Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ziaullah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.

It was stated before the court by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was not paid salary by the respondent-department on the allegation of absence. The petitioner filed service appeal which was disposed of and the departmental authority was directed to decide the departmental appeal within the stipulated period vide judgment dated 28.03.2016. It was further contended that now the departmental authority has decided the departmental appeal and rejected the same on 14.03.2019. Since the respondent-department has decided the departmental appeal of the petitioner, therefore, the present execution petition is disposed of being infructuous. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 24.02.2020

(M. Amin Khan Kundi)

Member

hammad Amin

Camp Court D.I.Khan

22/10/2019 Since tour to D.I.Khan has been cancelled .To come for the same on 25/11/2019.

25.11.2019

Petitioner in person and Mr. Ziaullah, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Mr. Kamran, ADO (Litigation) for the respondents present. Petitioner seeks adjournment on the ground that his counsel is not available today due to general strike of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Bar Council. Adjourned to 27.01.2020 for further proceeding/arguments before S.B at Camp Court D.I.Khan.

Reader

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member Camp Court D.I.Khan

27.01.2020

Petitioner in person and Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Muhammad Kamran, ADO (Litigation) for the respondents present. Petitioner requested for adjournment on the ground that his counsel is not available today. Adjourned to 24.02.2020 for further proceeding/arguments before S.B at Camp Court D.I.Khan.

(M. Amin Khan Kundi) Member

Camp Court D.I.Khan

25.06.2019

Attorney alongwith Mr. Mehmood Azam, ADO for the respondents present. Service appeal of the petitioner was remitted to the departmental authority to decide the departmental appeal of the petitioner After going through the record vide judgment dated 28.03.2016, the implementation report has not been furnished so far therefore, respondent-department is strictly directed to submit implementation report otherwise coercive method will be adopted against the respondents. Case to come up for implementation report on 23.09.2019 before S.B at Camp Court D.I.Khan.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member

Camp Court D.I.Khan

23.09.2019

Petitioner in person present. Mr. Farhaj Sikandar learned Deputy District Attorney alongwith Kamran ADEO present and submitted office order dated 14.03.2019 regarding rejection of departmental appeal. Petitioner seeks adjournment on the ground that his counsel is not available due to general strike of the Bar. Adjourn. To come up for further proceedings/arguments on 22.10.2019 before S.B at Camp Court, D.I.Khan.

Member Camp Court, D.I.Khan.

26.11.2018

Petitioner in person present. Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Muhammad Kamran, ADO for the respondents present. Implementation report not submitted. Learned District Attorney requested for further adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for implementation report on 19.12.2018 before S.B at Camp Court D.I.Khan.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member Camp Court D.I.Khan

19.12.2018

As per direction of the worthy Chairman Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, D.I.Khan tour dated 19.12.2018 has been rescheduled and the case is re-fixed for 28.12.2018.

Reader

28.12.2018

Counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Farhaj Sikandar, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Muhammad Kamran, ADO for the respondent present. Implementation report not submitted. Learned District Attorney requested for further adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for implementation report on 26.03.2019 before S.B at Camp Court D.I.Khan.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)

Member

Camp Court D.I. Khan

26.03.2019

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Farhaj Sikandar, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Mehmood, ADO for the respondents present. Implementation report not submitted. Requested for further adjournment. Adjourned. Case to come up for implementation report on 25.06.2019 before the S.B at camp court, D.I.Khan.

Member Camp Court, D.I.Khan

#### FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Execution Petition No. 125 /2018

S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge			
1	2	3			
1	20.04.2018	The Execution Petition of Mr. Muhammad Sharif submitted to-day			
	Section of the sectio	by Mr. Gul Tiaz Marwat Advocate through registered post, may be entered			
		in the relevant Register and put up to the Court for proper order please.			
2-		REGISTRAR This Execution Petition be put up before Touring S. Bench at D.			
<b>2</b> ,-		I.Khan on 13 - 9 - 18.			
· ]		MA			
	· ,	MEMBER			
13	.09.2018	Counsel for the petitioner present. Notice be issued to			
		the respondents for implementation report for 26.11.2018			
-		before S.B at Camp Court D.I.Khan.			
		M. A.			
		(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)			
		* Member Camp Court D.I.Khan			
		Camp Court 5			
-					
•	•				
	·				
n					

# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. 185/2018

CMA No. \_\_\_\_\_\_/2018

Muhammad Sharif

Petitioner

#### **VERSUS**

Govt: of KPK through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Civil Secretariat Peshawar etc.

