05.03.2019

Clerk to counsel for the appellant present. Mian Amir Qadir, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Obaid Ur Rehman, ADO for respondents present. Clerk to counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that counsel for the appellant was busy before the High Court, Swat Bench. Case to come up for further proceedings on 06.03.2019.

Member Camp Court, Swat

06.03.2019

Counsel for the appellant present. Mian Amir Qadir, District Attorney for respondents present. Counsel for the appellant submitted an application for withdrawal of the instant appeal. As such application is allowed and the instant appeal is hereby withdrawn. File be consigned to the record room.

Announced: 06.03.2019

Member Camp Court, Swat

04.12.2018

Appellant absent. Learned counsel for the appellant absent. Mr. Usman Ghani learned District present. Written reply not submitted. No one present on behalf of respondents. Notice be issued to the appellant as well as to the respondents for 09.01.2019. Adjourn. To come up for written reply/comments on the date fixed before S.B at Camp Court Swat.

Member Camp Court, Swath

09.01.2019

Clerk of the counsel for appellant present. Mr. Obaid-ur-Rehman, ADO on behalf of respondent No. 3 alongwith Mr. Mian Ameer Qadir, District Attorney for the respondents present. Written reply on behalf of respondents not submitted. Learned District Attorney requested for further adjournment. Adjourned. Case to come up for written reply/comments on 05.03.2019 before S.B at Camp Court Swat.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member

Camp Court Swat

04.07.2018

Mr. Shamsul Hadi Advocate counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Usman Ghani learned District Attorney for respondents present. Written reply not submitted. Adjourned. To come up for written reply/comments on 07.08.2018 before S.B at camp court Swat.

Chairman Camp Court, Swat

07.08.2018

Clerk to counsel for the petitioner present. Due to summer vacations, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same on 05.09.2018 at camp court Swat.

05.09.2018

Clerk of counsel for the appelnat present. Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney for respondents present. Written reply not submitted. Requested for adjournment to submit the same on the next date of hearing. Granted. Case to come up for written reply/comments on 05.11.2018 before S.B at camp court Swat.

Member Camp Court Swat

05.11.2018

Due to retirement of the Hob'ble Chairman Service Tribunal is incomplete. Tour to Camp Court Swat has been cancelled. To come up for the same on 04.12.2018 at camp court Swat.

09.03.2018

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments heard. Vide my detailed order of today in connected service appeal No. 209/2018 entitled "Shamsher Vs. DEO (F) Baunir and others", this appeal is also admitted to regular hearing. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents. To come up for written feply/comments on 05.04.2018 before S.B at camp court, Swat.

Appellant Deposited Security Process Fee

Camp Court, Swat.

05.04.2018

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney alongwith for the respondents present. Written reply not submitted. Learned District Attorney seeks adjournment. Granted. To come up for written reply/comments on 10.05.2018 before S.B at Camp Court, Swat.

Chairman Camp court, Swat

09.05.2018

The Tribunal is non-functional due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman. To come up for the same on 09-07.2018 before the S.B. at camp court, Swat.

07.06.2018

Neither appellant nor his counsel present. None is present on behalf of the respondents. However, Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney put appearance on behalf of the respondents. Adjourned. To come up for written reply/comments on 04.07.2018 before S.B at Camp Court, Swat.

Chairman Camp Court, Swat

# Form-A FORMOF ORDERSHEET

in the

Court of	,	
·	,	
Case No	284/2018	

	Case No.	284/2018
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with <b>signature</b> of judge
1	2	3
1	01/03/2018	The appeal of Mr. Piro resubmitted today by Mr. Shamsu Hadi Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and
	<b>√</b>	put up to Worthy Chairman for proper order please.
		REGISTRAR 1/3/18
2-	2-3-18	This case is entrusted to Touring S. Bench at Swat for preliminary hearing to be put up there on $09-03-18$ .
	·	CHALLAN
	) c	

The appeal of Mr. Piro son of Shah Muhim Jam Ex-Chowkidar GGPS Bikand Buner received today i.e. on 15.02.2018 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

Copy of appointment order is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.

No. <u>369</u> /S.T,

Dt. 19/02 /2018

REGISTRAR
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

PESHAWAR.

Mr. Shamsul Hadi Adv.

Not 100 sespondent provided in applient ment order for appellants social record.

not soriore is necessary Jos 160

Title appeal.

Dalied.

71 -31

Mother in the second of second constant of second c

# BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. <u>284</u> /2018.	•
Piro	Appellant
VERSUS	
District Education Officer (F) Bunir and others	Respondents

#### **INDEX**

S.N	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Memo of Appeal.		1 3
2.	Affidavit.		4
3.	Addresses of the Parties.		5
4.	Copies of BOIL.	A	6-13
5.	Copy of regularization notification of 2008.	В	14-16
6.	Copy of impugned office order dated:21.08.2017	С	18
7.	Copies of Judgment dated:04.10.2017 and application.	D	<b>%</b> -38
8.			
7.	Wakalat Nama		39

Appellant

Through

Shams ul Hadi

Dated: 12/02/2018.

Advocate, Peshawar.

Office: Near Al-Falah Mosque, Hayat

Abad, Mingora.

Cell No. 0347-4773440.

