in-action and not fulfilling formal requirements by the department after passing the judgment of this august Service Tribunal, is totally illegal amount to disobedience and Contempt of Court.
- 5. That the judgment is still in the field and has not been suspended or set aside by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore, the department is legally bound to obey the judgment dated 17.12.2018 of this Honourable Service Tribunal in letter and spirit.
- 6. That the petitioner has having no other remedy except to file this execution petition.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may be directed to implement the judgment dated 17.12.2018 of this august Service Tribunal in letter and spirit. Any other remedy, which this august Service Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also be awarded in favour of petitioner.

PETITIONER-

THROUGH:

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT &

(ASAD MAHMOOD) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of the execution petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.



DEPONENT

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No.	_/2019
In Service Appeal No.1244/20	16

Abdul Manan

VS

Forest Deptt;

APPLICATION FOR RESTRAINING THE RESPONDENTS TO CONDUCT DENOVO INQUIRY AFTER THE LAPSE OF STIPULATED PERIOD 90 DAYS GIVEN IN THE JUDGMENT DATED 17.12.2018.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- 1. That the applicant/petitioner has filed service appeal No.1244/2016 against the order dated 30.06.2016, whereby the major penalty of compulsory retirement service was imposed upon the applicant/petitioner and against not taking action on the review petition of the applicant/petitioner within the stipulated period of ninety days.
- 2. That the said appeal was finally heard by this august Service Tribunal on 17.12.2018 and the august Service Tribunal set aside Impugned order dated 30.06.2016 and the applicant/petitioner was reinstated into service. Respondents were directed to conduct de-novo inquiry strictly in accordance with law and rules within a period of 90 days from the date of the receipt of the judgment. The issue of back benefits shall be subject to the outcome of the denovo inquiry.
- 3. That Registrar of this august Service Tribunal has sent the judgment to the respondents through the letter dated 31.12.2018 and after lapse of stipulated period of 90 days given in the judgment dated 17.12.2018, the respondents did not conduct inquiry against the appellant within the stipulated period of 90 days. (Copy of letter dated 31.12.2018 is attached as Annexure-R-1)

It is therefore most humbly prayed that on the acceptance of this application, the respondents may kindly be directed to reinstate the applicant/petitioner into service and restrain them to conduct denovo inquiry against the applicant/petitioner after the lapse of stipulated period 90 days given in the judgment dated 17.12.2018.

APPLICANT/PETITIONER

THROUGH:

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN)
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT
&

(ASAD MAHMOOD) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of the application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPONENT



BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, K.P.K. PESHAWAR Appael no 1244/201

Abdul Manan Forester, Upper Kohistan Forest Division, Dassu, District Kohistan.....Appellant

Dinry No. 1284

Dated 13-12-20/6

Versus

Chief Minister, K.P.K. Peshawar.

2) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Forest, K.P.K. Peshawar.

3) Divisional forest Officer, Kohistan Forest Division, Kohistan at Dassu.....Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 AGAINST THE ORDER OF RESPONDENT NO. VIDE WHICH THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN RETIRED COMPULSORY FROM SERVICE AND WAS ALSO DIRECTED TO PAY RS, 897,900/- BY WAY OF PAY.

PRAYER: -

Fledto-day

13/12/16

On acceptance of appeal the impugned order of compulsory retirement may kindly be set aside and the appellant may kindly be re-instated in service.

Respectfully sheweth!

The brief facts framing the back ground of the instant appeal are arrayed Re-out to day as follows: -

Pechanar

ATTESTED

Registray

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUAL, PESHAWAR CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD. Khyber

Service Appeal No. 1244/2016

Date of Institution

13.12.2016

Date of Decision

17.12.2018

Abdul Manan Forester, Upper Kohistan Forest Division, Dassu, District Kohistan.
(Appellant)

VERSUS

Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and two others.

