## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUAL, PESHAWAR

Appeal No. 234/2018

Date of Institution ... 19.02.2018

Date of Decision ... 13.03.2019

Hayat Zaman Ex-Constable No. 2931, Police Station Takht Bhai District Mardan. (Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

Inspector General of Police/Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and two others. ...(Respondents)

#### Present.

Mr. Muhammad Usman Khan Turlandi, Advocate.

For appellant

Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Asstt. Advocate General.

For respondents.

MR. HAMID FAROOQ DURRANI, MR. AHMAD HASSAN,

CHAIRMAN . MEMBER

#### **JUDGMENT**

#### HAMID FAROOQ DURRANI, CHAIRMAN:-

Instant appeal is directed against the order of respondent No. 3 passed on 20.11.2017, whereby, major punishment of dismissal from service with immediate effect was passed against the appellant. His departmental appeal was also rejected on 19.01.2018 by respondent No. 2.



- 2. The facts as available on record, suggest that the appellant joined the service in Police Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa as constable in the year 2009. At the relevant time the appellant was posted at Police Station Takht Bhai Mardan, when he was proceeded against departmentally on the allegations of corrupt practices, in-efficiency, close association with criminals and sharing information regarding the movement of Police Officers with miscreants. The appellant was suspended and closed to the Police Lines, Mardan.
- 3. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned Asstt.

  A.G on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the appellant vehemently argued that no proper/formal enquiry was conducted against the appellant in order to prove the grave allegations contained in the charge sheet and statement of allegations, issued on 12.10.2017, to the appellant. He further contended that the allegations in the charge sheet were different than those which prevailed with the enquiry officer who had submitted a scanty report on 03.011.2017. Further stated that no show cause notice was ever issued to the appellant before imposition of major penalty upon him.

On the other hand, learned Asstt. A.G argued that all the codal formalities were fulfilled in the process of proceedings against the appellant. That, the appellant was dismissed on 24.02.2017 due to misconduct and was subsequently reinstated on 10.04.2017. In his view,

the appellant was habitual offender and was rightly awarded the impugned major penalty.

4. We have carefully gone through the available record. The respondents had submitted along with their reply to the appeal a purported departmental enquiry report dated 03.11.2017, wherein, it was noted that "on receipt of enquiry constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931 was called in the office and heard at length. His statement was part of the enquiry file. He was questioned and counter questioned. From enquiry following facts were transpired:-

"While posted in Police Post Sari Behlol constable Hayat Zaman on receiving the graft willfully let the accused Hazrat Karim go. Hazrat Karim was wanted in many theft cases and he was under interrogation for the purpose."

The dismissal from service was recommended as punishment against the appellant. The record also contained statement of allegations and charge sheet dated 12.10.2017, wherein, the allegations were of altogether different nature. It was noted therein that constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931 was proceeded against departmentally for the charges of corrupt practices, inefficiency, close association with criminals and sharing information regarding the movement of Police Officers with miscreants. S.P (OPS) was, therefore, appointed as enquiry officer in the matter. Similar allegations contained in the latter as well.

It is evident from one page enquiry report dated 03.11.2017 that in 5. pursuance to memo. dated 12.10.2017 (statement of allegations and charge sheet) the proceedings were conducted in a cursory manner and, except the statement of appellant, no other evidence was ever collected to connect the appellant with serious allegations contained in the statement/charge sheet. The enquiry officer came up with the findings which were not only based on grounds extraneous to those contained in the statement/charge sheet but were rebutted from other record including FIR No. 1611, recorded on 08.10.2017, at P.S Takht Bhai District Mardan as well as Daily Diary of the same Police Station dated 14.10.2017. The FIR pertained to nomination of Hazrat Karim son of Amra Ali alongwith some other persons in offence recorded under Sections 457/380-PPC, while Mad No. 7 dated 14.10.2017 was regarding the arrest of said Hazrat Karim alongwith others on even date. The said record when examined in juxtaposition to the contents of enquiry report and the statement of allegations suggested that on the date the letter was issued Hazrat Karim was not even arrested. On the contrary in the report the only allegation against the appellant was in terms that he willfully let the accused Hazrat Karim go on receiving the graft. We have also remained unsuccessful in laying hands on any show cause notice throughout the record including the reply of respondents. The said factum alone would suggest that the departmental proceedings against the appellant were not conducted in the mode and manner required by the

rules, that too, in a case where major penalty of dismissal from service was awarded to a civil servant.

6. In view of the above discussion, the appeal in hand is allowed as prayed for. Consequently, the appellant is reinstated in service with all back and consequential benefits.

Parties are left to bear their respective costs. File be consigned to the record room.

(HAMID FAROOQ DURRANI) CHAIRMAN

AHMAD HASSAN) MEMBER

ANNOUNCED 13.03.2019

<u> </u>	Date of	Order or other proceedings with signature o	f Judge or Magistrate		
S.No.	order/	and that of parties where necessary.			
.5	proceedings				
1	2	3			
		Present.	(		
	13.3.2019	Mr. Muhammad Usman Turlandi, Advocate	For appellant		
		Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Asstt. Advocate General	For respondents		
		Vide our detailed judgment of today	the appeal in hand is		
		allowed as prayed for. Consequently, the a	appellant is reinstated		
		in service with all back and consequential b	enefits.		
		Parties are left to bear their resp	ective costs. File be		
-		consigned to the record room			
		consigned to the record room.	Chairman		
		Member	,		
		ANNOUNCED			
		13.3.2019			
:					
	·	I	I		

30.10.2018

Due to retirement of Hon'ble Chairman, the Tribunal is incomplete. Therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same on 17.12.2018.

17.12.2019

Deputy District Attorney alongwith Mr. Atta Ur- Rehman S.I for the respondents present. Junior to counsel for the appellant submitted rejoinder which is placed on file, and requested for adjournment. Adjourned. To come for arguments on 08.02.2019 before D.B.

(Hussain Shah) Member

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member

08.02.2019

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Asst: AG alongwith Mr. Atta Ur Rehman, SI for respondents present. Respondents are directed to produce complete record of enquiry alongwith statements and other connected document on or before the next date of hearing. Adjourned. To come up for such record and arguments on 13.03.2019 for arguments before D.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member

(M. Amin Khan Kundi) Member 04.07.2018

Preliminary arguments heard. It was contended by learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant was serving in Police Department and he was dismissed from service vide order dated 20.11.2017 on the allegation of corruption, inefficiency and close association with criminals. The appellant filed departmental appeal on 10.12.2017 which was rejected on 13.01.2018 hence the present service appeal on 19.02.2018. Learned counsel for the appellant further contended that neither charge sheet, statement of allegation was served upon the appellant nor proper inquiry was conducted nor opportunity of personal hearing and defence was provided to the appellant therefore, the impugned order is illegal and liable to be set-aside.

