が

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 793 of 2022

Sajad Ullah	······································	Appellant
		Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal
	Versus	Biary No. 355
		14/2/2023
GOVERNMENT OF KI	HYBER PAKHTUNKHWA AND OTHERS	Dated
		Respondents /

INDEX ******

S. No.	Documents	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Para-wise reply/comments on behalf of Respondents		1-4
2.	Judgment dated 12.10.2021	Α	05-09
3.	Finance Deptt Notification dated 19.10.2018	В	10
4.	Finance Deptt Notification dated 07.07.2021	С	11
5.	Affidavit	-	12
6.	Authority Letter	-	13

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTIJNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR APPEAL NO. 793/2022

Sajad Ullah, Agricultural Engineer.

APPELLANT

VERSUS

- 1. Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Department Peshawar.
- 2. Chief Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Agriculture Department, Peshawar.
- 4. Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Establishment Department, Peshawar.

RESPONDENTS

PARA WISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS

Respectfully Shewith:-

PRELIMINERY OBJECTIONS

- 1. That the appellant has got no locus standi to file this appeal therefore the appeal is liable to rejection with cost.
- 2. That the appellant has no cause of action.
- 3. That the appeal is barred by law.
- 4. That the appeal is not maintainable in its present form as it is based on malafide intentions.
- 5. That the appellant is stopped by his own conduct, to bring the present appeal.
- 6. That the instant appeal is incorrect, due to misjoinder and non joinder of parties.
- 7. That the appellant had once contested similar nature case in WP No. 5877-P/2018 wherein COC No. 145-P/2021 was decided in favor of Government. Copy of judgment dated 21.10.2021 (Annex-A).

ON FACTS; -

- 1. Correct to the extent that the appellant is serving the department since 3rd May, 2021 as Agricultural Engineer in Agriculture Engineering Department.
- 2. Pertains to record.



- 3. The Engineers working in the Provincial Departments to whom the Technical Allowance has been allowed by the Government vide Notification No. FD (SO SR-II)8-7/2018-19 dated 19.10.2018 modified on 07.07.2021 (Annex-B & C) are properly appointed on technical posts as mentioned in their service rules requiring qualification in Bachelor of Science (B.Sc.) Engineering from a recognized University and also registered with Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC). They are practically involved in engineering works throughout their duty hours and beyond and perform duties at field level as well. The office timings for field formation are not fixed as it's as per the quantum of work and number of sites which they visit/inspect. These inspections/visits, sometime to the far-flung areas, consume time, energy and resources and requires application of technical / engineering skills. Moreover the perks & privileges of these field Engineers are meagre as compared to their duties. The engineers of other departments are not appointed on technical posts as per their service rules/qualifications requirements and are not involved practically in engineering works/construction supervision of infrastructure. It is further added that giving Technical Allowance to all engineers in the province irrespective of their nature of duties would open a flood gate for similar demands from variety of cadres of engineers working in different departments in the province and would entail extra ordinary burden on the provincial exchequer.
- 4. Incorrect. Cabinet decision was not applicable to all engineers of the Provincial Government across the board.
- 5. That in pursuance to decisions of Provincial Cabinet in the meetings held on 24.05.2018 and 15.10.2018 regarding approval/ authorization of Technical Allowance (@ rate of 1.5 times of the initial Basic Pay Scale) the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Department (Regulation Wing) vide Notification dated 19.10.2018 sanctioned technical allowance to the Engineers holding Engineering qualification from the accredited engineering program of Higher Education Institutions (HEI) University duly recognized by Higher Education Commission (HEC) and registered with Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC) serving against the sanctioned posts in the C&W, Irrigation, Public Health Engineering, Local Government and Mines & Minerals Department.

As the appellant is not working in any of the department mentioned in the ibid Notification, therefore, the expectation of the appellant regarding grant of



Technical Allowance is out of place and he has not been deprived in any way Finance Department notification dated 19.10.2018 (Annex-B).

- 6. As already explained vide above Paras.
- 7. Incorrect and hence denied. The said case is not related to the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa as it pertains to Government of Punjab, which is not applicable here.
- 8. Incorrect and hence denied. As explained in Para-7 above.
- 9. As explained in Para-5 above of the facts,
- 10. No comments.
- 11. The Para is incorrect and denied as explained in Para-3 above of the facts.

GROUNDS.