(Respondents)

MR. SHAD MUHAMAMD KHAN,

Advocate

MR. ABDUL SABOOR KHAN

Advocate

For appellant.

MR.USMAN GHANI,

District Attorney

For respondents

MR. AHMAD HASSAN.

MR. HAMID FAROOQ DURRANI

MEMBER(Executive)

CHAIRMAN

JUDGMENT.

AHMAD HASSAN, MEMBER:-

This judgment shall dispose of the instant service appeal as well as connected service appeal no. 927/2015 titled Jamair Khan, appeal no. 926/2015 titled Naseeb Khan, appeal no. 1247/2016 titled Asghar Khan, appeal no. 1246/2016 titled Umar Khan and service appeal no. 795/2015 titled Mohammad Tariq Khan as similar question of law and facts are involved therein.

2. Arguments of the learned counsel for the parties heard and record perused.

<u>FACTS</u>

3. The brief facts are that the appellant was serving as Forester in Forest Division Kohistan. On the allegations of involvement in corrupt practices an enquiry was conducted and upon culmination major penalty of compulsory retirement was imposed on

ATTESTED

Peshawar

2

him vide impugned order dated 30.06.2016. Feeling aggrieved he filed departmental appeal on 15.08.2016, which was not responded, hence, the instant service appeal on 13.12.2016.

ARGUMENTS

- Learned counsel for the appellant argued that he was proceeded departmentally and upon winding up of proceedings major penalty of compulsory retirement was imposed on him. Enquiry was not conducted in accordance with the spirit of E&D Rules 2011. The appellant and others through an application submitted a list of witnesses to the Chairman Enquiry Committee for examination but no need was paid to it. He further argued that the appellant submitted an application to the Chairman Enquiry Committee that ex Mr. Shah Wazir Khan, Member Enquiry Committee had personal grudge against him and was hell beht to punish the appellant. A request was made to depute some other member for conducting the enquiry. This fact was also highlighted in para-9 of the reply to the show cause notice but was not considered by the computent authority. By not considering his requests, the inquiry report appeared to be biased and against the norms of fairness/justice.
- 5. Learned District Attorney, at the very outset raised objection on the maintainability of the appeal in hand. He stated that the impugned order was passed on 30.06.2016 while departmental appeal was filed on 15.08.2016, is departmental appeal was not filed within the given deadline, so the same was barred by time. He further argued that even on merits all the codal formalities were observed before passing the impugned order.

CONCLUSION.

6. So far as the issue of maintainability of the present appeal a concerned, impugned order dated 30.06.2016 was received by the appellant on 05.08.2016, as is evident from a copy of the impugned order containing endorsements to the quarters concerned and

A

provided by the learned counsel for the appellant. Before touching the merits of the case, we deem it appropriate to touch the important issue of objections raised by the appellant on Mr. Shah Wazir, Member Enquiry Committee, but were not considered by the competent authority. It was also reiterated in reply to the show cause notice. He had given solid proof showing bias/prejudice of the above member towards the appellant and had made up his mind to punish him. The principle of natural justice demanded that his request should have been considered for the purpose of fair/transparent inquiry and to meet the ends of justice. By ignoring his request the enquiry report had not only become disputed but smacked of malafide, malice and distrust on the part of the said Member. On this score alone, it is a valid worth consideration case for de-novo enquiry. In these circumstances we would not like other dilate on other deficiencies in the inquiry report.

As a sequel to above, the impugned order dated 30.06.2016 in respect of appellants Abdul Manan, Umer Khana and Asghar Khan, order dated 02.04.2015 in respect of appellant Naseeb Khan and order dated 12.03.2015 in respect of appellants Jamair Khan and Muhammad Tariq Khan are set aside and the appellants are reinstated in service. The respondents are directed to conduct de-novo enquiry strictly in accordance with law and rules within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of this judgment. The issue of back benefits shall be subject to the outcome of the de-novo enquiry. The appeal is disposed of accordingly. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

Announced

- St. Ahred Hassan, Marshar Massall St. Hamid Farors Dursans, Chirsmans

Date of Delivery of Copy.

