01.06.2021

None present on behalf of the appellant. Addl. AG alongwith Abbas Khan, Senior Clerk for the respondents present.

On previous date, the learned counsel for the petitioner requested for adjournment in order to seek fresh instructions from the petitioner who was not in attendance. Today, neither the petitioner nor his counsel is available. It seems that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the execution proceedings. Therefore, the instant execution petition is filed. File be consigned to the record room.

Chairman

07.12.2020 Nemo for petitioner.

> Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

> Lawyers are on general strike, therefore, case is adjourned to 27.01.2021 for arguments, before S.B.

> > (Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

27.01.2021

Petitioner has not forth come in person nor anyone else representing him is in attendance despite having been called time and again and the last call was made at 02:55 P.M. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General, for the respondents is present.

Petitioner and his respective counsel have to be noticed for 29.03.2021. File to come up for further proceedings before S.B.

> (MUHAMMAD JAMAL KHAN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

29.03.2021

Counsel for the petitioner and Addl. AG alongwith Muhammad Abbas Khan, Senior Clerk for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the petitioner requested for adjournment in order to seek fresh instructions from the petitioner who is not in attendance today. To come up for further proceedings on 01.06.2021 before the S.B.

> (Atiq-ur-Rehman Wazir) Member(E)

24.07.2020

Learned counsel for the appellant has not forth come and it was reported that he is not feeling well. Request for formal adjournment. Adjourned to 10.09.2020 again by way of last chance.

(MUHAMMAD JAMAL KHAN) MEMBER

10.09.2020

Counsel for the petitioner and Addl. AG for the respondents present.

Learned counsel requests for further time to prepare the brief. Adjourned to 26.10.2020 before S.B.

Chairman

26.10.2020

Nemo for petitioner.

Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Lawyers are on general strike, therefore, case is adjourned to 07.12.2020 for arguments, before S.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) 21.01.2020

Junior to counsel for the petitioner and Addl. AG for the respondents present.

Former requests for adjournment due to general strike of the Bar. Adjourned to 04.03.2020 before S.B.

04.03.2020

Petitioner in person present. Addl: AG for respondents present. Appellant seeks adjournment as his counsel is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 13.04.2020 before S.B.

Member

13.04.2020

Due to public holiday on account of COVID-19, the case is adjourned to 07.07.2020 for the same. To come up for the same as before S.B.

07.07.2020

REMARKS TO STORY

Junior counsel for petitioner present. Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Former requested for adjournment as senior learned counsel is not available.

Adjourned to 24.07.2020 before S.B, by way of last chance.



24.10.2019

Petitioner in person present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak learned Addl; AG alongwith Abbas Senior Clerk for the respondents present.

Former requests for adjournment as his learned counsel is engaged before Hon'ble Peshawar High Court in various cases today.

Adjourned to 26.11.2019 before S.B.

Chairman

26.11.2019

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Touseefullah, SO for respondents present.

Learned counsel for the petitioner address the partial arguments. To come up for further arguments on 06.01.2020 before S.B.

Chairman

06.01.2020

Counsel for the petitioner and Addl. AG for the respondents present.

Learned AAG requests for adjournment as instant matter pertains to the diary of learned District Attorney who is at present engaged with the Touring Bench of this Tribunal at Swat.

Adjourned to 21.01.2020 before S.B.

Chairman

02.09.2019

15 800 Holling

學(1957)

34 C34 %

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Usman Ghani District Attorney alongwith Abbas Khan, Senior Clerk for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the petitioner requests for time to place on record the promotion/upgradation notification pertaining to the petitioner as well as the promotion orders of those similarly placed officials which were given retrospective effect.

The petitioner may do the needful before the next date of hearing. Adjourned to 30.09.2019 for further proceedings before the S.B.

Chairman

30.09.2019 Petitioner in person and Addl. AG for the respondents present.

The petitioner has submitted copies of notifications dated 07.03.2018 issued by Finance Department (Regulation Wing) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and 30.04.2018 issued by Communication & Works Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa which are placed on record. The petitioner requests for adjournment due to general strike of the bar.

Adjourned to 24.10.2019 before S.B.

Chairmán

18.06.2019

Petitioner alongwith his counsel and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional AG alongwith Mr. Abbas Khan, Senior Clerk for the respondents present. Relevant record mentioned in previous order sheet has not been furnished. Representative of the department is directed to furnish the same on the next date. Adjourned to 11.07.2019 for further proceedings before S.B.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member

11.07.2019

Counsel for the petitioner and Addl. AG alongwith Abbas Khan, Senior Clerk for the respondents present.

Representative of respondents has submitted reply to objections on the implementation report which is placed on record. To come up for arguments on 02.09.2019 before S.B.

Chairman

拿 2平04/2019

Counsel for the petitioner present. No. Use consulfant, District Actorney for respondents, present. Counsel-for the petitioner reaks adjournment. Adjourned Case to communify further proceeding on 14.06.2649 before 578.

(Ahmad-Hassan) Member

24.04.2019

Counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney for respondents present. Counsel for the petitioner submitted written objection on the implementation report which is placed on file. A copy of the same was handed over to the learned District Attorney. Case to come up for further proceeding on 31.05.2019 before S.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member

31.05.2019

Counsel for the petitioner and Addl. AG for the respondents present.

Due to absence of representative of respondents and the record pertaining to the claim of petitioner regarding non-holding of Grade-B examination by the respondents after the year1996, instant matter is adjourned to 18.06.2019. The respondents shall positively produce the requisite record on next date of hearing.

Chairman

bleto

03.01.2019

Petitioner in person present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional AG alongwith Mr. Abdur Rauf, Section Officer for the respondents present. Representative of the department submitted comments on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Copy of the same is handed over to petitioner who requested for adjournment to examine the same. To come up for objections, if any, on 07.02.2019 before S.B.

Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi Member

07.02.2019

M/S Taimular Ali Khan and Zartaj Anwar, Advocates for petitioner and Addl. AG for the respondents present.

Mr. Zartaj Anwar, Advocate has submitted Wakalatnama on behalf of petitioner and requests for further time to submit the objections upon implementation report already submitted.

Adjourned to 21.03.2019 before S.B.

Chairman

21.03.2019

Nemo for the petitioner. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak learned Addl; AG for the respondents present. Due to general strike of the bar, the case is adjourned. To come up for further proceeding on 24.04.2019 before S.B.

Member

13/8/2018

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Riaz Painda Khel, Assistant Advocate General for official respondents present. Counsel the petitioner requested for adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for implementation report on 28/9/2018 before SB.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) MEMBER

28.09.2018

Petitioner Manzoor Elahi in person alongwith his counsel Mr. Taimur Ali Khan, Advocate present. Mr. Naraish Kumar, Senior Clerk alongwith Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl. AG for the respondents present. The above named representative of the respondents made a request for some time to implement the judgment of this Tribunal. Since the judgment of the Tribunal passed on 02.03.2016, as such last chance is given to the respondents to produce implementation report on 15.11.2018 before S.B.

Chairman

15-11-2018

One to Retirement of Honorable chairman the Tribunal is non functional therefore the lase is adjourned the love up for the Same on 3-1-2019

Redder

Execution Petition No.____

106/2018

S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge
1:	·	3
1	03.04.2018	The Execution Petition of Mr. Zamir Jang submitted to-day by Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Advocate may be entered in the relevant Register and put
. *	, , , , , ,	up to the Court for proper order please.
;	ķ "Ş	
5.Nc.	holes [Per]	rderic German Anna REGISTRAR 3 14 16
2 -	04/04/18	This Execution Petition be put up before S. Bench on-
	£74.5.	The Exercision of the Many ten, of Medical and put
16	.04.2018	Counsel for the petitioner present. Notice be issued to
S. N		the respondents for implementation report for 03.07.2018 before S.B. Rec there can be out up back a seach one (Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)
-	er gene der	Member Member Company of the Company
03	07.2018	Junior counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
`		Muhammad Jan, Deputy District Attorney for the
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	respondents present. Junior counsel for the petitioner seeks
¥		adjournment on the ground that learned senior counsel for
		the petitioner is busy before the Hon'ble Peshawar High
		Court. Adjourned. To come up for further proceedings on
-	1	13.08.2018 before S.B. (Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member

The execution petition of Mr. Zamir Jang Sub-Engineer C&W Division Mardan received today i.e. on 28.03.2018 is incomplete on the following scores which is returned to the counsel for the petitioner for completion and resubmission with 15 days.

- 1- Original attested copy of the judgment is not attached with the petition which may be placed on
- Petitioner may be page marked.

Dt. 29/03 /2018.

PAKHTUNKHWA

Mr. Tairmur Ali Khan Adv. Pesh.

Respected Set 1- Renowed 2 - Removed

of Any the Allength of the terms of the with the gride the second seco where were the place of the relative head, we some

a frequency of the party of the

Samuel Control form a restlement usual menterally

BEFORE THE KPK, SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 106 /2018
In Service Appeal No.749/2014

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Diary No. 349

Mr.Zamir Jang, Sub Engineer, C&W High Division, Mardan.

Dec 28/03/2018

PETITIONER

VERSUS

- 1. The Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, C&W Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. The Chief Engineer, C&W, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Finance Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT DATED 02.03.2016 OF THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- 1. That the petitioner has filed an appeal bearing No. 749/2014 for granting BPS-16 for having 10 years service and also passed the required departmental exam.
- 2. That the said petition was finally heard by the Honourable Tribunal on 02.03.2016 and decided in favour of the petitioner. (Copy of judgment is attached as Annexure-A)
- 3. The respondents filed CPLA in the Supreme Court of Pakistan against the judgment of the august Service Tribunal which was dismissed by the Apex Court on 13.02.2017.(Copy of judgment of Apex Court is attached as annexure-B)
- 4. That as the judgment of this august Service Tribunal is upheld by the Apex Court, Therefore, the respondent department has no other remedy except to implement the judgment dated 02.03.2016 of this Honourable Tribunal in letter and spirit.



- 5. That in-action and not fulfilling formal requirements by the department after passing the judgment of the august Tribunal, is totally illegal amount to disobedience and Contempt of Court.
- 6. That the petitioner has having no other remedy except to file this execution petition.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the department may be directed to implement the judgment dated 02.03.2016 of this august Tribunal in letter and spirit. Any other remedy, which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also be awarded in favour of petitioner.

PETITIONER
Zamir Jang

THROUGH:

TAIMUR ALI KHAN ADVOCATE HIGH COURT.

AFFIDAVIT:

ATTEST

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of the above Execution Petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPONENT





BEFORE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1330/2010

PESHAWAR.

Date of institution ... 01.07.2010

Date of judgment ... 02.03.2016

Muhammad Shafiq S/o Kala Khan, Sub-Engineer C&W Division, Tehsil & District, Abbottabad.



(Appellant)

VERSUS

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar, through Secretary C & W Peshawar.
- 2. Chief Engineer Centre, C & W, KPK Peshawar.
- 3. XEN, C & W, Abbottabad.
- 4. Superintending Engineer, C & W, Abbottabad.
- 5. Akramullah S/o Nasrullah and 8 others.

