14.10.2020

Counsel for appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Hon'ble Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and more particularly, by the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar high Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Hon'ble High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honored and implemented by the respondent within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

File be consigned to the record room.

Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir Member (E)

ANNOUNCED 13.10.2020

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Form- A

Court of Case No S.No. Date of order Order or other proceedings with signature of judge proceedings 2 1. The appeal presented today by Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak 17/08/2020 1-Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Learned Member for proper order please. REGISTRAR . 2-This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on 14/10/20 α MEMBER(J)

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. ____/2020

VS

ALI RAHMAN

EDUCATION DEPTT:

| S.NO. | DOCUMENTS | ANNEXURE | PAGE |
|-------|---------------------------|----------|---------------|
| 1. | Memo of appeal | | <u>1</u> - 3. |
| 2. | Notification | A | 4. |
| 3. | Pay slips | B & C | 5- 6. |
| 4. | Service Tribunal judgment | D | 7- 8. |
| 5. | Departmental appeal | E | 9. |
| 6. | Vakalat nama | •••••• | 10. |

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE

OFFICE: Flat No.4, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, Near FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road, Peshawar. 0345-9383141

Note:

Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After Admission of the case.

| BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKH | NA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, |
|---|--|
| PESHAWAR | P |
| APPEAL NO. 252 | 2020 Khyber Pathtukhwa Service Tribunaj |
| Mr. Ali Rahman, CT (BPS-15), GHS Nahaqi, District Mohmand. | Diary No. 8931 Dated 1782 |
| ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | ÂPPELLANT |

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. **RESPONDENTS**

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

6

): |}

÷

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previ ously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as CT (BPS-15) quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees Filed to-day

Registrar 19/8(20

- - 4- That some of colleagues of the appellant approached to this august Tribunal in different service appeals which was allowed by this august Tribunal vide its judgment dated 11.11.2019. Copy of the judgment is attached as annexure.....**D**.
 - 5- That appellant also filed Departmental appeal before the appellate for redressal of his grievances in light of the principle of consistency but no reply has been received from the quarter concerned. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
- E- That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months

and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspects and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.

- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLIANT cali THROUGH: NOOR MOHAN MAD KHATTAK MIR ZAMÁN SÁFI **ADVOCATES**

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER ANTONKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT REGULATION WTHICT

NO FOSCISR IN-3-52 Dated Pashawar the: 20-12-2012

| The Secretary toldow, of Knybor | Fachtynigwes |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Finance Debaronom | |
| Parhawar, | |

المعالم بحيانية بالمحافظة المحافظة المحاف יישבאביונבי יבהיניה איזהאידביל איזהאידביל איז The Sectembries Charly Instant Kapper Public And The Sectors and Andrew Andrew Andrew Angles Polymerican All Heads of Angenes Decareman Constantic South Angles AT District Coordington Officerate Newsel Register kineter An Polision Agents / Charld & Cashlons defiges in Kinteer Polisieshings The Required Resident Held Learn Rochaster The Orlenser Papie Service Centreletter, Stylet Politice.com. ित्य यित्रसत्त्वस्त, विस्तन्त्रस्त नित्रेधतर्थे श्विम्ववत्र मेश्राकेष्ट्रदश्चेत्रस्तुः

Servers

Frace

Tō:

REVISION IN THE PATE OFFCONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE EDRING TVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYEER PARHTINKHWE PROVINCE <u> २०७४ इसमाभद्यात ३२६ १२१७</u>

Odar Shi

The Gevenment of Whiter Pathananive has been preseding entrance / iverse me me of Conversione Allowance somestere all the Province Ori Schoold Grad of Amber Petrankings (appling & 2724) is 242-13) well from 1° Secrembles (\$1272 the following route. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in SPS+15 to \$PS-19

| S.NO | 525 | Ê | USTING RATE (PH) | REVISED RATE (PH)- |
|------|------------|-----|------------------|--------------------|
| • | · | | 100-1200 | Rs.1,700/- |
| | - <u>-</u> | | P3.1,500/- | Rg.1.840/- |
| | 11-15 | | £≤.2,600) | 55.2720/- |
| | 16.19 | · . | R.5.5.500 | Rs.5.000/- |

d'ablessance Allowaces at the spore rates and month scales ad lessance incle BRS-17, 18 and 19 offices who have ast over exhibitioned efficial vehicles.