	13400 of Precentation of the project of
Cerrica .	Number of Words 1600
	Copying Fee 10 50
	Urgant 200
	Total
Pacific Administration of the Control of the Contro	Name of Con

03-04-19

03-04-18

KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

No. 34 83/ST

Dated $\frac{31/12/2018}{}$

To:

The Secretary Forest Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Peshawar.

Subject: -

JUDGMENT IN APPEAL NO. 1244/2016, MR. ABDUL MANAN & OTHERS.

I am directed to forward herewith a certified copy of Judgement dated 17.12.2018 passed by this Tribunal on the above subject for strict compliance.

Encl: As above

REGISTRAR KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Alterbut

بعدالت سروس بنر بهرظ لرشاور

مرالین ن عدر مهای بنام قلم و میار ر

مورخه مقدمه دعویٰ جرم

باعث تحريرة نكه

مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالا میں اپی طرف بے واسط پیردی و جواب دہی وکل کاروائی متعلقہ اس مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالا میں اپی طرف کے اس مقرر کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز وکیل صاحب کوراضی نامہ کرنے وتقر رثالث و فیصلہ برصاف دیئے جواب دہی اورا قبال دعوی اور بیار عضی دعوی اور درخواست ہرتم کی تصدیق بصورت و گری کرنے اجراء اوروسول چیک وروبیدارع ضی دعوی اور درخواست ہرتم کی تصدیق زرایں پردسخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم پیردی یا وگری کی طرفہ یا ابیل کی برامد گی اور منسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اپیل گرانی ونظر ثانی و پیروی کرنے کا مختار ہوگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ نیک واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار ہوگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت تقررکا اختیار ہوگا۔ اورصاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ نہ کورہ با اختیارات عاصل ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ پرداختہ منظور و تبول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جو خرچہ ہرجانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے وہوگا۔کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہو یا حدسے باہر ہوتو و کیل صاحب پاہند ہوں

Acepter St.

٥١

المرقوم

واه

گے۔ کہ بیروی مذکور کریں ۔ لہذا و کالت نامہ کھھدیا کہ سندر ہے۔

<u>IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN</u> Appellate Jurisdiction 20/6/2019

•		r
CMA.	NO	 /2019.

IN

CPLA NO. 168-P/2019

Chief Minister through its Princial Secretary KPK Peshawar & others

______PETITIONERS

Abdul Manan

_____RESPONDEN

APPLICATION FOR EARLY HEARING AND TRANSFER OF CPLA No. 168-P/2019 FROM REGISTRY BRANCH OF PESHAWR TO PRINCIPLAL SEAT AT ISLAMABAD.

RESPECTFULLY SEWETH:

- 1. That the petitioners have filed the above noted CPLA along-with stay application in the Registry Branch at Peshawar of this august Court.
- 2. That no date has yet been fixed in the case for hearing due to non-availability of the bench at the Registry Branch at Peshawar.
 - 3. That the respondent has initiated implementation proceeding before the learned Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar wherein notice has been issued to the petitioners for implementation and compliance report for 05-07-2019. (Copy of the order is attach).

That the learned Klnyber Pukhtunkhnea Service Tribunal Peshawar is stressing for implementation of the impugned order in the CPLA mentioned above which is pending before this august Court at branch Registry at Peshawar.

That if the above mentioned CPLA is not fixed earlier for hearing the very purpose of the CFLA would be lost and the petitioners will face the execution proceeding of the Service Tribunal Peshawar.

That the facts and circumstances explain above an early data of hearing and transfer of case from Registry Branch at Peshawar to the Principal seal at Islamubad nury please he order to save the petitioners from inconvenience.