- a. The para is incorrect. As replied in Para-3 above of the facts.
- b. The Para is incorrect. As replied in Para-3 above of the facts.
- c. The Engineers working in the Provincial Departments to whom the Technical Allowance has been allowed by the Government vide Notification No. FD (SO SR-II) 8-7/2018-19 dated 19.10.2018 modified on 07.07.2021 are properly appointed on technical posts as mentioned in their service rules requiring qualification in Bachelor of Science (B.Sc.) Engineering from a recognized University and also registered with Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC). They are practically involved in engineering works throughout their duty hours and beyond and perform duties at field level as well. The office timings for field formation are not fixed as it's as per the quantum of work and number of sites which they visit/inspect. These inspections/visits, sometime to the far-flung areas, consume time, energy and resources and requires application of technical / engineering skills. Moreover the perks & privileges of these field Engineers are meagre as compared to their duties. The engineers of other departments are not appointed on technical posts as per their service rules/qualifications requirements and are not involved practically in works/construction supervision of infrastructure. It is further added that giving Technical Allowance to all engineers in the province irrespective of their nature of duties world open a flood gate for similar demands from variety of cadres of engineers working in different departments in the province and would entail extra ordinary burden on the provincial exchequer.



PRAYER:-

In view of the above, it is therefore, humbly prayed that the instant appeal may kindly be dismissed being devoid of merits please.

Government of Khybek Pakhtunkhwa; Finance Department, Peshawar

Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa; Agriculture Department, Peshawar

(Respondent No.03)

(Respondent No.01)

Chief Secretary Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa;

(Respondent No.02)

Secretary to 2

Government of Khyber Pakhkunkhwa; Establishment Department, Peshawar

(Respondent No.04)

1- 24g

Walser

1) Annoc-A

BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR.

C.O.C. No._____/2020 In Writ Petition No. 5877-P/2018

- 1. Mr. Zahid Rabbani S/O Ghulam Rabbani,
- 2. Mahmood Jan S/o Abdul Ghaffar Khan,
- 3. Muhammad Musa Khan S/o Sher Muhammad Khan,
- 4. Kalsoom Rehman D/o Abdul Rehman,
- 5. Fakhar-u-Din S/O Abdul Qayyum,
- 6. Mansoorullah Khan S/O Muhammad Ikram,
- 7. Nazeer Abbas S/o Abbas Ghualm,
- 8. Rafiullah Nabi S/o Nazir Muhammad,
- 9. Hazrat Nabi S/o Nazir Mohammad,
- 10. Nasim Javed S/o Niaz Mohammad,
- 11. Maria Javed D/O javed Iqbal,
- 12. Muhammad Usman S/o Din Mohammad,

All petitioners are Agricultural Engineers in Agricultural Engineering Wing of Agriculture Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

1. Dr. Kazim Niaz, Chief Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

Poshawar High Cour

COC145-2020 zahid-rabbani vs dr kaziz full usb pg 16

Section Chay (Litigation)
Agricultus Vestock & Coop. Department

Khyba daka makawa Peshawat



JUDGMENT SHEET IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, **PESHAWAR** JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

COC No.145-P/2020 in W.P.No.5877-P/2018 (D)

Date of hearing:

12.10.2021

Mr. Muhammad Asif Yousafzai, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Muhammad Inayam Khan Yousafzai. AAG for respondents.



JUDGMENT

LAL JAN KHATTAK, J .- Petitioners seek initiation of contempt of court proceedings against the respondents for their violation of the order of this court dated 12.02.2020 delivered in W.P.No.5321-P/2018 whereby they were directed to decide the petitioners' appeal in accordance with the relevant law.

- 2. Arguments heard and appended record gone through.
- 3. It reflects from the record that the respondent No.1 in compliance with the order of this court has passed an elaborate order on 29.07.2021, whereby it has held as under:-

And whereas, the matter was thereafter considered threadbare by Chief Secretary who also concurs with aforesaid observation, and now, in light of the above intelligible differentia exist amongst

Section 07 er (Litization) Agricultu Westock & Coop. Department Khybe Akar mkhwa Peshawa

the engineers who are granted "Technical Allowance" and the petitioners, therefore, petitioners being not entitled as per facts, Cabinet Decision, Policy and recommendations of the Committee on the subject, the prayer of the Engineers Cadre of the concerned department made in the above mentioned Writ Petition filed in the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar is regretted, being not worthy of consideration and against the spirit of the Cabinet Decision of the Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa on the subject.