The contention raised by learned counsel for the appellant need consideration. The appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days thereafter, notice be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 20.08.2018 before S.B.

Appellant Deposited Security Process Fee

> (Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member

20.08.2018

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak AAG for the respondent present. Written reply submitted on behalf of official respondent. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 30.10.2018 before D.B

(Muhammad Amin Kundi)

05.04.2018

Learned counsel for the appellant present and seeks adjournment. Adjourn. To come up for preliminary hearing on 19.04.2018 before S.B

**№** Member

19.04.2018

Counsel for the appellant present and seeks adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing on 08.05.2018 before S.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member

Bulleting

08.05.2018

The Tribunal is non-functional due to retirement of our Hon'ble Chairman. Therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for same on 04.07.2018.

Ŕeader

## Form-A

## FORMOF ORDERSHEET

Court of		
ise No.	234/2018	

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	19/02/2018	The appeal of Mr. Hayat Zaman presented today by Mr.
4		   Muhammad Usman Khan Turlandi Advocate may be entered in
		the Institution Register and put up to Worthy Chairman for
•		proper order please.
-		
		REGISTRAR -
_	` . 	
2-	26/02/18.	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing
		to be put up there on $08/03/10$ .
		r <b>A</b>
	$\lambda$	CHAIRMAN
	·	
	08.03.2018	Junior counsel for the appellant present and seeks
	ad	ljournment. Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing
	OI	n 05.04.2018 S.B.
		O <sub>4</sub>
		(Gul Zeh Ktan)
		Member Member
	<u>.</u>	

## BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

In Ref; to Appeal No. 234 of 2018.

Hayat Zaman, Ex-Constable No. 2931......VS....... I.G Police & others.

## <u>INDEX</u>

S.No.	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENTS	ANNEX	P.NO.
1.	Main Service Appeal.	-	01-06
2.	Affidavit.		07
3.	Copy of the Charge-Sheet.	"A"	08-09
4.	Copy of the reply to the Charge-Sheet.	"B"	10-13
5.	Copy of the original order of dismissal from service.	"C"	14-15
6.	Departmental Appeal Dated 14-12-2017.	"D"	16
7.	Impugned Order Dated 19-01-2018.	"E"	17
8.	Vokalatnama.		18

APPELLANT LA IVA

Through;

Muhammad Usman Khan

Turlandi

Advocate Peshawar.

Dated; 19/02/2018 (Monday).



#### <u>BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.</u>

In Ref; to Service Appeal No. 2018.

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Diary No. 242

19-2-2018

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Inspector General of Police/ Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Central Police Office Peshawar.
- 2. Deputy Inspector General of Police/Regional Police Officer Mardan Range, Mardan.

Appeal U/S 4 of the KPK Service Tribunal Act, against the impugned Order bearing No. 449/ES, Dated 19-01-2018 passed by the Respondent No. 2, Communicated to the appellant on 23-01-2018 whereby the Departmental Representation of the Appellant Dated 10-12-2017 was rejected and the major penalty of dismissal from service recorded vide OB No. 2688 dated 20-11-2017 passed by the respondent No. 3 was upheld.

#### PRAYERS:-

Fledto-day
Registrar
19/2/18

On acceptance of this appeal the impugned order passed by the respondent No. 2 dated 19-01-2018 Communicated to the appellant on 23-01-2018 may be bet-aside whereby the departmental appeal filed by the appellant Dated 10-12-2017 was rejected and the major penalty of dismiscal from service recorded vide OB No. 2688 dated 20-11-2017 passed by the respondent No. 3 was upheld and the appellant be re-instated in service as Constable with all back benefits, seniority and allied allowances.

#### **RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:-**

1. That the appellant has joined the service in the esteemed Police Depetment as Constable in the year 2009 was since then is performing

his respective duties with great zeal, zest and enthusiasm throughout his service period and till levelling the instant allegations no adverse remarks whatsoever has ever been asigned to him from any quarter.

- 2. That the appellant was shocked to get Charge Sheet coupled with the Statement of alligation bearing No. 69/R/D.A-P.R-1975 dated 12-10-2017 and the appellant was advised to submitt written defense reply thereof within seven days. Accordingly, the appellant did submit his detailed and parawise reply to the Charge sheet dated 26-10-2017 within given stapulated period. (Copy of the charge sheet and reply thereof is annexure "A" & "B" respectively).
- 3. That all of a sudden, without any preliminary or final Show-Cause notice and without conducting any regular enquiry, the appellant was awarded major penalty of dismisssal from service vide OB No. 2688 Dated 20-11-2017 recorded by the respondent No. 3. (Copy of the order of dismissal from service is annexure "C").
- 4. That the appellant in the given circumstances, preferred departmental appeal and tabled before the respondent No.2 which was met with the same fate and without applying of legal wisdom and prudent concentration, the appeal was rejected with a single stroke of pen vide impugned order dated 19-01-2018 and communicated to the appellant dated 23-01-2018. (Copy of the departmental appeal and the impugned order thereon dated 19-01-2018 is annexure "D" & "E" respectively).
- 5. That the appellant while aggrieved of the impugned order of his dismissal from service and having no other adequate remedy available in the circumstances of the case, approaches this august Tribunal for setting-aside both the original and the imugned orders dated 19-01-2018, communicated to the appellant dated 23-01-2018 and seeks his re-instatement in service with all back benefits, seniority and allied allowances on the following amongst other grounds inter-alia.



#### GROUNDS:-

- a) That since the appellant enlisted as constable in District Police Mardan till passing the original order of dismissal from service, was working with great zeal, zest and enthusiasm and as a token of his regularity, punctually, loyalty, devotion, honesty and remarkable achievements, no adverse remarks whatsoever has ever been assigned to him from any quarter.
- b) That general alligations are levelled in the charge sheet (annexure "A") whereas the appellant has never been involved in any corrupt practices throughout the whole period of his service. This fact is evident from the shining service record of the appellant.
- c) That the appellant remained an efficient police Constable and never ever, the appellant has been marked as ineficient. Similarly the appellant has never been involved in any omission or commission of such like ofences or professional misconduct which would speek about the alleged allegation of close association with criminals.
- d) That non a single allegation has so far been established and the appellant has never thought about sharing information regarding movements of Police officials with miscreants. No explanation and no such like report has ever been made against the appellant in this regard. The charge sheet (annexure "A") carries some concocted allegation and legally such super-structure of dismissal from service can never be bult/stand on such a baseless charge sheet.
- e) That the Charge Sheet and Statement of Allegation contains no specific allegation rather baseless, concocted and generalized allegation are levelled with no legs to stand upon which is unlogical and not appealable to the prudent mined. Both the documents falsify and negates the existence of one and other which speaks loudly about the drama staged by the respondents resulting his dismissal from service.

