


02.01.2023

Appellant alongwith his counsel present. Mr. Hikmat Khan, ASI alongwith Mr. Naseer-ud-Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

SCANNED
KOST
Peshawar

Learned counsel for the appellant requested that as he wants to submit additional documents, therefore, an adjournment may be granted. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 16.02.2023 before the D.B.



(Mian Muhammad)
Member (E)



(Salah-ud-Din)
Member (J)

16th Feb, 2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Asst: AG alongwith Mr. H for respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant and learned Asst: AG ^Q argued the matter at great length and when the learned Asst: AG was confronted with ² the para-4 and 5 of the reply submitted by ^Q the respondents No. 1,4 and 5, he sought time to summon ^Q some responsible officer from the CCPO. Mr. Kamal Khan, SP (legal) put appearance later on, where-after the learned Asst: AG pointed out that there were two replies on the file, ^Q One filed by respondents No. 1, 4 and 5 whereas the other filed by respondents 2,3 and 6. We observed ^Q that not only ^Q these ^Q replies are contradictory to each other but also the reply filed by respondents No. 1, 4 and 5 is self contradictory to which the representative of respondents and the learned AAG seek ^Q some time to assist the Tribunal. To come up on 03.03.2023 before D.B.



(Salah Ud din)
Member(Judicial)



(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman