16.07.2020

None for the petitioner present. Addl: AG for respondents present.

On the previous date of hearing the case was adjourned through Reader note, therefore, the office shall issue notice to the petitioner and his counsel.

Adjourned to 18.08.2020 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member(E)

18.08.2020

None for the petitioner present. Mr. Ziaullah, DDA alongwith Mr. Abdul Wahid, AD for respondent No.2 present.

AAG is directed to make sure that the respondents produce[®] before the court implementation report on the next date.

Adjourned to 08.10.2020 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member(E)

08.10.2020

Nemo for petitioner. Addl. AG alongwith Fazle Subhan, SO for the respondents present.

The representative of respondents has provided copy of order dated 29.06.2020 passed by the Apex Court in Civil Appeal No. 195-P/2020. The respected court has been pleased to allow the appeal and set aside the judgment under implementation. Placed on record. The proceedings are resultantly consigned to record.

Chairr

03.02.2019

Petitioner with counsel present. Addl: AG alongwith Mr. Fazal Subhan, SO for respondents present.

The respondents failed to act according to the directions contained in order sheet dated 18.12.2019. Last opportunity is granted to them to submit implementation report in pursuance to the order sheet referred to above. To come up for further proceedings on 16.03.2020 before S.B.

17.03.2020

Clerk to counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak learned Addl. AG for the respondents present. Implementation report not submitted. Learned Addl. AG seeks time to furnish implementation report. To come up for further proceedings on 23.04.2020 before S.B.

Member

nber

23.04.2020

Due to public holiday on account of COVID-19, the case is adjourned to 16.07.2020 for the same. To come up for the same as before S.B.

Reader

14.11.2019

Counsel for the petitioner and Addl. AG alongwith Fazle Subhan, S.O for the respondents present.

Representative of respondent No. 1 once again requests for further time to do the needful as noted in the order dated 15.10.2019.

Adjourned to 18.12.2019 on which date the respondents shall come up with a clear stance regarding the implementation status.

18.12.2019

Petitioner with counsel and Addl. AG alongwith Fazle Subhan, S.O for the respondents present.

The representative of respondents states that a CPLA against the judgment under implementation has been preferred before the Apex Court in which a date of hearing is yet to be fixed.

In case the judgment under implementation is not suspended or set aside by the Apex Court till next date of hearing the respondents shall produce the implementation report.

Adjourned to 03.02.2020 before S.B.

Chairma

Chairman

22.08.2019

Petitioner along his counsel and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional AG for the respondents present. Representative of the department is absent therefore, notices be issued to the respondents with the direction to direct the representative to attend the court and submit implementation report on the next date. Adjourned to 19.09.2019 for implementation report before S.B.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member

18.09.2019

Nemo for the petitioner.

19

Notices be issued to petitioner as well as respondents. To come up for implementation report on 15.10.2019 before S.B.

Chairman

15.10.2019

Petitioner alongwith counsel and Addl. AG alongwith Fazle Subhan, S.O for the respondents present.

The representative of respondent No. 1 requests for time to provide information regarding the status of implementation of judgment under execution.

Adjourned to 14.11.2019 before S.B.

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of____

Execution Petition No. 240/2019

Order or other proceedings with signature of judge S.No. Date of order proceedings 3 1 2 The execution petition of Mr. Bahadar Zaman submitted 12.06.2019 1 today by Mr. Mukamal Shah Taskin Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please. REGISTRAR 12 6 18 This execution petition be put up before S. Bench on 2-13/06/19 01/07/19 CHAIRMAN 01.07.2019 Petitioner in person present. Notices be issued to the respondents for implementation report for 22.08.2019 before SB. (MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI) **MEMBER**

BEFORE THE HON'ABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Application No. ____/2019 Execution Petition No. 240/2019 In Re S.A 386/2017

Bahadar Zaman

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others

<i>S</i> #	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Grounds of Appeal		1-2
2.	Affidavit.		3
3.	Addresses of Parties.		4
4.	Copy of S.A # 386-P/2017 dated 19/02/2019	"A"	5-14
5.	Wakalatnama		15

INDEX

Dated: 12-06-2019

Through

Petitioner Mukamil Shah Taskin

Advocate High Court Peshawar.