- 6
- f) That admittedly no show cause notice either preliminary or final whatsoever has ever been issued to the appellant prior to passing of the original order of dismissal from service which is mandatory in nature.
- g) That the respondent No.3 did not bother to assess the evidential value of the so-called allegations in its true prospective and legal parameters and also did not bother to tally and compare it with the ground reality, thus the findings of respondent No.3 are totally rootless, frivolous, vague, ambiguous and liable to be declare as such.
- h) That admittedly the appellant has committed no offence whatsoever and the whole allegations are baseless and rootless one but for the reason best known to the respondent No.3 the appellant has been made a scapegoat.
- i) That no proper enquiry has been conducted and no statements of the concerned star and natural witnesses/PWs have ever been recorded and the appellant has been condemned unheard.
- j) That the respondent No. 3 while passing the penalty and similarly the respondent No.2 while passing the impugned order have never bothered to evaluate the charges and to see the service file of the appellant and at least should see the length of spot-less service rendered by the appellant and in such a circumstances the penalty and subsequent impugned order having no value in the eyes of law, which is not sustainable and liable to be set-aside.
- k) That the impugned order has been passed in the exercise of colorful authority which is unlawful, without lawful authority, without jurisdiction, un-Islamic, un-constitutional, against the norms of equity and natural justice.
- !) That valuable right was accrued to the appellant whereas his fundamental valuable rights have been encreached by the respondents.

  No.2 & 3 on their personal whims & wishes and such encreachment is

hit by the law on the subject and by the command of the constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.

m) That further submissions will be advanced at the time of hearing the appellant at the bar.

In view of the foregoing facts, circumstances and submissions, it is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this appeal the impugned order passed by the respondent No. 2 dated 19-01-2018 communicated to the appellant dated 23-01-2018 whereby the departmental appeal filed by the appellant was rejected and the major penalty of dismissal from service recorded vide OB No. 2688 dated 20-11-2017 passed by the respondent No. 3 was upheld, may be set-aside and the appellant be re-instated in service as Constable with all back benefits, seniority and allied allowances.

Any other remedy is available may be also extended in favour of the appellant to meet the ends of justice.

APPELLANT.

(Hayat Zaman, Ex-Constable No. 293

Through

Dated; 19/02/2018 (Monday)

Muhammad Usman Khan

Turlandi

Advocate Peshawar.

#### BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

In Ref; to Appeal No	of 2018.
----------------------	----------

Hayat Zaman, Ex-Constable No. 2931......VS.....I.G Police & others.

#### AFFIDAVIT.

I, Hayat Zaman, Ex-Constable No. 2931, Police Station Takht Bhai Mardan, District Police Mardan, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying Service appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been kept secret or concealed therein.

**IDENTIFIED BY:** 

Muhammad Usman Khan

Turlandi

Advocate Peshawar.

Dated: 19/02/2018 (Monday)

DEPONENT



# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER MARDAN

Tel: 093

0937-9230109

Fax:

0937-9230111

Email: <u>dpomardan650@gmail.com</u> Facebook: District Police Mardan

Twitter: @dpomardan

## DISCIPLINARY ACTION UNDER KPK POLICE RULES - 1975

I, Dr. Mian Saced Ahmed District Police Officer, Mardan as competent authority am of the opinion that Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, rendered himself liable to be proceeded against as he committed the following acts/omission within the meaning of section-02 (iii) of KPK Police Rules 1975

#### STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

That Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, while posted at Police Station Takht Bhai, Mardan is proceeded against departmentally for the charges of corrupt practice, inefficiency, close associations with criminals and sharing information regarding the movement of Police Officers with miscreants. Therefore, he is suspended and closed to Police Lines, Mardan.

,	2. For the	purpose of.	scrutinizing the	conduct of t	he said official with
reserve to the above	allegations	<u> 58 (</u>	0PS:)		is appointed as
Enquiry Officer.					is appointed as
·				. •	
	3 The en	nuivy officer	chall can dece		

3. The enquiry officer shall conduct proceedings in accordance with provisions of Police Rules 1975 and shall provide reasonable opportunity of defense and hearing to the accused official, record its findings and make within twenty five (25) days of the receipt of this order, recommendation as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused officer.

4. The accused officer shall join the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

(Dr. Mian Saeed Ahmed) PSP
District Police Officer,
Mardan

## OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN

No. \_\_\_\_\_/R, dated Mardan the \_\_\_\_\_/2017.

Copy of above is forwarded to the:

- for initiating proceedings against the accused official / Officer namely Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, under Police Rules, 1975.
- 2. Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, with the directions to appear before the Enquiry Officer on the date, time and place fixed by the enquiry officer for the purpose of enquiry proceedings.

  \*\*\*\*\* 111 \*\*\*\*\*

ATTESTED TO BE TRUE COPY

M. Usman Khan Turlaudi M. A. LL. B Advocate

Pechawar.

## CHARGE SHEET UNDER KPK POLICE RULES 1975

I, Dr. Mian Saeed Ahmed District Police Officer, Mardan as competent authority hereby charge you Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, as follows.

Station Takht Bhai, Mardan is proceeded against departmentally for the charges of corrupt practice, in-efficiency, close associations with criminals and sharing information regarding the movement of Police Officers with miscreants. Therefore, you are suspended and closed to Police Lines, Mardan.

This amounts to grave misconduct on your part, warranting departmental action against you, as defined in section - 6(1)(a) of the KPK Police Rules 1975.

- 1. By reason of the above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct under section 02 (iii) of the KPK Police Rules 1975 and has rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties as specified in section 04 (i) a & b of the said Rules.
- 2. You are therefore, directed to submit your written defense within seven days of the receipt of this charge sheet to the enquiry officer.
- 3: Your written defence if any, should reach to the enquiry officer within the specified period, failing which, it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put-in and in that case, an ex-parte action shall follow against you.

Intimate whether you desired to be heard in person.

(Dr. Mian Saeed Ahmed) PSP District Police Officer, Mardan

ATTESTED TO BE TRUE COPY

M. Usman Khan Turlandi M. A. LL. B Advocate Peshawar. Before 16 a D Po Mala. (10) MENUA 3

Subject. Reploy to the chape Sheet a Stalment of allegation a.
NO 69 dated 12 - x. 2017

## Respectation :

your honous had issued to subject thangs shed to the Retalioner with the following allepinions, which was handed over to the Petitioner on 23. x. 2017

But constable Hayat Damon NO 2931 while Posted al-Police Station Tarent Bai mada is proceeded departmently for the changes of Carruphin Prostices in officing, else association with crimmals and sharing informations regarding in a movements of Policie Lytices warmis evaluates

@ In the light of the two chape should the instant departmentall enough his been milled for which his politions Enbritts on under

A. The Beb Hiver has been entrated as constable in Police department in the year story and has Panfound his about to work good and officiency. The Potition has been blamed to

The Relation has never been involved in consupt Out in Throughout Carrupt practice: The whole period of Service. No am one had made such live complaint again the Betitive restory documentaily discover record of the Patitioner

ATTESTED TO BE TRUE COPY

The patrious remained an afficient pulse off in in Post Any task a singuish by the Senior to the potition has beau. - fulfalled the line entire substation of the Serion and the Petitions Was la een navar sonantanal os meffeunt. No Explanation and No report has been made against the Political

M. Usman Khan Murlandi . . In record M. A. LL. B Advocate close house cabon with Criminals.

reshawar.