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. \_294 /2018.

Khyber Pakhtukhwa

Piro S/o Shah Muhim Jam (Ex-Chowkedar GGPS Bikand, Bunir)

R/o Village Bikand Gokand, District Bunir.....

..Appellant.

- 1. District Education Officer(Female) Bunir
- 2. Director, Elementary & Secondary Education Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. District Account Officer, Bunir.
- 4. Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Education, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.....(Respondents)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION OF: **KHYBER** PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED **OFFICE** DATED:21.08.2017.

### PRAYER IN APPEAL:

Firedto-day On acceptance of this appeal the impugned order: 21.08.2017 regarding non sanctioning after retirement benefits i-e pension of appellant may kindly be set aside and the and gratuity appellant may kindly be awarded pension and gratuity etc of appellant of his service with all back benefits of after retirement of service.

### Respectfully Sheweth:

That the appellant served as Class-IV Employee in the Education Department Bunir and as such retirement on the said post. (Copies of Appointment letter and service book are annexure "A").

Re-submitted

- 2. That keeping in view the agonies and the financial constrains of the family of the low grade retiring employees, the provincial government was pleased to regularized the services/Posts of the appellants in the year 2008 and as such they were declared civil servants and further the said order was confirmed according to "Regularization Act,2010" and as such the appellant performed his duties as permanent employees of Education Department in Bunir, till date of their retirement. (Copy of notification is annexure-B)
- That the appellant keeping in 3. view of the above circulation was hopeful to get pension benefits etc after his retirement and as such waited for the same when they were taken by surprise when the Respondents No.1 informed the appellant, that they are not qualifying for pension benefits and others benefits after retirement.(Copy of impugned office order dated:21.08.2017 is annexure-C)
  - 4. That against the illegal actions of the respondents, the appellant finally approached Peshawar High court Mingora Bench as in similar nature issues pension benefits of the others similar placed employees were awarded by the Honrable high court through various judgments, but finally the a larger bench was constituted in the issue in hand, where writ petitions of the appellant and others treated as departmental appeals respondents were directed to decide the same in accordance with law and rules and in light of the judgment delivered in Amir Zeb's case.
  - 5. That the judgment was communicated to the respondents in shape of departmental appeal but the same was not decided within the statutory period. (Copy of application and judgment are annexure-D)

That being aggrieved the appellants prefer this appeal on the following grounds amongst others inter-alia.

#### **GROUNDS:**

- A. That actions and inactions of the respondents are violative of the constitution and the relevant laws laid down for the purpose, hence needs interference of this august Court.
- B. That the appellant has a poor financial background and served the department for long considerable period with the hopes of further benefits after retirement but the respondents did not observe the prescribed rules, regulations and denied the benefits in shape of pension to the appellant.
- C. That the issue in hand has now already been decided by this august court through a similar nature cases hence the appellant deserve for the same treatment.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that On acceptance of this appeal the impugned Orders dated:21.08.2017 regarding non sanctioning after retirement benefits i-e pension and gratuity of appellant may kindly be set aside and the appellant may kindly be awarded pension and gratuity etc of appellant of his service with all back benefits of after retirement of service.

Οr

Any other relief which this august Court deems appropriate may kindly be awarded to meet the ends of justice.

Appellant

Through

Shams ul Hadi

Dated: 12/02/2018 Advocate, Peshawar.

Piro

# BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No/2018.	•
Piro	Appellant
VERSUS	
District Education Officer (F) Bunir and other	ers Respondents

### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, **Shams ul Hadi**, Advocate, Peshawar do hereby as per information convoyed to me by my client solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the **Service Appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

ADVOCATE



## BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No/2018.	
Piro	Appellant
VERSUS	
District Education Officer (F) Bunir and oth	ersRespondents

### **ADDRESSES OF THE PARTIES**

### APPELLANT:

Piro S/o Shah Muhim Jan

(Ex-Chowkedar GGPS Bikand Gokand, Bunir)

R/o Village Bikand Gokand District Bunir Cell No.

### **RESPONDENTS:**

- 1. District Education Officer(Female) Bunir.
- 2. Director, Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. District Account Officer, Bunir.
- 4. Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Education, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

Appellant

Through

Shams ul Hadi

Dated: 12/02/2018 Advocate, Peshawar.

` '; : <b>N</b> 60		(6) Anvenue A'	
	old: NIC. 115.	57-227040 3 VIII. AIC 15101-03-963-9 should be renewed or re-attested at least every five years and the Signature to 1/es 9 and 10	
	Vote:- The entries on this page should be dated.	should be renewed or re-allested at least a	
	Name:	0	
	Race: A7	Than	j
-	1	se Biscand (Gonand) Telisi Daggar ict Buner.	
	1000	Charles For Ulfale Bircand Golca	4
	Father's name and	Tehrif Dassar District Burner.	
	Date of birth by Ch nearly as can be as	ristian era as 1957 CN.H. T. L. F. J.	
:	6. Exact height by me	asurement: 5 - 7	
Date	7. Personal marks fo	identification: wound Mark on Right- Hand	
	8. Left hand thumb of (Non-Gazetted	officer:	
	Little Finger:	Ring Finger:	_
,	Middle Finger:	Fore Finger:	
	Thumb:	i de	
<del></del>	9 Signature of Go	vernment Servant:	
	10. Signature and d	esignation of the Co., or other Attesting (F) Diete: Buse	
· ·	Officer.	CA-C	-


		••	· , 1	,			;	••
•			```	. 4	ሆ <sup>†</sup> ነው ተ	7		
: -	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
_	Name of Post	Whether substnite or officiating and whother permanent or temporary	If officiating, state  (if) substantive 'appointment, or (if) whether service counts for pension under Art. 37,1 C.S.R.	Pay in' substantive post	Additional, pay for officiating	Other smolument failing under the lern, "pay"	Data of Appointment	re ar head or att Signature of a sten Government sorvant olum
24.					•		., -	
				12-41				
_	<u>z</u> á_			1200	, 			
		:   -		17.4				2 spinners
	-7'-			j 577		í		1000 - 11
	e de p <u>ira</u> se			174/			1 ?	-1.
	سده کمپید.			12.00			1 12 r ·	
	-			/0x			i, 1:2-	
	~. <i>[</i> L.··			20001			1-7.51	
				7-201			12	
•	· in		2	Done!			12	
_	American de la companya de la compa			<u> १</u> ९००)	2	1	/ / )	
	-:-			310-1	te			A STATE OF THE STA



, <b>)</b>			,	7			0		
,	9	10	11	12	,	1	3	14	15
	thure and Designation to head og the office ther attesting officer in attestation of columns 1 to 8	Date of termination or appointment	Reason of termination (such as promotion, transfer, diamiesal, etc.)	Signature of the head of the office or other attacting officer	Natura and dura- tion of leave taken	Alloca leave upto debit debit	tion of period of on average pay four months for pleave salary is able to another lovernment to	Signature of the head of the office or other attesting officer.	Reference to any recorded punishment or cesure, or reward or praise of the Government Servent
		7	<u> </u>				Which debitable		
	BBO (P) Pry: Bu	30 05	No.	SOG (F) Prys I	uner	:	<del></del>		,
		34 10	Pery Bucreas	AG (F) PTy: No					
		30 "	,, .	di L	7				
	(F) 2-70 to	ner of		PG (F) Pry:	dner		service Verif	ina wol.!	==26
	(seg (F) Pry: B					_	10 3J-8-	- 03 from	eta: Roi
	8 1st	30-8	Awards 1385-1 W.e.7- 23-10-9	D And				27 19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-1	4 - O
<del></del> ,	D Jack Ca deputy Sistrict Office Pleasey Buner at Ca	30 11	No.	Supports District Crity	com((P)				·
<u>.</u>	80 J	30/1	4/ne						
	Deputy District Office Advance Business Office Offi	3-47	A/200	Deputy District Offi Primary Gumar at D	,		·		
	Deputy Destrict Conto	30 11	1/2c						A.C.
	Sto José	30 /1	Almo				·		
4 Com 22	Something State of the	30 11	Alex		٠				
• ;	County District Office	30-11	4/2	deputy Bistrics Off	de le constitution de la constit	7)			
	- <u>para</u>	y 12 y 12	geat. Rews	e Sala	7				

								i	
	4			44		. (/			
,		:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11	1 .				18
8	9	10	11	12	1	13 Leav	/A	. 14	15
iture of	ture and Designation is head of the office her attesting officer in attestation of columns 1 to 8	Date of termination or appointment	Reason of termination (such as promotion, transfer, dismissal, etc.)	Signature of the head of the office or other attesting officer.	Nature and dura- tion of leave taken	leave on upto fou which lea debitable Gov	n of period of average pay r months for ave salary is e to another emment Government to which debitable	Signature of the head of the office of other attesting officer.	Reference to any recorded punishment or censure, or reward or praise of the Government Servant
	Deputy District Officer ( Primary Baner at Ungg	30 07	ONE SPL	SDEO (	1		service Veri to 39-11.	79. 17000 101 101 101 101	zeq. Ro
	SDEO (F)	3007	A/2	A ula				Printy Eaner	
	SDEO (F)	3008	Scala	Nule				BPS No 2 on	22-RS. 334 22-48. 338
	SDEC (2) Primary Business	3-08	Apr	SDEO (	F)		Sub tawa	Mod	
	Augus 500	3= 29	A/22	Mules SDEO (	į.			1. mae.2	
	SDEO (F)	3-10	1/22	SDEO (	, L		Barrie Erich	on in BPS-2 in BPS No 2 or 1 ABPS No 2 or	Re. 355
	SDEO (F)	3-14	Scale	- Auc	44		L	Lila Divi-	. ui
	Notaly SDFT (F	3- 1	Ah	SDEO primary B	FIG.		Pav Fi	xalion in BPS	. \ .
	Milai	3-12	11/2	SDEO Frimary B	(*)		Pay Fixe	IN REPS	2 on #12
	SDEO (F Primary Bund	302	Ala	100 EU 100 EU 100 August 2	1) 12   12			i i je jej	
	Nucety F)	35/4	Spl	SDEO Primary 8	(!) uner		Tolk	Verified w	
	SDEO (F.	30/	1 1/m	SDEO	ah (F)		/ // S	Up Divi	la 4
	SDEO (F)			فسا	PM)		\		ti