- 4. In view of the order ibid no case for initiation of any contempt proceedings has been made out against the respondents. In case the petitioners are not in agreement with the decision rendered by the respondent No.1, as referred above, then they can challenge the same before the proper forum and not through any contempt of court proceedings.
- 5. For what has been discussed above, this petition being bereft of any merit is hereby dismissed.

Announced 12.10.2021

JUDGE
JUDGE
JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDGE

JUDG

To be the second of the second

2 1 OCT 2021

Section Office Litigation)
Agriculus, Lives ik & Cong. Department
Kbybe. C. Knowledge Feebawer



JUDGMENT SHEET IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

COC No.448-P/2020 in W.P.No.681-P/2018 (D)

Date of hearing:

12.10.2021

Nemo for the petitioners.

Mr. Muhammad Inam Khan Yousafzai, AAG for respondents.

JUDGMENT

LAL JAN KHATTAK, J.- Petitioners seek inftiation of contempt of court proceedings against the respondents for their violation of the order of this court dated 12.02.2020 delivered in W.P.No.5321-P/2018 whereby they were directed to decide the petitioners' appeal in accordance with the relevant law.

Arguments heard and appended record gone through.

3. It reflects from the record that the respondent No.1 in compliance with the order of this court has passed an elaborate order on 29.07.2021, whereby it has held as under:-

And whereas, the matter was thereafter considered threadbare by Chief Secretary who also concurs with aforesald observation, and now, in light of the above

your -

Section Officer (Citigation)
Agriculture Vivingot & Coop, Department
Khyber Pakhmakkea foshawar

(P)

intelligible differentia exist amongst the engineers who are granted "Technical Allowance" and the petitioners, therefore, the petitioners being not entitled as per facts, Cabinet Decision, Policy and recommendations of the Committee ton the subject, the prayer of the Engineers Cadre of the concerned department made in the above mentioned Writ Petition filed in the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar is regretted, being not worthy of consideration and against the spirit of the Cabinet Decision of the Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa on the subject.

- 4. In view of the order ibid no case for initiation of any contempt proceedings has been made out against the respondents. In case the petitioners are not in agreement with the decision rendered by the respondent No.1, as referred above, then they can challenge the same before the proper forum and not through any contempt of court proceedings.
- For what has been discussed above, this petition, being bereft of any merit, is hereby dismissed.

Announced 12.10.2021

JUDGE

JUDGE


Section Officer (Vitigation)

Agricultus (Cooper September)

Khyber Pikn Janage Cosha vi September



Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Department (Regulation Wing)



Date de Pestiavar the 19" October, 2018

FD (SO SR-II) 8-7/2018-10: \$11) Government of Khyber Pakhumkhwa laus been pleased to sanction Technical Allowance as per the following tales to Linguineers In linus Engineering qualification from the accredited engineering programme of flighter Education Institutions (HEI) / Universities duly recognized by Higher Education Commission (FIEC) and refistered with Pakisuli Engineering Council (PEC), serving figuins the sanctioned posts in the C&W, Inigntion, Public Health Engineering and Lacal Covernment Departments, Govt. of Kliyber-Pakhtunkliwa with effect from 1" November

20	18:	<u>.</u>	De 50 Pay 100 in	Rate of Technical
	<u> </u>	Pay Scales	Initial Basic Pay of Pay	lowance Per Monunie
1		•	1.5	Pay Scales 2017)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	De 60 090/e	Rs 1,03,635/-
	<u>Lng</u>	ineers in BS - 20	13 50/210/	Rs 88,813/-
- -	Eng	incers in BS -18-	135 38,350/	(1s 45,550/
	iv. Eng	nneers in BS - 17	- 118 50,57 U-	

- The above allowance will be admissible subject to the following conditions;
 - It will not be counted towards pension or gratuity.
 - It will not be admissible in any kind of leave as well as posting against OSD and Jenve reserve posts.
 - iii. The Engineers in receipt of such allowances other than regular allowances as classified under inhior object A012-1-A012-2 shall be entitled to one of the allowances, whichever is more beneficial.
 - iv. It will not be admissible to depulationists

Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakijiunkhwa Finance Depurtment