BEFORE THE HON'ABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR Execution Petition No. 240/2019

Application No. ____/2019 In Re S.A 386/2017

£

Khyber Pakhtukhwa -Service Tribunal Diary No. 662 12-6-2019

Bahadar Zaman S/O Zafar Khan, R/O Ex-HM-GHS Rashid Garhi, Peshawar.

-----(Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary (Elementary and Secondary) Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Director (Elementary and Secondary) Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3. Section Officer (HRD-II) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Establishment Department, Peshawar.

·····(Respondents).

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTING THE ORDERS/ JUDGMENT OF THIS AUGUST Tribunal IN S.A# 386-P/2017 DATED 19/02/2019.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

Filedto-day

Registrar

 That the Petitioner filed a S.A # 386-P/2017 against the Respondent, which was accepted vide order / judgment dated 19/02/2019 by this August Tribunal. (Copy of S.A # 386-P/2017 dated 19/02/2019 is annexed herewith as annexure – "A").

- 2. That certificate copy of the order mention above has already been sent/handed over to the Respondents by this Tribunal vide diary No. 375, dated 05/03/2019, received in the office of the Respondent on 12/03/2019, for its implementation.
- 3. That the Respondents were requested time and again but the Respondents were so far not implemented the aforesaid order of this Hon'ble Tribunal, in its true litter and spirit hence the instant application.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the Respondent may be graciously be directed to implement the order judgment or this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Any other relief which not specifically asked in the circumstances may also be awarded to the Petitioner.

Dated: 12-06-2019

Petitioner

Through

Mukamil Shah Taskin Advocate High Court Peshawar

NOTE :-

As per information of my client no such like petition has been earlier filed before this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Advocate

BEFORE THE HONABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Application No. ____/2019 In Re S.A 386/2017

Bahadar Zaman

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others

AFFIDAVIT

I, Bahadar Zaman S/O Zafar Khan, R/O Ex-HM-GHS Rashid Garhi, Peshawar, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the accompanied application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed or withheld from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

DEPONENT

Identified By :

Mukamil Shah Taskeen Advocate High Court Peshawar.

BEFORE THE HON'ABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Application No. ____/2019 In Re S.A 386/2017

Bahadar Zaman

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others

ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

Petitioner.

Bahadar Zaman S/O Zafar Khan, R/O Ex-HM-GHS Rashid Garhi, Peshawar.

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary (Elementary and Secondary) Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Director (Elementary and Secondary) Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3. Section Officer (HRD-II) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Establishment Department, Peshawar

Dated: 12-06-2019

Petitioner Through

Mukamil Shah Taskin Advocate High Court Peshawar. **BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL**

<u>PESHAWAR</u>

Services Appeal No. <u>386</u>/2017

yber Pakhtukhwa Jervice Tribunal Diary No. 408

PPELLANT

Dated 19-4-2017

(5,

Bahadar Zaman S/o Zafar Khan Ex-HM-GHS Rashid Garhi, Peshawar.

VERSUS

 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, through secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

- 2. Director Elementary & Secondary education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Section Officer (HRD-II) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Establishment Department, Peshawar.

... RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER ledto-day PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE ACT OF THE RESPONDENTS gistrar WHEREBY THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN 1914/12 CONSIDERED FOR PROMOTION TO THE POST OF HEAD MASTER BPS-18 WHILE JUNIORS TO HIM WERE PROMOTED AGAINST WHICH THE submitted to -day l filed. DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL DATED 22/12/2016 HAS NOT YET BEEN RESPONDED DESPITE THE 271411) Respectfully Sheweth, 1. That the appellant was appoint-Ri

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,

Service appeal No. 386/2017

 Date of institution
 19.04.2017

 Date of decision
 19.02.2019

Bahadar Zaman S/o Zafar Khan EX-HM-GHS Rashid Garhi, Peshawar

Versus

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and Two (02) others.