That it is in the interest of justice and fair play if this august Court is pleased to fix the dirive mentioned CPLA for early hearing and transfer as convenient to this August Court.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the above mentioned CPLA along-with stay application may kindly be transfer from Pesinawar Registry Branch to Principal seat at Islamahad for early hearing and fixation as convenient to this August Ceurl,

Drawn & Filed by

Through

Hah Saadullah Jundoli Advocate on Record Supreme Court of Pakistan For Government/Petitioners

Form- A

		FORM	OF ORI	DER SHEE	ET		and the state of t
	Court	of					-1-17
	Execution	on Petition No.	171/20)19			
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order o	or other pro	ceedings with	signature of	judge	
1	2			3			
1	04.4.2019	The extended by Mr. Taimur register and pu	r Ali Khan		y be entered	I in the relev	
	ν.	<u>-</u> .			REGISTR	AR Y JY	119
2-	09/04/19	This e _06/05/19	execution	petition be p	out up befor	Jui.	n
06.0		Counsel for the lents for imple			Notice be	e issued to before S.B. KHAN KUI	
14.06	.2019	etitioner in per	rson and l	Mr Kabirull	ah Khattak	Additional	
	alongwi present departm date po before S	th Mr. Muhan Implementation ent is directed sitively. Adjo	nmad An on report I to furni ourned to	war Khan, sonot submit sh impleme 05.07.2019	SDFO for ted. Representation reports for implementation for implementation for implementations and the second seco	the respond sentative of ort on the antation re KHAN KUN	lents f the next

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FORESTRY, ENVIRONMENT & WILDLIFE DEPARTMENT (LITIGATION SECTION)

SO(Lit:)/E.D/3-235/2015 Dated Peshawar: 19/09/2019.

To

The Conservator of Forests, Upper Hazara Circle, Mansehra.

Subject:

EXECUTION PETITION NO. 155/2019 & 174/2019 MUHAMMAD TARIO KHAN AND ASGHAR KHAN VERSUS GOVERNMENT.

I am directed to refer to your letter No. 1613-14/E dated: 04-09-2019 on

the subject noted above and to state that a summary of the subject case has already been moved to the Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for approval/implementation of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal/Supreme Court Judgment dated: 25th July, 2019, being competent authority, when outcome of the of the said summary received the tribunal will be informed accordingly.

Section Officer (Litigation)

Endst: of even No. & Date please

Copy forwarded to the:

- 1. Chief Conservator of Forests Central Southern Region-I, Peshawar w/r to CF-Upper Hazara Circle Mansehra letter quoted above.
- 2. Chief Conservator of Forests Northern Region-II, Abbottabad w/r to CF-Upper Hazara Circle Mansehra letter quoted above.
- 3. Divisional Forest Officer Upper Kohistan at Dassu.
- 4 Section Officer (Establishment) Forestry Environment & Wildlife Department.
- 5. Section Officer (Litigation-I) Establishment Department, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for information please.

6. PS to Secretary Forestry Environment & Wildlife department for information please.

Section Officer (Litigation)



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FORESTRY, ENVIRONMENT & WILDLIFE DEPARTMENT

Dated Peshawar the, 24th October, 2019

NOTIFICATION

7412-16

No.SO(Estt)FE&WD/1-50(69)/2019/PF: In compliance with judgment dated 17.12.2018 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal in service appeal No.1244/2016 and decision dated 25th July, 2019 of Supreme Court of Pakistan in Civil Petition No.168-P to 173-P of 2019, the competent authority is pleased to withdraw this department earlier Notification No.SO(Estt)FE&WD/1-8/Tariq DFO/2014/2572-74 dated 30.06.2016 imposing thereby the major penalty of "Compulsory Retirement from Service and Recovery of Rs. 8,97,900/- from Mr. Abdul Manan, Block Officer (BS-07) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Forest Department with immediate effect.

