Counsel for appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Hon'ble Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and more particularly, by the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar high Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Hon'ble High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honored and implemented by the respondent within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

File be consigned to the record room.

Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir Member (E)

ANNOUNCED 13.10.2020

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court oi		
1-1-1		
Case No 4538	/2020	

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	17/08/2020	The appeal presented today by Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Learned Member for proper order please.
2-		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on 14)10120
	रेला, से अप कि रेक्स	MEMBER(J) MEMBER(J) MEMBER(J) MEMBER(J) MEMBER(J)

:050); ÇH,

Spare copies will be submitted. After Admission of the case.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO.	/2020

NIGHAT SEEMA

VS

EDUCATION DEPTT:

INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal	***************************************	1- 3.
2.	Notification	Α	4.
3.	Pay slips	B & C	5- 6.
4.	Service Tribunal judgment	D	7- 8.
5.	Departmental appeal	E	9.
6.	Vakalat nama		10.

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE

OFFICE: Flat No.4, 2nd Floor,
Juma Khan Plaza,
Near FATA Secretariat,
Warsak Road, Peshawar.
0345-9383141

Note: Sir,

75.4

Spare copies will be submitted After Admission of the case.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. _____/2020 Service Tribunal

Miss. Nighat Seema, PST (BPS-12), GGPS Rawal Kor, District Mohmand. Dated 17. 8. 2020

.APPELLANT

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

 RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as PST (BPS-12) quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

Kesta 17/8/20

- 5- That appellant also filed Departmental appeal before the appellate for redressal of his grievances in light of the principle of consistency but no reply has been received from the quarter concerned. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
- E- That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months

and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspects and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.

- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

THROUGH:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK

C

MIR ZAMAN SAFI ADVOCATES

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20.12.2012

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- All administrative Secretaries to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
- The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- The Secretary to Governor, Knyber Pakhumkhwa
- 4 The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 8. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
 - 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject: REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA. PROVINCIAL

Dear Sir 👵

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance/revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govti of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain un-


2. 5-10	Rs. 1,500/-	Revised Rate (PM). Rs. 1,700/- Rs. 1,840/-
4. 16-19	Rs. 2,000/- Rs. 5,000/-	Rs. 2,720/- Rs. 5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary:Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December, 2012





GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PARTIUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION: WITH GY-

NO FOSOISR ING-5272212 Dated Rashawar they to it zuzania

The Shartery to Gove, of Knybol Pachagares, ಗಣಕಾಯ **ರಕ್ಷುಕರಾ**ಣಕ್ಕ <u>P±55</u>;23425;

Ŧċ.

- ÀU Às मना क्षेत्रवर्षिण्य विकासके स्था का विकास को भीतर केन्यू विकासकार स्थापित
- The Garlot Hemati, Board of Reserve Parties, Bakking then
- الم المعالمة المراجعة المراجعة المعادية المعادية المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة
- ্রিত উল্লেখনে । এ এই প্রাণ্ডিক (Krype) ইয়ার প্রাণ্ডিক। বিজ্ঞানিক বিশ্বাসনার বিজ্ঞানী বিজ্ঞানী বিশ্বাসনার বিশ্বাসনার বিশ্বাসনার বিশ্বাসনার বিশ্বাসনার বিশ্বাসনার বিশ্ব
 - ें हैं। में कादर तो अञ्चलक Decement है के महिला से के महिला है हैं है
 - AT District Coordination Officients States (Psychological
- ইঃ Political Agents / District is Samicas dubjes প্রাথমেন্ট্রন স্বরীক্রান্তর্ভার
 - ীৰে নিৰ্দ্যালয়ে, নিৰ্মান্দেশ স্কুল-ভি<mark>ৰ্মা, ইত্</mark>ৰাৰখুল
 - The Onlyther Fools Gerrie Certificity, Right Pointuninus.
 - ිත්ද ධර්යන්ත්මේ, ගින්න්නේ මිනිවෙන්ම විනියන් සින්න්වින්මේශ්ම,

٠<u>٠</u>٠٠*٥, ٢٠٠*٠

REVISION" IN THE PATE OF CONVEYANCE ACCOMMINGERED WHITE CIVIL EMPLOYESS OF THE KHYESER PERHITINKHWEY PROVINCIAL <u> ७०४ इसमाभद्यात छेट्टा नाव</u>

Dear Shi

The Government of Khyber Polinarianie has been present to gardine १८७३० तथ को व विकास्त्रकेट भीवस्कार स्वाध्यविक श्रीका नलकार वर्षा प्रश्निक ing all han year grown (now all the first to the first han the general first fight a नर्जर विविध्योतिक व्यक्तिः निर्वामस्यकः, तीव conveyance शिक्षाद्वनिक (or employees in SPEALS के GASASS) uali bempeur · EXCLAGGRAL .