Present Mr. Mukamil Shah Taskin Advocate

Mr. Muhammad Jan Deputy District Attorney

MR. HAMID FAROOQ DURRANI, . MR. MUHAMMAD HAMID MUGHAL, .

CHAIRMAN MEMBER(J).

JUDGMENT

HAMID FAROOQ DURRANI, CHAIRMAN:-

Instant post retirement service appeal has been preferred by the appellant containing the prayer that he may be granted Pro-forma promotion status of the post of Head Master (BPS-18) in accordance with revised seniority list dated 20.05.2011 and also the arrears and benefits since 28.02.2011.

2. The facts as laid in the memorandum of appeal suggest that the appellant was appointed as SPT in Education Department on 05.03.1974, During the course of his service he was promoted as In-charge Head

ik. iwa ibunal.

ALIESTED

(Appellant)

(Respondents)

For appellant.

For respondents.

Master (BPS-17) on 03.08.1997 and was regularized on 27.05.2003. In pursuance of a judgment of the court and recommendations of the committee, the service of appellant was regularized from the first date of promotion dated 30.08.1997 through notification dated 20.05.2011. On 28.02.2011 a DPC meeting, regarding promotion of HM/SS from BPS-17 to BPS 18, was held wherein some HM's of the batch of 1998 & 1999 who were junior to the appellant were included while the name of appellant was held back. The appellant, upon reaching the age of super annuation, got retire on 19.03.2011. It was reiterated in the memo that the revised seniority list dated 20.05.2011 reflected the name of appellant at serial No.217 while some junior officers to the appellant noted at serial numbers subsequent to that of appellant, were promoted from BPS-17 to BPS-18 on Notional basis. The appellant upon gaining knowledge about benefits being extended to his juniors, made request to respondents for the needful but to no avail. On 22.12.2016, a departmental appeal was submitted by him which remained un-responded.

3. After admission of instant appeal for regular hearing the respondents were put on notice and were also required to furnish their comments with regard to the appeal. In the said comments, submitted on 22.08.2017, it was inter-alia noted:-

"4. That Para-4 is correct that on 28.02.2011 PSB/DPC meeting regarding promotion of HM/SS from BPS-17 to 18 was held wherein, most of the eligible officials were promoted of batch of 1989-99, but the name of the appellant was not included. However, later, on, the case of the

En

eshall anal

appellant regarding the grant of promotion from B-17 to 18 on notional basis/ creation of supernumerary post of BPS-18 w.e.f. 28/02/2011 to 19/03/2011 has been submitted to the Respondent No.1 by the respondent No.2 vide office memo: No. 722/A-12/E-1/PF/Bahadar Zaman (Rtd) HM dated 217/2017, in response to the letter No: SO(SM)/E&SED /1-3/2017/Promotion/Bahadar Zaman from BPS-17 to BPS-18 dated 19/06/2017 of the Section Officer (S/ Mr. Muhammad Jan, Deputy District Attorney) E&SE Department KPK Peshawar & as & when the sanction for the creation of supernumerary of BPS-18 w.e.f the 28/2/2011 to 19/3/2011, the appellant shall be promoted to BPS-18 on Notional basis. (Copies of the said letters are attached as Annexure-C,D,E,F,G&H)."

4. The contents of above reproduction of portion of reply by respondents clearly suggest that the claim of appellant was genuine and the respondents had started processing the case for his Notional promotion. The said process, however, was not completed till the date of decision of instant appeal.

5. In view of the above concession by the respondents and principle of consistency, we allow the appeal in hand as prayed for. Parties are left to bear respective costs. File be consigned to the record room.

(Hamid Farobq Durrani) Chairman

(Muhammad Hami'd N Member

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 19.02.2019

Certified

CS/12War

Mugnal)	~
	22-2-18
Number of Words/60	
Copying Fee	50
Urgent	میں میں ایک
Total10.00	>
Name of Company	
Date of Carry leaden of C. The	28-2-19
Date of Delivery of Copy	28-2-19

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL

- **-** .