.....Respondents

#### INDEX

S.No	Description	Annexure	Page No
1	Grounds of Petition		1-4
2	Copy of Judgment / order	A	5-6
3	Copy of Order of Retirement	В	7
4	Vakalatnama		8

Dated: 19 /04/2018

Your Humble Petitioner

Muhammad Sharif
Through Counsel

GUL TIAZ KHAN MARWAT Advocate High Court DIKhan

03009092488

#### BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Execution fetition No. 125/2018

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

CMA No. \_\_\_\_\_/2018

Bases 20/04/2018

Muhammad Sharif Ex-PST S/O Muhammad Ayaz Caste

Kundi R/O Nandoor Tehsil & Distt: Tank 03458843967

Petitioner

#### **VERSUS**

- Govt: of KPK through Secretary Elementary &
   Secondary Education Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
- **2.** The Director Elementary & Secondary Education Govt. of KPK Peshawar.
- **3.** The District Education Officer (M) Tank.

.....Respondents

PETITION CONTAINING THE REQUEST FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF JUDGMENT / ORDER OF THIS LEARNED TRIBUNAL CAMP COURT D.I.KHAN DATED 28.03.2016 PASSED IN SERVICE APPEAL NO. 526/2012 TITLED AS "MUHAMMAD SHARIF V/S GOVT. OF KPK THROUGH SECRETARY EDUCATION AND OTHERS".

#### Respected Sir,

1. That the Petitioner was appointed as PST (PTC) in the year 1984.

Gurz



- 2. That on the basis of FIR No. 84 dated 22.07.2009, the Petitioner was not paid the salary / pay from 01.07.2009 to 15.12.2010 due to alleged abscondence.
- 3. That the Petitioner preferred departmental Appeal to Respondent No. 2 on 23.01.2012 which was not decided within the statutory period of 90 days, therefore the Petitioner then filed Service Appeal No. 526/2012 which came up for hearing before this Learned Tribunal on 28.03.2016 and the case was remitted to the departmental Authority with the direction to decide appeal of the Petitioner/ Appellant strictly on merit. Copy of order dated 23.03.2016 is enclosed as Annexure "A".
- 4. That after decision of this Honourable Tribunal referred to above, the Petitioner anxiously waited for the decision of the departmental Appeal by Respondent No. 2 but inspite of lapse of sufficient long period of two years, no action has so far been taken by the Appellate authority.
- 5. That the Petitioner has been retired from service with effect from 04.12.2017 vide office order No. 11516-19 dated 23.12.2017. Copy of order is enclosed as Annexure "B".
- 6. That the Petitioner having no other remedy seeks the indulgence of this learned tribunal for implementation of its judgment / order under its inherent jurisdiction inter alia on the following grounds.

Quir

#### GROUNDS:-

- A. That it is / was the legal, statutory and moral obligations of departmental Authority to implement the judgment / order of this learned Tribunal but they have failed to do so which is shocking for the petitioner and also amounts contempt of Court.
- B. That the actions/in actions, conduct and modus operandi of Respondents to disobey and violate the judgment/ order is tantamount to abuse and obstruct the process of Court to disobey the order of Court of law/tribunal which is a visible contempt of Court for which he is liable to be proceeded under the law.
- C. That the Petitioner has no other remedy for implementation of judgment of the Honourable Tribunal except to knock the door of this Honourable Tribunal for implementation of judgment.
- J. That the Honourable Tribunal got powers and jurisdiction to take cognizance in the matter and to implement the judgment and order so passed by this Honourable Tribunal.
- K. That counsel for the Petitioner may please be allowed toraise additional ground during the course of arguments.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed on acceptance this Petition, this Honorable Tribunal may very graciously be pleased to issue direction to respondents to implement the judgment/ order of this Honourable Tribunal in letter and sprit so as to meet the ends of justice.