			1	<i>)</i>			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Name of Post	Whether substantive or officiating and whether permanent or temporary.	If officiation state	Pay in	Additional pay for officiating	Other emolument falling under the term "pay"	Date	Signature of Government servant
Chawlerder.		13/25-2(6	83 tr./	30 · / 3	१२८)	1-12	
d		BPS-416	10735	/2	730)	13005	
Do v			11230	1		12015	
1235/0 4235/0	<b>P</b>	BP5-			70-193	30/3	
112	35 1)	Busic Pay Scales	n \	<u>\</u>	<del></del>	:	
2.8	Fixed © 35 f	120 1000	1.704				
***	PLANT OF THE STATE	perner la serre	nla Office				
	//		14200	/-		2016	
	//	0	4576	/_	1	2016	
	11	· /	4570		1	7	



ا كا وَنَتُنْ إِبْرِنَ إِلَى صِيمِرَةِ . بمديرُ أرثُل بم مندرجه بالأفقة الات كي نا فذ العمل وقتل مثالا و بينار الميكرا يميود المركب فيسرز ، فنانس اينا يلانبك ، فيتونية أمراها . نجی معتمد براے چیف سیرٹر آن صوبہ سرطدب بجله اضال مومندين و نائب معتدين محكه فرانه، صوبه مرحد جله بجنث أفيسر أرسيش اليسر ذكارخ المدبهو يسرحدر م) فائير يكثر الFMIU ككم فزان صوبه مرجدً بنجي معتند برانسانانس كرثري موندسرعدبه فرنز مرکسسه میزانیانس(۱) منکستران OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL NWFP RESHAWAR. No.H-24(113)/RBPs-2006-07/Prov: Central Corresponds files 73 4. Dated: 20:02-2008 Copy of the above is forwarded for information and necessary action to all concerned. All DAOs/AAOs in NWFP. 1. 'All Payrolls Section (L) 2. PAs to DAGS. ants officer (HAL)



### Office of the

# Accountant General

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

Pirone: 091-9211915

No-HAD/Fixed Employees / Corrp:/2011-12/

Dated: 24-01-201

The Secretary, to Govi: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Finance Department (Regulation Wing).

Kindly refer to your office letter in Urdu vide No. B.O-1/1-22/80-2008/FD Subject: AWARD OF REGULAR BPS-1 TO CLASS - 1 1 Frinted: 29/01/2008 and letter containing clarification vide No.FD(SR-I)Miss/2008 dated:

Policy for appointment of class IV on fixed salary was introduced wief. 33/07/2009 or the above subject. 04/11/1992, hence several class-lv were working against the contract post on fixed salary, till in the refer letter they first were regularized from the date of their first

In light of policy 2008, their pay was fixed just like a regular employee from the appointment without any arrapte dale of initial appointment without any circur of pay prior to 01/07/2008, however while Jung their salary the following points need clarific tion, that whether,

- The employees appointed prior to 31/12/2001, raving qualification over and above the prescribed qualification are entitled for advance increments in light of
- . The Employees regularized in the refer letter from the date of initial... para-5 pay Revision 1991. appointment and appointed prior to 01/07/2007 are entitled for up-gradation in light. of General up-gradation order vide your office .eller No.FD/SO(FR)7-2/2007 dated:

· This effice is of the view that as the employees have been regularized from . 01/07/2007. he date of their initial appointment hence they are entitled for the benefit of increments, dvisions and up-gradation allowed from time to time as general on notional basis but no urear is admissible prior to 01/07/2008.

The views of this office if correct may kindly be confirmed.

ACCOUNTS OFFICER (EMD)

Retter copy of page No. 12-17

### Office of the Accountant General Khyber pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

Phone: 091-9211915



Dated: 24-01-2012.

No-Had/Fixed Employee/Corrp:/2011-12/\_\_\_\_

To.

The Secretary : • To. Govi of Khyber pakhtunkhwa, Finance Department (Regulation Wing)

Subject: AWARD OF REGULAR BPS-1 TO CLASS.

Kindly refer to your office letter in urdu vide No. B.O-1/1-22/80-2008/FD dated: 29/01/2008. And letter containing clarification vide FD/SR-I) Miss/2008 dated 13/07/2009 on the above subject.

Policy for appointment of class-IV on fixed salary was introduced w.e.f 04/11/1992, hence several class-IV were working against the contract post on fixed salary, till in the refer letter they first were regularized from the date of their first appointment without any arrear.


In the light of policy, 2003, their pay was just like a regular employee from the date of initial appointment without any arrear of pay prior to 01/07/2008, however while fixing their salary the following points need clarification that whether.

- 1) The employee appointed prior to 31/12/2001 having qualification over and above the prescribed qualification are entitled for advance increments in light of para-5 pay Revision-
- 2) The Employee, regularized in the refer letter from the date of initial appointment and appointed prior to 01/07'2007 are entitled for up-gradation in light of General up-gradation order vide your office letter No.FD/SO (FR)7-2/2007 dated:01/07/2007.

This office is of the view that as the employee have been regularized from the date of their initial appointment hence they are entitled for the benefits of increments, revisions and upgradation allowed from time to time as general on national basis but no arrear is admissible; prior to 01/07/2008.