Yours Faithfully,

<u>-</u>

Sacialary France

٢٠٠٠ (٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠٠٠ - ٢٠

Endso NO. MESCHSR. TRAFFICOL

- A Copy is torwarded for informational there
 - الجوجيجة تحمص المعادة المعادية المعادية المعادية
 - ಚಿಕ್ಷೇವರ್ಷಕ್ರ 10 Gargan at Punjat, ಮಿಡ್ರಿಕ್ಷೆಯ ರಿಗಿಷಣಾ, ಗೌಗತ್ರಿ Destailing



| S#:1 | GRALANAI . | | | | •• |
|--|--------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Pers #: 00101961 Name: ALI RAHMAN C.T TEACHER | Buckle: | | NTN: | MIN. OI N.A | er Govt High Sc & N.A & S.F.R |
| CNIC No.2140220959361 | | | GPF # Old # | | ND.833 |
| GPF Interest Applied 16 Active Perm PAYS AND ALLOWANCES: 0001-Basic Pay | lanent | | ora # | • . | 1G0040 _ |
| 1000-House Rent Allowa 1210-Convey Allowance | 2005 | | | | 61,470.00 1,818.00 |
| 1947-Medical Allow 158 | Allow . | | | | 5,000.00 - 1,500.00 |
| 2148-15% Adhoc Relief 1 2199-Adhoc Relief Allor 2211-Adhoc Relief All 2 2224-Adhoc Relief All 2 | - 010e | • | | · . | 2,869.00 1,500.00 |
| Gross Pay and Allower | 2017 105 | | | | 996.00 5,044.00 6,147.00 |
| IT Payable 1 807 7 | - - | | | | 86,344.00 |
| 3661-E.E.F. (Exchange) | 0 | 8,574. | 00 | TAX: (3609) Subrc: | 904.00 3,340.00 |
| 3701-Benevolent Fund(Ex 3705-R. Ben & Death Com | change) p(Exch) | | | "··· | 125.00 250.00 650.00 |
| | | • . | | • | |

GHALANA 7

Total Deductions

5,269.00


81,075.00 B LFP Quota: 1958 MCP Thurst and A

| 43 | Years | 04 | Months | .' 1. 001 | 5.05.1958 Days | MCB BANK 2768-1 | : LIMITED | SHABQADAR | . • | |
|----|-------|----|--------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|-----|--|
| | | | | • | · • · | | | | | |

G115 - Mahqi

CTHS Nahagi DISSH Mahmena

in on is dawill Bibs



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIENT PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar..... **APPELI**

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

.....RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER OF THE VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount Conveyance allowance which have been deducted of Faedto-day previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in

Kegistrar favor of the appellant. 24/16/19

R/SHEWETH: ALTESTON FACTS:

IDER.

ce Tribunal ce eshaw*d*i

وندلان

1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.

> 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance, for employees

Appeal No. 1452/2019 Maskad Hayat VS Govt

11.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal. Service Tribunal in Appeal No: 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High-Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant:

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal..

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance¹ Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court, during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No: 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

Khyler Takhtuakhwa Scrvice Fribunal Peshawar

File be consigned to the record

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 11.11.2019

Chairmá

The Director, (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject: DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS

Respected Sir,

Τo,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as CT (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-16 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R) CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & summer vacations.

Dated: 20.04.2020

Your Obediently ALI RAHMAN/ST GHS Nahaqi, Mohmand



<u>VAKALATNAMA</u>

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

OF 2020

<u>Ali Rahman</u>

(APPELLANT) __(PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)

VERSUS

Education Department

(RESPONDENT) __(DEFENDANT)

I/We Ali Rahman

Do hereby appoint and constitute **NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK**, **Advocate, Peshawar** to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter.

Dated.___/__/2020

e Walen

ACCEPTED NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK

MIR ZAMAN SAFI ADVOCATES

OFFICE: Flat No.4, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, Near FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road, Peshawar. Mobile No.0345-9383141