Service Appeal No. 424/2022

06.02.2023

Bashir Khan Wazir, Advocate for the appellant present. Muhammad Adeel Butt learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for withdrawal of the instant service appeal on the ground that the salaries of the appellant have been released and his grievances have been redressed. In this regard, his signature was obtained on the margin of the order sheet.

In view of the above, instant service appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 06.02.2023

to withdraw my case as the Salasi'es

wand

allelant has been seleaded by the anytens

eha P Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

02.12.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal bearing No. 7803/2021 titled "Saleem Ullah Versus Director General Health Services, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and 02 others", on 20.01.2023 before the D.B.

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

20.01.2023

Counsel for the appellant present.

Muhammad Jan learned District Attorney for the respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No. 7803/2021 titled "Saleem Ullah Vs. Health Department" on 06.02.2023 before D.B.

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

26.07.2022

Appellant present through counsel.

Naseer Ud Din Shah, learned Assistant Advocate General for respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No.424/2022 titled "Mst. Sadia Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa" on 28.09.2022 before S.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

28.09.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. Saeed Muhammad, Litigation Officer and Mr. Safiullah, Focal Person for the respondents present.

Reply/comments on behalf of respondents No. 1 & 2 submitted which are placed on file. Copy of the same is handed over to learned counsel for the appellant. Reply/comments on behalf of respondent No. 3 are still awaited. Representative of the respondent requested for time to submit reply/comments. Adjourned. To come up for reply/comments on behalf of respondent No. 3 on 02.12.2022 before S.B. 07.04.2022

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments heard.

Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant was appointed as EPI Technician (Multipurpose) (BS-09) on recommendations of Departmental Selection Committee vide office order dated 01.10.2013. On a complaint received in the respondentdepartment, an enquiry was conducted against DHO North for 71 illegal appointments in Tribal District of North Waziristan. As a result thereof pay of y the appellant waś initially stopped verbally and cancelled/withdrawn his appointment order vide impugned order dated 06.08.2021 but communicated to the appellant on 23.11.2021. He preferred departmental appeal on 25.11.2021 which was not decided within the stipulated statutory period, hence, the instant service appeal was filed on 25.03.2022.

Appellant Deposited Security & Process Fee

The appeal is admitted to regular hearing subject to all just legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter notices be issued to respondents for submission of reply/comments. To come up for reply/comments on 09.06.2022 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad)

Member(E)

09.06,2022

Junior to counsel for the appellant present.

Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakheil learned Assistant Advocate General alongwith Muhammad Usman Assistant for respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No.424/2022 titled Mst. Sadia Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa on 26.07.2022 before S.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 424 /2022

Mst: Sadia W/O Muhammad Zubir, Dai (BPS-04), DHO Office North Waziristan

Versus

1. Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar. 2. District Health Officer, District North Waziristan.

INDEX

S.#	Particulars	Annexure	Page
1	Para-wise Comments.	Annexule	1-3
2	Letter No. 5083-165/ Personnel dated 04 04 2020	Δ	1-5
3	Letter No.SOH (E-V)4-4/2021/Inquiry Report dated 22.04.2021	- <u>A</u>	5
4	Writ Petition No.270-B/2020	C	6-8
5	Affidavit		Q-0
6	Authority letter	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10

Respondent No. 2.

.....(Appellant)

..... (Respondents)

aziristan

Netted in the hight of S.A. 7811/2021 do Jabbos the Jame instructions being indential matter.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 424 /2022

Versus

- 1. Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. District Health Officer, District North Waziristan.

.....(Respondents)

Joint Para-wise comments on behalf of respondents No. 1 & 2.

Preliminary objections.

- 1. That the appellant has got neither cause of action nor did locus standi to file the instant appeal.
- 2. That the appellant has filed the instant appeal just to pressurize the respondents.
- 3. That the instant appeal is against the prevailing Law and Rules.
- 4. That the appeal is not maintainable in its present form and also in the present circumstances of the issue.
- 5. That the appellant has filed the instant appeal with mala-fide intention, hence liable to be dismissed.
- 6. That the appellant has not come to the Honorable Tribunal with clean hands.
- 7. That the Honorable Tribunal has no jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the matter.
- 8. That the instant appeal is bad for mis-joinder of unnecessary and non-joinder of necessary parties.
- 9. That the appeal is badly barred by law and limitation.
- 10. That the appellant is not "AGGRIEVED" person within the meaning of Article 199 of the Constitution of Pakistan by producing fake, bogus and fabricated appointment order.

ON FACTS:-

1. Correct:- That pertains to record and Para No.1 needs no comments.

2. Incorrect:- Neither advertisement for the post of Dai has been given by the respondents nor any interview has conducted due to complete ban on recruitments. Moreover, as evident from the dispatched Register of respondent No.2, dispatch number from 2950 to 2990 have been allotted on

Ø

1

02.07.2020, while the appointment order of the appellant carry dispatch No.164 to 168 which declare the appointment is fake, bogus and fabricated (Ban letter is attached as Annexure-A).

- 3. Incorrect:- No official process for appointment has been initiated or carried out in the offices of the answering respondents for the appointment of the appellant.
- 4. Incorrect:- The appellant has never been appointed by the respondents. As for as the complaint of Minister is concern that was received regarding the appointment of 71 persons. Name of the appellant was not included in those 71 appointees. Moreover, the fake, bogus and fabricated appointment order of the appellant has been issued on 02.07.2020, while complaint of the Minister was lodged on 17.02.2020 long before fake appointment of the appellant.

5. Incorrect and misleading, as the appellant has never been legally appoint by the answering respondents. Moreover her bogus appointment order was cancelled in compliance with Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department letter No. SOH (E-V) 4-4/2021/Inquiry Report dated 22.04.2021) and Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar endorsement No.7047-50/E-I dated 06.05.2021 (Attached as Annexure-B), in the light of enquiry conducted by Provincial Inspection Team.