The Retitioner nines remained involved in Such the Proches The Patrician morar rivine a like so. Then is nothing in medical to Prove the allegation of and The Refetiment. The Petetioner 5 Thorne and before The Patitions never survivant in such like a Prodices. Again There is nothing in rational regarding the alleged allegation. The Politicons never limin even like so

The actual facts behind the Isames of the above charge shall an Mal . in the month March 2017, The Petition was I Transfield to 80 San Behlof and remained protect the Hill & . x. 2017. on 3. x. 2017 Acusal Hazrel Kaream, Gul Bahar and schildallal have Stolen away The horse of Muhamind warran v/o Hazi shordid Icha Kouruma ITBan i Susulai Pichap from Fix Junis diët un of PS TBain St wind leavent, Much Accord Hazret Verream. Gulbahar were conjust real handed with the Stolan Propuly. by Ps Char = -oldar . FIR 1610 dated 8. x. 017 U/S 379 PR. PS TRai

AST Zahri bod! C Procan Behad dischael The Belition to. visit la chard and a al the bring we arrosted accompt along with ence Property pathion along with Hayar s widos No 2705 want to Be charehald and who right his Acard and stolen horea in 112 - Sasury-Price of to 8 + Train

Accord Hazral learner and Gulzahar already detained wire interruptical, they dis disact had - they have Still on and a governtier to wal forom the Arkel of Murtaza illian belom ine limb of Berarco Bassin. They do dichneel that they have and the sholan generative in the marked of "Barkshow Pul" Pa generative in the marked of Eristana Branon Asi Dahir Bakus along work Betition and other efficials

Proceeded to khazanta in the company of Actual Hazrat Knowcam and I remail and but wis light in The BB sair Behalid in the centually of constable s

was mobel 777. Insimain Constales respectibly

ATTESTED TO BE TRUE COPY

M. Usman Khan Turlandi M, A. EL. B Advocate

Peshawar.

or reaching to the marked at Bakshoo pul Icheganer, The Stolen I gent or was received. The motion was brognit in The sutra of Police of Pe I chapana al to This effect sahir Balka An had entend his arrivel departum in Ps lehagans vide DD no 10 daled 6- x, 017/ at 0020 how & Pe Ichangana . Similarly another report vide DD No 14 delal 6. x. ord was also entail in 1 hs: regard (copies are enclosed).

A. separte Coe vide FIR No 1611 datal 8 - x-017 4/5. 457 | 380 PR PE TRail has been reported in This re faid. (copy enclosed!)

- Stir book in mentioning that when her Datic back, along with Petrhore and when African reached to like market of Projeshop Pul Cohoyano al was on 5 hours of 6. x. 2017 - Constabile 12 amount al vali muham-al no 777 Doth informed Am Daha Badha in mobile Phone that A could Gul Bahar escaped away from the PD whomp worth hon eng: 200 mode om departiers from Boshana
  - Petition, along win other Africans reached to Pr sari Burlal at 03.40 hums vide DD MO 3 dahad 6. x. 017 P. Sari Berild ( Eopy an = Road
  - It is worth mentioning have that dump interrogation al-Op San Bahlot Areal Gul bahan at lone instract. disclosed that I stolen generation is in marke at & Pare should " at the exact place is known to his son.

ATTESTED TO BE will bring the Studen generality to ID. getitioner in BruE COPY on his own mubile Phone Contracted his son and he live heard Col Barbar talices with him. Accord directed his son to bring the generator to PP Sani Behild the Retation mobile Phone and Octor tolal-mad.

vi. Osmen Khan Turlandi .d. A. LL. B Advocate

Pishawar,

(h) (13)

Hazard reares Ca early marca the Rointahin of That Blace
This contact was made on Petitions maked thous for the
good Purpose and the Same dies my fall in the ombail of
Clar associating warm Criminals

- out in this comment made any fand Play in the almorniedent and in this comment in copies of FIR and DD reports as no in Perins all which are reported to be taken
- 12) The Pohtioner is consernated and water 221 ands along some old paralle formula is depend upon the Pelier Service of the Pelition. The
- 13) Petitioner have Shown good Performed various cases whe he revailed cost Priza along whin ce this Fact is evident from the ended P-pari

company in vain the above facts and circumstances inis hunterly reprotect that the change shoult + statement of
all examines may icindely be tick and be re-installed
all examines from the date of suspensions of

Date. : 26 . x . 2017

Heyat Damin no 2931.
Aleyat Damin no 2931.
Pulled line Madam

ATTESTED TO BE

M. Usman Khan Turlandi

Pahawar.



## OFFICE OF THE AMA :XURE - DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER

MARDAN

Tel:

0937-9230109

Pax:

0937-9230111

Email:

dpernardan650@gmail.com

Facebook: District Police Mardan

Twitter: @dpomardan

ORDER

This order will dispose-off the departmental inquiry, which has been conducted against Constable Hayot Zaman No. 2931, on the allegation that he white posted at police Station fakht Bhai, Mardan is proceeded against departmentally for the charges of corrupt practice) in-efficiency, close associations with criminals and sharing information regarding the movement of Police Officers with miscreants. Therefore, he is suspended and closed to Police into Mardan. This attitude adversely reflected on his performance which is an indiscipline act and gross misconduct on his part as defined in rule 2(iii) of Police Rules 1975.

in this connection, Constable Sayat Zancan No. 2931, was charge showed vide this office No. 69/R, dated 12.10.2017 and also proceeded him against departmentally through Mr. Abdur Rauf Babar, St/Operations/HQrs: Mardan, who after fulfilling necessary process, submitted his findings to the undersigned vide his office endormment No. 2045/PA/OPS dated 03.11.2017. The aftegations have been established against thin and recommended for nation punishment.

The orderigned agreed with the findings of the enquiry officer and sistement birth an abiderly Room on 17.11.2017, the alleged Constable Flayat Cannan No. 2931, is heachy lovarded major panishment of "Dismissal from Service", with immediate effect in exercise of the power vested in me under the above quoted rules.

ender an connect

OBNO 35 55

Dust 2 8 1/2 12 12017.

Dr. Mian Saged Ahmed (FSP)

District Police Officer,

Mardan.

No. 17 61 - 186 dated Mandan the 21 - 11 12017.

Copy for information and necessary action to the

1. Deputy inspector General of Police, Murdan Region-1, Mardan.

2. 2.2 Openski succione Linea.

DSP: HQrs. Mordan.