PESHAWAR

Services Appeal No. 386 /2017

Diary No. 408 Dated 19-4-2017

Tehyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

PELLANT

Bahadar Zaman S/o Zafar Khan Ex-HM-GHS Rashid Garhi, Peshawar.

VERSUS

 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, through secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

- 2. Director Elementary & Secondary education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Section Officer (HRD-II) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Establishment Department, Peshawar.

... RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER Filedto-day PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE ACT OF THE RESPONDENTS Pistrai WHEREBY THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN A14:12. CONSIDERED FOR PROMOTION TO THE POST OF HEAD MASTER BPS-18 WHILE JUN:ORS TO HIM WERE PROMOTED AGAINST WHICH THE submired to -day DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL DATED 22/12/2016 HAS NOT YET BEEN RESPONDED DESPITE THE ----LAPSE OF 90 DAYS 27(14/1) Respectfully Sheweth, ATTED 1. That the appellant was appointed

Master BPS-17 on 30/08/1997 and was regularized vide notification dated 27/05/2003. (Copies of notifications are annexed as A & B, respectively).

- 2. That the appellant was placed at Serial no. 594 in the tentative seniority list of HM/SS, being maintained by the Education Department vide notification dated 10/11/2010. (Copy of seniority list is annexure C).
- 3. That in pursuance of the court judgment and recommendation of the committee the appellant was regularized from the first date of promotion i.e. <u>30/08/1997</u> vide notification dated 20/05/2011, at serial No. 217. (Copy of notification dated 20/05/2011 is annexed as D).
- 4. That on 28/02/2011, PSB/DPC meeting regarding promotion of HM/SS from BPS-17 to BPS-18 was held wherein most HMs/SS of the batch of 1998-1999 junior to the appellant were included in the aforesaid PSB/DPC meeting, but the name of the appellant was ignored because the revised seniority list was not issued on relevant time. (Copy of PSB/DPC meeting is annexed as E). e⁻²¶
 5. That on 19/03/2011, after completion the period of service of attaining the age of superannuation the appellant took his retirement. (Copy of retirement is annexure F).

6. That as stated in para no.3, revised seniority list was issued on 20/05/2011, not on relevant time, after the retirement of the appellant, wherein the appellant was placed at serial no. 217 while some of junior to the appellant i.e. Serial No. 289, 290, 310, 330, 343 and 352 in revised seniority list were promoted from BPS-17 to BPS-18 on notional basis in response to the court judgment dated *08/03/2013, vide notification dated 09/10/2015. (Copy of notification dated 09/10/2015 is annexure G)

- 7. That one Faqir Muhammad SS of the same status was promoted from BPS-17 to BPS-18 on notional basis after his retirement vide notification dated 16/04/2015 at serial no. <u>179</u>. (Copy of notification dated 16/04/2015 is annexure H).
- 8. That one Inayat Ullah HM has also been given the same benefit after his retirement, vide corrigendum dated 04/05/2015. (Copy of corrigendum dated 04/05/2015 is annexure I).
- 9. That one Umar Nawaz Khan HM has also been given the same benefit after his retirement vide notification dated 25/04/2014. (Copy of notification dated 25/04/2014 is annexure J).

10. That when the appellant got knowledge that the above cited persons have been given their due benefits, he made requests for issuance of minutes of PSB/DPC dated 28/02/2011, which were issued to the appellant on 17/11/2016, and received by the appellant on 24/11/2016 hence the respondents were approached time and again,



and on 22/12/2016 a departmental appeal was submitted which has not yet been responded despite lapse of 90 days, hence the instant appeal on the following grounds inter alia: (Copy of Departmental appear etc an annuadas