Your humble Petitioner,

Muhammad Sharif

Through Counsel

Dated: 19/04/2018

Gul Tiaz Khan Marwat Advocate High Court

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Muhammad Sharif Ex-PST S/O Muhammad Ayaz Caste Kundi R/O Amma Khel Tehsil & Distt: Tank do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on Oath that the contents of Petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.



DEPONENT

# **Enquiry Report**

The Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar vide Endst: No. 7426-29/ F No.KC/TT/AT/Qari Division Dated Peshawar the 24-08-206 notified Mr. Abdur Rashid Baloch, Principal(BPS 19) GHSS NO.3 D.I.Khan as Inquiry Officer to conduct facts finding enquiry against Muhammad Sharif PST District Tank.

(Annex-A)

#### Purpose of the Enquiry:

Muhammad Sharif PST Tank remained absconder w.e.f 22-07-2009 to 15-12-2010. Whether he is entitled for pay of mentioned period or not.

#### Brief the Complaint:

The FIR No. 84 dated 22-07-2009 was registered against Muhammad Sharif PST GPS Manjhi District Tank. The applicant became absconder from 22-07-2009 to 15-12-2010. He joined his duties properly on 24-12-2010. He considers himself entitle for pay.

#### Enquiry Proceeding:

As per Notification of the competent authority, the Inquiry Officer visited the office of DEO (M) District Tank on 06 & 07-09-2016. The Inquiry Officer decided to serve a Questionnaires to I/e DEO(M) Tank and Sub-Divisional Education Officer Tank in order to find facts from the office record.

Both above-mentioned officers submitted written reply.

(Annex-B)

#### **General Observations/Findings:**

Mr. Musrat Hussain I/c DEO Tank stated in his black and white reply that Muhammad Sharif was PST at GPS Manjhi District Tank. The FIR against said Teacher was registered on 02-07-2009. (Copy Attach)

The absconder/accused appealed for BBA on 11-12-2010. (Copy Attach)

The pre-arrest bail of the accused was confirmed by the Session Judge Tank on 15-12-2010.

#### Fact Finding Enquiry Report- Muhammad Sharif PST District Tank

The Executive District Officer Tank directed the concerned teacher to resume his duties on 23-12-2010. (Copy Attach)

He joined his duties on 24-12-2010 properly.

I/c DEO(M) Tank further added that he is not entitle for pay of said period. As he was remained absconder during the period and absconder period pay is not admissible to him under the rules.

Muhammad Nawaz SDEO (M) District Tank provided reply of Questionnaire.

His remarks were the same as I/c DEO (M), that FIR against Muhammad Sharif PST on 22-07-2009, became absconder on 22-07-2009, applied for BBA on 11-12-2010, the bail confirmed on 15-12-2010 and EDO Tank ordered to resume his duties on 23-12-2010.

He further added that he was absconder from 22-07-2009 to 15-10-2010. Absconder is not entitled for pay under the rules/law. The prayer in his application dated 11-12-2010 is very clear.

#### Conclusion:-

The statement of both officer I/c DEO (M) and SDEO (M) Tank in black and white is very clear that Muhammad Sharif became absconder after chalking out FIR against him on 22-07-2009. The absconder/accused applied for BBA on 11-12-2010, which was confirmed on 15-12-2010. He remained absconder from 22-07-2009 to 15-12-2010. So he is not entitled for pay under the rules.

### Recommendations:

Muhammad Sharif PST remained absconder w.e.f 22-07-2009 to 15-12-2010. EDO District Tank issued order for release his pay w.e.f 16-12-2010, directing him to resume his duties at his school.

