23.10.2019

Learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Usman Ghani learned District Attorney present.

Arguments heard. File perused.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that this Tribunal accepted the Service Appeal No.1328/2014 filed by the petitioner vide common judgment dated 19.09.2017, in Service Appeal No.1329/2004, with all back benefits, however vide order dated 30.11.2017, respondent department implemented the judgment to the extent of reinstatement of the petitioner and without allowing back benefits. Learned counsel for the petitioner stressed that under the common judgment passed in Service Appeal No.1329/2014, the petitioner is also entitled to the back benefits.

On the other hand, learned District Attorney argued that no back benefits were granted to the petitioner rather the issue of back benefits was left to be decided in the de-novo proceedings; that consequent upon the de-novo proceedings the petitioner was reinstated with immediate effect vide order dated 30.11.2017.

Perusal of common judgment in question dated 19.09.2017 would show that, the petitioner was reinstated in service leaving the respondent department at liberty to conduct fresh inquiry and the issue of back benefits was made subject to the outcome of de-novo proceedings.

Admittedly the petitioner has been reinstated in service. Learned counsel for the petitioner remained unable to demonstrate that back benefits were also allowed to the petitioner vide common judgment dated 19.09.2017 in Service Appeal No.1329/2014.

In view of above, the present execution petition is dismissed. No order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal) Member Camp Court, A/Abad

ANNOUNCED. 23.10.2019 19.06.2019

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Muhammad Bilal, DDA alongwith Dr. Minhaj Ul Haq, DHO, Battagram (respondent no.3), Mr. Muhammad Naeem, Assistant Director and Mr. Jafar Ali, Assistant for respondents present.

Representative of the respondents produced implementation report, as asked for vide order sheet dated 16.04.20196 alongwith a copy of de-novo enquiry report conducted against the petitioner. Both the documents are placed on record. Case to come up for further proceedings on 20.08.2019 before S.B at camp court Abbottabad.

> (Ahmad Hassan) Member Camp Court A/Abad

ŕ-!

20.08.2019

Learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhammad Bilal learned Deputy District Attorney present. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks adjournment. Adjourn. To come up for further proceedings on 23.10.2019 before S.B at Camp Court, Abbottabad.

Member

Camp Court A/Abad

18.02.2019

Counsel for the petitioner present. M/S Mr. Amjid Ali, Assistant and Jaffar Shah, Assistant alongwith Mr. Muhammad Bilal Khan, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present and requested for adjournment. Adjourned to 16.04.2019 for further proceedings before S.B at Camp Court Abbottabad.

> (Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member Camp Court Abbottabad

16.04.2019

Counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhammad Bilal, DDA alongwith Mr. Jafar Ali, Assistant for respondents present. Implementation report not submitted. Last opportunity is granted to the respondents for submission of implementation report, failing which coercive measures in the shape of attachment of salary and civil imprisonment would be adopted against them. Case to come up for further proceedings on 19.06.2019 before SB at camp court Abbottabad.

> (Ahmad Hassan) Member Camp Court A/Abad

19.09.2018

¥.4.

Since 21st September, 2018 has been declared as public holiday on account of Moharram, therefore, case is adjourned to 15.11.2018 for implementation report before the S.B at camp court, Abbottabad.

> Chairman Camp court, A/Abad

15.11.2018

Counsel for the appellant and Tauseef Ullah SO for the respondents present. Due to retirement of the Hob'ble Chairman the Service Tribunal is incomplete. Tour to Camp Court Abbottabad has been cancelled. To come up for the same on 15.01.2019 at camp court Abbottabad.

A/Abad

15.01.2019

Petitioner with counsel and Mr. Muhammad Bilal learned Deputy District Attorney alongwith Amjid Ali Assistant present. Implementation report not submitted. Representative of respondent department requested for time to furnish implementation report. Granted. To come up for further proceedings/implementation report 18.02.2019 before S.B at camp court Abbottabad.