Consequent upon above, the competent authority is further pleased to reinstate in service Mr. Abdul Manan, Block Officer (BS-07), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Forest Department with immediate effect. The issue of back benefits will be decided/settled after the outcome of the de novo inquiry.

CHIEF MINISTER KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Endst: No: SO (Estt)FE&WD/1-50(69)/2019/PF:

Dated Pesh 24th October, 2019

Copy is forwarded to:-

- 1) Chief Conservator of Forests, Central Southern Forest Circle, Peshawar.
- 2) Chief Conservator of Forests, Northern Forest Region-II, Abbottabad.
- 3) Director, Budget and Accounts Cell, FE&W department.
- 4) PS to Secretary, FE&W Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5) Mr. Abdul Manan, Block Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Forest Department C/o CCF-I, Peshawar.
- 6) Master file.
- Office order file.

(Zia-ur-Rahman) SECTION OFFICER (ESTT)

79 N250

BEFORE THE KPK, SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR,

RestNO 48/2020

Execution Petition No. 171/2019



Abdul Manan

V/S

Forest Deptt:

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF APPLICATION WHICH WAS ADJOURNED SINE DIE 30.01.2020.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- 1. That the petitioner has filed the instant Execution Petition in this august Service Tribunal to implement the judgment dated 17.12.2018 of this Honourable Tribunal in letter and spirit.
- 2. That instant petition was fixed on 30.01.2020, however due to strike the counsel for the petitioner could not appear before the Tribunal and the Honourable Tribunal has adjourned sine die the instant execution petition on the date fixed. (Copy of order sheet dated 30.01.2020 is attached)
- 3. That the judgment dated 17.12.2018 was not fully implemented by the respondents as after the lapse about more than one year the respondent department did not conduct inquiry against the petitioner as per direction of the august service Tribunal due to which the issue of back benefits is not decide till date, therefore the petitioner wants to restore the instant execution petition for implementation of judgment dated 17.12.2018 in true letter and spirit.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application, the appeal may kindly be restored which was adjourned sine die on 30.01.2020. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that may also be awarded in favour of the appellant.

THROUGH:

PETITIONER

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 17/ In Service Appeal No.1244/2016

17/ 2019 q A W 7

Diary No. 456 Dated 4-4-19 D

Abdul Manan Forester, Upper Kohistan, Forest Division, Dassu District, Kohistan.

PETITIONER

VERSUS

- 1. Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Forest, K.P.K Peshawar.
- 3. Divisional Forest Officer, Upper Kohistan, Forest Division, Kohistan at Dassu.

RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT DATED 17.12.2018 OF THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- 1. That the petitioner has filed service appeal No.1244/2016 against the order dated 30.06.2016, whereby the major penalty of compulsory retirement was imposed upon the petitioner and against not taking action on the review petition of the petitioner within the stipulated period of ninety days.
 - The said appeal was finally heard by this august Service Tribunal on 17.12.2018 and the august Service Tribunal set aside Impugned order dated 30.06.2016 and the petitioner was reinstated into service. Respondents were directed to conduct de-novo inquiry strictly in accordance with law and rules within a period of 90 days from the date of the receipt of the judgment. The issue of back benefits shall be subject to the outcome of the denovo inquiry. (Copy of judgment dated 17.12.2018 is attached as Annexure-A)

EXAMINER
Khyber Flahtunkhwa
Service Tribunal,
Peshawar

2.

30.01.2020

Nemo for the petitioner. On the previous date too, the petitioner was absent. Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General present.

Copy of office order dated 24.10.2019 is available on file and perusal of the same would show that the petitioner has been reinstated in service while the issue of back benefits will be decided/settled after the outcome of de-novo inquiry.

In view of above, the present execution petition is adjourned sine die. File of the present execution petition may be kept dormant in the record room till further orders. Either party may apply for restoration/revival of the instant execution petition.

Contined to be pare copy

EXAMMER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal.
Peshawar

Date of Presentation of Presen