(Respondents)

M/S Aqil Navced Sulemani, Muhammad Asif Yousafzai, Khalid Rehman, Adam Khan, Muhammad Ismail Alizai, Sardar Ali Raza, Rizwanullah and Abdul Salim, Advocates

For appellant(s)

Khyber Palatunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar

ATTESTED

For official respondents For private respondents

Chairman. Member (Judicial) Member (Executive)

Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General Nemo

Mr. Muhammad Azim Khan Afridi

Mr. Pir Bakhsh Shah

Mr. Abdul Latif

JUDGMENT

MUHAMMAD AZIM KHAN AFRIDI CHAIRMAN:

This judgment

aimed at disposal of instant service appeal No. 1330/2010 as well as service appeals No.

(2) 1321/2011 titled Khalid Naeem-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc.

- (3) 1248/2012 titled Daulat Khan-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc.
- (4) 845/2013 titled Saeedullah-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc.
- (5) 848/2013 titled Muddasar Saghir-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc.
- (6) 972/2013 titled Ghulam Qadir-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc.
- (7) 1009/2013 titled Riaz Ahmed-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc.
- (8) 1015/2013 titled Muhammad Idress-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & Wetc.

4

(9) 1184/2013 titled Abdul Qayyum-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W. etc. (10) 1185/2013 titled Sarfaraz Alam-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (11) 1186/2013 titled Muhammad Hamid Zia-vs-Govt.of KPK through Secretary C& W (12) 1188/2013 titled Shad Muhammad Khan-vs-Govt.of KPK through Secretary C&W (13) 1189/2013 titled Syed Abdullah Shah-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W (14) 1190/2013 titled Nawazish Ali-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (15) 1191/2013 titled Niaz Muhammad-vs-Govt, of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (16) 1139/2013 titled Zia-ud-Din -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & Wetc. (17) 1300/2013 titled Qaiser Shah -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & Wetc. (18) 1338/2013 titled Aurangzeb -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & Wetc. (19) 1431/2013 titled Habib Ullah -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & Wetc. (20) 1446/2013 titled Mian Jehanzeb Khattak-vs-Govt.of KPK through Secretary C& W (21) 1561/2013 titled Yousaf Ali -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (22)1631/2013 titled Muhammad Shakeel Athar -vs- Secretary C & W KPK etc. (23) 1632/2013 titled Malik Arif Saeed Diyal-vs-Govt: of KPK through Secretary C&W (24)1633/2013 titled Muhammad Khalil Noor-vs-Govt.of KPK through Secretary C&W (25) 95/2014 titled Muhammad Saeed-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (26) 96/2014 titled Zahir Gul -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (27) 224/2014 titled Muhammad Zubair-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W (28) 246/2014 titled Abdul Rahim -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & Wetc. (29) 365/2014 titled Zulfiqar Ahmad-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (30) 366/2014 titled Nascem Ahmed-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (31) 367/2014 titled Mazhar Khan -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (32) 393/2014 titled Muhammad Javed-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (33) 471/2014 titled Said-ul-Ibrar -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & Wetc. (34) 477/2014 titled Lal Badshah -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (35) 484/2014 titled Abdul Khalil -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & Wetc.


ATTEST. (36) 489/2014 titled Abdul Farooq -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & Wetc.

ATTESTED

Khyb

Service Tricolaria va

Peshawar



(37) 513/2014 titled Irshad Ahmed Khan-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W (38) 699/2014 titled Muhammad Akram-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W (39) 700/2014 titled Abdul Qayum-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc, (40) 722/2014 titled Faiz Ullah Khan-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc, (41) 749/2014 titled Zamir Jang -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. -(42) 770/2014 titled Syed Tariq Mahmood-vs-Govt. of KPK through Sccretary C & W (43) 852/2014 titled Ghulam Rahim-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (44) 907/2014 titled Liaqat Shah -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (45) 915/2014 titled Noor-ul-Basar -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & Wetc. (46) 920/2014 titled Sabit Khan -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (47) 1035/2014 titled Manzoor Ilahi -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (48) 1100/2014 titled Fazal Mehmood-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (49)1112/2014 titled Nisar Ahmed -vs- Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & Wetc. (50) 1132/2014 titled Taj Muhammad-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. (51) 1223/2015 titled Sardar Naeem Ahmed-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc. and (52) 1284/2015 titled Muhammad Zaka Khan-vs-Govt. of KPK through Secretary C & W etc as common questions of law and facts are involved therein.

In appeal No. 1330/2010, Muhammad Shafiq appellant has prayed for grant of BPS-16 being senior to private respondents No. 5 to 13 i.e Akramullah s/o Nasrullah, Sher Wali Jhang s/o Amirzada Khan, Misal Khan s/o Yousaf Khan, Hidayatullah-I s/o Anayatullah Khan, Sanaullah Tajori-III s/o Muslim Khan, Zatfarullah Khan s/o Ahbebullah, Tariq Usman s/o Noor Zahib Khan, Muhammad Javed Rahim s/o Abdur Rahim and Jamshid Khan-I s/o Saif-ur-Rehman. According to his stance the said respondents were granted Senior Scale and appellant ignored despite the fact that he was senior and fit and fulfilling the prescribed criteria.

In appeal No. 1321/2011 instituted on 11.7.2011, appellant Khalid Naeem is

bekang directions of this Tribunal so as to grant him B-16 as he has joined the C & W

eshawar

B) =

Department as Sub-Engineer on 9.12.1981 and has passed B-Grade Departmental Examination in the year 1994 and has more than 30 years service to his credit including good service record and entitling him to the grant of Senior Scale on the strength of 25% of the total number of posts of Sub-Engineers.

- 4. In appeal No. 1248/2012, appellant Daulat Khan has prayed for grant of BPS-16 as per rules with all consequential benefits from due date as he has qualified the prescribed examination and rendered more than 10 years service.
- 5. In appeal No. 845/2013, appellant Saeedullah has prayed for grant of Senior Scale (BPS-16) mainly on the ground that this Tribunal has granted the Senior Scale to similarly placed employees vide judgment dated 11.12.2012 and as such he is entitled to alike treatment. Similar prayers are made by appellants in appeals No. 848/2013, 1009/2013, 1184 to 1186/2013, 1188 to 1191/2013, 1139/2013, 1300/2013, 1338/2013, 1446/2013, 1561/2013, 224/2014, 246/2014, 365/2014, 366/2014, 489/2014, 513/2014, 699/2014, 700/2014, 722/2014, 749/2014, 852/2014, 907/2014, 915/2014, 920/2014, 1035/2014 and 1132/2014.
- 6. In appeal No. 972/2013, appellant Ghulam Qadir has prayed for grant of BPS-16 with all back benefits on the ground of fulfilling the prescribed criteria and on the rule of alike treatment extended to similarly placed employees. He has also prayed for special cost on the ground that he was deprived of his due right by the respondents and compelled to litigate for his right as similarly placed Sub-Engineer were extended benefits of litigation while appellant was discriminated for no fault on his part.
- 7. In appeal No. 1015/2013, appellant Muhammad Idrees Alizai has prayed for grant of Senior Scale (BPS-16) with back benefits and imposition of Special Cost as despite his entitlement to the said scale and judgment of this Tribunal in service appeal

ATTESTED

Khyber Contoural, Service Peshawar



titled "Noshad Khan-vs-Government of KPK", he was deprived of his entitlement to Senior Scale and forced to litigate.

- 8. In appeal No. 1631/2013, appellant Muhammad Shakeel Athar has prayed for grant of Senior Scale on the ground that junior to him namely M/S Mashal Khan, Misal Khan-II and Syed Sardar Shah were granted the same while he ignored despite entitlement on the analogy of similar treatment extended to similarly placed employees.
- 9. In appeal No. 1632/2013, appellant Malik Arif Saeed Diyal has prayed for grant of Senior Scale (BPS-16) on the ground that his junior colleagues were granted the same and he was discriminated. Similar prayers are made by the appellants in appeals No. 1431/2013, 95/2014, 96/2014, 393/2014, 471/2014, 477/2014, 484/2014, 770/2014 and 1100/2014.
- 10. In appeal No. 1633/2013, appellant Muhammad Khalil Noor has impugned order dated 22.5.2013 with a prayer that the same be set-aside and he may be granted Senior Scale (BPS-16) with effect from the date of qualifying Departmental Examination and 10 years qualifying service with all back benefits.
- In appeal No. 367/2014, appellant Mazhar Khan has prayed that his junior colleagues were granted Senior Scale and he was ignored and discriminated. He has also prayed for grant of Senior Scale (BPS-16) on the rule of alike treatment as extended to similarly placed employees in appeals by this Tribunal vide judgment dated 11.12.2012. A similar prayer is made by appellant Nisar Ahmed in appeal No. 1112/2014.
- In appeal No. 1223/2015, appellant Sardar Naeem Ahmed has prayed for Senior Scale being senior as his junior colleagues were granted the same and he was ignored. He has also prayed for grant of Senior Scale (BPS-16) on the rule of alike treatment as extended to similarly placed employees in appeals by this Tribunal vide judgments.

Khaling Khalin

dated 23.4.2009 and 11.12.2012. A similar prayer is made by appellant Muhammad Zaka Khan in appeal No. 1284/2015.

- Schedule-1 of Communication and Works Department (Recruitment and Appointment) Rules, 1979, appellants were entitled to appointment as Senior Scale Sub-Engineers as they were fulfilling the pre-requisites and prescribed criteria. That even junior civil servants serving as Sub-Engineers were promoted and even appointed as Sub Divisional Officers in their own pay scale while appellants ignored for no fault or omission on their part. That earlier this Tribunal has granted Senior Scale to the aggrieved civil servants approaching this Tribunal and that keeping in view the criteria laid down for grant of Senior Scale and judgments of this Tribunal, the appellants are entitled to alike treatment. Reliance was placed on case-law reported as 2009 SCMR 1 (Supreme Court of Pakistan), 2002 SCMR 71 (Supreme Court of Pakistan), 1996 SCMR 1185 (Supreme Court of Pakistan) and PLD 2002 Supreme Court 46 as well as judgments of this Tribunal dated 23.4.2009 and 11.12.2012.
 - Learned Additional Advocate General has argued that the C & W Department was obliged to restrict grant of Senior Scale to the extent of criteria laid down at S.No.5 of Schedule-I of the said Rules and that on the strength of the same 25% of total sanctioned posts were treated as Senior Scale posts (BPS-16) and the concerned civil servants accordingly up-graded at the relevant times as per laid down criteria. He further argued that due to improprieties, undue favours, incorrect interpretation of rules and erroneous interpretation of the judgments of this Tribunal and the rule of alike treatment the said scheme of grant of Senior Scale was frustrated at different levels and times and as a consequence thereof Senior Scale (B-16) was granted to Sub-Engineer in excess of 25% of the sanctioned strength of Sub-Engineers and, therefore, Provincial exchequer was exposed to sustain huge and constant financial liability. That since the respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned respondent-department has exhausted the prescribed 25% of total number of sanctioned re

Kinyber ice rabanar

9-

posts meant for Senior Scale Sub-Engineers and the scheme of grant of the said Senior Scale stood abolished under the Pay Revision Rules, 2001 by December 1, 2001, as such the appellants were not entitled to the Selection Grade claimed through the instant service appeals. He further argued that the authorities involved in illegal appointments and grant of Senior Scale were accountable to Provincial Government and irregularities carried out in the process were liable to be declared null and void.