> Member Camp Court Abbottabad

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of

Execution Petition No. _174/2018

Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate S.No. Date of order proceedings 2 1 3 07.06.2018 The execution petition submitted by Mr. Afsar Muhammad 1 through Hamayun Khan Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please. REGISTRAR This execution petition be put before Touring S. 13-06-2018 2-Bench at A.Abad on <u>18-07-2018</u> CHAIRMAN 18.07.2018 Mr. Hamayun Khan, Advocate on behalf of the petitioner present. Notices be issued to the respondents. To dome up for implementation report on 20.09.2018 before S.B at damp court, Abbottabad. Chairman Camp Court, A/Abad

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. <u>177</u>/2018

Muhammad Shakeel son of Muhammad Sabir, Presently EPI Technician BHU Sakargah Tehsil Allai District Battagram.

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Health Peshawar & others.

...RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION

INDEX

S. #≁-	Description	Page #	Annexures
1.	Application	1 to 2	
2.	Copy of judgment	3-7	"A"
3.	Copy of order dated 16/11/2017	8-9	"B"
4.	Copy of appeal/ representation	10	"C"

... PETITIONER

Through

Dated: 25-5 /2018

(HAMAYÜN KHAN)

Advocate High Court, Abbottabad Office No. 15, New Lawyers Plaza, Kutchery Compound Abbottabad Cell No. 0312-0861681

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. <u>177</u>/2018

Muhammad Shakeel son of Muhammad Sabir, Presently EPI Technician BHU Sakargah Tehsil Allai District Battagram.

...PETITIONER Khyber Pakhtukhwa Şervice Tribunal

Diary No.

31,

ิ กร

VERSUS

1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Health Peshawar.

2. Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

3. District Health Officer Battagram.

...RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF JUDGMENT DATED 19/09/2017 PASSED BY THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN APPEAL NO. 1328/2014 TITLED "MUHAMMAD SHAKEEL V/S DHO & OTHERS.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

1.

That petitioner filed service appeal No. 1328/2014 against impugned order passed by respondent No 3.

2. That on 19/09/2017 after hearing of arguments this Honourable tribunal accepted appeal of the petitioner alongwith all back benefits. Copy of judgment is attached as annexure "A". 4.

That on 30/11/2017 respondent No. 3 partially implemented judgment to the extent of reinstatement and refused back benefits. Copy of order is annexed as Annexure "B".

That after laps of 90 days respondents not fully implemented judgment of this Honourable Tribunal and similarly they have not filed any appeal before August Supreme Court of Pakistan against the judgment of this Honourable Tribunal.

5. That petitioner filed application before respondent No. 2 for full implementation of judgment instead of complying with the direction of this Honourable Tribunal, but till date not comply with the direction of this Honourable Tribunal. Copy of application is annexed as Annexure "C".

That other point would be raised at the time of arguments with kind permission of this Honourable Tribunal.

It is therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application respondents be kindly be directed forth with comply with the direction of this Honourable Tribunal contained in judgment dated 19/09/2017 in it true letter and spirit

Dated: 25/2018

Through

YUN KHAN)

Advocate High Court, Abbottabad

6.



BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PURETUNKER

PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No 1328 2014

Muhammad Shakeel S/O Muhammad Sabir R/O Village Dadar Tehsil and District Mansehra......(Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

- 1. District Health Officer, Battagram
- 2. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Health, Peshawar
- 3. Director General Health Services, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar
- 4. Secretary to Government, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Finance Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar
- 5. District Accounts Officer, Battagram

6. Dr. Shehzad Ali Khan , The Ex-DHO, Battagram

- 7. Dr. Aqeel Bangash, The Ex-DHO, Battagram
- 8 Muhammad Jamil, Assistant Director (Personnel-II), Directorate General, Health Services, Peshawar

Dr. Niaz Muhammad, SMO, Civil Hospital, Battal, Battagram (9.)

Deputy Commissioner, Battagram......(Respondents)

ko-subaitted to-lay

01

114

10.

Nede

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Bilal, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Dr. Muhammad Irshad, Dr. Ashfaque, Amjad Ali, Assistant and Yar Gul, Senior Clerk for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused.