6. Incorrect:- Salary of the appellant was stopped due to cancellation of her fake appointment order as explained in preceding Para. As far as the complaint is concerned, that pertained to order 71 employees and the appellant was not included. As far as directions of Peshawar High Court, Bannu Bench are concerned, name of the appellant was not included in Writ Petition No.270-B/2020 as Petitioner (Writ Petition No.270-B/2020 is attached as Annexure-C).

7. Incorrect, misleading as already explained in preceding Paras.

- 8. Incorrect:- That no Departmental appeal of the appellant has been received till date.
- 9. Incorrect and misleading:- The appellant had never been appointed lawfully and her fake appointment order was cancelled as explained in preceding Paras.

10. Needs no reply being formal.

ON GROUNDS:-

- A) Pertains to record.
- B) Incorrect:- Personal hearing opportunity was given to the appellant by inquiry committee of PIT.
 - C) Incorrect:- The fake appointment order of the appellant was cancelled in the light of recommendation of enquiry committee of PIT as already explained in preceding Paras.
 - D) Incorrect: As explained in the above Paras.
 - E) Incorrect:- Answering respondents never violated fundamental rights of the appellant, but action taken in connection with safeguarding Government Treasury from unlawful losses is lawful responsibility of all the respondents.
 - F) Incorrect as already explained in the above Paras.
 - G) Incorrect as already explained in the above Paras.
 - H) Incorrect:- The appellant was never appointed lawfully.
 - I) The answering respondents also seek prior permission of this Honorable Tribunal to adduce additional grounds at the time of arguments.

PRAYER:-

It is therefore humbly prayed that on acceptance of the comments, the instant appeal of the appellant may kindly graciously be dismissed with costs.

Jhahem Africh Director General Health Services

Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar. Respondent No.1

Officer District North Waz instan Miranshah Respondent No.2



<u>ersera</u>i **A DESHAWAR**

> ----Dated: 4 Dateil: 1.4 0.6

/2020

Ameause

To.

All District Health Officers Merged Areas in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

All Medical Superintendents DHQ Hospital Merged Areas in Khyber*Pakhtunkhwa.

All Deputy District Health Officers, FR Morged Areas Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Subject:

BAN ON RECEVITMENT.

Reference to the subject noted above.

You are hareby directed that all kind of recruitment process in the merged areas in your cantrol has been stopped with immediate effect till further order as approved by the Competent Authority.

The above instructions should be followed in letter is spirit.

ERAL HEALTH DIRECTOR GEN SERVICES, K.P. PESHAWAR.

/Personnel No

Copy forwarded to the 1. PS to Minister for Health, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

2. PB to Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department Peshawar

3. PA to Director General Health Services, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

DIRECTOR GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES, K.P PESHAWAR.

Officer, Distric Tribal North Waziristan Miranshah

Sectored with Compounder

Ameause-B Innexuse INMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKNWA HEALTH DEPARTMENT NO SOH(E-V)4-4/2021/Inquiry Report Dated Postnawar the April 2275, 2021 136 The Director General Healt 710414 Khyter Pathlunkhwa, Peshawar REQUEST FOR INQUIRY AGAINST DIIO NORTH WAZIRISTAN Subject I am directed to refer to the subject noted above and state that the : Dear Sit. Establishment & Admin Department (Regulation Wing) has submitted inquiry Report of Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Provincial Inspection Team regarding illegal appointment/ regularization made by Dr. Hameedullah, Ex-DHO North Waziristan. The following recommendations may be implemented:-1. Cancel/ withdraw all the irregular adjustments/ regularizations and appointment of the employees during the tenure of Dr. Hameedullah-and Dr. Israr ul Haq, Ex-DHO, North Waziristan as Indicated in the inquiry rooch. 2. The credentials/ antecedents of all the employees working under the admistrative control of DHO, North Waziristan may be verified from the concerned Boards/ Universitites/ Facultles under the prevailing rules. 3. The clerical stall working in the office of DHO, North Waziristan were found involved in concealment of the office record for their vested interest, therefore, they may be transferred out of District of North Waziristan and must never be posted in North Waziristan in future. am, further directed to state that the above montioned recommendations may be implemented under initiation to this Department, please. fatthfuily (MMM) (Latif Ur Rehman) SECTION OFFICEEL(E-V) IMPORTANT/URGENT OFFICE OF THE DIRECTORATE GENERAL HEALTH KPK PESHAWAR Dated:-06/05/2021 10.7047-50/E.I Copy of the above is forwarded to the:-1. Deputy Director Paramedics DGHS Office Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 2. AD/In-charge (Personnel Section) DGHS KP, Office. 3. The District Health officer North Waziristan Merged District at Miranshah. For information and immediate necessary action. ADDL: DIRECTOR GENERAL (HRM) HEAL SERVICES KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAN le:-Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department for inform Attester Officer líciba orth Waziristan viiranshah

BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, CIRC BANNU

Writ Petition No 270-B of 2020

- Yasir Iqbal S/o Habib Nawaz R/o Village Hurmaz, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- Ali Johar Iqbal S/o Muhammad Iqbal R/o Village
 Hurmaz, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- 3. Zubair Ali S/o Hazrat Ali R/o Village Hurmaz, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- Miss. Sania Bibi D/o Arsala Jan R/o Village Hurmaz, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- 5. Nasir Ahmad Khan S/o Zafar Ali R/o Village Hassu Khel, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- 6. Asif Ali S/o Noor Ali Jan R/o Village Karamkot, Tehsil Miran Shah, District North Waziristan.
- Miss. Nothia Bibi D/o Ameer Ullah R/o Village Ghazlamai, Tehsil Datta Khel, P.O Boya, District North Waziristan.
- 8. Fida Hussain S/o Mir Ghulam R/o Village Hassu Khel. Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- 9 Muhammad Imran S/o Atta Ullah Jan R/o Village Hassu Khel, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- Wasiq Ullah S/o Muhammad Abdul Hai R/o Village
 Hassu Khel, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- Miss. Bas. Niaza D/o Muhammad Noshar Khan R/o.
 Village Mussaki, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- 12. Hussain Ahmad S/o Haider Ali R/o Village Hurmaz. Tchsil=Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.