Grounds:

the Mit

- A. That the act of the respondent by not given his due rights for promotion to BPS-18 is illegal against the law, facts and circumstance.
- B. That having a protective constitutional rights accepted by the respondent concerning the promotion of the appellant from BPS-17 to BPS-18 made on 28/02/2011, corrigendum, therefore, having been issued on 20/05/2011, in pursuance of the judicial adjudication, the appellant has the right to be released the benefits with recognition of his promoted status and the release of benefit of such stance, the refusal of it is not in the eye of law, hence the same is not sustainable.
- C. That having a status as per with the other promoted candidate rather junior from the appellant having been promoted by the treating the appellant discriminately which is not constitutionally permissible.
- D. That the case of the appellant and that of other cited above are similar and identical in nature,

hence the appellant is also entitled to the same relief as per judgment reported 2009 SCMR 1 wherein it has been laid down.

"if a tribunal or this court decides a point of law relating to the terms and conditions of a civil servant who litigated, and there were other civil servants, who may not have taken any legal proceedings, in such a case, the dictates of justice and rule of good governance demand that the benefit of the said decision be extended to other civil servants also who may not be parties to the litigation instead of compelling them to approach the Tribunal or any other legal forum"

E. That any other grounds not raised here specifically may also very kindly be granted in favour of the appellant.

therefore, humbly prayed .It is. that on acceptance of this appeal the appellant be granted promotion status of the post of HM, BPS-18 in accordance revised with seniority list dated 20/05/2011, since his date entitled along-with grant of benefit including the arrears since 28/02/2011 as per promotion, onward, the regularization of benefit in pension / with all consequential benefit and on notional basis as well, and the respondent

AN AN

may be directed that the appellant be granted his remaining <u>un-assessed</u> amount in shape of residence pension after due calculation of the pensioner benefit with grant of additional relief, not specifically prayed, but is available for the appellant to avail in the ends of justice.

Dated: 18/04/2017

Appellant Through

> MUKAMIL SHAH TASKIN Advocate, High Court, Peshawar

> > . Advocate

CERTIFICATE:

Certified that no such like appeal has earlier been filed before this Honourable Tribunal.

16541 ______. _____50 ايدوكيك: 🗳 بارکونسل/ایسوسی ایش نمبر:<u> 757- ۲۵ - ۲۷ م</u> بپتاور بارایسوسی ایش، خیبر پختونخواه دابط مبر: 53429500632 FN مخانب: د توکی: کعادر زمار علت نمبر: كورف :77 KPK José تقانه مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالامين اپن طرف ہے داسطے پیروی دجواب دہی کاردائی متعلقہ آن مقام <u>مس</u>ر کیلئے مسلم کر مسل عرب کیلئے مسلم کر مسلم علمی مقرر کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا، نیز وکیل صاحب کو راضی نامه کرنے وتقرر ثالث و فیصلہ بر حلف دینے جواب دعویٰ اقبال دعویٰ اور درخواست از ہوشم کی تصدیق زریں پردستخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا، نیز بصورت عدم ہیردی یاد گری یکطرفہ پا پل کی برآمدگی ادرمنسوخی، نیز دائر کرنے اپیل نگرانی دنظر ثانی دپیر دی کرنے کا مختار ہوگا ادر بصورت ضرورت مقدہ مذکورہ کے کل یاجز دی کاروائی کے داسطےاور دکیل یا مختار قانونی کواپنے ہمراہ یا اپنے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا اورصاحب مقرر شده کودی جمله مذکوره بااختیارات حاصل ہوں گےاوراس کا ساختہ پر داختہ منظور دقبول ہوگا دوران مقدمه میں جوخرچہ ہرجانہ التوائے مقدہ کے سبب سے ہوگا۔کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ یا حد سے باہر ہوتو وکیل صاحب یا بند نہ ہوں گے کہ پیروی مذکورہ کریں، لہٰذا وکالت نامہ کھودیا تا کہ سندر ہے 12-6-19 المرتوم: • 11 مقام 0 کے لیے منظور

نون :اس دكالت نامه كى نو نوكاني نا قابل تبول ہوگ ۔