This appeal is also accepted as per our detailed judgment of today in connected service appeal No.1329/2014, entitled "Afsar Muhammad Khan Vs. District Health Officer, Batagram and others". Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

Self Chainman, court.comp AbboHabad Sel Mehmber

Announced 19-9-17

19.09.2017

EXAMINER Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Camp Court, Abboitabad

Certified to be true copy

Date of Presentation of inglication ______ Nurther of Words _____ & 13 Fee____6 -00 6-00-20-9-12 Daw of Dorry of Copy 20-

Allecter

CHARGE & ARRIVIAL REPORT

I Mr. <u>Muhammad shakeel</u> EPI technician took over charge of my duty at BHU <u>Sakargah</u> today on 30-11-2017 vide DHO office oder No: 9245-50 dated 30-11-2017.

In charge

BHU Sakargah

Copy to:

i. Chairman honorable service tribunal camp coult Abbottabad.

Wester

- ii. District Account officer Battagram.
- iii. DHO Battagram.
 - iv. Office file .

In charge BHU Sakargah

- Migerly 4-012-201 Alan

حمد من بين جرب الميلية سرومز صوبه خيبر پختو خواه lO ایل برائے سابقہ تمام مراعات / تنخواہیں Back Benefits عنوان: ا پیل بر خلاف تم محررہ DHO / 11/01 ڈسٹر کٹ ہیلتھ آفسیر بھگرام جسکی روسے اپیلانٹ کو DHO بھگرام نے نو کر کی پر بحال تو کیا لیکن سابقه تمام مراعات / تنخوا موں سے محروم رکھا۔ جو که خلاف قانون وخلاف واقعات ہے۔ اور حقوق اپیلانٹ پر باطل وکالعدم ہے۔ استدعام یکه ایلانت کو تمام سابقه مر اعات / تنخوا ہوں Back Benefits سمیت بحال کی جادے۔ جناب عالى! ابيل ذيل عرض ہے۔ یہ کہ اپلانٹ کی تعیناتی بحیثیت PHC Technician 2013 -09-01 کوہوئی (نقل لف ہے)۔ -1 یہ کے ابیلانٹ نے اس کے بعد با قاعدگی سے اپنے فرائض سرانجام دیناشر دی گئے۔ -2 یہ کہ 2014/07/03 تک اپلانٹ کے خلاف کوئی Complaint نہیں تھی۔ اور اپلانٹ نے 14 / 02/07/07 تک اپنے فرائض -3 مکمل ذمه داری ہے سرانجام دینارہا۔ میر که 2014/06/20 کوDHO بفکر ام نے اپلانٹ کو شوکاز نوٹس جاری کیا اور نوٹس میں اپلانٹ پر مختلف الزامات لگائے گئے۔ _4 بيركدا بيلانت في 2014 / 10 / 19 كوشوكاز كاجواب جمع كيا--5 بیر کہ 2014/06/26 کو اپلانٹ روبر و DHO آفس بھگر ام میں حاضر ہوا۔ مگر ڈسٹر کٹ ہیلتھ آفیسر بھگر ام نے بغیر صفائی کے اور --6 ا پیلانٹ کو موقع فراہم کئے بغیر حاضر ی لگا کر ا پیلانٹ کو فارغ کر دیا۔ یہ کہ 2014/07/08 کو DHO بلگرام نے اپلانٹ کو غیر قانونی خود ساختہ آرڈر کے ذریعے بر طرف کیا (نقل لف ہے)۔ -7 یہ کہ اپلانٹ نے مجبور ہو کر DHO بلگرام کے آرڈر کیخلاف سروسٹر بیونل میں اپیل دائر کیا۔ -8 یہ کہ تین سال بعد 2017/09/20 کوعد الت نے فیصلہ اپیلانٹ کی حق میں کردیا۔ جس پر DHO بھگر ام نے عمل کرتے ہوئے -9

ا پیلانٹ کو نو کری پر بحال تو کر دیالیکن سابقہ تمام مراعات ، تنخوا ہوں اور Back Benefits سے محروم رکھا۔ یہ سیر کہ اپیلانٹ ایک غریب گھرانے سے تعلق رکھتا ہے اور پیچھلے چار سالوں سے مختلف د فاتر اور عد التوں میں دربدر کے ٹھو کریں کھا تار ہا ہے۔ اور مالی لحاظ سے بھی اپیلانٹ کو کافی نقصان پہنچا ہے۔ لہٰذا آپ سے استدعا ہیکہ اپیلانٹ کو سابقہ تمام مراعات ، تنواہیں اور Back Benefits Benefits دیے جائیں۔