- 15. We have heard arguments of the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
- 16. Keeping in view the pleadings, record placed before us and arguments of learned counsel for the parties and appellants, the following emerging controversies and points need determination:
 - i. Impact of Recruitment and Appointment Rules, 1979 and its life cycle vis-a-vis claims of appellants.
 - ii. Entitlement of appellants to Senior Scale on the rules of alike treatment and grant of the same to civil servants ignored despite seniority.
 - iii. Legal status of appointments against higher posts in Own Pay Scale.
 - iv. Impact of judgments of this Tribunal dated 11.12.2012 and 23.4.2009.
 - For answering and determining the points in issue, we deem it appropriate to refer to and reproduce the Notification of the then Provincial Government, Services, General Admn, Tourism and Sports Department dated Peshawar, the 13th January, 1980 on the basis whereof Communication and Works Department (Recruitment and Appointment) Rules, 1979 were promulgated and which reads as under:

ATTESTED

Rhybor D. Garacidiwa

Service Tribunal.



GOVERNMENT OF NORTH WEST FRONTIER PROVINCE SERVICES & GENERAL ADMINISTRATION, TOURISM & SPORTS DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Peshawar the 13 January, 1980

No. SOR-I(S&GD)1-12/74.---In exercise of the Powers conferred by Section 26 of the North West Frontier Province Civil Servant Act, 1973 (NWFP*Act XVIII of 1973), in supersession of all previous rules on the subject in this behalf the Governor of the North-West Frontier Province is pleased to make the following Rules, namely:-

THE COMMUNICATION & WORKS DEPARTMENT (RECRUITMENT AND APPOINTMENTS) RULES, 1979.

- (1) These rules may be called the Communication and Works Department (Recruitment and Appointment) Rules, 1973.
- (2) They shall come into force at once.
- 2. The Method of recruitment, minimum qualifications, age limit and other matters related thereto for the Posts specified in column 2 of the Schedules annexed shall be as given in column 3 to 7 of the said Schedules.

ATTESTED

Khubi Principalis Service Tricinal Peshawar

COMMUNICATION & WORKS DEPARTMENT SCHEDULE-I

			lifications for	Age for		Method of
J	Nomenclature of post	Minimum Qua Appointments	lifications for	initial Recruitme		Recruitment
		Initial Recruitment by Transfer	Promotion	Minimum	Maximum	7
	2	3	4	5	6	,,,
4	Irrelevant	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	Gyo percent
	Senior Scale		Diploma in Engineering			Twenty five percent of the total number
· .	Engineer		from a recognized Institute	-		of posts of the diploma holders,
						Sub-Engineers shall from the cadre of
						Senior Scale Sub- Engineers and shall
						be filled by selection on merit with due
7	7					regard to seniority from amongst Sub
						Engineers of the
						have passed the
						Departmental Examination and
· · ·	•					have at least ten years service as such.
a	nd Irrelevant					
nwai	•			ATTE	ESTED	

Khyoc Pzirunidiwa Service Tribunali Peshawar

- A plain reading of the text appearing at scrial No. 5 of the schedule 18. reproduced above would suggest that a civil servant aspiring for the Senior Scale Sub-Engineer shall hold a Diploma in Engineering from a recognized Institute, shall rank senior among his colleagues, shall hold a position falling within domain and sphere of 25% of the total number of posts of the Sub-Engineers, shall have at least 10 years service as Sub-Engineer and shall have passed the prescribed departmental examination at the relevant time. In other words a Sub-Engineer devoid of the above criteria and traits would not be entitled to claim Senior Scale. The said rule and schedule has explicitly curtailed the magnitude, size and sphere of the Senior Scale Sub-Engineers to 25% of the total sanctioned posts of Sub-Engineers and, therefore, no authority was empowered to exceed or surpass the said number of Senior Scale Sub-Engineers.
- The operation of the said rules applicable to Sub-Engineer with reference to grant of Senior Scale to 25% of the total number of posts has come to an end with effect from December 1, 2001 in view of notification dated 27.10.2001 whereby the scheme of selection grade and Move-over stood discontinued as laid down in para-7 of the said Pay Revision Rules, 2001.
- It is, therefore, held and concluded that the Senior Scale admissible to Sub-Engineers could only be granted and restricted to those Sub-Engineers who were fulfilling the prescribed criteria in the above manners on or before December 1, 2001.
- Record placed before us in different appeals would suggest that to implement 24. the said rule in letter and spirit, the Establishment Department was constrained to issue letter No. SO(PSB)ED/1-23/2002 dated Peshawar, the 3.7.2004 wherein cut off date for processing pending cases was extended to 31.8.2004 with certain observations, relevant Apportion whereof is reproduced herein for facilitation and ready reference:

"All left over cases of Government Servants who were eligible for Selection Grade/Moveover before 1.12.2001 may be placed before PSB/

shawar

DPC for consideration as per instructions/policy on the subject at the latest otherwise strict disciplinary action would be taken against the defaulting official under the NWFP Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance, 2000."

- Authorities at the helm of affairs were conscious and cognizant of the facts and law that a civil servant otherwise entitled to Senior Scale could not be deprived of the same because of incomplete service record including Performance Evaluation Reports (PERs) etc. and for reasons not attributable to such a civil servant. To achieve the righteous outcome and to avoid irregularities the defaulting officers were warned to be proceeded against under the punitive rules then in-vogue. Miseries of the aspiring and deserving Sub-Engineers came to surface when instead of competing and submitting the cases, junior officers were favoured and elevated to the Senior Scale prompting those ignored to approach this Tribunal for redressal of their grievances and this Tribunal, vide judgments dated 23.4.2009 and 11.12.2012 granted the relief by directing the respondents to extend similar treatment to equally placed employees by granting them Senior Scale.
- The department and authority responsible to restrict Senior Scale to the prescribed 25% limit of posts and bound to raise concerns over such irregularities and state of affairs simply granted Senior Scale to Sub-Engineers in excess of 25% of the total number of posts in disregard of the rules. The grant of the said Senior Scale has not come to an end till date for the reasons that the same is granted by ignoring the prescribed limit of 25% including the time frame ending on December 1st, 2001. The Dractice adopted is not only condemnable but also worth taking note of because of verburdening the public exchequer offensively. ATTESTED

Section-5 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 hereinafter referred to as the Civil Servants Act, 1973 mandates that appointment to a civil service

of the Province or to a civil post in connection with the affairs of the Province shall be

made in the prescribed manners by the Governor or by a person authorized by the Governor in that behalf. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989, hereinafter referred to as APT Rules, 1989 framed under the provisions of section-26 of the Act, 1973 restricts but empowers the competent authority to make appointments, in case of exigencies prescribed in Rule-9, on acting or current charge basis in the public interest. Appointment to a higher post in own pay scale is a practice ruinous to Service Rules and structure of civil service and is ordinarily adopted by the authority to either favour their nears and dears or to distant the deserving civil servants due for promotion or to delay or beat timely inductions through initial appointments. This practice is frequently adopted and applied by the authorities despite the fact that the same is illegal and condemnable. We, therefore, hold that appointment of a civil servant in his own pay scale against a higher post is a practice derogatory to law and rules and good governance and we, therefore, accordingly direct that the same be discontinued by the authorities concerned forthwith but not beyond a period of one month. We further resolve and hold that the authorities failing to discontinue or pursuing such unlawful practices in future be dealt with under The relevant punitive laws and that departmental action against such incumbents for misusing and abusing authority vested in them by virtue of their office shall be initiated and concluded to logic end.

We are conscious of the fact that giving definite findings about the validity of judgments of this Tribunal entitling appellants in the stated appeals to Senior Scale are not warranted at this stage as the said matter is not agitated before us in the manners prescribed by law. We, therefore, direct that in case a Sub-Engineer not falling within the parameters of selection to Senior Scale on the above criteria but availing the privileges of such scale on the strength of any office order or judgment of this Tribunal be dealt with in accordance with law and subject to legal process and if so permitted by law, recoveries be made from their persons.

We further hold and direct that slots at the prescribed ratio available for grant 26.

of Senior Scale at the relevant times be calculated by the department and those fulfilling the criteria for Senior Scale but ignored due to lapses not attributable to ignored/leftover officers be granted the Senior Scale from the date of entitlement i.e accruing of vacancies in the Senior Scale but subject to the provisions of the Pay Revision Rules, 2001. We also direct that the Provincial Government shall honour its directive and shall take disciplinary action against those responsible for maintaining, updating and completing the record of the officers, but ignoring their responsibilities and thus giving space to irregularities and illegalities thereby causing and inflicting losses on public exchequer.

- We are alive to the situation that while computing the seats of Sub-Engineer in the Senior Scale and eligibility of the senior officers against the same the authorities concerned may find grant of selection grade allowed in excess of the prescribed limit and ratio. We, therefore, direct that the situation be addressed by the authorities concerned by resorting to legal course and in case any office granted Senior Scale in excess of prescribed limit is found protected by any law, rules or judgment of the Court then, in such eventuality, the officers of the administrative department responsible for handling the affairs relating to grant of Senior Scale at the relevant time be sorted out and be proceeded against for realization of monetary loss caused to the public exchequer as a consequence of their irresponsible and undesirable behavior.
- 28. Before parting with this judgment we deem it our duty to discuss the case law cited at the Bar at the time of arguments by the learned counsel for the parties.
- Perveen reported as 2009 SCMR 1, the august Supreme Court of Pakistan has observed that if the Service Tribunal or Supreme Court decides a point of law relating to the terms and conditions of service of a civil servant which covers not only the case of civil servant who litigated but also of other civil servants who may have not taken any legal proceedings, in such a case, the dictates and rule of good governance.

A State of the space of the spa

(16)

demand that the benefit of such judgment by Service Tribunal/Supreme Court be extended to other civil servants who may not be parties to the litigation instead of compelling them to approach the Service Tribunal or any other forum.

- 30. Though adequate number of Sub-Engineers seeking Senior Scale are present before us but there is likelihood that certain civil servants might not have approached this Tribunal to litigate for their claims. We, therefore, direct that the benefit of this judgment be extended to those Sub-Engineers who fulfilled the criteria of becoming scale.

 Senion Sub-Engineer at the relevant time.
- In case of Fida Hussain reported as PLD 2002 Supreme Court 46 and Abdul Samad reported as 2002 SCMR 71 it was observed by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan that rule of consistency must be followed in order to maintain balance and the doctrine of equality before law. That dictates of law, justice and equity required exercise of power by all concerned to advance the cause of justice and not to thwart it.
- Deriving wisdom from the mandates of law, judgment of the august Supreme Court of Pakistan and to advance the cause of justice and to frustrate efforts and attempts-of thwarting just and fair-play we direct that the judgment be giving effect by the respondents in letter and spirit.
- 33. The appeals are disposed of in the above terms. Parties are, however, left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.
- 34. In the end we direct the Registrar of this Tribunal to circulate a copy of this judgment among all concerned departments of the Provincial Government for guidance and compliance.