istan

Filed Today 2.5 准备233 and the state of the grant of the

Atteste

Triba



A NNU BEN

Annexule

FAAMANER Peshawar High Court and the second second

- 13. Muhammad Israr S/o Saadullah Jan R/o Village Hamzoni, Patti Khel, Tehsil Miran Shah, District North Waziristan.
- 14. Niamat Ullah S/o Syed Khan Majan R/o Village Danday, Saidgai, Zakir Khel, Tehsil Ghulam Khan, District North Waziristan.
- Tahir Iqbal S/o Malik Zaman R/o Village Mussaki, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- Asad Aziz S/o Muhammad Farooq R/o Village Tappi,
 Tehsil Miran Shah, District North Waziristan.
- Muhammad Abdullah S/o Muhammad Shahid R/o
 Village Hassu Khel, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North
 Waziristan.
- Muhammad Zamin S/o Abdul Sattar R/o Village Issori,
 Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- 19. Miss. Hajra Naz D/o Latif Ullah R/o Village Hassu Khel, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- 20. Akmal Khan S/o Abdullah Qayyum R/o Village Darpa Khel, Tehsil Miran Shah, District North Waziristan.
- Shoukat Ullah S/o Yaqoob Khan R/o Village Zirraki.
 Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- 22. Wali Rehman Š/o Pir Rehman R/o Village Khushali, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- 23. Azmat Ullah S/o Raees Khan R/o Village Khushali Malik Khel, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- 24. Muneeb Rehman S/o Zari Jan R/o Village Hassu Khel, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- Wali Ullah S/o Muhammad Rafiq R/o Village Hamzoni AliKhel, Tehsil Miran Shah, District North Waziristan.
- 26. Nasir Azam S/o Noor Azam Jan R/o Village Danday Bora

TTESY

Khel, Tehsil Miran Shah, District North Wazinistan.

Filed fadas 1. 5 / E.B. 1020

District North Waziristan Tribal 🕌 iranshah

Miss. Rizwana Sadiq D/o Muhammad Sadiq R/o Village Dawar Tappi, Tehsil Miran Shah, District North Waziristan.

- 28. Muhammad Alim Shah S/o Muhammad Karim Shah R/o Village Edak Khadi, Tehsil Mir Ali, District North Waziristan.
- 29. Ameer Mehmood S/o Hayat Khan R/o Village Dawir Banda, Tehsil Miran Shah, District North Waziristan.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

- 1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Director Health Services, Merged Areas, Peshawar.
- 2. District Health Officer, District North Waziristan.
- 3. District Accounts Officer, District North Waziristan.
- 4. Muhammad Iqbal Khan Wazir, DDAC Chairman/ Member, Provincial Assembly PK-111.

......RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF ISLAMIC
REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN, 1973 AS
AMENDED UP-TO DATE.

Filed Today 872920 u ll N Sonist Registrar

Respectfully Sheweth:-

The Petitioners humbly submit as under:-

aziristan Tribal Di anshah

Attester

的复数形式的复数

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 424 /2022

Versus


Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
 District Health Officer, District North Waziristan.

.....(Respondents)

AFFIDAVIT.

I Mr.Syed Muhammad Litigation Assistant do affirm & declare on oath on behalf of the respondents that the contents of the Para wise comments are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been kept secret from this honourable Court.

Deponent



Atteste

Oath Commissioner Advocate High Court



	(D)
OFFICE OF THE DISTRIC	
Tel: (0928) 300788 FAX: (0928) 311662 No/Litigation/Court Case, D	
AUTHORITY LETTER.	******************
<u>AOTHORITE LETTER.</u>	
Mr.Syed Muhammad L office is hereby fully authorized to attend Tribunal Peshawar on behalf of the unde Department North Waziristan Tribal District in	rsigned as representative of Health
N.B:- TA/DA will be p rules.	baid by this office as per Government SD/x x x
	District Health Officer,
- 50 22	North Waziristan Tribal District.
No/Litigation/Court Case, Copy forwarded to:-	
 The Additional Registrar Khyber Pakhtu Mr.Syed Muhammad Litigation Assistar 	
	MAS-
	District Health Officer, North Waziristan Tribal District.
•	