The views of this office if correct may be confirmed.

ACCOUNTS OFFICER (HAD)



### OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (FEMALE) BUNER.

### RETIREMENT SANCTION.

Sanction is hereby accorded to the grant of retirement from service in respect of Mr.Piro Chowkidar GGPS Bekand w.e.f 01-07-2017 without pension and sanction to the grant of 9 (Nine) months pay amounting to Rs 131130/- on the basis of 1-1/2 months pay each completed year is also accorded in (Lieu) of gratuity under the rules F.D (S.OSR-iii/4-199) dated 10-02-1977 (as the officials having services less then ten years and more then five years).

(SADIA ILYAS)
DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER
(F) BUNER.

Endst: No. 9158-69 Dated 9118 /2017.

Copy for information to the;-

- 1 District Accounts Officer Buner.
- 2 ADEO(Budget & Accounts) local office.
- 3 SDEO Female Primary Buner along with S/Book w/r to No.4412 dated 05-08-2017.
- 4. Official Concerned
- 5. Office File

18-8-19

DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER

(F) BUNER

de

ctc





BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT (MINGORA BENCH AT SWAT.

W.P. No. 6/8-M/2017.

(19)

Gul Zamin Khan S/o Ajmal Khan
 R/o Village Koz kalay Tehsil Mandanr , Bunir.

Piro S/o Shah Muham Jan
 R/O Village Bikand Gokand, Tehsil Daggar District Bunir.

Shams ul Islam S/o Madash
 R/o Village Bagra Tehsil Daggar, Bunir.

Shah Baros Khan S/o Madash
 R/o Village Bazar Kot Chagharzay, Bunir.

Malang S/o Ummat ShahR/o Village Giro Bagra, Bunir.

6. Shir Ghulam S/o Jumaraaz R/o Village Shapalo Tehsil Daggar Bunir.

7. Sahib Zada S/o Amir Nawab
R/o Village Ashezo Mera Tehsil Daggar Bunir.

Shirullah Khan S/o Sahib Khan
 R/o Sharshamo Tangay Tehsil Daggar, Bunir.

9. Aurang Zeb S/o Yaqoob R/o Village Sharifay Nagray Tehsil Mandanr, Bunir.

Khan Said S/o Shamas Khan
 Mohalla Usmani Khail Dagai Tehsil Mandanr, Bunir.

Nabi Ullah S/o Gharib Shah
 R/o Tari Khail Dagai Bunir.

12. Taluq Said S/o Said Ahmad Khan R/o Rasool Banda Chagarzay Bunir.

Safarash Khan S/o Hakim Khan
 R/o Village Hall Tehsil Mandanr Bunir.

FILED TO Sahi Lal Shah S/o Ghulam Shah

20 AUG 2017 R/o Ashezo Newkalay Bunir.

15. Sahi Muhammad S/o Ghani Registrar R/o Village Daggar Bunir.

16. Amroz Khan S/o Saidat Khan

ct.c

Muhammad Zavon s/o muscafae RIO Baca Hujsa Ambola, Tehenal mandano, Distard Bernes. Shamshes 5/0 Akrom Khon Rlo Berkstoch shallbarolis Tehsul Daggar, Distact Borner. Met, Zagania Dlo Azez khom Rlo shomkhal Bampokha P.O Jowas, Distoich Boner. 76 -

(Petolioness)



R/o Village Tangora Chagharzay Bunir.

- 17. Gul Hussain S/o Hazrat Ghulam R/o Village Mian Dand Chamla Bunir.
- 18. Umar Dad S/o Bazmir R/o Village Barjo Bayamdara Tehsil Daggar District Bunir.
- 19. Bakht Nasib S/o Abdul Wahid R/o Village Dewana Baba Bunir.
- 20. Ihsanullah S/o Muhammad Taj R/o Village Dhand Amazay Bunir.
- 21. Miraj Muhammad(late) through Bakht Zamina Bi Bi(widow)
- R/o Durmai Kowga Bunir. 22. Bakhtawar Shah(late) through Said Mar Jan(widow) R/o Village Marogay Tehsil Mandanr Bunir.
- 23. Shirin Zada

Ex- Chowkedar GPS Daggar No.1 Bunir. .....(Petitioners)

### VERSUS

- 1. District Education Officer(male) Bunir.
- 2. District Education Officer (Female) Bunir.
- 3. District Account Officer, Bunir.
- 4. Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.....(Respondents)

UNDER ARTICLE PETITION WRIT CONSTITUTION OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN 1973.

### Respectfully Sheweth:

Brief facts giving rise to the instant Writ Petition are as under:

### FACTS:

25 AUG 2017 Additional Registrar

That the petitioners served as Class-IV Employees in the Department Bunir and such Education retirement on the said posts. (Copies of Appointment letters and relevant record are annexure "A").