So the entire office record is an ample proof of this fact that he is not entitled for pay from 22-07-2009 to 15-10-2010, that is his absconder period.

ABDUR RASHID BALOCH
INQUIRY OFFICE
Principal GHSS NO.3 D.I.Khan.

Page 2 of 2



## OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (MALE) TANK



No	615	/ ADEO Litigation	Dated Tank the	1/102	/2019

The Director,

**Elementary & Secondary Education** 

Khyber Pakhunkhwa, Peshawar

SUBJECT:

TO DECIDE THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF MR. MUHAMMAD SHARIF PST ON THE

DIRECTION OF HONORABLE KHYBER I AKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

Memo:

It is stated that One Muhammad Sharif PST has been performed his duties at GPS Manjhi Tank till 21/07/2009 but on 22/07/2009 FIR No. 84 on Section 302 / 324 / 34 PPC was register against him in Police Station Mullazai District Tank (Copy of FIR is enclosed as Annexure-A). The District Education Officer (Male) Tank has stopped the salary of accused Teacher from 01/07/2009 To 15/12/2010 i-e (ascendance period of accused) and released the pay after his bail was confirmed on 15/12/2010.

The appellant (Muhammad Sharif) presented departmental appeal before honorable Director Elementary & Secondary Education on 23/01/2012 (Copy of departmental appeal is enclosed as Annexure-B). The departmental appeal was not decided within the time and appellant filled Service Appeal No. 526 /2012 before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal. The honorable Service Tribunal announced his Judgement on 28/03/2016 and direct the respondents to decide the departmental appeal of appellant strictly on merit. (Copy of Judgment is enclosed as Annexure-C)

The D.E.O (Male) Tank has referred the Judgment dated 28/03/2016 passed by Khyber Pakhunkhwa Service Tribunal to the worthy Directorate E&SE Peshawar through letter No. 2502 Dated 20/07/2016, in order to decide the departmental appeal of appellant as directed by Service Tribunal.

The worthy Director E&SE initiate Inquision and nominate Mr. Abdur Rasheed Baloch Principal GHSS No.3 D.I.Khan as Inquiry Officer. (Copy of fiquiry Report is enclosed as Annexure-D) ...

The Petitioner filled execution Petition before Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal on 19/04/2018, the representative of the respondents officials submitted the Inquiry report before the honorable Service Tribunal but the honorable judge was not satisfied with the Inquiry report and directed that the departmental appeal of appellant may be decided before 20/03/2019 in the light of the Judgment dated 28/03/2016.

Therefore, the departmental appeal along with relevant record and Judgment dated 28/03/2016 is submitted for the early disposal of departmental appeal of appellant please.

87 Record

**District Education Officer** 

(Male) Tank





És.No.	Dute of	The state of the s	$\frac{p_0}{1}$ , $i$
5.1VU.	lorder !	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge	priMagistrate
<u> </u>	proceedings		The state of the s
	2		19 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
		KUYBER PAKHTUNKUWA SERVICE TRI CAMP COURT DJ KHAN.	BUNAL
:	. •	APPEAL NO.526/2012	
:		(Mohammad Sharif-vs-Govt: of KPK through S Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawa	Secretary, others.)
	•		•
;	28.03.2016	LUDGMENT	· .
:		<u>PIR BAKHSH SHAH, MEMBER:</u>	•
		Counsel for the appellant(Mr. Muhammac	
		Usman, Advocate) and Mr. Farhaj Siknodar, GP for present	r resipondents
. •			
		2. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted the fact that the appellant performed duty w.e.f 0	
,		15.12.2010, no salary was paid to him for the	
	. 8	Contention of the respondent-department is that while	e charged in
-2/2	A-1 1	FIR No. 84 dated 22.07.2009 at Police Station Mulaz	4
25-1-1		District Tank, appellant was absconder and he did	not perform
		duty.	
• ,		3. The departmental appeal of the appellant w	as stated to
·		pave not yet been decided.	
	4	. After hearing pro & contra arguments and pe	rusul of the

Ayest ?