Amound Self-M-Azim Khan Afridi, 02-03-2016 Cheirence

FRIDI)

Self- Pir Bakhsh Shah Meurbs Self- Abdeell stef Mand

Certified to have copy

Khyber Service and have

Constitution of the same

ATTESTED

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN (Appellate Jurisdiction)

PRESENT:
MR. JUSTICE EJAZ AFLAL KHAN
MR. JUSTICE EJAZ AFLAL KHAN MR. JUSTICE GULIAR AHMED.

303-P 10 353-P 391-P 10 394-P GRID 193-P 05 2016

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence in Service Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence in Service Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence in Service Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence in Service Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence in Service Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence in Service Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence in Service Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence in Service Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Works absorbed Residence Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Published Residence Appendix

13016 passed by the Strybor Pub

Government of KPK, through Secretary (C & W), Peshawar and others.

...Petitioner(s) (in all cases)

Muhammad shaflq and others. Khalid Naeem. Daulat Khan. Sacedullah. Mudassir Sahgir. Ghulam Qadir and others. Muhammad Idrees and others. Riaz Ahmad. Zla-ud-Dln. Abdul Qayyum-1. Sarfaraz Alam. Muhammad Hamid Zia. Shad Muhammad Khan: syed Abdullah Shah. Nawazish Ali Shah. Niaz Muhammad. Qalsar Shah. Aurangzeb. Habibullah. Mian Jehanzeb Khaltak. Muhammad shakeel Alhar. Malik Arit Saced Diyal. Muhammad Saeed-II. Muhammad Zubair. Zahir Gul. Abdur Rahim. Zulfiqar Ahmad. Mutahir Khan and another. Muhammad Javed and others. Noteem Ahmad saldui Ibrar and another. Lal Badshah. Abdul Khalil. Abdul Farooq. Irshad Ahmad Khan. Muhammad Akram. Abdul Qayum. Falzullah Khan-li. Zamir Jang. Syed Taria Wahmood. Ghulam Rahim.

Liagat Shah.

Noor ul Basar. sabit Khan.

ATTESTED

Entreus (Conv. of bakistal)

Manzoor Elahi. Fazal Mehmood. Nisar Ahmad. Taj Muhammad. Sardar Naeem Ahmad. Muhammad Zaka Khan.

Abdul Hameed. Syed Azmat Ali Shah. Inamul Haq. Imtiaz Ali Khan.

salf-ur-Rahman.

...Respondent(s)

For the Petitioner(s):

Mian Arshad Jan, Addl. A. G. KPK. Mian Saadullah Jandoli, AOR. (Absent)

For the respondent(s):

Mr. Ijaz Anwar, ASC. Mr. M. S. Khattak, AOR.

Date of Hearing:

13.02.2017

ORDER

EJAZ AFZAL KHAN, J. These petitions for leave to appeal have arisen out of the judgment dated 02.03.2016 of the KPK Service Tribunal, Peshawar whereby It allowed the appeal filed by the respondents.

- 2. The learned Addi. A. G. appearing on behalf of the petitioners contended that he does not tend to question the impugned judgment on the questions of law and fact all the same he would have very serious reservations about the mode suggested therein to resolve the anomalies.
- 3. Learned ASC appearing on behalf of the respondents contended that the impugned judgment resolving anomalies created on account of exceeding quota prescribed for grant of senior scale is perfectly in accordance with the relevant rules, therefore, it is not open to any exception.
- 4. We have gone through the record carefully and considered the submissions of the learned Addl. Advocate General appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as learned ASC for the respondents.
- Bench of the Service Tribunal took pains to examine all the excesses and imaginarilies committed in the grant of senior scale to many in derogation

ATTESTED

Lenome Court of Pakistan

ATTESTED

of relevant rules. Not only that it also issued directions to undo them.

Paragraphs 23, 24, 25 and 26 of the Impugned Judgment merit a reproduction for facility of reference which read as under.:-

- "23: The department and authority responsible to restrict senior scale to the prescribed 25% limit of posts and bound to raise concerns over such irregularities and state of affairs simply granted senior scale to Sub-Engineers in excess of 25% of the total number of posts in disregard of the rules. The grant of the said senior scale has not come to an end till date for he reasons that the same is has not come to an end till date for he reasons that the same is granted by Ignoring the prescribed limit of 25% including the time granted by Ignoring the prescribed limit of 25% including the time frame ending on December 111, 2001. The practice adopted is not only condemnable but also worth taking note of because of overburdening the public exchequer offensively.
- Section 5 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 hereinafter referred to as the Civil Servants Act, 1973 mandates that appointment to a civil service of the Province or to a civil post in connection with the affairs of the Province shall be made in the prescribed manners by the Governor or by a person authorized by the Governor in that behalf. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa authorized by the Governol in that behalf, Niyber Fountaining Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989, hereinafter referred to as APT Rules, 1989 (ramed under the provisions of section-26 of the Act, 1973 restricts but empowers the competent authority to make appointments, in case of exigencies competent authority to make appointments, in case of exigences prescribed in Rule-9, on acting of current charge basis in the public interest. Appointment to a higher post in own pay scale is a practice rulnous to Service Rules and structure of civil service and is ordinarily adopted by the authority to either favour their nears and dears or to distant the deserving civil servants due for promotion or to delay or beat timely inductions through initial appointments. This practice is frequently adopted and applied by the authorities practice is frequently adopted and applied by the authorities despite the fact that the same is illegal and condemnable. We, therefore, hold that appointment of a civil servant in his own pay scale against a higher post is a practice derogatory to law and rules and good governance and we, therefore, accordingly direct that the same be discontinued by the authorities concerned that the same be discontinued by the authorities concerned torthwith but not beyond a period of one month. We further resolve and hold that the authorities tailing to discontinue or pursuing such unlawful practices in future be dealt with under the pursuing such unlawful practices in future be dealt with under the relevant punitive laws and that departmental action against such incumbents for misusing and abusing authority vested in them by virtue of their office shall be initiated and coricluded to logic end.
 - 25. We are conscious of the fact that giving definite findings about the validity of judgments of this Tribunal entitling appellants in the stated appeals to senior scale are not warranted at this in the stated appeals to senior scale are not warranted at this stage as the said matter is not agitated before us in the manners stage as the said matter is not agitated before us in the manners prescribed by law. We, therefore, direct that in case a Subprescribed by law, within the parameters of selection to senior scale on the above criteria but availing the privileges of such scale on the strength of any office order or judgment of this Tribunal be dealt with in accordance with law and subject to legal process and it so permitted by law, recoveries be made from their persons.
 - 26. We further nold and direct that slots at the prescribed ratio available for grant of senior scale at the relevant times be calculated by the department and those fulfilling the criteria for scale but ignored due to lapses not attributable to senior scale but ignored flettover officers be granted the senior scale from the date ignored flettover officers be granted the senior scale from the date of entitlement i.e. accruing of vacancies in the senior scale but of entitlement i.e. accruing of vacancies in the senior scale but subject to the provisions of the Pay Revision Rules, 2001: We also direct that the Provincial Government shall honour its directive and shall take disciplinary action against those responsible for

ATTESTED

) Court Associate
Sillitems Court of Pakistan
(alamabad

ATTESTED

maintaining, updating and completing the record of the officers. but Ignoring their responsibilities and thus giving space to irregularities and illegalities thereby causing and inflicting losses on public exchequer."

Having read the paragraphs reproduced above from the impugned judgment, we-don't find anything anomalous or inconsistent with the relevant rules and dispensation. It in our view suggested a balanced mode to resolve the anomalies and redress the grievances of those who are victims of unfair and unjust apportionment. When this being the case the better course for the petitioners is to implement the Impugned Judgment rather than question it on any hyper technical ground particularly when none of the persons aggreeved by it has filed any petitlon. thereagainst in this Court. We, thus, don't feel persuaded to interfere

For the reasons discussed above, these petitions being therewith. without ment are dismissed and the leave asked for is refused.

Sd/-Ejaz Afzal Khan,J Sd/-Gulzar Ahmed, J

Certified to be True Copy

Court Associate upreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad

CR NO.

Ďaje of Pour

Read

Colin Call

เกละ

Date

pecelved with Aown

	NO	/2018	
IN THE COURT OF	Lecure 7	15: bunal	Peshawar
	7		
Zanii	Jang:		(Appellant) (Petitioner) (Plaintiff)
	VERSU	S	
Co	KW Deg	blt-	(Respondent) (Defendant)
	. 7		(Defendanc)
I/We,	i Jang		
Court Peshawar, to a arbitration for me/us matter, without any liengage/appoint any oth I/We authorize the samy/our behalf all sum account in the above not leave my/our case a unpaid or is outstanding.	ppear, plead, act as my/our Countability for his differenced and advocate to a sand amounts oted matter. The lat any stage of the sand and stage of the sand s	, compromise, well sell sell sell sell sell sell sell	vithdraw or refer to the above noted the authority to costs. The authority to costs.
	•	(,	1
Dated/2018	; ·		CLIENT)
		<u>AC</u>	CCEPTED
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		TAIMU	RATIKHAN
		-	e High Court

OFFICE:
Room # FR-8, 4th Floor,
Bilour Plaza, Peshawar, Cantt: Peshawar

origned

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No.106 of 2018 In Service Appeal No.749/2014

Zamir Jang, Sub Engineer

(Appellant)....

V/S

Secretary Communication & Works Department Peshawar & others

(Respondents)....


<u>INDEX</u>

S.NO.	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1	Parawise Comments on behalf of Respondent	-	1-3
ĺ	No.1 to 3		
2	Affidavit	-	4
3	Seniority list as stood on 12-12-2000	. I	5-7
4	C&W Department Appointment / Recruitment	. II	8-13
	Rules 1979		
5	Finance Department letter No.FD(PRC)1-1/2003	III '	14
	dated 06-04-2003		
6	Establishment Department letter No.SO	IV	15-16
	(PSB)ED/1-23/2002 dated 03-07-2004	'	
7	W&S Department order No.SOE-I/W&S/4-	V	17-18
	2/2003/S.S dated 04-09-2003 & No.SOE-		
	I/W&S/4-2/2004/S.S		

Deponent

Abdur Rauf, Section Officer (Litigation), C&W Department Peshawar





--- Appellant

Zamair Jang Sub Engineer C&W Highway Division Mardan

VERSUS

- Secretary to Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa C&W Department, Peshawar
- Chief Engineer (Centre)
 C&W Department, Peshawar
- 3. Secretary to Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Department, Peshawar

-- Respondents

Joint Parawise Comments on behalf of Respondents No. 1 to 3

Respectfully Sheweth

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1. The instant petition in the case of present incumbent, is not tenable and liable to rejection on the one score that he though fall @ Sl.No.107 of the seniority list as stood on 12.12.2000 (Annex-I) but he had not passed/cleared B-Grade Exam, which is pre-requisite for the grant of Senior Scale viz BS-16.
- The petition is not maintainable due to non-fulfilling of the requisite provision of the prevailing Service Rules (C&W Department Appointment/Recruitment Rules, 1979 at Annex-II).