35-1-18

محمد شكيل ولد محمه صابر ڈاک خانہ ڈاڈر تخصیل وضلع مان

Allerial

وكالت نامه كورث فيس Bofore the with Same tribural alle M-Shokeel 1th your عنوان: Peti tisher منجانب: execution Petition نوعيت مقدمه: باعث تحريآ نكه ATD مقدمه مندرجه من ابن طرف ب واسط وروى وجواب دبى كل كاردائى متعلقه آل مقام Hanaupun Jahen Admoert Kigh Cont كودكل مقرركر كاقراركرتا بول كدصاحب موصوف كومقدمه ككلكارداني كاكال انقيار بوكانيز وكمل مساحب موصوف كوكرف دامنى نامددتقرر فالث وفيعلد يرطف ودسين اقبال دموئ أدربعدد حد وبكر وكرك كراسة اجراء دصولى چيك رويد ومرضى دموى كمتعدين اوراس برد يخط كرف كاافتياد موكا ادر بصورت مرورت مقدمه فدكور کی ل ایک جزوی کاردائی کے لئے کسی اوروکل با عکارصاحب کانونی کواپینے جمراہ اپنی بجائے تقرر کا اختیار مجى موكا ادرماحب مقرر شده كومجى وعى ادروي على القتيارات مول مح ادراس كا ساخت يرداخت بخدكوم هورو تول ہوکا۔دوران مقدمہ جوخر چہ وہر جاندالتوائے مقدمہ کے سب ہوگا اس کے ستحق وکس صاحب ہول کے۔ نز بقايارةم وصول كرف كالجى القيار بوكا - اكركونى يشى مقام دوره يربو ياحد - باجر بوتو وكمل صاحب موصوف یا بند ہوں کے کہ دیروی مقدمہ تدکورہ کریں اور اگر عکار مقرر کردہ ش کوئی جرو جایا ہوتو وکیل صاحب موصوف مقدمد کی بروی کے پابندند ہوں کے ۔ نیز درخواست بمراد استجارت تائش بسیند مفلس کے دائر کرنے اور اس کی درد کابجی ماحب موسوف کوانت اربوگا۔ لهذادكالت نامة تحرير كردياتا كمسندرب-M Shaleel May 201 Augul بمقام: repted Tan

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUN CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

Service Appeal No. 1329/2014

Date of Institution... 23.10.2014

Date of decision... 19.09.2017

Afsar Muhammad Khan S/O Muhammad Khan R\O Village Gidri Khair Abad, Tehsil & District Battagram

Versus

1. District Health Officer, Battagram and 9 others

MR. ABDUL AZIZ TANOLI, Advocate MR. MUHAMMAD BILAL Deputy District Attorney

MR. NIAZ MUHAMMAD KHAN, MR. MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI,

JUDGMENT

ACTS

NIAZ MUHAMMAD KHAN, CHAIRMAN: - This judgment shall dispose of the instant service appeal as well as service appeals No. 1325/2014 Muhammad Ayaz, No. 1327/2014 Mst. Mumtaz, No. 1328/2014 Muhammad Shakeel and No. 1330/2014 Niaz Muhammad as in all the appeals common questions of law and facts are involved.

2. Arguments of the learned counsel for the parties heard and record

Allester

All the five appellants were served with show cause notice on 10.06.2014 under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Disciplinary) Rule, 2011. All the appellants submitted replies to the show cause notice and thereafter the impugned order dated 03.07.2014 was passed by the competent authority, imposing major penalty

For appellant.

(Appellant)

(Respondents)

CHAIRMAN MEMBER of removal from service. Against this impugned order, the appellants filed departmental appeals on 5.07.2014 which were not responded to and thereafter the present appeals on 23.10.2014.

