Due to rush of work, this case has been deleted from the cause list. To come up for the same as before on 16.02.2023 before the D.B.

Reader

16<sup>th</sup> Feb, 2023

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Umair Azam, Addl: AG alongwith Mr. Safiullah Jan, Focal Person for respondents present.

- 2. Learned counsel for the appellant requested for withdrawal of the instant service appeal on the ground that grievance of the appellant has been redressed. As a token of admission of his submission he signed the margin of the order sheet. Dismissed as withdrawn. Consign
  - 3. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 16<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2023.

(Salah Ud Din) Member(J) (Kalim Arshad Khan)

Chairman

9 mitholorum Aris S. A on principus veolicoral H 17 8.22 Dere to Justines Valentière les : case is adjanished to 15, 9.22 fullulance.

15.09.2022

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is busy in the august Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 18.10.2022 before the D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (Executive) (Salah-Ud-Din) Member (Judicial)

18.10.2022

Junior to counsel for appellant present.

Kabir Ullah Khattak, learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No.6686/2021 titled "Dr. Ateeqa Rehman Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa" on 29.11.2022 before D.B.

(Fareeha Paul) Member(E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 25.05.2022 for the same as before.

Panday

25<sup>th</sup> May, 2022

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant present.

Mr. Muhammad Rashid, DDA alongwith Safiullah, Litigation

Officer for respondents present.

adjournment on the ground that learned senior counsel is not available today: "Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 14.06.2022 before D.B.

(Fareeha Paul) Member(E) (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

14.06.2022 \_\_\_\_ Clerk of counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, \_\_\_ Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Clerk of counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is unable to attend the Tribunal loday due to strike of Lawyers. Adjourned. To come up for arguments before the D.B on 17.08 2022.

(MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

(SALAH-UD-DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL) 08.12.2021

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Safiullah, Section Officer alongwith Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

The Worthy Chairman is on leave, therefore, the bench is incomplete. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 03.01.2022 before the D.B.

(Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)

03.01.2022

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, DDA alongwith Safiullah, Litigation Officer for the respondents present.

Counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment for preparation. Request accorded. Case to come up for arguments on 14.01.2022 before the D.B.

(Atiq-ur-Rehman Wazir) Member(E)

nman Wazir) Changhan

14.01.2022 Mr. Javed Iqbal Gulbela, Advocate present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for time for documentation of the appeal with certain additional documents. Request accorded. To come up for arguments before the D.B on 21.02.2022.

(Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir)

Member (E)

Chairman

Due to summer vacations, the case is adjourned to 30.09.2022 for the same as before.

¥ READER

30-9.21

DB is on Tour case to come up For the same on Dated. 18-10-21

Reades

18.10.2021

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Mr.

Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. AG alongwith Ziaullah,

Deputy Secretary (Legal) for the respondents present.

Due to general strike of the bar, counsel for the appellant is not in attendance today. To come up for arguments on 11.11.2021 before the D.B. The restrain order dated 02.07.2021 shall remain operative till next date.

(Salah-ud-Din) Member(J) Chairman

11.11.2021 Junior to counsel for appellant present.

Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakheil learned Assistant Advocate General alongwith Safi Ullah S.O for respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No.6686/2021 titled Dr. Ateeqa Rehman Vs. Health Department on 08.12.2021 before D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E) (<del>Rozina Re</del>hman) Member (J) Junior to counsel for appellant present.

Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Zia Ullah Law Officer and Safeer Ullah Focal Person for respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No. 6721/2021 titled Dr. Sikander Zen Vs. Health Department on 24.08.2021 before D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

24.08 .2021

Mr. Junaid Khan, Advocate, Junior of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Rashid, DDA alongwith Mr. Ziaullah, Law Officer for the respondents present.

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant sought adjournment on the ground that the learned counsel for the appellant is not available today due to some domestic engagement. Adjourned. Last opportunity granted. To come up for argument before the D.B on 03.09.2021. The operation of the order shall remain suspended till date fixed.

(MIAN MUHAMMÁD) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE) (SALAH-UD-DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL) Appellant present through counsel.

Muhammad Adeel Butt learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Zia Ullah Law Officer for respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No.6721/2021 tilted Dr. Sikander Zeb Vs. Health Department, on 04.08.2021 before D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) Chairman

04.08.2021

Junior to counsel for appellant present.

Mr. Usman Ghani learned District Attorney alongwith Zia Ullah Law Officer for respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No.671/2021 titled Sikander Zeb Vs. Health Department, on 11.09.2021 before D.B.

Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir)

Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) of appeal. Therefore, office objection is overruled. Points raised need consideration. The appeal is admitted to regular hearing. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply/comments in office within 10 days of the receipt of notices positively. If the written reply/comments are not submitted within the stipulated time, the office is directed to submit the file with a report of non-compliance. File to come up for arguments on 27.07.2021 before the D.B.

The appeal is also accompanied with an application for interim relief. Notice of the same be also given to the respondents for the date already fixed. The operation of the order shall remain suspended till date fixed.

Charman

Appellant Deposited
Country & Process Fea

## Form-A FORMOF ORDERSHEET

Order or other proceedings with signature of judge

Case No. /2021

S.No.

Date of order

	proceedings	order of other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	02/07/2021	As per direction of the Worthy Chairman this case may
		be entered in the Institution Register and put to the S.Bench for preliminary hearing on <u>371/3/</u> .
		REGISTRAR
		-
	02.07.2021	Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments heard.
		Alongwith the appeal, the appellant has annexed
		the copy of Posting and Transfers of the Government from
		Esta Code. According to Para xiv of the said policy, right of
		appeal has been given to the government servants and
		accordingly, if one is aggrieved due to the orders of
		posting/transfer of authorities, he may seek remedy from
		the next higher authority/the appointing authority as the
		case may be through an appeal to be submitted within
		seven days of the receipt of such orders. It is further
		provided in the said Para that such appeal shall be
		disposed of within fifteen days. As far as the office
	· 	objection based on general waiting of 90 days is
		concerned, it is not workable in presence of a special
		condition of 15 days under the policy is in field for disposal