FACTS

- 1. Correct to some extent about filing Service Appeal No.749/2014 but it is incorrect and allegedly stated passed the required departmental examination. A copy of seniority list as stood on 12.12.2000 refer to Sr.No.107, while depicts, not passed "B" Grade Exam, which is pre-requisite for the grant of Senior Scale at all.
- Correct but the decision of the Tribunal was not passed in his favour, rather it was held in Para 18 & 19 of the judgment that:

"Senior Scale admissible to Sub Engineers could only be granted and restricted to those Sub Engineers who were fulfilling the prescribed criteria in the above manner".

As expressed in preceding paras, the case of petitioner/appellant is not conforming with the rules due to not passing the Departmental B-Grade Exam for the purpose of granting BS-16, hence not admissible or entitled.



- 3. Correct to the extent that the judgment passed in 52 Service Appeals on 02.03.2016 by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal was agitated before the Apex Supreme Court of Pakistan through CPLAs, which were dismissed after taking a cognizance on the Para (s) 23, 24, 25 and 26 the relevant points by the Tribunal judgment on other matters are as under:-
 - "23. his para describes to restrict BS-16 to 25% of Sub Engineers post".
 - "24. Noticed the appointment to higher post in own pay scales, a practice ruinous to Service Rules, adopted by the Authority to either favour their nears and dears"
 - "25. Cases not warranted to Senior Scale at this stage, we therefore direct that in case a Sub Engineer not falling within the parameters of selection to senior scale on the strength of any office order or judgment of this Tribunal be dealt with in accordance with law and, recoveries be made from their pay"
 - "26. Direct that slots of the prescribed ratio available for grant of Senior Scale at the relevant times be calculated by the Department practice ruinous to Service Rules, adopted by the Authority to either favour their nears and dears".
- Incorrect. In fact the selection grade BS-16 @25% of the total posts of the Diploma Holder Sub Engineers (BS-11) was allowed by the Government with the condition that holder of the post shall be filled by selection on merit with due. regard to seniority from amongst Sub Engineers of the Department, who have passed the Departmental B-Grade Examination and have at-least ten (10) years service as such. The same facility has been discontinued by the Provincial Government w.e.f. 01.12.2001 vide Finance Deptt letter No.FD(PRC)1-1/2001 dated 06.04.2003 (Annex-III). The Establishment Deptt has issued a circular to all Administrative Secretaries and directed to clear all left over cases of Govt servants who were eligible for selection grade/move over on or before 01.12.2001 (Annex-IV). Consequently the Respondent Department granted selection grade (BS-16) to 10 Sub Engineers in the year 2003 and 2004 (Annex-V) who were eligible and posts were available/vacant before 01.012.2001. Although the name of the appellant was at Sl.No. 107 of the seniority list of Sub Engineers dated 12.12.2000, but the appellant was not considered by the Departmental Promotion Committee due to not passing B-Grade Exam which was mandatory for selection grade BS-16 at that time, therefore, in the prevailing circumstances, the plea of the appellant is infructuous.
- 5. Incorrect, the Authority processed the cases for the grant of BS-16 of those Sub Engineers who were fulfilling the requisite length of service "i.e. 10 years and passed B-Grade Exam" pursuant to the Appointment/Recruitment Rules, 1979 (C&W Department as per plaint reading of the text, appearing at Sr.No.5 invited attention of this Hon'able Tribunal to para-18 of its judgment dated 02.03.2016.

The execution petition filed by the petitioner is not conforming, not fulfilling the prescribed conditions, hence not warranted to be taken into account.

150

In the wake of above said clarifications and the record as annexed, the instant Execution Petition is liable to be dismissed with cost on the sole of this ground

and lack of merits,

SECRETARY

Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

C&W Department

(Respondents No. 1)

SECRETARY

Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Finance Department

(Respondents No. 3)

CHIEF ENGINEER (CENTER)

C&W Peshawar

(Respondent No. 2)

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No.106 of 2018 In Service Appeal No.749/2014

Zamir Jang, Sub Engineer

(Appellant)....

V/S

Secretary Communication & Works Department Peshawar & others

(Respondents)....

AFFIDAVIT

I, Mr. Abdur Rauf Section Officer (Litigation) C&W Department Peshawar hereby affirm and declare that all the contents of the reply / comments are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed.

Deponent

Abdur Rauf, Section Officer (Litigation), C&W Department Peshawar

YEAR OF-PASSING.

DEPARTMENT AS IT STOOD ON H-12-1 999.

In pursuace of sub-section (1) of section -(8) of NWFP Call Servants Act 1973. Section list-of-Sub--11 of LW Department NWFP. is it stood on 31-12-1995 a notified as under-

<u> </u>				••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-, i
	1			HONE .	DATE OF	(, , , ; 2 OP	TO CLASS	PA33IN	
SI	1 3743 m	. :	EDUL/TECH:			FEDINI "	IO Cruso.	·Grade-B	Proffi:
No	NAME		QUALIFICATION	DISTLICT	BRTH	MENT :			Exam:
	<u></u>		***		1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	::/91	
<u> </u>			В.:	Swat	5.4.43.	1.7.61	-		•
1	Fi-: Riziq -1		DL	3		• 2			
	± S∕C i						•	.,	
··· ·· .			عالات الم		antini i dalam di stribili di	1.1.73	<u>.</u>		المستحد
January da	enits in the second of		D.E (Civ:)	Malaras	. 0-0-iU				# 24 E-1
in the second of	Section 1	···.		Agy:					
	2/g		n de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell	<u> </u>					
1			Hatric Tit (Cha)	Karei	9-8-42	11-1-74	•		•
3	ji Pajo Rehman		□E (Civ:)	1/mc	• • • •		· ·		
• • •	.S/C			::					<u> Assiltas</u>
						11-74			41
	Fame Rehman-T	٠.	-10-	Peshira	2-9-45	111-/4	-		à
• •	Page Kottaran I (- -,						. //;
****	A Section 1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	:\\;'\
				NWA	20-6-51	12-74	· • •	5/90	ځا.
	Fg22 Gul-I		-00 -	24 37,524	2000		متفيد زيدات تعامدات		ction Od ction
	: S 5	•	••			1. 3			
						•.*			
	· ·					•		Ą	¥ 4 . E. P.

REMARKS

		*				a ya ka wa		(6)	B
SI No	Territ HAME	EDUL/TECH: QUALIFICATION	HOME DISTRICT	DATE OF BRITH	DATE OF APPOINT MENT	TOCLASS	YEAR OF PASSING.	REMARKS	orthography
32	S.Muharmad Tariq S/O Amazoimulk	Matric DAE(C)do-	Swat	4-4-58	30-1-₹0	- 1	:983 5/96	As Drafteman from 8-1	2-79 to 29-1-
33	Mujtaba Kanal shah S/O Ghuim Mohdishah	-do-(E)	D.I.Khan	10-4-56	17-3-50	• 	696 1297	7	
4-(-35)	Muhammai shafiq-II S/O Kala Illan Hidayanuli I	DAE (Civ.)		14-5-58 2-4-55	4-6-30 5-6-30		11-91 4/93 8-94) 5/96		100 mg 10
36	S/O Indy == lah AbdulHan== :- III S/O Abdu: Qadus	-do-	Mkd:Agcy:	12-12-55	5-6-89				
	Mutahir Kan S/O Muzanar Khan	-do-	-do-	24-60	5-6-\$)				
	Lai Badshan S/O Talab Din Sanaullah-In Tajori Zeol,	-do-	-do-	145-57	7- <u>6</u> -80	egine de la companya	8.94 5/96		
	SALUHAN- TAJOH Zery S/O Musli Khan Shakir Perve	-do-	Bannu	2-2-58 2859	.= 7-5-80 .: 3-6-80		11/91 5/96 11/91 4/93	A	
	S/O Malik Diawar Khan								
	N .				5/52				
		S to Secretary A to Additional Secretion Officers (Estimate)	relany II Works.	e Services Depa	yiairi.				

The state of the s					2 × 522
	·				(1)
	 			The same of the sa	
	Single Street Street				
			alaan ka sa ya		
		, will also significant experience of the control o	•	- ·	
	The state of the s			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
The state of the s					
	* *	•	_	WE AR OF	7
Ta Sala	• <u> </u>			THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	
	. 12	TO THE PERSON OF	o Pratitorio I.a. de	TOOL IS I TANK THE	•
	181	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	CI BRIA A. T		<u>.</u>
	The state of the s	City and an action of the			
	·		ing and the second seco	15.16.00 14 - 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	
	Ances Kaing X. Laul	BALDAE (Civo - Acidi	30-3-6-	en e	
	TILL Ances Kaint and Anne		•		7.5
M Commence of the Commence of	ent in glade . Rub Kalim	•		and the second s	
		The second section of the Particular	M41454 . 31	1. The state of th	
	315 McMerod All S	MAY DAE (Cly) Bindu			
	Marhamat Khan				77
	and the state of t	The second of th		العربية المراجع المواقع المراجع والمستويد والمستويد المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع ال ومناطقة المراجع	
				معامل موجود منظون منظون من المنظون الم - المنظون المن	the second representative and the se
The state of the s	transfer and the second se	The state of the s	المناج المتار المناز ال		to the second second
	A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF		A Promotest of	TO ASSERT TO THE STREET	
			A least the second		
国家 。 李華華斯斯斯特	A TO A CONTRACT TO STATE OF THE	The standard program is a second of the seco			
		The state of the s		a hamilia	
	Copy to the			M. Marie and Mar	
		The state of the s	Back + 11 1/ +2 1]	Continue - entire to the training	
	en in 1900-league Signatur de la Cal ler a mais de la Caller de la Caller	an of NWTP CEW Top areas at a	The state of the s	And the second	
		Soch Cay Desamest Niver	Pestrata		
	Series Control of the	Souh) C&W Départment (NYF2) rEngineurs DeviC&W Circle DE rengineurs DeviC&W Circle DE	Chan Pshawar	The second secon	2.4 (2.1) = 12 = 2 (2.2) = 1. (2.2)
	Control of the Contro	rengments Device with an Department No. 1970 in Cally department No. 1970	ver		
	Branch and Carlotte	agine or in Cally Department INFP Botor in Cally department INFP Income Wassers Bly Department	•		
	S. All Reports	(Nontraceth)C&W Deptiment	ear etc		
	California entire 6. Director VIII	(Vough perint) in the first in the contract of	سرديد في في مسلم والمسلم		
					La Caracter de la Car
			CHIEFENGI	IR (MORIH)	
	A Company of the Comp		N		
			1 1 1 1 CO	The state of the same of	ا جا چارها الصحار المؤولاتين فيستندر بطار في تمسيد بيات المشاهد المراجع المراجع في المراجع المورات المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع الم
	The fact of the second of the			A Part A Part	
		•	1 1 1 2 2 1 2 1		
			1/		
	The second secon		hig = =		, .
	A STATE OF THE STATE OF		N. II. J.		£
			• •		
	Control of the control				
			. •		
	Commence of the second	normal to the communications of the second s	MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF		
		The state of the s			The last state of the state of
	The state of the s			Andrew & Martin Committee	
444					

GOVERNMENT OF N.W.F.P.
SCRVILES, GENERAL ADMN. TOURISM & SPORTS
DEPARTMENT:

NOTIFICATION:

Dated Peshawar, the 13th January 80

No.50RI(S&GAD)1-12/74- In exercise of the powers conferred by section 25 of the North-West Frontier Province Civil Servants Act, 1973 (N.W.F.P Act XVIII of 1973), and in supersession of all previous rules on the subject in this behalf, the Covernor of the North West Frontier Province is pleased to make the following rules, namely:

THE COMMUNICATION & WORKS DEPARTMENT (RECRUITMENT & APPOINTMENT) RULES, 1979.