4 Pay Officer (OPC) Mardan.

E.C (DPO) Myudan.

6 - OSI (DDG) Mordan.

ATTESTED TO BE TRUE COPY

M. Usman Khan Turlandi M. A. LL D. dvocate Peshawar.



## OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER **MARDAN**

Tel:

0937-9230109

Fax:

09379230111

Email: dpomardan650@gmail.com

Facebook: District Police Mardan

No.<u>9901-06,</u>/R,

Twitter: @dpomardan

#### ORDER :

This order dispose of the departmental inquiry. Which has been conducted against Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, on allegation that he while posted at police station Takht Bahai, Mardan is proceeded against departmentally for the charges of corrupt practice in efficiency close associations with criminal and sharing information regarding the movement of police officer with miscreants. Therefore he is suspended and close to police lines Mardan. This attitude adversely reflected on his performance which is an indiscipline act and gross misconduct on his part as define in rule 2(iii) of police rules 1975.

Better Copy

In this connection constable Hayat Zaman No.2931 was charge sheeted vide this office No.69/R dated 12-10-2017 and also proceeded his against departmentally through Mr. Abdur Rauf Babar; SP/Operation/HQrs: Mardan. Who after re

Fulfilling necessary process submitted his pending to the undersigned vide his office endorsement No. 2045/PA/OPS dated 03-11-2017. The allegations have been established against him and recommended for major punishment.

The undersigned agree with the pinding of the enquiry officer and also heard him in orderly room on 17.11.2017, the alleged constable Hayat Zaman No.2931 is hereby awarded major punishment of Dismissal from service with immediate effect in exercise of the power vested in me under the above quoted rules.

Order announced

O.B No. 2688

Dr. Mian Saeed Ahmad (PSP) **District Police officer** 

Mardan

No. 9901 - 06 dated Mardan the 31 - 11 - 2017.

Copy for information and necessary action to the:-

- 1. Deputy inspector General of police Mardan region-1, Mardan.
- 2. S.P Operation Mardan.
- 3. DSP/HQrs, Mardan.
- 4. Pay Officer (DPO) Mardan.
- 5. E.C (DPO) Mardan.
- 6. OSI (DPO) Mardan.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

ATTESTED TO BE TRUX COPY

M. Usaran Aban Turlandi M. A. LL. B Advocate

Peshawar.

MINEXURE ---- D

TRUE COPY

M. Usman Khan Turlandi M. A. L. uvocate Peshawar.



#### ORDER.

This order will dispose off the appeal preferred by Ex-Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931 of Mardan District Police against the order of the District Police Officer, Mardan, whereby he was awarded Major punishment of dismissal from service vide District Police Officer, Mardan OB No. 2688 dated 20.11.2017.

Brief facts of the case are that the appellant while posted at Police Station Takht Bhai, Mardan was proceeded against departmentally for the charges of corrupt practice, in-efficiency, close associations with criminals and sharing information regarding the movement of Police Officers with miscreants. Therefore, he was suspended and closed to Police Lines, Mardan. Consequently he was charge sheeted and also proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Abdur Rauf Babar, SP/Operations/FIQrs: Mardan. The Enquiry Officer after fulfilling necessary process, submitted his findings to the District Police Officer, Mardan. The allegations were established against him and recommended him for major punishment of dismissal from service. The District Police Officer, Mardan agreed with the findings of the Enquiry Officer and also heard the alleged Constable in Orderly Room on 17.11.2017 but he could not prove his innocence, therefore the alleged Constable was awarded major punishment of "Dismissal from Service.

He was called in orderly room held in this office on 17.01.2018 and heard him in person, but he did not produce any substantial evidence about his innocence. Besides this the appellant was also dismissed from service earlier on 06.04.2017, due to long absence. Therefore, I find no grounds to intervene the order passed by the then District Police Officer, Mardan. Appeal is rejected.

ORDER ANINDADACED.

Te

(Muhammud Alam Shinwari)PSP Regional Police Officer,

Mardan

No. 449

ÆS.

Dated Mardan the

Copy to District Police Officer, Mardan for information and necessary action w/r to his office Memo: No. 13/LB dated 025.01.2018. The Service Record is returned herewith.

(\*\*\*\*\*)

ATTESTED TO BE

M. Usman Khan Turlandi M. A LL B Advocate

Peshawar.

		[12
• •	(a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d	١
•	District Same and the same of	1
·	20/8 ( M) 20/10 vie 120/8 ( M) 20/10 vie 120/10 vie 120	
	as Constitution of the Con	
	نوعيت مقدمه	
,	مقدمه علت نمبر مورخه	=
• <u>•</u> •	جرم تفانه	1
	DBA PARTON Mardan PARTON MARDAN PARTON PARTO	
	مقدمه مندرجه بخنوان بالامین اپی طرف سے دا سطے پیروی و جواب دہی وکل کاروائی متعلقہ مقدمه مندرجه بخنوان بالامین ا	
	آن مقام کر کیل مقرر M, A. EL. B Advocate کووکیل مقرر Peshawar.	<b>寸</b>
	کرکے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کا روائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا، نیز وکیل مقررہ کوراضی نامہ کرنے وتقرر	<b>-</b>
	ی ثالث وفیصله برحلف دینے عرضی دعویٰ ، جواب دعویٰ ،ا قبال دعویٰ ، جواب الجواب ،،عذر داری ، درخواست زیر دفعه (2)12 ض د ، درخواست بمراد برآیدگی وسرسبزگی مقدمه ، درخواست بمرادمنسوخی کاروائی و ڈگری کیطرفه دائر کرنے جواب ، جواب	-
	الجواب وغیرہ درخواست کاروائی اجراء دائر کرنے و وصولی چیک ورقم اور درخواست از ہرتسم کی تصدیق زراس پر وستخط وغیرہ	
	کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔اپیل ،اپیل ،نگرانی ،نظر ثانی ،رٹ وعذر داری وغیرہ دائر کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔اور بصورت	
	ضرورت مذکورہ کے عمل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے وکیل یا مختار قانونی کواپنی ہمراہ یااپنی بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا۔اورصاحب	 
i	مقررشدہ کوبھی جملہ مذکورہ بالا اختیارات حاصل ہو نگے اوراسکا ساختہ برداختہ منظور وقبول ہوگا اور دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چہ دہر جانبہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے ہوگا اسکے ستحق وکیل صاحب ہونگے۔ نیز بقایا وخرچہ کی وصولی کا بھی اختیام ہوگا۔اگر کوئی	7 0 50
	جانبہ مواجے مقدمہ مے صبب سے ہوہ اسلے میں صاحب ہو گئے۔ میز بقایا وطرچہ ی وصوی کا بنی احسیام ہوگا۔ اگر کوی تاریخ بیش پر وکیل موصوف مقام دورہ پر ہویا حد سے باہر ہویا بیار ہویا کوئی ضروری کام ہو۔ تو وکیل صاحب یابندنہ ہوئے کہ	5727
	پیروی مقدمه ندکوره کریں للبذاو کا ات نامه لکھ دیا تا کہ سندر ہے۔	344-9
	19-02-2018 right	Sujery Surves o
امر لا نگری مانی کورٹ	مقام <u> </u>	1. J. J.
	ال من ال من المن المن المن المن المن الم	5657
- ;	Advocate I.D:  Bar Council	7585
. 6-	Bar Association ON NOWWY,	3/0/8
	Contact #: 0333-9/53098	
		<

## BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

#### Service Appeal No. 234/2018.

#### **Respectfully Sheweth:**

#### PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:-

- 1. That the appellant has not come to this Honourable Tribunal with clear hands.
- 2. That the appellant has got no cause of action.
- 3. That the appellant has concealed material facts from this Honourable Tribunal.
- 4. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct, by law to bring the instant appeal.
- 5. That the present appeal is bad in its present form hence not maintainable and liable to be dismissed.
- 6. That the appeal is bad due to non-joinder of necessary parties and mis-joinder of unnecessary parties.

#### REPLY ON FACTS.

- 1. Correct to the extent of enrolment as constable in the year 2009, however, his service record since his joining Police Service, speaks otherwise i.e filled with a series of red entries including major penalties of dismissal twice. (Copies of red entries & dismissal orders are attached as Annexure-A & B)
- 2. Pertains to record, hence, no comment.
- 3. Incorrect. Proper departmental inquiry has been conducted through SP Operations Mardan and all codal formalities have been complied with. (Copy of Inquiry is attached as Annexure-C)
- 4. His departmental appeal was rejected by respondent No. 02 after applying legal wisdom and product concentration and in the light of rules/law as well. (Copy of rejection order is attached as Annexure-D).
- 5. Incorrect. The appellant is habitual absentee whose service record is filled with a number of bad entries, including dismissal from service twice in his short service carrier. His service appeal, hence, holds no legal or moral grounds to stand here on in this Honourable Tribunal.

#### REPLY ON GROUNDS:-

- a. Incorrect. His service carrier, comprising only 08 years, is painted with a bunch of bad entries. He has been dismissed from service twice vide OB No. 530 dated 24.32.2017 and OB No. 2688 dated 20.11.2017 accordingly. Hence, denied.
- b. Incorrect. Proper departmental inquiry through a senior officer of this district was conducted by fulfilling all codal formalities, wherein, the appellant was found guilty of misconduct under rules/law.
- c. Incorrect, hence, denied. As replied above.
- d. Incorrect as the allegations leveled in the charge sheet has been established against him during departmental inquiry, hence, denied.
- e. Incorrect as the charge sheet carries crystal clear allegations and the same has been proved during departmental inquiry.
- f. Incorrect. Proper departmental inquiry conducted in line with rules/law.

- g. Incorrect and baseless, hence, denied. As replied above.
- h. Incorrect and baseless, hence, denied. As replied above.
- i. Incorrect as proper departmental inquiry is conducted by applying relevant rules/law and giving all opportunities of self-defence at all forums of departmental proceedings.
- j. Incorrect. The appellant did not hold spotless service carrier and deserved the impugned penalty under relevant rules/law.
- k. Incorrect. The impugned orders are legal, within jurisdiction and according to norms of equity and natural justice. Hence, constitutional and sustainable.
- 1. Incorrect. The appellant's service carrier and his repeated misconducts therein are shining which he can see with his open eyes. Hence, denied.
- m. The respondents also seek permission to raise additional grounds, if any, at the time of arguments.

#### PRAYER:-

The prayer of the appellant, being baseless & devoid of merits, is liable to be dismissed with costs.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 01)

Deputy Inspector General It Police,

Mardan Region-I, Mardan

(Respondent No. 02)

District Police Officer,

Mardan

(Respondent No. 03)

## BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 234/2018.

#### VERSUS.

#### AUTHORITY LETTER.

Mr. Atta-ur-Rahman Sub-Inspector Legal, (Police) Mardan is hereby authorized to appear before the Honourable Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar in the above captioned service appeal on behalf of the respondents. He is also authorized to submit all required documents and replies etc. as representative of the respondents through the Addl: Advocate General/Govt. Pleader, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 01)

Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan

(Respondent No. 02)

District Police Officer,

(Respondent No. 03)

## BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 234/2018.

#### VERSUS.

#### COUNTER AFFIDAVIT.

We, the respondents do hereby declare and solemnly affirm on oath that the contents of the Para-wise comments in the service appeal cited as subject are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 01)

Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan

(Respondent No. 02)

District Rollice Officer,

Mardan

(Respondent No. 03)

He is herely a reader mayor punishment of

Disposed for more and some more than the

in exercise of the pener restration me under

Pokue Plain 1975

ORN. Co.

Service Police Officer

1th Buy ?

DRASK

endst 110. 447/Es, dated 19-1-2018.

Dpo, Marda.



#### OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER MARDAN

Tel: Fax: Email 0937-9230109 0937-9230111

Email:
-Facebook:
Twitter:

dpo mardan@yahoo.com District Police Mardan

@dpomardan

NO. 9822-261PA

Dated 5 / 10 /2017

#### ORDER ON ENQUIRY OF SI SALEEM KHAN

This order will dispose-off a departmental enquiry under Police Rules 1975, initiated against the subject Police Official, under the allegations that while posted as SHO PS Sheikh Maltoon, (now Police Lines), one Mashal Khan Son of Muhammad Iqbal Khan, a student of Journalism Department Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan was lynched by a Mobol Students on account of unconfirmed charges of blasphemy. On receipt of information, SI Saleem Khan arrived to the University at 1305 hours and remained there till the end of incident. However, during a preliminary enquiry conducted by Worthy Regional Police Officer Mardan in this matter, it was found that he has shown slackness in disposal of his official duty and failed to take concrete steps against the agitating students, it sultantly the unfortunate mobinesice medient took place.

To ascertain real facts, SI Saleem Khan was faced departmentally through Captain & Ali Bin Tariq SDPO Takht Bhai vide this office Disciplinary Action No.7743-44/PA dated 08.08 2017, who after fulfilling necessary process, submitted his Finding Report to this office vide his office letter No.2254/ST dated 28.09.2017, holding responsible the alleged official for negligence/misconduct & recommending him for major punishment of dismissal from service.

#### Final Order

SI Saleem Khan was heard in O.R held at Police Lines on 03.10.2017 & awarded major punishment of reversion to the rank of ASI with immediate effect, in exercise of the power vested in me under P.R 1975.

O.B No. 22,86

Dated 6 / /0-2017.

District Police Officer,
Mardan.

· Copy forwarded for information & n/action to:-

- 1. The Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan Region-I, Mardan, please.
- The SP Operations Mardan.
- 3. The DSP/HQrs; Mardan.
- 4. The Pay Officer & E.C (Police Office) Mardan.
- 5. The OSI (Police Office) Mardan with ( ) Sheets.