ARGUMENTS

4. The learned counsel for the appellant argued that no specific charge has been leveled in the show cause notice. That the charge is only in general terms regarding violation of the rules/slandered criteria of the Government in the appointment. That in the show cause notice the regular enquiry has not been dispensed with nor any enquiry was conducted. That no charge sheet and statement of allegations were served on the appellants as there was no enquiry at all. That it is not made known to the appellants that under which specific charge show cause notice has been served upon them. That only in the reply of the respondents in present appeals in ground-C, it has been mentioned that the appellants were terminated on the ground of fake diploma. That even in the impugned order no specific detail of proof of any charge has been mentioned. That in the light of such casual approach of the authority, the penalties imposed on the appellants, cannot be sustained.

On the other hand, the learned Deputy District Attorney, argued that the then 5. DHO Batagram (Dr. Ageel Bangash) had made certain appointments in violation of law and rules. That an enquiry was conducted against that DHO and in that enquiry certain irregularities pointed by the committee. were pin enquiry That those illegalities/irregularities were made the basis of the show cause notices against the appellants. He further argued that there is no illegality in the disciplinary proceedings.

TCONCLUSION.

The very show cause notice is in general term giving no specific detail of the charge/charges against the appellants. In absence of any specific charge/charges no proceedings could be initiated against the appellants. The authority has also not mentioned that why a regular enquiry was not being conducted. So much so that no order

Allertix

A STATE AD STATE AD

of dispensing with the regular enquiry was made by the authority much less the reasons for dispensing with the enquiry. The appellants have approached the departmental authority against the impugned order and have approached this Tribunal well within time. It is clear from the above facts and circumstances' that the whole proceedings are illegal and cannot be sustained in the eyes of law. If any specific charge like fake diploma was leveled against the appellants, then that should have been mentioned in the show cause notice, at least. Non mentioning of this charge or any other charge, has deprived the appellants from defending themselves in proper way,

3

7. In view of the above, the appeals are accepted and the appellants are reinstated in service. However, the department is at liberty to conduct fresh enquiry by following the concerned law and rules and if the department decides that fresh proceedings should be initiated then those should be completed within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of this judgment. The issue of back benefits of the appellants shall be subject to the final outcome of denovo proceedings, if any. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

Sd/2 Near Muhammad Khan, Chairman camp count, Abbottabad 5d/ Michammad Amin Khan Kundi, Member

Announced 19-09-17

Certified to be true copy Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal

lede

Camp Court, Abbottabad Date of Presentation of Application /9 mber of Words Corrying Fee. Suľo te of Defense

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL AT CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

EXECUTION PETITIONS NO. 174 TO 181 OF 2018 IN SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1322 TO 1330 OF 2014

Versus

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others......Respondents

Respectfully Sheweth:

PARAWISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3

Preliminary Objections:-

- 1. That the Appellants have got neither cause of action nor locus standi to file the instant Petitions.
- 2. That the Appellants have filed the instant Petitions just to pressurize the respondents.
- 3. That the instant Petitions are against the prevailing Law and Rules.
- 4. That the Petitions are not maintainable in the present form and also in the present circumstances of the issue.
- 5. That the Appellants have filed the instant Petitions with mala-fide intention hence liable to be dismissed.
- 6. That the Appellants have not come to the Tribunal with clean hands.
- 7. That the Petitions are time barred.
- 8. That the Honorable Tribunal has no Jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the matter.

ON FACTS:

Para No. 1 pertains to record, needs no comments.
Para No. 2 is correct up to the acceptones of arms.

Para No. 2 is correct upto the acceptance of appeal, rest of the para regarding back benefits is wrong, incorrect, and misleading. The Honorable Tribunal in his Judgment about the issue of back benefits, directed the department to affix this issue subject to the final outcome of De-novo Enquiry.

3.

Para No. 3 is wrong, incorrect and misleading, hence denied. The respondents implemented the Judgment of this Honorable Court in letter and spirit. The concluding para of the Judgment is reproduce as under:

"The Appeals are accepted and the Appellants are reinstated in service. However, the department is at liberty to conduct fresh enquiry by following the concerned law and rules and if the department

Page 1 of 2

decides that fresh proceedings should be initiated then those should be completed within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of this judgment. The issue of back benefits of the Appellants shall be subject to the final outcome of denovo proceedings, if any. Parties are left to bear their own costs".