- (1) These rules may be called the Communication & Works Department(Recruitment and Appointment) Rules, 1979.
 - (2) They shall come into force at once.
- The method of recruitment, minimum qualifications, age limit and other matters related thereto for the posts specified in column 2 of the Schedules annexed shall be such as given in column 3 to 7 of the said Schedules.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF NUER! SERVICES AND GENERAL ADMN: DÉPARTMENT

ENDST.No. SORI (S&GAD) 1-12/74. Dated Pashawar, the 13th Jan-1980

Copy forwarded to .:-

All Administrative Secretaries to Government of NWFP.

Mil Divisional Commissioners in N.W.F.P.

Secretary to Governor, NWFP. Secretary, NWFP, Public Service Commission, Peshawar,

All Heads of Attached Departments in NWFR.

All District and Sessions Judges in NUFP. All Deputy Commissioners/Political Agents in NWEP.

Registrar, High Court, Peshawar.

Wil Saction Officers in the S&GAD.

10. Manager, Govt Printing Press Peshawar for publication in the Government Gazette. He is requested to supply 50 copies of the printed.

> : Sd/-Syed Noor Badshah Section Officer (Regulation-I)

†Λshiq*:

COMMUNICATION AND WORKS DEPARTMENT_ SCHEDULE-I_

No. gost.

No. gost.

Method of recruitment.

Method of recruitment.

Age for initial Method of recruitment.

Recruitment.

Minimum Maximum

Minimum Maximum

Since the promotion of the promotio

Ghief Engineer.

2 Superintending Engineer. Degree in Engineering from a recognized University.

Executive Engineer

By selection on merit from amongst four senior-most officers of the Department, with at least seventeen years experience as Government servant, seniority being considered only in the case of officers of practically the same standard of merit.

By selection on merit from amongst the Executive Engineers or holder of equivalent posts in the Communication and Works Department, with at least twelve years service in Grades-17 and least seniority being considered only in the case of officers of practically the same standard of merit.

By selection on merit with due regard to seniority from amongst esistant Engineers of the Communication and Works Department with at least ion and Works Service as such.

next page.

.

5.75

4. Asstt Engineer.

Senior Scale

Sab Endinser:

Degree in Civil
Electrical or Mechanical
Engineering from a
recognised University,
as may be specified by
Covernment for the
respective post.

Degree or Diploma 2 in Engineering year from a recognized University or Institution, as specified in column

Diploma in Engineering from a recognised Institute.

6: Administrative

6: Administra

21 30 (a) Seventy per cent by initial years, years.

(b) ten per cent by selection on merit with due regard to seniority from amongst Sub-Engineers of the Deptt who hold a degree; and

(c) twenty per cent by selection on merit with due regard to seniority from amonyst Senior Scale Sub Engineers of the Deott, who hold a Dicloma and have passed Departmental Professional Examination.

Tuenty five per cent of the total number of posts of the diploma holder Sub Engineers shall from the cadre of Sanior Scale Sub Engineers and shall be filled by selection on merit with due regard to seniority from amongst Sub Engineers of the Department, who have passed the Departmental Examination and have at least ten years service as such.

By selection on merit with due regard to seniority from emonant holders of the posts of Senior Superintendents, in the Jepartment.

NOTIFICATION.

Dated Peshawar, the October 18,1986

NO.SO(E)C&W/4-5/78 In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of rule 3 of the North-West Frontier Province Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1975, and in consultation with the Services and General Administration Department and Finance Department, the Communication and Works Department is pleased to direct that in the Services and General Administration Department's Notification No.SDR-I(S&GAD)1-12/74, dated the 20th Jenuary, 1980, the following amendment shall be made, namely:

AMENDMENT.

In Schedule I, for the existing entry at clause (b) in column 7 against serial No.4, the following entry shell be substituted, mamely:

10% by promotion, on the besis of seniority. cum-fitness, from emongst the Sub Engineers holding a dagrae in Engineering. Seniority to be determined from the date of acquiring, dagrae or initial appointment whichever is later.

> DNAMHOM DICAM JUDBA SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT N.W.F.P. COMMUNICATION & WORKS DEPARTMENT

Dated Peshawar, the October 18,1986. Endst.No.SO(E)C&W/4-5/78

A copy is forwarded to :-

- All Administrative Secretaries to Govt of NWFP. All Divisional Commissioners in NWFP.
- Secretary to Governor, NWFP. 2.
- Secretary to Chief Minister, NoFP. 3. All Heads of Attached Departments in NWFP.

- All District & Sessions Judges in NWFP.
 All Deputy Commissioners/Political Agents in NWFP. Secretary Nurp Public Service Commission/Registear, S/Tribunal.
 All Section Officers in S&GAD, Nurp, Peshawar.
- Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 10.
- Deputy Secretary (Works) C&W Department.

 All Section Officers in C&W Department/p.5 to Secy C&W Deptt. 11.
- The Manager, Govt: Printing & Stationery Department Peshauar. He is requested to supply 50 copies of the printed gazette. 12. 13.
- O/O file/Main file. 14.

(MIRZA BASHIR AHMAD) SECTION OFFICER(E) C & W DEBARTMENT

ASHIO

GOVERNMENT-OF N. W. F. P., CONMUNICATION & MORES DEPARTMENT.

Dated Peshawar, the 12th April, 1992.

NOTIFICATION

In exercise of the powers conferred NO.SO(E)C&W/4-5/78. by sub-rule (2) of rule 3 of the North-West Frontier Province Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989, the Communication and Works Department, in consultation with the Services and General Administration Department and the Finance Department, hereby directs that in the Communication and Works Department (Recruitment and Appointment) Rules, 1979. the following amendment shall be made. namely: -

AMENDMENT

In Schedule I, in column 7, for the existing entry at clause (b) against serial No.4, the following shall be substituted, namely:

- 5% by promotion on seniority-cum-fitness from amongst the Sub-Engineers who have acquired degree in Engineering during service.
- 5% by promotion on seniority-cum-fitness from amongst the Sub Engineers who have joined service as such, as Engineering Graduate:

Provided that if no Sub-Engineer in a category is available for promotion, the vacancy shall be deemed to have fallen to the quota of other category and shall be filled in accordingly.

> ADAM KHAN TO GOVERNMENT OF NWFF COMMUNICATION & WORKS DEPARTMENT

ENDST.NO.SO(E)C&W/4-5/78, Dated Pesh: the 12th/April, 1992.

A copy is forwarded to: -

All Administrative Secretaries to Govt of NWFP.

Secretary to Governor, N.W.F.Province.

Secretary to Chief Minister, N.V.F.Province.
P.S to Chief Secretary, N.V.F.Province.
Secretary, NVFP Public Service Commission.
Manager, Govt Printing & Stationery Deptt: NVFP.He is Manager, Govt Printing & Stationery Deptt: NVFP.He is requested to supply 50 copies of the printed gazette.

The S.O(R-II)Govt of NVFP, S&GAD w/r to his U.O.NO.SORII (S&GAD)2(1)/86(B), dated 09.04.1992.

Dy: Secretary/All Section Officers/P.S to Secretary C&W Deptt

O/O file.

HABIB*



Additional Accountant General(P.R) Sub office, Peshawa Secretary NWFP, Public Service Commission. Peshawar 10)

-11)

Registrar, peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
Registrar, NWFP Service Tribunal, Peshawar.
All Chief Engineers in C&W Department. 12)

13) 14)

All Executive Engineers in C&W Department. 15)

Consulting Architect, C&W Department: Peshawar.
Section Officer(R-VI) S&GA Department with reference to his lefter No.SOR-II(S&GAD)6(12)96, dated 19-11-1908 16)

~47).

18)

Deputy Secretary. C&W Department, Peshawar.
All District/Agency Accounts Officer in NWFP.
Manager. Government Printing & Stationery Department. He is requested to supply 100-copies of the printed Gazetted for 19) further distribution.

O/O File.

(MUHAMMAD ISHAQUE QURESHI) SECTION OFFICER 'ESTABLISHMENT)

GOVERNMENT OF NWFP COMMUNICATION & WORKS DEPARTMENT

Dated Peshawar, the January 12, 1999.

NOTIFICATION .

No.SO(E)C&W/4-5/78: In exercise of the powers conferred by sub rule (2) of rule 3 of the North-West Frontier Province Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989, the Communication & Works Department, in consultation with the services and General Administration Department and the Finance Department, hereby directs that in the Communication and Works-Department (Recruitment and Appointment) Rules, 1979, the following amendments shall be made, namely:-

AMENDMENT

In Schedule I, in column 7, for the existing entry at clause (b) against serial No.4, the following shall be substituted. namely:

- (1) Five per cent by promotion on seniority-cum-fitness from amongst the Sub Engineers who have joined service as Engineering Graduate.
- (2) Five per cent by promotion on seniority-cum-fitness from amongst the Sub Engineers who have acquired degree in Engineering during service. Inter-seseniority to be determined from the date of acquiring degree.

Provided that if no Sub Engineer in one category is evailable for promotion, the vacancy shall be deemed to have fallen to the quota of other category and shall be filled in accordingly.

SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF NWFF, COMMUNICATION & WORKS DEPARTMENT

No.SO(E)C&W/4-5/78. Dated Peshawar, January 12, 1999.

A copy is forwarded to the:~

- All Administrative secretaries to Govt. of NWFP.
- Secretary to Governor, NWFP.
- 3) Secretary to Chief Minister, NWFP.
- 4) P.S. to Chief Secretary, Govt. of NWFP.
- 5) P.S. to secretary C&W Department, MWFP.
- 6) P.S. to Minister for C&W Department.
- 7) Add1 Secretary, C&W Department, Peshawar.
- (8) Accountant General, NWFP Peshawar.

(BETTER COPY)

GOVERNMENT OF NWFP FINANCE DEPARTMENT

No.FD(PRC)1-1/2003 Dated Peshawar the April 6,2003

From Secretary to Govt. of NWFP Finance Department

Ţο

- All the Administrative Secretaries to Govt. of NWFP
- Senior Member, Board of Revenue NWFP
- The Secretary to Governor NWFP, Peshawar 3.
- The Secretary Provincial Assembly NWFP
- All Heads of Attached Department, NWFP.
- All District Coordination Officer/Political Agents/
- District and Session Judges NWFP
- The Registrar Peshawar High Court Peshawar
- The Chairman NWFP Public Service Commission. The Chairman NWFP Service Tribunal Peshawar.
- The Secretary Board of Revenue NWFP Peshawar.

10.

Subject:-

REVISION OF BASIC PAY SCALE AND FRENCH BENEFITS OF CIVIL EMPLOYEES (BPS 1-22) OF THE NWFP GOVERNMENT (2001).

Dear Sir,

I am directed to refer to this Department's letter No.FD(PRC)1-1/2001 dated Nov: 15, 2001 on the subject noted above and to say that clarification given against Para-7 (i) and (ii) may be read as under:-

"The Selection and Movcover shall stand discontinued w.e.f. 1-12-2001 in stead of 27-10-2001. The clarification issued vide the above referred letter against Para 5(1) and Para 7 (i) & (ii) stand modified to this effect".