S.NO	525	ECISTING RATE (PH)	REVISED RATE (PH)
	1-4	\$5.3,\$00/-	Rs. 1,7.00/-
-].	5-10	95.1,500/-	Rs.1,840/-
	11-15	₹\$.2,600°-	53.2,720/-
	16:19	RS. 5,000%	RS.5,000%

कें हें हमें इस है हैं है है है है हमें कुछ है कि अधिक अधिक के हैं के अधिक अधिक कि है है है है है है है है है ਮਿਲਮੂਰ ਤੋਵਿਲੇਜ਼ ਸ਼ਾਂ, ਸ਼ੁਲੂ ਰਾਮਤ ਸੁੱਤੇ ਰਾਜ਼ਿਤਰਤ ਅਮਰ ਸ਼ੁਰੂਆਰ ਕਰ ਨੇਰਵਨ ਤਰ**ਮੰਗਰਿਕਤਰ ਰ**ਹਿੰਦੀ**ਤੇ ਅਤੇਜ਼ਿਤਿ**ਤ

Yours Fashfully,

(Sahrio saga Sagad Ahmadi ভিনেম্ভান বিভান

Ended NO. POUSOUSTAINASTER


Dalei Cananarthe De Bereinher, Wife

A Copy is ferwarded for informational their

- A samuringers Chertebul Harrister Halitaturisherik, Physicist (
- ইউন্নেত্রতিষ্ঠ 10 Gegeneral d Parist, মিন্ট্রেটিট্রিট্রেস্কৃত্রক দিশগুরুষ Destinated

२४ २ प्राप्तरकारका । जिल्ला नेपालकारका केटर्व क्षीता विकास है संस्थानका स्था

BÜNK SKITUD Zehraz (centren





lage:

OOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN ACCOUNTANT CONTAIL KNYCHT PAKHTUMONTA

Buckle:

PAYILLENT ADVICE

HEUVUS

31,060.00

2 051.00

1 500.00

11 000, 00

675.60

454.00

314.60

36 106,00

3 10%, 00

46, 412, 00

PAY ROLL SYSTEM

NIGHAT SEEMS

P Sec: 001 Month: July 2019
HEDOUS -Agency Education Officer (
Him. Of K.A. & N.A. & S.F.R

N7 4: 67 - 1

3182

PRINARY SCHOOL TEACHER
OFF Degreest Applied

Pers #: 00102377

DOPTT COSE

FAYS AND ALLOWANCES:
DO01-Basic Fay
1000-House Rent Allowance
1300-Hedical Allowance
1528-Jeattractive Area Allow
2148-152 Addoc Relief All-2012

1528-Jnattractive Area Allow 2148-15% Adhor Relief All-2013 2199-Adhor Relief Allow 010% 2211-Adhor Relief All 2016 10% 2214-Adhor Relief All 2017 10%

Cross Pag and Allowances

3Pf Balance 415,514.00
3661-E.E.F (Exchange)
3701-Senevolent Fund(Exchange)
3705-R. Ber & Death Comp(Exch)

ATTESTED

Subra:

2,400.00 100.00 600.00 600.00

lotal Deductions

NET ASSUMT HAYARA m3, 700, 00

QUALFYING STRVICE
YES 1003 02.03.1976
24 Years 08 Months 026 Days

LFP Quota: NATIONAL BANK OF PAKSHABQADAR

5493~8

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRUSHINA PESHAWAR 1

APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar.....

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Knyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4:0F THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE DEPARTMENTAL STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amounts Conveyance allowance which have been deducted Filedto-daypreviously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH:

ATTESTON FACTS:

ice Tribunal

7-11/18/16

-1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.

> 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

11.11.7619

Affeal No. 1452/2019 Markad Hayat vs Gort

Counsel for the appellant present.



Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter. vacations was held to be within his entitlement, and the deduction already. made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 inthe case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of apperlant i stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Pesnamar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal...

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the cefinition of "Pay" as Well as "Salary", but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented defore the High Court, during the proceedings.

In wew or the endive hoted facts and discumstances and in order to protect the appallant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formicable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of withtoservation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed n Whit Petitions including W.P., No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and molemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, nowever, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

The be consigned to the record.

'ertified in he ture cop;

To,

The Director, (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.



Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER &

SUMMER VACATIONS

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as PST (BPS-12) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-16 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance, Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R) CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12,2018. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & summer vacations.

Dated: 20.04.2020

Your Obediently

NIGHAT SEEMA PST GGPS Rawal, Mohmand

ATTESTED

VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

	OF 2020
Nighat Seema	(APPELLANT)(PLAINTIFF)
	(PETITIONER)
<u>V</u> E	<u>ERSUS</u>
Education Department	(RESPONDENT)(DEFENDANT)
I/We_Nighat Seema	
Advocate, Peshawar to appear, refer to arbitration for me/us as moted matter, without any liability fengage/appoint any other Advocate to depart to the said Advocate to	te NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above for his default and with the authority to cate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we posit, withdraw and receive on my/our ble or deposited on my/our account in
Dated/2020	CLIENT()
	CLIENT()

ADVOCATES

OFFICE:

Flat No.4, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, Near FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road, Peshawar. Mobile No.0345-9383141