That keeping in view the agonies and the financia 2. constrains of the family of the low grade

employees, the provincial government was pleased to regularized the services/Posts of the petitioners in the year 2008 and as such they were declared civil servants and further the said order was confirmed according to "Regularization Act,2010" so the petitioners performed their duties as permanent employees of Education Department in Bunir, till date of their retirement. (Copy of Regularization Notifications and retirement letter are annexure-B)

- That the petitioners keeping in view of the above circulation were hopeful to get pension benefits after their retirement and as such waited for the same when they were taken by surprise when the Respondents No.1 and 2 informed the petitioners, that their length of service is not qualifying for pension benefits and others benefits after retirement.
  - 4. That the petitioners wrote applications to the concerned quarters but no heed was paid to their requests and one way or the others, the respondents adopted the delaying tactics and finally the petitioners were informed that they have no right of pension and other benefits after retirement.

That being aggrieved the petitioners prefer this petition on the following grounds amongst others inter-alia.

#### **GROUNDS:**

Α

That actions and inactions of the respondents are violative of the constitution and the relevant laws laid down for the purpose, hence needs interference of this august Court.

FILED FODAY

2 O AUG 2017

Additional Registrar

В.

That the petitioners have poor financial background and served the department for long considerable period with the hopes of further benefits after retirement but the

observe the prescribed rules, respondents did not regulations and denied the benefits in shape of pension to the petitioners.

- That the issue in hand has now already been decided by C. this august court through Writ petition No.123-M/2015 dated:10.05.2016 hence the petitioners deserve for the same treatment.(Copies of judgments are annexure-D)
  - That any other ground may be adduced during the D. course of argument, with the kind permission of this Hon'ble Court.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Writ Petition the respondents may kindly be directed to grant after retirement benefits to the petitioners in shape of pension and others for which the petitioners deserves.

Or

Any other relief which this august Court deems appropriate may kindly be awarded to meet the ends of justice.

### Interim relief:

By way of interim relief the respondents may kindly be directed to finalize the pension cases of the petitioners on priority basis.

mai Registrar Dated: 26/08/2017

Petitioners

Through

Shams ul Hadi

Advocate, Peshawar.

CERTIFICATE:

Certified on instructions of my client that petitioners have not previously moved this Hon'ble Court under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 regarding the instant matter. ADVOCATE

LIST OF BOOKS:

- Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
- Pension laws. 2.
- Any other law books according to need. 3.

JUDGMENT SHEET IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, MINGORA BENCH (DAR-UL QAZA), SWAT (Judicial Department) (Petitioners) (Respondents) Mr. Shams-ul-Hadi, Advocate for the petitioners. 04.10.2017

W.P No. 618-M/2017 With Interim Relief

Gul Zamin Khan and 22 others

Versus

District Education Officer (Male), Buner and 04 others.

Present:

Date of hearing:

### **JUDGMENT**


ISHTIAO IBRAHIM, J.- Vide our detailed judgment in the connected W.P No. 22-M/ 2017, this writ petition bearing No. 618-M/2017 is admitted and partially allowed to the extent of Petitioners No. 21 & 22 in the light of judgment dated 22.06.2017 in W.P No. 3394-P/2017. The respondents are directed to pay pension of the deceased employees to their legal heirs within two months positively after receipt of this judgment.

<u>Announced</u> 04.10.2017

Mohammad Ibrahim Khan

JUDG

Chilag Ibrahim JUDGE



### JUDGMENT SHEET IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, MINGORA BENCH (DAR-UL-QAZA), SWAT

(Judicial Department)

W.P No. 22-M/2017 With Interim Relief

Hazrat Ghulam and 01 other

(Petitioners)

Versus

District Education Officer (Male), Buner and 03 others.

(Respondents)

Present:

Mr. Shams-ul-Hadi, Advocate for the petitioners.

W.P No. 218-M/2017
With Interim Relief

Sher Afzal and 02 others

(Petitioners)

· Versus

Executive Engineer Public Health Engineering Division, Dir Lower at Timergara and 03 others.

(Respondents)

Present:

Mr. Shams-ul-Hadi, Advocate for the petitioners.

W.P No. 618-M/2017
With Interim Relief

Gul Zamin Khan and 22 others

(Petitioners)

Versus

District Education Officer (Male), Buner and 04 others.

(Respondents)

Present:

Mr. Shams-ul-Hadi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Date of hearing:

04.10.2017

A-C



### JUDGMENT

involved in all these petitions. J.- Through this single judgment, we intend to decide this petition bearing W.P No. 22-M/2017 as well as the connected W.P Nos. 218-M & 618-M of 2017 as common questions of law and facts are involved in all these petitions.

2. Petitioners through these petitions crave the indulgence of this Court under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 with the following prayer:

"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this writ petition, the respondents may kindly be directed to grant after retirement benefits to the petitioners in shape of pension and others for which the petitioners deserve. Any other relief which this august Court deems appropriate may awarded to meet the justice".

Most of the petitioners in W.P.