No. 990/- 06 /R,

## OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER MARDAN

Tel:

0937-9230109

Fax:

0937-9230111

Email:

dpomardan650@gmail.com

Facebook: District Police Mardan

Twitter: (a)dpomardan

#### **ORDER**

This order will dispose-off the departmental inquiry, which has been conducted against Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, on the allegation that he while posted at Police Station Takht Bhai, Mardan is proceeded against departmentally for the charges of corrupt practice, in-efficiency, close associations with criminals and sharing information regarding the movement of Police Officers with miscreants. Therefore, he is suspended and closed to Police Lines, Mardan. This attitude adversely reflected on his performance which is an indiscipline act and gross misconduct on his part as defined in rule 2(iii) of Police Rules 1975.

In this connection, Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, was charge sheeted vide this office No. 69/R, dated 12.10.2017 and also proceeded him against departmentally through Mr. Abdur Rauf Babar, SP/Operations/HQrs: Mardau, who after fulfilling necessary process, submitted his findings to the undersigned vide his office endorsement No. 2045/PA/OPS, dated 03.11.2017. The allegations have been established against him and recommended for major punishment.

The undersigned agreed with the findings of the enquiry officer and also heard him in Orderly Room on 17.11.2017, the alleged Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, is hereby awarded major punishment of "Dismissal from Service", with immediate effect in exercise of the power vested in me under the above quoted rules.

Order announced

O.B No. \_2688

Dated <u>201 11</u> /2017.

Dr. Mian Saeed Ahmed (PSP) District Police Officer, Mardan.

No. 1 6 1- 109 dated Mardan the 21-11 /2017.

Copy for information and necessary action to the:-

- Deputy Inspector General of Police. Mardan Region-I, Mardan.
- S.P Operations, Mardan.
- DSP/ HQrs, Mardan.
- Pay Officer (DPO) Mardan. 4.
- E.C (DPO) Mardan. 5.
- OSI (DPO) Mardan.

\*\*\*\*\*\*



#### OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE OPERATIONS & HEADQUARTERS MARDÁN

Tell:

0937-9230117

Fax:

0937 9230111

E.Mail: Spop\$1506@gmail.com

80. 2095 /PA.(Ops)

Dated 03/11/2017.

The District Police Officer,

Mardan.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY CONSTABLE HAYAT ZAMAR

NO. 2931 PP SARI BEHLOL.

Memo:

Kindly refer to your office Memo: No. 69/R/D.A-P.R-1975 dated

12.10.2017 on the subject noted above.

In this regard it is submitted that on receipt of enquiry constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931 was called in the office and heard at length. His statement is part of the enquiry file. He was questioned and counter questioned. From enquiry following facts transpired:

> While posted in Police post Sari Behlol constable Hayat Zaman on receiving the graft willfully let the accused Hazrat Karim go. Hazrat Karim was wanted in many theft cases and he was under interrogation for the purpose.

Keeping in view the above it is clear that constable Hayat 3. Zaman acted with mala-fide intention and is guilty of corruption and misconduct. He may kindly be awarded Major Punishment of "Dismissal from service".

Submitted please.

We have 3

Superintindent of Police Operations & Headquarters

#### OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER MARDAN

Tel: 0937-9230109 Fax: 0937-9230111

Email: <a href="mailto:dpoinardan650@gmail.com">dpoinardan650@gmail.com</a>
Facebook: District Police Mardan

Twitter: @dpomardan

#### DISCIPLINARY ACTION UNDER KPK POLICE RULES - 1975

I, Dr. Mian Saced Ahmed District Police Officer, Mardan as competent facility am of the opinion that Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, rendered himself liable to proceeded against as he committed the following acts/omission within the meaning of section-2 radio of KPK Police Rules 1975.

#### STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

That Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, while posted at Police Station Takht Bhai, Mardan is proceeded against departmentally for the charges of corrupt practice, inefficiency, close associations with criminals and sharing information regarding the movement of Police Officers with miscreants. Therefore, he is suspended and closed to Police Lines, Mardan.

- 2. For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of the said official with reference to the above allegations SP (1005) is appointed as Enquiry Officer.
- 3. The enquiry officer shall conduct proceedings in accordance with provisions of Police Rules 1975 and shall provide reasonable opportunity of defense and hearing to the accused official, record its findings and make within twenty five (25) days of the receipt of this order, recommendation as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused officer.
- 4. The accused officer shall join the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

(Dr. Mian Saeed Ahmed) PSP
District Police Officer,
Mardan

#### OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN

No. \_\_\_\_\_/R, dated Mardan the \_\_\_\_\_\_/2017.

Copy of above is forwarded to the:

1. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_for initiating proceedings against the accused official / Officer namely Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, under Police Rules, 1975.

2. Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, with the directions to appear before the Enquiry Officer on the date, time and place fixed by the enquiry officer for the purpose of enquiry proceedings.

\*\*\*\*\* !!! \*\*\*\*\*

ali Oliyari

The sometimes

## RGE SHEET UNDER KPK POLICE RULES 1975

I, Dr. Mian Saeed Ahmed District Police Officer, Mardan as competent charge you Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, as follows.

That you Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931, while posted at Police Bhai, Mardan is proceeded against departmentally for the charges of corrupt efficiency, close associations with criminals and sharing information regarding the Police Officers with miscreants. Therefore, you are suspended and closed to Police entered and closed to Police entered.

This amounts to grave misconduct on your part, warranting departmental against you, as defined in section - 6 (1) (a) of the KPK Police Rules 1975.

By reason of the above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct under section – 02 (iii) of the KPK Police Rules 1975 and has rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties as specified in section - 04 (i) a & b of the said Rules.

You are therefore, directed to submit your written defense within seven days of the receipt of this charge sheet to the enquiry officer.

Your written defence if any, should reach to the enquiry officer within the specified period, failing which, it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put-in and in that case, an ex-parte action shall follow against you.

Intimate whether you desired to be heard in person.

(Dr. Mian Saeed Ahmed) PSP
District Police Officer,
Mardan

The Lerwin



This order will dispose-off the appeal preferred by Ex-Constable Hayat Zaman No. 2931 of Mardan District Police against the order of the District Police Officer, Mardan, whereby he was awarded Major punishment of dismissal from service vide District Police Officer, Mardan OB No. 2688 dated 20.11.2017.

Brief facts of the case are that the appellant while posted at Police Station Takht Bhai, Mardan was proceeded against departmentally for the charges of corrupt practice, in-efficiency, close associations with criminals and sharing information regarding the movement of Police Officers with miscreants. Therefore, he was suspended and closed to Police Lines, Mardan. Consequently he was charge sheeted and also proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Abdur Rauf Babar, SP/Operations/HQrs: Mardan. The Enquiry Officer after fulfilling necessary process, submitted his findings to the District Police Officer, Mardan. The allegations were established against him and recommended him for major punishment of dismissal from service. The District Police Officer. Mardan agreed with the findings of the Enquiry Officer and also heard the alleged Constable in Orderly Room on 17.11.2017 but he could not prove his innocence, therefore the alleged Constable was awarded major punishment of "Dismissal from Service.