. (

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR CHECK LIST

S# CONTENTS YES NO 1 This Appeal has been presented by: D-0.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	Ca	Case Title: MSK Gadia VS JON			
2 Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponent have signed the requisite documents? 3 Whether appeal is within time? 4 Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? 5 Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? 6 Whether affidavit is appended? 7 Whether affidavit is ouly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? 8 Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? 9 Whether annexures are legible? 10 Whether annexures are legible? 11 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 12 Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 13 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 14 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 15 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 16 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 17 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 18 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 19 Whether romplete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 23 Whether index filed? <td>S#</td> <td>CONTENTS</td> <td>YES</td> <td>NO</td>	S#	CONTENTS	YES	NO	
2 Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponent have signed the requisite documents? 3 Whether appeal is within time? 4 Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? 5 Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? 6 Whether affidavit is appended? 7 Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? 8 Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? 9 Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? 10 Whether annexures are legible? 11 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 12 Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 14 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 18 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 19 Whether romplete spare copy Is filed in separate file cover? 20 Whether romplete spare copy Is filed in separate file cover? 21 Whether appeal contains given are complete? 22 Whether appeal so diven are complete? 23 Whether romplete spare copy Is filed in separate file cover?	1	This Appeal has been presented by: Palkin 18 news			
2 the requisite documents? 3 Whether appeal is within time? 4 Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? 5 Whether affidavit is appended? 7 Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? 8 Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? 9 Whether annexures are properly paged? 10 Whether annexures are legible? 11 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 12 Whether oppeal is delivered to AC/DAC? 14 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? 15 Whether appeal contains gutting/overwriting? 16 Whether appeal to this court? 17 Whether appeal contains gutting/overwriting? 18 Whether appeal contains gutting/overwriting? 19 Whether appeal contains gutting/overwriting? 17 Whether appeal contains gutting/overwriting? 18 Whether appeal contains gutting/overwriting? 19 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 20 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22	2				
3 Whether appeal is within time? 4 Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? 5 Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? 6 Whether affidavit is appended? 7 Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? 8 Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? 9 Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? 10 Whether annexures are legible? 11 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 13 Whether op appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? 14 Whether appeal contains gutting/overwriting? 15 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? 16 Whether appeal contains gutting/overwriting? 17 Whether appeal contains gutting/overwriting? 18 Whether appeal contains gutting/overwriting? 19 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 20 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 21 Whether index filed? 22 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 23 Whether index filed? 24 Whether security and				ļ	
4 mentioned? 5 Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? 6 Whether affidavit is appended? 7 Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath 7 Commissioner? 8 Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? 9 Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? 10 Whether annexures are legible? 11 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 12 Whether opwer of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 14 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 15 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 16 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether addresses of parties given are correct? 18 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index filed? 24 Whether index filed? 25 Whether appeal on the spare copies attached? On 24 Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules been sent to r	3		-		
6 Whether affidavit is appended? 7 Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? 8 Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? 9 Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? 10 Whether annexures are legible? 11 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 12 Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? 13 Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 15 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether case relate to this court? 18 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether index filed? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index filed? 24 Whether index filed? 25 Whether index filed? 26 Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies	4	•••	~		
6 Whether affidavit is appended? 7 Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? 8 Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? 9 Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? 10 Whether annexures are legible? 11 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 12 Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? 13 Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 15 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether case relate to this court? 18 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether index filed? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index filed? 24 Whether index filed? 25 Whether index filed? 26 Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies	5	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct?			
2 Commissioner? 8 Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? 9 Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? 10 Whether annexures are legible? 11 Whether annexures are legible? 12 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 13 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 14 Whether opy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? 14 Whether opy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? 15 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether case relate to this court? 18 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 21 Whether index filed? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether security and Process Fee deposited? On 24 Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On	6				
' Commissioner? 8 Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? 9 Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? 10 Whether annexures are legible? 11 Whether annexures are legible? 12 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 13 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 14 Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 15 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether case relate to this court? 18 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 21 Whether index filed? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index secorect? 24 Whether security and Process Fee deposited? On 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 24 Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submit	-7	Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath	-		
9 Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? 10 Whether annexures are legible? 11 Whether annexures are attested? 12 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 13 Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? 14 Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 15 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether case relate to this court? 18 Whether case relate to this court? 19 Whether complete spare copies attached? 20 Whether index filed? 21 Whether index so of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to					
9 Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? 10 Whether annexures are legible? 11 Whether annexures are attested? 12 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 13 Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? 14 Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 15 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether case relate to this court? 18 Whether case relate to this court? 19 Whether complete spare copies attached? 20 Whether index filed? 21 Whether index so of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	8	Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged?			
subject, furnished? Image: Subject, furnished? 10 Whether annexures are legible? Image: Subject, furnished? 11 Whether annexures are attested? Image: Subject, furnished? 12 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? Image: Subject, furnished? 13 Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? Image: Subject, furnished? 14 and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? Image: Subject, furnished? 15 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? Image: Subject, furnished? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? Image: Subject, furnished? 17 Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal? Image: Subject, furnished? 18 Whether case relate to this court? Image: Subject, furnished? Image: Subject, furnished? 20 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? Image: Subject, furnished? Image: Subject, furnished? 21 Whether index filed? Image: Subject, furnished? Image: Subject, furnished? Image: Subject, furnished? 23 Whether index is correct? Image: Subject, furnished? Image: Subject, furnished? Image: Subject, furnished? Image: Subject, furnished? Ima	a	Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the	-		
11 Whether annexures are attested? 12 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 13 Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? 14 and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 15 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal? 18 Whether case relate to this court? 19 Whether spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 20 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 21 Whether index filed? 22 Whether index si correct? 23 Whether index si correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to					
12 Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? 13 Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? 14 Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 15 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal? 18 Whether case relate to this court? 19 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 20 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 21 Whether index filed? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether in of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	10		\checkmark		
13 Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? 14 Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 15 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal? 18 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	11				
14 Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 15 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal? 18 Whether case relate to this court? 19 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	12	Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear?			
14 and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 15 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal? 18 Whether case relate to this court? 19 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	13	Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG?			
and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? 15 Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? 16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal? 18 Whether case relate to this court? 19 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	14				
16 Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? 17 Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal? 18 Whether case relate to this court? 19 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	 				
17 Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal? 18 Whether case relate to this court? 19 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On					
18 Whether case relate to this court? 19 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	}				
19 Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? 20 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	17				
20 Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to					
21 Whether addresses of parties given are complete? 22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	}	Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?			
22 Whether index filed? 23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27	20				
23 Whether index is correct? 24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to					
24 Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to					
Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to					
25 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	24				
been sent to respondents? On 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	25	Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules	_		
26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to		1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has			
27 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to					
	26	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On			
	~	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to	· · · ·		
	21	opposite party? On			

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been fulfilled.

Name:

oin ably.