Yours faithfully,

-Sd/-(ABDUL LATIF) DEPUTY SECRETARY (REG.)

Dated Peshawar the, April 6, 2003

Endst: No.FD(PRC)1-1/2003

A copy is forwarded for information to:-

All Autonomous/Semi Autonomous Bodies/Corporation in NWFP

-Sd/-(ABDUL LATIF) DEPUTY SECRETARY (REG.)

GOVERNMENT OF N.W.F.P.,

NO.SO (PSB) ED/1-23/2002 Dated Peshawar, the 3.7.2004



1. All the Administrative Secretaries in NWFP. 2. All the District Coordination Officers in NWFP.

3. All the Political Agents in the NWFP.

4. The Secretary Public Service Commission.

5. The Registrar, NWFP, Service Tribunal.

SUBJECT: - CUT OFF DATE FOR DISPOSAL OF ALL LEFT OVER CASES OF MOVE-OVER/SELECTION GRADE

Dear Sir.

Ίο

I am directed to refer to this department letter of even number dated 9.6.2003, 30.1.2004 and 24.4.2004 on the subject noted above and to say that the competent authority has observed that a number of working papers regarding grant of move over and Selection Grade cases are still being received which indicates that decisions taken earlier have not been implemented with letter and spirit. In order to enable the Departments to process pending cases the competent authority has been pleased to extend the cut off date upto 31.8.2004. All left over cases of Government Servants who were eligible for Selection Grade/Moveover before 1.12.2001 may be placed before PSB/DPC for consideration as per instructions/policy on the subject at the latest otherwise strict disciplinary action would be taken against the defaulting official under the NWFP Removal from Service (Special Power) Ordinance 2000. The Administrative departments are alsoadvised to furnish/weekly progress report about disposal of pending cases of Selection Grade/Move over through PSB/DPC on regular basis.

l am further directed to request that above instructions may kindly be followed by all concerned with letter and spirit. 2.

ours faithfully

(HAROON-UR-RASHID) SECTION OFFICER (PSB)

Endst: No. NO.SO (PSB) ED/1-23/2002

Dated Peshawar, the 3.7.2004

A copy is forwarded to:-

- 1. The PS to Secretary Establishment Department Peshawar.
- 2. The PS to Secretary Administration Department Peshawar.
- 3. PAs to all Additional Secretaries/Deputy Secretaries in the Establishment and Administration Peshawar.
- 4. All Section Officer in the Establishment and Administration
 - 5. The Section Officer (PR) Government of NWFP, Finance Department for information.

SECTION OFFICER (PSB)

GOVERNMENT OF N.W.F.P. WORKS & SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Dated Peshawar the 04/09/2003

ORDER

Consequent upon recommendations of the No: SOE-1/W & S/4-2/2003/S.S Departmental Promotion Committee of the Works & Services Department during its meeting held on 12.08.2003, the competent authority has been pleased to the grant of Senior Scale (BS-16) in respect of the following Sub Engineers (BS-11) of the Works & Services Department, with immediate effect:-

- Mr. Muhammad Arif, Sub Engineer O/o the KEN Dev: C&W Division Mattani at Kohat.
- Mr. Missal Khan, Sub Engineer O/o the XEN Devy C&W. Division SWA at Tank.

SECRETARY TO GOVT OF NWEP WORKS & SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Dated Peshawar, the 04.09 2003

Endst. No.SOE-I/W&S/4-2/2003/S.S

Copy forwarded to the:-

- Accountant General NWFP, Peshawarg
- Chief Engineer Works & Services Peshawar,
- Chief Engineer Works & Services (FATA) Peshawar.
- Managing Director Frontier Highways Authority Peshawar. 3.
- Deputy Secretary (Reg-III) Establishment Department Peshawar.
- Deputy Secretary (Reg) Finance Department, Poshawar.
- All Superintending Engineer W&S Department.
- District/Agency Accounts Officers concerned.
- Officials concerned.
- PS to Secretary Works & Services Department. PA to Additional Secretary Works & Services Department. 10.
- Section Officer (Estt-II) Works & Services Department.
- 12. Office Order/Personal files. 13.

(MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN) SECTION OFFICER (ESTT-I)





ORDER

No. SOE-1/W&S/4-2/2004/S.S. Consequent upon recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee of the Works & Services Department during its No: SOE-1/W & S/4-2/2004/S.S meeting held on 25/03/2004, the competent authority has been pleased to the grant of Senior Scale (BS-16) in respect of the following Sub Engineers (BS-11) of the Works & Services Department, with immediate effecti-

11011		الم المستعدد المستعد المستعدد المستعدد المستعدد المستعدد المستعدد المستعدد المستعدد
π.		Mr. Muhammad Shah. Sub Engineer O/o the Deputy Director
		City Distl. Gov! (csnava)
2.		Mr. Buland Iqhal. Sub Engineer O/o the NEN Dev: C&W Division Khyber Agency at Jamrud.
3		Mr. Hidayatullan, Sub Engineer O/o the Deputy Director-II. City Dist: Govt Peshawar.
	i .	Mr. Sanaullah, Sub Engineer, O/o the Deputy Director W&S
77.7		Lakki Marwal. Mr. Zafrullah. Sub Engineer O/o the Deputy Director W&S
	(i.	Mr. Tariq Usman.
-	7.	Sub Engineer OA Tenev at Januard. Division Khyber Agency at Januard. Mr. Muhammad Javed Rahim, Sub Engineer, O/o.the Deputy Director W&S
57.81 	S.	D.1. Khan. Mr. Jamshed Khan. Sub Engineer, O/o the Deputy Director W&S
		Bunair

SECRETARY TO GOVE OF NWEP WORKS & SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Dated Peshawar, the 19/04/3004

Copy forwarded to the:-

- 1. Accountant General NWFP, Peshawar.
- 2. AGPR, Sub Office, Peshawar,
- 5. Chief Engineer Works & Services Peshawar.
- 4: Chief Engineer (FATA) Works & Services Deptt Peshawar.
- 5. Managing Director Frontier, Highways, Amhpity Peshawar.
- Deputy Director/XEN Works & Services engerned.
- District/Agency Accounts Officers concerned
- Officials concerned.
- 9. PS to Secretary Works & Services Departmen

10. Office Order/Personal files.

NOORUL

BEFORE THE KPK, SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 106 /2018

Lamcer Jung

VS

C & W Deptt:

OBJECTION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

Preliminary Objections:

(1-2) All objections raised by the respondents are incorrect and baseless. Rather the respondents are estopped to raise any objection due to their own conduct.

FACTS:

- 1. First portion of para-1 is admitted correct, while the rest of para is incorrect as lastly the department has conducted departmental examination (B-grade) in the year 1996 and after that the department did not conduct departmental examination (B-Grade) so that the petitioner could appear in that examination. Therefore, the petitioner could not be deprived from selection grade B-16 on the basis that he has not passed departmental exam (B-grade) although he has passed higher and more though exam of professional examination (Grade-A). Moreover, the petitioner is ready to appear in the departmental examination (B-Grade), if the department manages to conduct that departmental exam.
- 2. First portion of para-2 is admitted correct, while the rest of para is incorrect Incorrect as the petitioner could not be punished for not conducting departmental examination (B-Grade) since 1996 so that the petitioner could appear in that examination and became eligible for selection grade B-16.
- 3. Pertains to record.
- 4. Incorrect. As replied in para 1 above.

- 5. Incorrect. The petitioner could not be punished for the fault of the respondent department for not conducting departmental examination (B-Grade) since 1996.
- 6. Incorrect. The petitioner could not be deprived from selection grade B-16 for the fault of the respondent department for not conducting departmental examination (B-Grade) since 1996.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on the basis of above submission the respondent may be directed to implement the judgment of this august Tribunal letter and spirit.

PATHIONER

Through:

(ZARTAJ ANWAR) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

AFFIDAVIT

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of objection on implementation report are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

PESHAWA

BEFORE THE KPK, SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 156 /2018

Lameer Jung VS C&W Deptt:

OBJECTION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

Preliminary Objections:

(1-2) All objections raised by the respondents are incorrect and baseless. Rather the respondents are estopped to raise any objection due to their own conduct.

FACTS:

- 1. First portion of para-1 is admitted correct, while the rest of para is incorrect as lastly the department has conducted departmental examination (B-grade) in the year 1996 and after that the department did not conduct departmental examination (B-Grade) so that the petitioner could appear in that examination. Therefore, the petitioner could not be deprived from selection grade B-16 on the basis that he has not passed departmental exam (B-grade) although he has passed higher and more though exam of professional examination (Grade-A). Moreover, the petitioner is ready to appear in the departmental examination (B-Grade), if the department manages to conduct that departmental exam.
- 2. First portion of para-2 is admitted correct, while the rest of para is incorrect Incorrect as the petitioner could not be punished for not conducting departmental examination (B-Grade) since 1996 so that the petitioner could appear in that examination and became eligible for selection grade B-16.
- 3. Pertains to record.
- 4. Incorrect. As replied in para 1 above.

- 5. Incorrect. The petitioner could not be punished for the fault of the respondent department for not conducting departmental examination (B-Grade) since 1996.
- 6. Incorrect. The petitioner could not be deprived from selection grade B-16 for the fault of the respondent department for not conducting departmental examination (B-Grade) since 1996.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on the basis of above submission the respondent may be directed to implement the judgment of this august Tribunal letter and spirit.

PETITIONER

Through:

(ZARTAJ ANWAR) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT &

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

AFFIDAVIT

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of objection on implementation report are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPONENT

BEFORE THE KPK, SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 106 /2018

Lamcer Jung

VS

C & W Deptt:

OBJECTION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

Preliminary Objections:

(1-2) All objections raised by the respondents are incorrect and baseless. Rather the respondents are estopped to raise any objection due to their own conduct.

FACTS:

- 1. First portion of para-1 is admitted correct, while the rest of para is incorrect as lastly the department has conducted departmental examination (B-grade) in the year 1996 and after that the department did not conduct departmental examination (B-Grade) so that the petitioner could appear in that examination. Therefore, the petitioner could not be deprived from selection grade B-16 on the basis that he has not passed departmental exam (B-grade) although he has passed higher and more though exam of professional examination (Grade-A). Moreover, the petitioner is ready to appear in the departmental examination (B-Grade), if the department manages to conduct that departmental exam.
- 2. First portion of para-2 is admitted correct, while the rest of para is incorrect Incorrect, as the petitioner could not be punished for not conducting departmental examination (B-Grade) since 1996 so that the petitioner could appear in that examination and became eligible for selection grade B-16.
- 3. Pertains to record.
- 4. Incorrect. As replied in para 1 above.

- Incorrect. The petitioner could not be punished for the fault of the respondent department for not conducting departmental examination 5. (B-Grade) since 1996.
- Incorrect. The petitioner could not be deprived from selection grade B-16 for the fault of the respondent department for not conducting 6. departmental examination (B-Grade) since 1996.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on the basis of above submission the respondent may be directed to implement the judgment of this august Tribunal letter and spirit.