From the above concluding para, it is crystal clear that the issue of back benefits was subjected to the final outcome of De-novo Proceeding and after de-novo Enquiry and in the recommendation of the said enquiry, the Appellants were reinstated/posted/adjusted into services with immediate effect, which reflects from the order dated 22/11/2017 already annexed as Annex-C in Appeal of the Appellant.

- Para No. 4 is wrong & incorrect. Detailed reply has already been furnished in the preceding paras.
- Para No. 5 is misleading and concocted, hence denied. The respondents implemented the Judgment of this Honorable Court in letter and spirit, detailed reply has already been furnished in preceding para.
- 6. Para No. 6 is Legal, needs no comments.

PRAYER:

4.

- 5.

It is therefore humbly prayed that on acceptance of the reply, the instant Petitions may very graciously be dismissed with cost.

Secretary Health, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. **Respondent No. 01**

adal

Director General Health Services, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. **Respondent No. 02**

Speel Stus

District Health Officer Battagram. **Respondent No. 03**

Page² of 2

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT HEALTH OFFICER

Battagram (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa) • Phone & Fax: # (0997) 310507

No.____/Dated <u>16 / 11 /2017</u>.

OFFICE ORDER.

In the light of the court judgment vide Honorable Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Camp Court Abbott Abad decision dated 19/09/2017, the fresh enquiry committee has been constituted to enquire the whole process of first appointment of 03 officials name mentioned in the judgment:-

- 1. Dr. Adil Nawaz Medical Officer RHC Thakot
- 2. Dr. Muhaminad Saleem Coordinator NP

Member Member Member

3. Mr. Amin ul Haq Drug inspector

The enquiry committee will examine the academic and professional documents of above noted officials and furnish the report along with their recommendation with immediate effect, but not later than 13th December 2017 to proceed further in the matter.

District Health Officer, Battagram.

/ /2017,

9146-49 No _____

Copy forwarded to the:-

- 1. Director General Health Services KPK Peshawar for information please
- 2. Chairman Honorable Service Tribunal Camp Court Abbott Abad Bench for information please.
- 3. Dr. Adil Nawaz Incharge Medical Officer RHC Thakot for information
- 4. Dr. Muhammad Saleem Coordinator NP Program for information
- 5. Mr. Amin ul Haq Drug Inspector DHO Office Battagram for information

Battagram

Dated

The District Health Officer Battagram

Dated 20,11,2017

Subject:-

Τo,

DENOVO ENQUIKY REPORT

Reference your letter No 9146-49 Dated 16.11.2017 on the subject. An inquiry committee was constituted by your good self on the following officer to conduct an the innuity of the court case decided in favour of the appellants Mr. Afsar Muhammad khan, Ayaz Muhammad, Muhammad Shakeel, Niaz Muhammad and Mst: Mumtuz Begum 6PI Tech BPS-13 and subinit the factual position along with recommendation to implement the court decision in later & spirit.

- L. Or. Muhammad Saleem BPS-18 Coordinator LHW Program
- 2. Dr. Add Nawar Medical Officer 8PS-17 Incharge RHC Thakor
- 3. Mr. Amin ul Hag Provincial Drug Inspector BPS-17

SCOPE OF INQUIRY

- 1. Implementation of the court decision Dated 19.09.2017
- 2. Re-Instatement of the Paramedics staff mentioned in the court decision
- 3. Comments of the DGHS vide his letter No 6594-97 Dated 12,10,2017 the following officials are hereby re-instated
 - Mr. Afsar Muhammad Khan
 - Ayaz Muhammad
 - Muhammad Shakeel
 - Nlaz Muhammad
 - Msc: Mumtaz Begum

CONCLUSION

- The court decide and clear the point that at shat time of appointment the appellants are not a government servant and they cannot come under the preview of misconduct.
- 2. The Honorable court decide we are left with no option but to accept the present appeal set avide the impligned order 8373-76 and re-instate the appellants in service by placing the responded at liberty to proceed against the appellants in accordance with law and subject to affording them opportunity of hearing, which process shall be conducted and concluded with a period of 03 Months from the date of receipt of this judgment.