Signature: Dated:

17.4 Service Appeal No /2022

Mst Sadia.....

SCANNED KPST Peshawar ..Appellant

VERSUS

Director General Health Services & others ...Respondents

INDEX		
-------	--	--

S NO	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENTS	ANNEX	PAGES
1.	Service Appeal	-	1-4
2. ´	Affidavit		
3.	Application for Suspension	_	6
4.	Affidavit		7
5.	Copy of the Appointment Order	Α	Q.
б.	Copies of the Order and	В	C
	Complaint	· ·	9~10
7.	Copy of letter dated 26.08.2020	"C"	11
8.	Copy of the order dated 05.08.2021	"D"	12
9.	Copy of the Impugned Order dated 06.08.2021	"E"	12
10.	Copy of Departmental Appeal along with receipts	"F"	14-15
11.	Wakalat Nama		16

Through:

Dated:- 24.03.2022

Appellant Appellant (BASHIR KHAN WAZIR)

سار /

, Advocate, High Court, Peshawar



01

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER the Polyhukhwa PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No 424 /2022

Mst Sadia W/o Muhammad Zubir, DAI (BPS-04), DHO Office North Waziristan

.....Appellant

DEETY NO.

VERSUS

- 1. Director General Health Services, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 2. District Health Officer, District North Waziristan.

.....Respondents

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 06.08.2021, VIDE OFFICE ORDER NO 13024/DHO NWTD WHICH WAS RECEIVED TO THE APPELLANT ON 23.11.2021, ISSUED BY THE **RESPONDENT NO 2 WHEREBY THE APPOINTMENT OF THE** APPELLANT HAS BEEN CONSIDERED CANCEL WITHDRAWN AND CONSEQUENTLY THE SALARIES OF THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN STOPPED / WITHHOLD AGAINST THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL VIDE DATED WHICH 25.11.2021 FILED, BUT INSPITE OF THE COMPLETION OF MANDATORY PERIOD OF THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL. NO ORDER HAS BEEN PASSED.

Prayer in Appeal:

On acceptance of the instant Appeal, the Impugned Orders when initially the salaries of the Appellant was stopped/withheld since 1^{st} August 2021 verbally and later on a written impugned Order No. 13024/DHO NWTD dated 06.08.2021, which was communicated to the Appellant on 23.11.2021, issued by the Respondent No 2 on the basis of which the Appointment of the Appellant being considered cancelled / withdrawn, the impugned order dated 06.08.2021 and withholding of salaries of the Appellant may kindly be set aside and consequently the Appellant may kindly be reinstated / restored into his service with all back benefits.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

The Appellant humbly submits as under:-

- That the Appellant is peaceful and law abiding citizen of Pakistan and is entitled for all the rights guaranteed by the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
- That briefly stated the fact relevant for the purpose of this Appeal are that, the Respondents had advertised number of posts, which were laying vacant in District Health Office, North Waziristan, the Appellant was being qualified and eligible candidate applied for the post as per his qualifications.
- **3.** That after fulfillment of all codal formalities, as the vacant posts were advertised and invited applications from the eligible and suitable Applicants, the Appellant accordingly submitted his Application as well as his academic qualifications and as per the prevailing SOPs of the provincial Government for making

1. ledto-dav W egistrar ירה 2.



inductions in respect of the subject posts, the process for selection was initiated by verification of the degrees of the candidates who applied for these posts. In this respect a property selection Committee was constituted. The Committee so constituted duly interviewed the candidates and after following the process of law/ rules, the successful candidates were issued the Appointment letters. (Copy of the Appointment Order is attached as annexure A)

- 4. That whenever the competent authority as well as the Selection Committee have been appointed the Appellant on his respective posts, after adopting all the codal formalities, meanwhile the political figure as Minister for Relief and Rehabilitation MR. Iqbal Khan Wazir while using his good office forwarded a letter with the subject "Complaint against illegal appointments made by DHO North Waziristan without fulfilling of codal formalities / bogus diploma holders", and directed the District Account Officer District North Waziristan to stop the salaries of 71 Appointees in the DHO North Waziristan Office and it was alleged that their appointments have been made without compliance of codal formalities and the appointees are holding bogus degrees vide letter dated 20.02.2020, the District Account Officer has been requested for the investigation of the matter in question and further the pay and allowances of the Appellant has been requested to be stopped till the finalization of the complaint filed by the Minister Concerned. (Copies of the Order and Complaint are attached as annexure B)
- 5. That some of the aggrieved employees approaches the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court Bannu Bench, whereby the salaries those employees have been ordered to be released forthwith, thereafter the political person as mentioned above Minister for Relief and Rehabilitation again interfered in the same matter, while issued another letter from his letter head to the Minister of Health, whereby he has requested for interference in the official work and the Minister of Health has been compelled to constitute another inquiry on the subject matter vide letter dated 26.08.2020. (Copy of letter dated 26.08.2020 is attached as annexure C)
- 6. That the Respondent No 2 without keeping in view the above mentioned record once again stopped the salaries of the Appellant on the personal grudges with the collusion of Minister concerned, thereafter the Appellant along with others preferred a combined Appeal to the Respondent No 2 which was forwarded through his endorsement letter dated 05.07.2021 to the Respondent No 1, accordingly the Respondent No 1 accepted the Appeal of the Appellant vide letter dated 05.08.2021 and realized that the case of the Appellant does not fall in the capacity of the letter mentioned above and the Respondent No 2 was directed to implement the judgment of the Hon'ble Peshawar high Court Bannu Bench, vide which the salaries of the Appellant was ordered to be release. (Copy of the order dated 05.08.2021 is attached as annexure D)
- 7. That it is pertinent to mention here that as per the above mentioned direction issued by the Respondent No 1, the Respondent No 2 is bound to act accordance with the directions