No. 22-M/ 2017 and 618-M/2017 have served

as Class-IV employees in Education

Department Buner and got retirement on their

7

respective posts except Petitioners No. 21 & 22 in W.P No. 618-M/2017 who are the widows of deceased employees namely Miraj Muhammad and Bakhtawar Shah respectively. Likewise, petitioners in W.P No. 218-M/2017 have also performed their duties as Class-IV employees till their retirement in Public Health and Engineering Department, Dir Lower. As per contentions of the petitioners, their services were regularized in 2008 and the order was further confirmed in view of Employees Pakhtunkhwa Khyber (Regularization of Services) Act, whereafter the petitioners performed their duties as regular employees till their retirement. The petitioners were hopeful that they will get pension benefits after their retirement but astonishingly they informed by the concerned departments that the petitioners were not qualified for pension as well as other benefits after retirement. The petitioners submitted applications before the concerned authorities for redressal of their

AL

Tajamul/PS\*

(P No. 22-M of 2017 Hazzel Ghulan and one other Vs. D.E.O (Male) Buser and others

4. 2

grievances but in vain, hence, these writ petitions.

the for counsel Learned petitioners, inter alia, contended that family pension of the petitioners has been denied by respondents without any legal justification and the same action and inaction, if not set aside, would cause serious miscarriage of justice to petitioners and LRs of the deceased employees. Further contended that the same issue has already been resolved by this Court through various judgments even a larger bench of this Court has delivered a judgment on the questions involved in these writ petitions whereby several contract employees have been awarded the benefit of family pension on their regularization. Learned counsel concluded that the petitioners, being at par with those employees, are also entitled to the same relief.

5. Learned Assistant Advocate
General, present in Court in connection with

A.

.

2-9

writ petitions and he was confronted with the judgments of this Court especially the judgment passed by the larger bench at the principal seat of this Court. Learned A.A.G. opposed the contention of petitioners and submitted that the petitioners are not entitled to the benefit of family pension under the relevant rules.

Respondent No.1 in W.P

No. 22-M/2017 and 218-M/2017 filed their

Para-wise comments whereby they denied the claim of petitioners and contended that the petitioners were serving on fixed pay besides, they have not served as regular employees for the period prescribed under the relevant rules, therefore, they are not entitled to get the benefits they have prayed for.

We have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners as well as of the learned A.A.G. and have gone through the available record.

30

No doubt, the petitioners as well <u>8.</u> as predecessor of some of the petitioners had been appointed as Class-IV employees in the Education Department and Public Health and Engineering Department on contract basis and were retired on attaining the age of superannuation but it is also an admitted fact that services of contract/adhoc employees have been regularized in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization of Service) Act, 2009 and a proper notification has been issued by the Provincial Government to this effect. The question for resolution before this Court is whether the petitioners and LRs of the deceased employees are entitled to family pension in view of the Act ibid or not, this question has been resolved by the larger bench vide judgments dated 22.06.2017 in W.P No. 3394-P/2016 and W.P No. 2246-P/2016 however, a preliminary objection regarding maintainability of the writ petitions was raised by learned A.A.G before the said bench. It is noteworthy, that there were two sets of petitioners i.e the retired

employees who moved the petitions in personal capacity which were decided by the larger Bench vide judgment dated 22.06.2017 in W.P No. 2246-P/2016 whereas the remaining petitioners were legal heirs of the deceased employees who sought the benefit of family pension on the strength of regular service performed by their respective predecessors whose writ petitions were decided vide judgment dated 22.06.2017 in W.P No. 3394-P/2016.

by retired employees/civil servants in personal capacity are maintainable before this Court or not, this question was adjudged by the larger bench in judgment dated 22.06.2017 in <u>W.P. No. 2246-P/2016</u>. The relevant part of the judgment is reproduced herein below:-

"We are not in consonance with the first argument of learned counsel for the petitioners because under Section 2(a) of the Service Tribunal Act, 1973, "civil servant" means a person who is, or has been, a civil servant within the meaning of the Civil Servants Act, 1973. Petitioners are retired civil servants. Admittedly, dispute regarding pension of a civil

rtic

O F O (Main) Suzzer and other

Tajamut/PS\*

servants squarely falls in terms and conditions of service of a civil servant, hence, Service Tribunal is vested with exclusive jurisdiction in such like matter. It has persistently been held by this Court as well as by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan that a civil servant, if aggrieved by a final order, whether original or by passed appellate, with authority departmental regard of his/her terms and conditions of service, the only remedy available to him/her would be filing of appeal before the Service Tribunal even if the case involves vires of particular Rule or notification".

The larger bench in the above referred judgment also discussed the point of alleged discrimination and violation of Article 25 of the Constitution and held that:-

"We deem it necessary to clarify that a civil servant cannot bypass Service jurisdiction of Tribunal by taking shelter under Article 25 of the Constitution in such like matter. The Service Tribunal shall have the exclusive jurisdiction in a case which is terms and founded on the conditions of service, even if it involves the question of violation of fundamental rights because the Tribunals constituted Service of 212 Article under Constitution are the outcome of the constitutional provisions and vested with the powers to deal with the grievances of civil

ct.-c

servants arising out from original or appellate order of the department".

In light of the above observations of the larger bench, the writ petitions filed by retired civil servants in personal capacity are not maintainable before this Court in view of the bar under Article 212 of the Constitution and we have no other option except to transmit such writ petitions to the concerned quarters to treat the same as departmental appeals.