6

absent from duty of the appellant about which no authentic materials is available on record. In the stated situation it is the considering opinion of the Tribunal that since entire record is always with the department who can decide whether appellant was on duty or he was absent from duty, hence we are constrained to remit the ease to the departmental authority with the direction to decide appeal of the appellant strictly on merits after going through also the criminal case(which is not available before us) and to give a conclusive finding and decision on departmental appeal of the appellant. Appeal disposed of accordingly, Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

8.4

Sd

(PIR BAKHSH SHAII)

(ABDUL LATIF)
MEMBER

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 28.03.2016 Att of gunt



# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER(M) DISTRICT TANK.

B

7

# SANCTION:-

Sanction is hereby accorded to the grant of 365 days Leave encashment in heal of LPR  $\nu$  R/O Mr. Muhammad Sharif SPST GPS. No.1 Amakhel District Tank as admissible to him under the rules

He is also allowed to retire from Boyt: Service with effect from U4-12-2017 (A N  $_{\odot}$  pre-matrix  $_{\odot}$  basis on his own request.

Note: -

Necessary entry to this effect should be made in his service book

Indst No. 77578-18 7

Bated 23 / 12 /900.

Copy of the above is forwarded for information 6 necessary action to the

- I. District Accounts Officer, Tank
- 2. SDED (M), with reference to his No.722 dated 06-12-2017
- 3. Head Teacher/Official Concerned School.
- 4. District Manitoring Officer (IMII). Tank

District Education Ufficer

(Male), Tank

Atter



Marwat

Court D.I.Khan (KPK) 992488 / 0345-9853488

CASE WATER PARTIES.	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF			
日子はお思うしていた	ENGLISH STATE	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY.	It water the resemble	
The state of the s		TANK TANK TO SEE	1-0.3211 310 10-03	4,000 27, 25
THE WAY !	The second second	water, and the same state		でいたほうかす
THE RESERVE TO SERVE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY		TO AND PERSONS A	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A PARTY IN
SAME TO THE OWNER OF THE OWNER O	(3) " D (54) " D		50 C A 100.	100
		<b>种以及类似的表现</b>	2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	150 550 770 11
Address			2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	- P 30 Y 37 Y
<b>图形</b> 2015年6月2月1日日本	TO THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER	Fig. 5.16.2	PUBLIC COMMO	
The second second second	Market Prince of the	CAC 2009 31 CENTRAL	A STATE OF A STATE OF	VECTOR
THE REPORT OF THE	CHANGE COTTE AND	Con Orac	374 751 751 751	12. TE 12. TE
R. Date State Stat	The Edit of the Day Servers 1			BLA START
THE PARTY OF THE P		インス・ユラム シメーラウン・マック		723 (38) (38)
	HEAVET REAL FOR	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	文件をジェンデル	11444-114
ESTA RELACATE NOTE	THE PROPERTY OF THE	THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF	13: 1-13: 4: 10	7/2/2/2017
大学 一日	**************************************	プレン・プライン アン・ディー	PARTICION IN THE	0.35 3.17 6.19
	Luc eser	Million Cal Mills Unit 221.	THE REAL PROPERTY.	A
11.2 - TREAS 12. (3-2)		(現代) かんりん(の) ふか		25. A.Y. 11C44
THE PARTY OF THE P	THE PARTY OF THE P	CONTRACTOR OF THE	<b>建工程 共享</b> (1997)	TO THE RES
W. COLUMN THE COLUMN TO SERVE A	* Acon	A. M.	ロンゴリテルシュルスト	of the state of th
ETAL OF THE STATE OF	THE PARTY OF THE P	<b>1714</b> (11) (11) (11)	THE PERSON NAMED IN	123 <b>X 1-3</b> 11
100	take Drive			UM 25 MEH
U - PT 17 (029 192 - 187)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY.		CALLACTER
		COMMUNICATION ASSESSMENT	THE COLUMN THE	Collins
44.6	devices the second	C	CONTRACTOR AND AND	3.000
	ar Telini	17-15 CASH DEC 1839 (189)	<b>对外的不过</b>	*1.3***********************************
The second second	10 Ex 38 B 11 1	Mark Comments	attack bes directo	ALC: PRINCE DE
The state of the s	是"是"的"一个"的"一样"。	17 C 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	AND ALL COMPANY OF	N. 250
Contract Contract	Tage Black		ACCUSE MANCHED IN	110-30112-511-11
And the second of the second	The state of the s		TALL STARTS	NOTALS (E.M.)
The state of the s	APPENDING TO THE OWNER OF	THE PROPERTY OF A PARTY OF	NEW YORK CONTRACTOR	C 20 11
The second second	Mrs. First Edit Brown All	The second	ስም ፈች ፈላቸው ማስተደን	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
1 - 2 - 6 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	THE THE WAS A POST OF	140140141131
	CONCLUSION SOCIETY	100 100 100 100 100	Maria Contract	22.125
	AND SHOULD SHOW THE	TO THE PART OF THE PART OF	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS.	CONTRACTOR 21
L STREET, SA				
DATE OF THE PARTY	MATERIAL PROPERTY	A County of the last of the la		ALC: U.S.
医克里特氏 经收益的	BOXE CHIPAG	1	Mark Comment	9597777577
Laboration of the State of the	次在 <b>与</b> 对数据处理的证明	ordination.	OCCUPANT STATE	Annual Control
Back the way of the			CONTROL STATE	SCHOOL STATE
	THE PERSON NAMED IN		CHILL MAKE THE	C7 5 TH
			3 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	APPARATURE STATE