B

issued by Respondent No 1, but he despite of the clear cut directions issued by the Respondent No 1 refused to implement the same and issued the impugned order dated 06.08.2021, which was even not communicated to the Appellant and the Appellant was kept unaware about the said letter, thereafter when the Appellant insisted for release of his salaries the Respondent No 2 while given the impugned order to the Appellant on 23.11.2021. (Copy of the Impugned Order dated 06.08.2021 is attached as annexure E)

- 8. That thereafter the Appellant filed Departmental Appeal, wherein statutory period had been elapsed and no order whatsoever has been issued. (Copy of Departmental Appeal along with receipts are attached as annexure F)
- 9. That inspite of the clear cut direction of the competent authority as Respondent No 1, the Respondent No 2 having personal grudges and for ulterior motives not following the order of the Respondent No 1 and due to which the salaries of the Appellant is being stopped without any lawful authority.
- 10. That feeling aggrieved from the act of Respondents, having no other adequate and efficacious remedy, approaches this Honourable Tribunal on the following grounds inter-alia:-

GROUNDS:-

- A) That the Appellant is peaceful and law abiding citizen of Islamic Republic of Pakistan and is fully entitled to all the basic and fundamental rights as enshrined in the fundamental law of the state, interpreted and guaranteed by the law of the land.
- B) That even the impugned Order has been issued without given personal hearing opportunity to the Appellant, the same act of the Authority is illegal, unlawful and without lawful authority.
- C) That no show cause notice was issued to the Appellant and due to the malaifde intention of the Respondent NO 2 the impugned order was issued just to deprive the Appellant from his valuable service.
- D) That the acts of the Respondents of not following the same criteria which has been safeguarded by the law and rules and guidelines provided by the apex courts that when the employee serving on respective posts the competent authority should have in retaliation to delivered remuneration to the employee as accordance his service, while in the instant case the respondents have not yet been considered the case of the Appellantss, is illegal, unlawful, unnatural, ab-initio, null and void in the eye of law, hence liable to be declared so.
- E) That the fundamental rights of the Appellants has blatantly violated by the Respondents and the Appellants has been discriminated and has been denied his due rights under the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
 F) That the Appellants is appointed according to rules and on
 - That the Appellants is appointed according to rules and on adopted procedure but the respondents and after his appointments he has never ever given an opportunity of any complaint to the respondents and performed his duties with full devotions, the Appellants being eligible for releasing of outstanding salaries which was illegally been retained by the respondents is illegal and violation of natural justice, because of

the fundamental rights/entitlement of the Appellants has been denied to them by the Respondents.

- That according to the articles 23 & 24 (1) of the constitution of Pakistan the property of every citizens of the Pakistan have been protected and no one could be deprived from their due rights and property, hence the entire due salaries being the fundamental right of the Appellants and the respondents is liable to be directed for releasing of the entire due salaries of the Appellants.
- H) That once the Appellants was duly appointed/posted and was allowed to join the service, after thorough verifications entries was also made in his service books, since then he is performing his duties, and after having performed his duties, he is certainly entitled to be paid his salaries, but all of sudden the respondents have been stopped the salaries of the Appellants, these acts of the respondents are illegal unlawful and liable to be declared so.

That any other ground not raised here specifically may graciously be allowed to be raised at the time of arguments.

PRAYER:-

I)

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that, On acceptance of the instant Appeal, the Impugned Orders when initially the salaries of the Appellant was stopped/withheld since 1st August 2021 verbally and later on a written impugned Order No. 13024/DHO NWTD dated 06.08.2021, which was communicated to the Appellant on 23.11.2021, issued by the Respondent No 2 on the basis of which the Appointment of the Appellant being considered cancelled / withdrawn, the impugned order dated 06.08.2021 and withholding of salaries of the Appellant may kindly be set aside and consequently the Appellant may kindly be reinstated / restored into his service with all back benefits.

Any other relief, not specifically asked for may also graciously be extended in favour of the Appellant in the circumstances of the case.

Through:

 \sim Appellant Att

(BASHIR KHAN WAZIR) Advocate, High Court, Peshawar

Dated:- 24.03.2022

CERTIFICATE:

It is certified that no such like Appeal has earlier been filed before this Hon'ble Tribunal.

N. Xal DEPONENT

G)



Service Appeal No ____/2022

Mst SadiaAppellant VERSUS

Director General Health Services & others ... Respondents

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Mst Sadia W/o Muhammad Zubir, DAI (BPS-04), DHO Office North Waziristan, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying **Service Appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

NUCU

DEPONENT

Humaira Rehman Advocate Oath Commissione Endst No. 3370-70 2403-2022

Service Appeal No ____/2022

Mst Sadia.....Appellant VERSUS

Director General Health Services & others ...Respondents

APPLICATION FOR SUSPENSION OF THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 06.08.2021, ON THE BASIS OF WHICH THE SALARIES OF THE APPELLANT WAS STOPPED AND CONSEQUENTLY THE CURRENT SALARY OF THE APPELLANT MAY KINDLY BE ORDERED TO RELEASED, TILL THE FINAL DECISION OF THE SERVICE APPEAL.