He was called in orderly room held in this office on 7.01.2018 and heard him in person, but he did not produce any substantial evidence about his innocence. Besides this the appellant was also dismissed from service earlier on 06.04.2017, due to long absence. Therefore, I find no grounds to intervene the order passed by the then District Police Officer, Mardan. Appeal is rejected.

ORDER ANNOUNCED.

(Muhammad Alam Shinwari)PSP Regional Police Officer, Mardan Ala

No. 449

(\*\*\*\*\*\*\*)

ÆS,

Dated Mardan the 19

Copy to District Police Officer, Mardan for information and necessary action w/r to his office Memo: No. 13/LB dated 025.01.2018. The Service Récord is returned herewith.

241:08

for 27/action

DPP, Mpn Gam

#### Before The Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar.

In ref to Service Appeal No. 234/2018.

Hayat zaman Ex-Constable No. 2931.....Versus......PPO & OTHERS.

Rejoinder on behalf of the appellant to the comments of respondent No. 1, 2 & 3.

#### **RESPECTFULLY SHEWITH:-**

#### REPLY TO THE PRELIMINARY OBJECTION:

- 1) Incorrect. The appellant has come to this honourable Tribunal with quite clean hands.
- 2) Incorrect. The appellant has got good cause of action.
- 3) Incorrect. The appellant has never concealed any slightest/single fact from this honorable court and all the facts have properly been brought in appeal.
- 4) Incorrect. No rule of estoppels is applicable and attracted while filing the instant appeal.
- 5) incorrect. The appeal is maintainable.
- 6) Incorrect. All the necessary parties have properly been arrayed as respondents therein.

#### FACTS .-

- 1. Incorrect. The appellant has served the department with great zeal and zest.
- 2. As admitted hence no reply, however the service record in credit of the appellant is self explanatory.
- 3. Incorrect. No proper/regular departmental inquiry has ever been conducted and no such codal formalities have ever been complied with.

5. Incorrect. The appellant has not been dismissed from service on the allegation of absence nor has absence of the petitioner ever been mentioned either in the original order or final impugned order.

#### GROUNDS.

- a) Incorrect. The reply to the Para "A" is ambiguous, contrary to the spotless service record of the appellant, hence denied.
- b) Incorrect. Proper/detail reply has been given in Para 3 of the facts above.
- c) Incorrect. Proper/detail reply has been given in Para 3 of the facts above.
- d) Incorrect. Proper/detail reply has been given in Para 3 of the facts above.
- e) Incorrect. Proper/detail reply has been given in Para 3o f the facts above.
- f) Incorrect. Proper/detail reply has been given in Para 30 f the facts above.
- g) Incorrect. Detail reply has been given in Para 3 of the facts above.
- h) Incorrect. Detail reply has been given in Para 3 of the facts above.
- i) Incorrect. Detail reply has been given in Para 3 of the facts above.
- j) Incorrect. The appellant has rendered spotless services as evident from his service career and the impugned order has been passed in hap-hazard manner and his spotless services have been ignored by the department on nepotism.
- k) Incorrect. The impugned order is illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority, without jurisdiction and against the norms of natural justice and equity, hence not sustainable in the eyes of law.

- 1) Incorrect. The reply to Para "I" is ambiguous and the respondents have departed from the real and legal issue hence their comment are denied.
- m) Legal one.

#### PRAYERS.

On acceptance of this rejoinder, the comments of the respondents may please be set at naught, the prayers of the appellant may very graciously be allowed as prayed for in the appeal, in order to meet the ends of justice.  $\sqrt{q}$ 

APPELLANT

Through;

Muhammad Usman Khan

Turlandi

Advocate Peshawar.

Dated: 29/10/2018.

### AFFIDAVIT.

I, Hayat Zaman Ex-Constable No. 2931 Mardan Police, the appellant, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of accompanying Rejoinder are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been kept secret or concealed therein from this

august Tribunal.

DEPONENT

17000 14 17 131/31 7/10 11. 11/2" 8 5 18:30 500 14 10 100 ASI DUJEH Sin my المناسق الأنسان عدي كذا إلى المال ما والمراح في المراح في المراح المراح في المراح المراح المراح في المراح ا مخرع المليع وى الرمان ال فطر عال والر فالرمان الريال والر Mily el & property dille se the color المرائز مروره والمام الم مع موره علوم والم معام الم 2 5 just 1610 1/20 3115 Select 157/ 100 8 17 1018 JUSTICE 381A P.P. 6/9 Per 1613 popula 31453 MB 379 PM (), (3) will of colo of the color of the color - United me solve

Children of the second of the

مارياد اکلم مورفي مي 14 وقت 30-18 نجي ، مير) مع بمران العراز لست عليق كمت براي من والس ريا-الم المفرق المعالم فالساور في زيد الدول قلهارسان عود 3 قبيب التروكم لعادين of the state of which and so the self of the self 457/ (7.8 // 200 /6/1 s/c de 13. c de 03. de 18/2 // 10 è 19 /6/0 hara (5/45/4) de 18/2 // 10 è 19 /6/0 hara (5/45/4) de 18/2 // 10/2 mes 1: 1. 2. 1. 1. 2. 1. John Single Menning Single Sin Joseph Sould Strain of a supply Living man mile with a willing celli jumê, elle Jumi yel)

## ابتدائی اطلاع ربورٹ

ابتدائی اطلاع نسبت قابل دست اندازی پولیس رپورٹ شده زیر دفعہ ۱۵مجموعه ضابطه فوجداری

	ضلع خردان	Jus 2
ا توت را المعالم		.1611 5
	·	تاريخوونت رپورك 10 عرب 6:30 ورث 0:30
16/02-8326963-3		نام وسکونت اطلاع د ہندہ مستغیث سر کھنٹی شرع نناختی کارڈنمبر <b>ا</b> موبائل نمبر
W.C.	7/380	نقر كيفيت جرم (معه دفعه) حال اگر كھ ليا گيا ہو۔
44	ن روی دافع در سرا کو	جائے وقوعہ فاصلہ تھانہ سے اور سمت کر رہے کر فرمرا
		نام وسکونت ملزم ناختی کارڈ نمبر <b>/</b> موبائل نمبر
Com Blank (Ex.	میں تو قف ہوا ہوتو وجہ بیان کرو <u>رکس کرا</u>	کاروائی جھنتش ہے متعلق کی گئی اگرا طلاع درج کرنے
2130	hin	تفانہ ہے روائگی کی تاریخ ووقت

DE PS-773