# **VAKALATNAMA**

IN THE COURT OF Service Tribanal KAK Paghon	USDICK <del>lass</del>
Sharif Ahmad VERSUS Gave of p	DK BTC
Title lefthan for i'mplementation	
1/we Short Ahmael	************
The above named	herby appoint I case to all or
<ol> <li>T o appear, act and plead for me/us in the above mentioned case in this in which the same may be tried or heard or any other proceedings out of therewith.</li> </ol>	court/tribunal our connected
2. To sign and verify and file or withdraw all proceedings, petitions, appeared and applications for compromise or withdrawal, or for the submission to the said case or any other documents, may be deemed necessary or adviby the conduct, prosecution or defense of the said case at all its stages.	arbitration of isable by them.
<ol> <li>To receive payments of and issue receipts for all moneys that may be or be payable to us during the course on conclusion of the proceeding.</li> <li>To do all other acts and things, which may deemed necessary or advisage course of proceedings.</li> <li>AND hereby agree:</li> </ol>	
a. To ratify whatever advocates may do the proceedings.	
b. Not to hold the advocates responsible if the said case be proceed ex-parts in default in consequence of their absence from the court when it is called form.	or booring
the whole or any part of the agreed fee remains un-paid	the said case if
d. That advocates may be permitted to argue any other point at the time of ar	•
In witness whereof I/we have signed this vakalatnama here under the con have been read/explained to me/us which is fully understood by me/us.	tents of which
9/4/2018 Signature of Ex	ecutants (s)





# DIRECTORATE OF ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

No. 562

\_\_/F.No. 14/PST Male Tank. Dated: \_\_\_\_/2019.

To

The District Education Officer (Male) Tank.

Subject: - DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL

I am directed to refer to your letter No. 615 dated 11/02/2019 on the subject cited above and to ask you that the case/appeal in respect of Mulammad Sharif PST GPS Manjhi District Tank has been examined/analyzed by this office. Hence inform the appellant concerned that his appeal has been rejected by the competent authority.

Endst No.

Copy of the above is forwardedito:

1. Muhammad Sharif PST GPS Manjhi District Tank

2. PA to Director E&SE local Office.

3. Master File.

Deputy Director Estab (Male)
Elementary & Secondary Education
Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

1-12/3/2019

Deputy Director Estab (Male)

Az Elementary & Secondary Education

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

12/3/2010