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the above noted Service Appeal is being filed before this hon'ble court, in which no date of hearing has yet been fixed.
- 2. That the Appellant has got a good prima facie case in their favour, and is sanguine about its success.
- 3. That the balance of convenience also lies in favour of the Appellant.
- 4. That if the Impugned Order dated 06.08.2021 is not suspended, the Appellant would suffer extreme irreparable loss.
- 5. That the facts and grounds of the Appeal may kindly be read as an integral part of this application.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that on acceptance of this application, the relief as prayed for in the heading of the Application may kindly be allowed in favour of the Appellant, till the final decision of the case. 1

Through:

V stu Appellant

(BASHIR KHAN WAZIR) Advocate, High Court, Peshawar

Dated:- 24.03.2022



Service Appeal No ____/2022

Mst SadiaAppellant VERSUS

Director General Health Services & others ... Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Mst Sadia W/o Muhammad Zubir, DAI (BPS-04), DHO Office North Waziristan, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying **Application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

1) DEPONENT

numaira Rehman Advocate Oat Commissioner Engly: No. 3370-78 24-03-2022

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT HEALTH OFFICER NORTH WAZIRISTAN TRIBAL DISTRICT AT MIRANSHAH

 NUNIN vy AZHNISTATUS (1992)

 11662.Email:agencysurgeonnwa2018@gmail.com

 Tel: (0928)

 300788

 FAX: (0928)

 311662.Email:agencysurgeonnwa2018@gmail.com

OFFICE ORDER:

On the recommendation of departmental selection committee,

Mst: Sadia W/o Muhammad Zubir Village Datta Khel Tehsil & P/o Miranshah Tribal District North Waziristan is hereby appointed as a DAI BPS-04 (9900-440-23100) against the vacant post, plus usual allowances as admissible under the rules with immediate effect in the best interest of public services.

Her appointment shall be on the following terms and condition.

- 1- She is declared medically fit for this job.
- 2- Her appointment will be on permanent basis from the date of his joining in service. She will not be indulging in any trade business and any other activity what so ever which has been declared prohibited for the Government Servants in Civil Servant Act 1973.
- 3- If She wished to resign the services a prior notice of 30 days will be submitted, otherwise one month pay should be deposited in Government treasury through challan.
- 4- She will have to serve any where in North Waziristan Agency.
- 5- She will not be entitled for any TA/DA for joining the service.
- 6- If she accepts the above terms and condition he have to report for duty to the

District Health Officer North Waziristan Tribal District at Miranshah within 15 days of the receipt of this offer, otherwise the order will be considered as cancelled.

Miranshah the:

/2020

164-60/App: dated:

1. District Account Officer Tribal District North Waziristan Miranshah.

- I/C <u>District Health Officer North Waziristan Tribal District</u> at Miranshah.
- Accounts/Pay Bill Clerk of this office.
- 4. Official concerned.

For information and necessary action.

h/Officer District Miranshah Tribal Distri



MUHAMMAD IQBAL KHAN WAZIR (DDAC) CHAIRMAN/MEMBER PROVINCIAL ASSEMBLY PK-111

No. DDAC/MPA-PK-111/2020/Henitb Dated: The Peshawar February 17, 2020

Subject: My Deat, COMPLAINT AGAINST ILLEGAL APPOINTMENTS MADE BY DHO NORTH WAZIRISTAN WITHOUT FULFILLING CODAL FORMALITIES/BOGUS DIPLOMA HOLDER

Hope this letter of mind will find you in the best of your health.

I would like to say that District Health Officer North Waziristan recently made almost 71 appointments of bogus degree holders on taking bribe and without fulfilling of codal.


till the incurry report is not furnished.

(Muhammad Igbal Wazir)

District Accounts Officer, District North Waziristan.

CC:-

- 1. Deputy Commissioner, North Waziristan with the request to look into the matter personally.
- 2. DHO North Waziristan



The District Health Officer NWTD Miran Shah.

SUBJECT:- <u>COMPLAINT AGAINST ILLEGAL APPOINTMENT MADE BY</u> <u>DHO NORTH WAZIRISTAN WITHOUT FULFILLING CODAL</u> <u>FORMALITIES/BOGUS DIPLOMA HOLDER.</u>

Memo, Please refer to the letter issued by Mr.Muhammad Iqbal Khan Wazir, Minister for Relief (DDAC) chairman/Member Provincial Assembly PK 111 Vide No. DDAC /MPA-PK111/2020/Health/dated 17/02/2020 on the subject cited above.

In this connection it is requested that the matter may be investigated at your end and computer change profarma may send to this office for stoppage of pay & Allowance of the employees as per list attached till the complaint to be set a side.

2. It is also pertinent to mention that as per the verbal directions of the worthy Minister that before processing fresh appointment/recruitment of any kind and of any department prior permission may be obtained from the Minister concerned.

NW (Tribal Destrict) Mitan Skalf W

Scanned with CamScanner

Copy forwarded to:

- 1. The Accounts officer (C&M) O/O the Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar with the request to guide this office for
- appropriate action in the subject case please. 2. The Deputy Commissioner NWTD Miran Shah for information and
 - necessary action please.

REQUEST FOR INOUIRY

AD OBAL WAZER

CCC

(y Dear,

Hope this letter of mine will find you in the best of your health

EPARTMENT NEW BU

i would like to enclose herewith a letter addressed to your coods and regard to conduct inquiry against DHO North for 71 Illegal appointments in Tribal Distric North Waziristan (appointees list attached). It is also mention here that Mri Aniid Sain Junior Clerk is also involved in all these illegal activities and in taking bribe from these appointees.

It is also pointed out that the present DHO North Waziristan again may dilegal appointments in Tribal District North Waziristan (copies attached).

In view of the above, It is requested to kindly direct the quarter C conduct inquiry against DHO North Waziristan on top priority basis, please

Mr. Taimoor Saleem Khan Jhagra, Minister for Health, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Copy forwarded for similar action to:-

1. The Secretary Health, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa 21. The Director General Health, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa 23. The Director Merged Area Health, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa 24. The Director Merged Area Health, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa 24. The Director Anti-corruption, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

ADDRESS 2ND/ELCORIMINISTERS BLOCK CIVILISE CRETARIAS HI ADDRESS 2ND/ELCORIMINISTERS BLOCK CIVILISE CRETARIAS HI KIN BERIPAIGHTUNKHWA RDHOST 9210043

WYSE

DIRECTORATE GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

ÿ.

Office Ph# 091 -9210269 = Exchange# 091 - 9210187, 091 - 9210196. Fax #091 - 9210230 All communications should be addressed to the Director General Realth Services Peshawar and not to

any official b name No /EV 1 8 12021 Dated 5 То

District Health Officer North Waziristan (Disst: Miranshah)

Subject:

REQUEST FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE HONORABLE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT BANNU BENCH DECISION UNDER WP NO.270- OF 2020 DATED 18.01.2021 YASIR IQBAL ETC VS GOVT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA ETC.

Kindly reference to your letter No.11134-35 /Court Case dated 05.07.2021 on the subject noted above and to direct to implement the decision of the Honorable Court, under intimation to this Directorate.

Director General Health Services, Klyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT HEALTH OFFICER TRIBAL DISTRICT AT MIRANSHAH Email:agencysurgeonnwa2018@gmail.com

el: (0928) 300788 FAX: (0928) 311662 No. 13024 _____ JOHO NWTD Dated

The Director General Health Services KP.Peshawar

Subject: R/Sir.

No.

REQUEST FOR INQUIRY AGAINST DHO NORTH WAZIRISTAN.

In compliance to your office endorsement No.7047-50/E.I dated 06/05/2021 in response to Secretary Health Office Letter No. SOH (E-V) 4/20201/Inquiry Report dated Peshawar the April 22nd, 2021, the undersigned is pleased to cancel/withdraw all the irregular adjustment/regularization and appointment of the employees during the tenure of Dr. Hamid Ullah and Dr.Israr UI Haq EX-DHO North Waziristan as indicated in the inquiry report with immediate effect.

85181202 District Health Officer Tribal District Miranshah

768/2021

08 /2021

06

/DHO NWTD

Dated the:

Copy forwarded to the:

7

Deputy Commissioner Tribal District Miranshah. 2. PA to Secretary Health KP, Peshawar. 3. HQ-7 Dive Camp Area Miranshah. 4. All Officials Concerned.

way with a way and a sound a s

District Health Officer Tribal District Mironshah

To,

Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

Subject: <u>APPEAL FOR RELEASE OF SALARIES</u> <u>STOPPED/WITHHELD SINCE AUGUST 2021 DESPITE OF</u> <u>THE FACT THAT I PERFORMING MY DUTY TILL DATE</u> <u>AND AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 06.08.2021 IS</u> <u>BEING INTIMATED AND RECEIVED THE SAME FROM</u> <u>THE OFFICE OF DHO NWTD ON DATED 23.11.2021.</u>

Respected Sir,

I have been appointed in the office DHO NWTD by the competent authority after fulfillment of all codal formalities and working as *Mai Bis-of* in District North Waziristan Agency, Miran Shah, since my appointment I performing my duties with full devotion and great zeal and zest.

That I have been appointed under the proper advertisement and after appointment I assume the charge of the subject post, the present District Health Officer without issuance of any show cause notice, initially stopped my salaries since August 2021, inspite of the fact that I was working and still I am working on the subject post, but my salaries have been stopped / withheld, I visited to the office of DHO and asked about my salaries, he replied that the salaries will be released soon after. I along with my other colleagues when disregarded by the DHO intimated the matter to your good office, in response of your good office the DHO was directed to release of the Appellant along with other colleagues.

The concerned DHO even then neither released the salaries nor he has in position to release the same and lastly the order dated 06.08.2021 was handed over to the Appellant which was duly received on 23.11.2021, therefore I preferred this Appeal that neither the same order has been issued after fulfilling prerequisite requirements nor any intimation in respect of the said order has been given to me.

It is therefore, on acceptance of this Appeal, the entire salaries of the Appellant may kindly be order to release w.e.f withholding and the order dated 06.08.2021 may kindly be set aside.

Yours Sincerely

she Ke

Dated: 25.11.2021

لعدالم الم الم مردس الم مرد الم B.CNO 09-205 MA. 333 9732415 محرق 2 منجانب منوزخه بنام مقدمه -14) (J 2/---دعوكي <u>7</u>7 ماعث تحرمرا ينكه مقدمه مندرجة عنوان بالامين إين طرف سے داسطے پيردي دجواب دہی دکل کاردائي متعلقہ ان مقام مرا المحسب كيلي ليست برجان دريوا مردس مقردكر بے اقرار كما جاتا ہے۔ كہ صاحب دوسوف كومقد مدكى كل كاردائى كا كامل اختيار ، وگا۔ نيز وکیل صاحب کورامنی نامه کرنے وتقرر ثالت ہ فیصلہ برحلف دیتے جواب دہی اورا قبال دعو کی اور بسورت فركرى كرف اجراءا ورصولى جيك وروبيه ارعرضى دعوى اور درخواست برتتم كى تصديق زرای پردستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم بیروی یا ڈگری بکطرفہ یا اپل کی براندگی ادر منسوخی نیز دانز کرنے اپیل نگرانی دنظر ثانی و بیروی کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ زکور کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے داسط اور دکیل یا محتار قانونی کوامیے ہمراہ پااسیے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا۔اورمیا حسب مقرر شدہ کوبھی وہی جملہ مذکورہ باا ختیارات حاصل ہوں کےاوراس کا ساختہ برداختة منظور تبول بوكاردوران مقدمه يس جوتر جدد مرجانه التوائي مقدمه برسيب سيروموكار کوئی تاریخ بیشی مقام دورہ پر ہویا حدسے باہر ہوتو وکیل صاحب پابند ہوں کے کہ بیروی المرتوم _____ ·2022 _2.16 ,1 ____واد العب____ کے لئے منظور ہے۔) ور بمقام سيست