10. Adverting to the maintainability of writ petitions to the extent of legal heirs of the deceased civil servants, in this regard too we rely on another judgment of the same date i.e 22.06.2017 rendered by the larger bench in W.P. No. 3394-P/2016 wherein it was

observed that:-

"11. Going through the law on the subject and deriving wisdom from the principles laid down by the Hon'ble apex Court in the judgments (supra), we are firm in our view that petitioners/legal heirs of the deceased employees have locus standi to file these petitions because the pensionary benefits are inheritable which

\$4



under section 19(2) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, on the demise of a civil servant, devolves upon the legal heirs. The petitioners, as stated earlier, being LRs of the deceased civil servants do not fall within the definition of "Civil Servant", and they having no remedy under Section 4 of the Service Tribunal Act to file appeal before the Service Tribunal, the bar under Article 212 of the Constitution is not attracted to the writ petitions filed by them and this Court under Article 199 of the Constitution is vested with the jurisdiction to entertain Resultantly, petitions. nonregarding objection maintainability of the petitions stands rejected".

In light of the above observations recorded by the larger bench, W.P No. 618-M/
2017 to the extent of Petitioners No.21 & 22,
being legal heirs of the deceased civil
servants, is maintainable before this Court in
exercise of its powers under Article 199 of the
Constitution.

No. 618-M/2017 to the extent of legal heirs of the deceased civil servants, while referring to Rules 2.2 and 2.3 of the West Pakistan Civil Services Pensions Rules, 1963 the larger

35

bench in its judgment dated 22 06.2017 in W.P. No. 3394-P/2016 held that:-

"The rules ibid reveal that the service of government servant begins to qualify for pension from the very first day of his/her taking over the charge, irrespective of the fact whether his/her appointment entry into service temporary or regular. It is also clear from sub-rule (i) continuous service of servant shall also be counted for the purpose of pension and gratuity and by virtue of sub-rule (ii), temporary and officiating service followed by confirmation shall be counted for pension and gratuity".

contention petitioners/LRs, the respondents have refused their family pension on the ground that their completed have predecessors not service after length of prescribed regularization. This point has also been discussed by the larger bench in the afore referred judgment in the light of Section 19 of the NWFP Civil Servant (Amendment) Act, 2005 and Khyber Pakhtunkwa Civil Servants (Amendment) Act, 2013 and it was held that:-

not-c

36///

"From bare reading of section 19 of Amendment Act, 2005 and 2013 respectively, it is manifest that the persons selected for appointment on contract basis shall be deemed employees regular subsequently were held entitled benefits. pensionary employees deceased completed the prescribed length of service as their service towards pension shall be counted from the first day of their appointment and the date from regularization of their service".

The similar relief sought by legal heirs of deceased civil servants through W.P No. 618-M/2017, has been granted by the larger bench to similarly placed persons, therefore, Petitioners No. 21 & 22 in W.P No. 618-M/2017 are also entitled to the same relief on the ground of parity.

12. In the backdrop of the above, this writ petition i.e W.P No. 22-M/2017, W.P No. 618-M/2017 to the extent of Petitioners No. 1 to 20 & 23 as well as the connected W.P No. 218-M/2017, being not maintainable before this Court, are transmitted to the concerned Secretaries to the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa to treat them as

c-t-c

**\** 

departmental appeals and decide strictly in accordance with Civil Servants Pension Rules, 1963. The concerned Secretaries deciding the departmental appeals, may take guidance from the judgment of the larger bench referred to above. W.P No. 618-M/2017 is admitted and partially allowed to the extent of Petitioners No. 21 & 22 in the light of judgment dated 22.06.2017 in W.P No. 3394-P/2017. The respondents are directed to pay pension of the deceased employees to their legal heirs. Respondents are further directed to do the needful within two months positively

<u>Announced</u>

after receipt of this judgment.

Mohammad Ibrahim Khan

JUDGE

shtiaq Ibrahim JÚDGE

علامة المار المار المركبة فيسر كالعليم (في ميل) ضلع بونيرنه

درخواست بمرادمل درامد بروئے فیصلہ عدالت برائے عطا میگی پینشن۔

جناب عالی: ۔ (1) گزارش کی جاتی ہے کی سائیل نے محکمة علیم میں ڈیوٹی انجام دے کرمدت ملازمت مکمل کر کے ریٹائر منطاحا صل کا۔

(2) ید کہ بعد میں سائیل کو پینشن کائق دارنہیں تفرایا گیابدیں وجہ سائیل نے پیثاور ہائی کورٹ مینگورہ نے میں رٹ پیٹیشن نمبر 2017/618 دائیر کی۔ جس نے سائیل کے قت میں فیصلہ کر کے آپ صاحبان کو ہدائت دی گئی ہیں کہ سائیل کو پینشن جاری کیا جائے اور تمام کاروائی عرصہ دوس کھی میں مکمل کیا جائے۔

لہذا آپ صاحبان کے گزارش ہے کہ ہائی کورٹ کے نیطے مورخہ 2017-04-04 کی روشیٰ میں پینشن دینے کے مناسب احکامات جاری کرلے تو بندہ تا عمر دعا گوہ رہے گا۔

کورٹ کا فیصلہ شملک ہے۔

مرو رسر کنمری حو کردر از می جی می اس بندر مورد مراکز از می از م

کا پی برائے اطلاعیا بی دخروری کاروائی۔ 1۔سیکر میٹری محکم تعلیم خیبر پختو ن خواہ پیثاور۔ 2۔ڈائیر میکٹر محکم تعلیم خیبر پختو ن خواہ پیثاور۔