Endst: No & Date Even:

13 Hills Accountant General, Klyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar 22 Hile Additional Clifel Secretary P&D Department

- Whe Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa Wil the Administrative Secretaries to Govern Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa
- All the Divisional Commissioners in Khylier-Pakhtunkhwa
- All The Deputy Commissioners in Klyber-Pakhrunkhwa
- All the District Addounts Officers in Kryber-Pakhituikhwa
 Director, FMIU, Finance Department
 PSO to Chief Secretary, Khyber-Pakhituikhwa, Peshawar
- 10. All Budget Offices, Finance Department HaPS to Secretary Infance Department
- 12.18 to Special Secretary Finance Department 13. Assistant Director (IIR Data Base) Finance Department 14. PA to Additional Secretary (Regulation) Minance Department
- 15. PA to Deputy Segretary (Regulation-II). Finance Department

(Naccari Section Officer

Section Of (Litigation) Livetions & Coop Department





GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHT FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

Daiod Poshawar tho: 07-07-2021

5/2027-22/Toch Allow: In partial modification of this Department SO SR-II) 8-7/2018-19, dated 19-10-2018, the Government of Ruyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to accord sanction to Tochnical Allowance as par the religion to the Engineers holding Engineering qualification from the accordited engineering qualification from the accordited engineering programmo of Higher Education Institutions (HEI) / Universities duly recognized by Higher Education Commission (HEC) and registered with Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC), serving against positions that are required to be filled by angineers for C&W. Impation, Public Health Engineering, Local Government & Mineral Development. Departments with offset from 01.07,2021;

77.5	9 10.0	Pay Scalo:	of Pay Scales 2017	Per Month @ 1.5 Initial Basic per Month @ 1.5 Initial Basic pay Scatos 2017.
;	1	Enginocro in BPS 20		Rs. 103,635/-
	2	Engineers in BPS 19	Rs. 50,210/-	Rs. 88,015/
	3	Engingare in:8PS 18	Rs. 38,350/-	Rs. 87,525/-
}	d·	Engineers in BPS 17	Rs. 30,370/-	Ra. 45,550/-

- The above allowence will be admissible subject to the following conditions:
 - II will be subject to Income Tex.

il will not be counted towards pension and grafulty.

It will not be admissible in any kind of leave (except casual leave) as well as posting adding OSD and Leave Reserve Posts.

Those engineers who are posted against other posts and are in receipt of cadro allowances other. Then regular allowances shall only be entitled to doe of the allowances, whichever is more beneficial.

3. All Nulonamous Semi-Autonomous Modical Teaching institutional Other Institutions and Authorities under Provincial Government shall adopt this politication willing their respective organizations with the approval of concerned Competent Forum subject to avoilability of their resources

> Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Financo Dopartment

Endat: No. & Dala oven.

A copy of the above is forwarded for information & nocessary action

The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhlunkhwa,

The Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, Knyber Pokhlunkhwa.

- 3) The Brinciphi Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhlunkhwa. 4. Ali Administrativa Secretarias to government of Khyber Pakhlunkhwa.
- All the Divisional Commissioners in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

8: All Dapuly Commissionars in Khybal Pakhluhkhwa.

All the District Accounts Officers in Khyber Pakhlunkitwas

The Director FMIU. Finance Depth with the request to upload the same on FD's Wobsile

PSO to Chief Secretary, Knyber Pakhlunkhwa.

10. All Section Officers Budget Officers in Finance Dept. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

11. The Manager, Government printing Press, Peshawar.

12. The Pilipid Becrelary to Secretary Finance Depti. Knyber Pakhlunkhwa.

14. PAs to Addi. Secretarios/Deputy Secretarios in Finance Cept

15. Maulor File.

(Mühammad Ilyas Khattak) Section Officer (SR: 11)

Agricu

.& C(+) \

Khyh

inkawa Pesh



BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeals No. 761-795 of 2022

Mansoor Ullah and 11 othersAppell	ants
Versus	
GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA AND OTHERS Respond	dents

AUTHORITY LETTER

I, hereby authorize Mr. Ikram Muhammad, Section Officer (Litigation) BPS-17, Agriculture Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa to file Joint Para Wise comments as well as pursue the cases in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar till decision of the cases.

SECRETARY

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Agriculture Department Respondent No.3

SECRETARY

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Agriculture Department