PETITIONER

Through:

(ZARTAJ ANWAR) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT Ŀ

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

AFFIDAVIT

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of objection on implementation report are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPONENT

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR EXECUTION PETITION NO. 105 OF 2018 IN SERVICE APPEAL NO.1431/2013

Habibullah & 05 others

Appellants

VERSUS

 Secretary to Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa C&W Department, Peshawar & others Respondents

REPLY TO OBJECTIONS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

Respectfully Sheweth

- 1. It is clarified that the appellants were appeared in the B-Grade Exam held in the year 1996, but they did not clear the same, as evident from the result announced on 27.06.1996 (Annex-I), meaning thereby, that they were not so competent to get the facility of Selection Grade BS-16. Moreover, the Provincial Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been upgraded the posts of Sub Engineers from BS-11/12 to BS-16 exist in all Technical Department(s) having 10 years service in the cadre vide Finance Department Notification dated 07.03.2018 (Annex-II).
- 2. Incorrect, in fact that @25% of the total posts of Sub Engineer BS-11 was allowed by Provincial Government with the condition that holder of the post shall have 10 years service and passed B-Grade Exam. The facility of Selection Grade BS-16 has been discontinued by Provincial Government w.e.f. 01.12.2001 (Annex-III).
- 3. Pertained to record
- 4. Incorrect, as explained in para-1 above
- 5. Incorrect, as explained in para-2 above
- 6. Incorrect, as explained in para-2 above

In the wake of above, the instant Execution Petition is liable to be dismissed with cost on the sole of this ground and lack of merits.

Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

C&W Department (Respondents No.1)

BETTER COPY

GOVERNMENT OF NWFP FINANCE DEPARTMENT

FD/(PRC)1-1/2003, Dated Peshawar the April 6, 2003

From

Secretary to Govt of NWFP Finance Department

Τo

- 1- All the Administrative Secretaries to Govt. of NWFP
- 2- Senior Member, Board of Revenue NWFP
- 3- The Secretary to Governor NWFP, Peshawar.
- 4- The Secretary Provincial Assembly NWFP,
- 5- All Heads of Attached Department, NWFP
- 6- All District Coordination Officer/Political Agents/District and Session Judges NWFP
- 7- The Registrar, Peshawar High Court Peshawar.
- 8- The Chairman NWFP Public Service Commission.
- 9- The Chairman NWFP Service Tribunal Peshawar.
- 10-The Secretary Board of Revenue NWFP Peshawar.

SUBJECT <u>REVISION OF BASIC PAY SCALE AND FRENCH BENEFITS OF EMPLOYEES</u> (BPS 1-22) OF THE NWFP GOVERNMENT (2001)

Dear Sir,

I am directed to refer to this Department's letter No.FD(PRC)1-1/2001 dated Nov 15,2001 on the subject noted above and to say that clarification given against Para-7 (i) and (ii) may be read as under:-

"the Selection Grade and Move over shall stand discontinued w.e.f 1=12-2001 instead of 27-10-2001. The clarification issue vide the above referred letter against Para 5(1) and Para 7 (i) & (ii) stand modified to this effect".

Yours faithfully,

SD/-(ABDUL LATIF) DEPUTY SECRETARY (REG)

Endst No.FD(PRC)1-1/2003

Dated Peshawar the April 6, 2003

A copy is forwarded for information to:-

1- All autonomous/Semi Autonomous Bodies/Corporation in NWFP

SD/-(ABDUL LATIF) DEPUTY SECRETARY (REG)

USW DEPARTMENT NWFP FISHAWAR.

No. 843/4-E/ 475 /E-I(2),

Dated Peshawar the, 27/6/1996.

OFFICE ORDER.

The following Sub Engineers and members of regularized Workcharged establishment are declared to have passed Grade 'B' Departmental Qualifying Examination held on 24.3.1996 to 29.3.1996:-

Sl: Roll No. Name.	mination held Designation.	on 24.3.1996 to 29.3.1996:-
		Office to which attached.
Abdul Alego	n S/Edgr:	17.4
Abdul Saboo	or -do- (e)	Highway Division Charsadda.
M.c. Abdul Qayun	1 - do-	Dullaings Division Suchi
Mr. Abdul Wahee	ed -do-	Drags: Project Diva: No A Deal
Mr. Abdur Rehma	ndo-	TATAISTON D. T. Khana
Mr. Ahmad Khan	•	bulldings Division Mandon
Mr. Ahmad Shah	R/Inspector	" LEGAWAY Division Peahouse
Mr. Ajmal Anyan	Sub the	"AGNWAY Division Piner
TITE AKban Ali	-do-	Duriding's Division Manda-
Mr. Mamzob r		Daliangs Division Charsedan
Mr. Alamzeh-TT	-do-	Duridings Division Suphi
ME - Ashin Hungary		Dulldings Division Manda
13, 96141 - Mr. Ashia Hukawa	n. +do-	Dev: C&W Divn: ,Parachinar. Dev: C&W Division Tank.
14. 96143 Mr. Asmatualan	A.R/Inspector	Dev: C&W Division Burns
14. 96143 Mr. Asmatullah. 15. 96145 S. Attiour Pai	Sub Erigr:	7do-
s to die Action and the Action and t	do-	Bldgs: Division Timergara.
4. 1. mr au 2. 6. A. T.	-do-	Buildings Division Haripur.
70 7 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	-db-	Highway Division Mansehra.
. 40 TTT	"-do-	Blase Mainta
arang rdogr.	-do-	Blas: Maintenance Divn: No. 1 Pesl
o out t	-do	DENT DUVISION Jampua
	-do-	Dev: C&W Division D. I. Khan.
Mr. Feroz Shah.	Sunonuica-	Highway Division Mardan. Dev: C&W Divn: Jamrud.
23. 96171 Mr. Fida Muhammad	Sub Ener.	Dev. Oww Divn: Jamrud.
Ghazanfarullat	1. - 00-	Buildings Division Abbottabad.
25. 96177 Mr. Ghulam Muhamma	ad B/T	Buildings Division Bannu. r. Highway Division Mardan. Building Division Mardan.
26. 95179 Mr. Ghulam Rahim.	`	Temporaly Division Mardan
Mr. Hahihun Pak-		Duridings Division Swat
Mr. Hao Nauga Ki		AW Division Bunin
Pr. Hidavetulian		Sulldings Division Manachus
Mr. Arramida	~	Yn: SWA at manie
nr. inting Vho.	-do- B	uildings Division Bannu.
32. 96210 Mr. Inavatullah	-do-	do-
33. 96211 Mr. Inavatullab on	R/Inspector.	Buildings Division Lakki.
34. 96212 Mr. Innvetus Polymer	n.Bub Engr: !	Buildings Division Lakki. Dev: C&W Division Tank.
35. 96213 Mr. Indyatur Rehman Mr. Irshad Abroa Ka	•, -qo- H	ighway Division Timergara.
25. 96213 Mr. Irshad Ahmad Kh 36. 96218 Mr. Jamal Khan.	ando- Bu	mildings Division Battagram.
and Man.	-do- F.	M.R. (Project Cell) Peshawar.
38. 96223 Mr. John		v: C2W Division Mohmand.
96. 96223 Mr. Jehanzeb-IV.	-do=	vision Mohmand.
	Δ.()	dildings Division Banna.
	• • • F	2/2

Si. D.	•••••
Sl: Roll Name.	Plank
99. 26031 S. Kiron	Designation. Office to which attached.
	Sub Engineer. Highway Dist
	-do-
John Malaka	-do
75 75 Mr. Minaga	$B / I_{\infty} = B / I_{\infty} = B / I_{\infty}$
JULIU MANA	
	-do-
	-da
	-//^
	- EO A
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-do-
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	- 40 / D
51. 96283 Mr. Muhammad Saeed-II.	-3
- フラ・フンをG7 ML は Transment ADAb.	-do- Buildings Division Charsadia Buildings Division Charsadia
96288 Mr. Muhammad Anakasi.	RAThonas adom Peshawar
Muhamma a	Highway Division Peshawar. do- Bldgs: Profess D:
56. 96291 Mr. Muhammad Wazir.	The second of th
27. 96293 Mr. Muhama	do- Bldgs: Project Divn: 2 Peshr. Bldgs: Divn: Timergara.
58. 9629/ Mr. Muhammad Yar-I -	do- Dev: O&W Divni Miranshah. Buildians Dev.
	do- (E) Buildings Division Timergala B.P. Divis N
60. 96297 Mr. Muhammad Zahir Shah. 61. 96298 Mr. Muhammad Zahir Shah.	"in 1
61. 96298 Mr. Muhammad Zaka Khan.	The Court of the c
	a - DAVISION ALL
7 7 7 9 7 9 7 11 17 William = 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5. T. PAYM (UNITED)
The Philabell is	Dore Divn: No 2 pois
66. 967.7 Masim Ahmad.	Dilli (Polezoi II
68 96315 Mr. Naushad Khan 'TT -do	The state of the s
67 06514 Mr. Nasrullah. 68. 96315 Mr. Naushad Khan-IIdo	Highway Divn: Timergara. Blds: Dkyn: Nowshera. C&W Division Unitral.
* (''* * MAW 7') ON 43 * A	- (E) Blazz Vision Chitral
	Tags. Divn: Mensehne
71. 96323 Mr. Miamatullahdo-	Division nu
73. 96327 Mr. Nisar Ali.	11 VI SI ON V.
V (1. 96531 Mr. Name C)	nshi. Day: Call Pi
75. 95333 Mr. Oaman 7.	spector. Highway hy
76. 96338 Mr. Muhammad Rashid Butt.	- " 'V ~~'LD(D) DAAKE. '
77. 96342 Mr. Roedan Muh	Dev: CSW Division in article
1 Control 10244 Nr. Sokit vs	Buildings No.
17. 96050 Mr. Sacada	Buildings Division Timergara.
80. 95353 Mr. Saifur Rehmando-	Buildings Division Swabi.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Buildings Division Kohat.
82. 96363 Mr. Sareerud Dindo-	Dev: C&W Divn: Mattani Konat.
" JOJOJ Mr. Sanin T.	Building Park
$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$	Buildings Division Nowshera.
85. 96375 Pir Shah Wallan. Sub En	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
86. 96376 Mr. Shahand udo-	gineer Highway Division Mardan. Dev: C&W Division Tank.
-do-	B.P. Divn: No. 2, Penhawar.

	nation. Office to which attached.
89. 96383 Mr. Shansher Mando-	Highway Division Swat. Highway Division Charanda
91. 96387 Mr. Shaukatullahdo- 92. 96389 Mr. Tahin Ali Si	Dev: C&W Divn: Abbottabad. Dev: C&W Divn: Mirgshah. Highway Division Charsadda
94. 96393 Mr. Taj Malookdo-	(E) Buildings Division Nowshera. Buildings Division Banny.
97. 96412 Mr. Allfigarullah. R/Inspe	-(E) Buildings Divsion Mansehra. ctor. Highwy Division Peshawan
100. 96421 Mr. Anwar Ali. W/Super	(E) Buildings Division Charmadda.
103. 96429 Mr. Azmat Ali Shahdo- 103. 96430 Mr. Mujtaba Kamal Shahdo-	Highway Division Manschie
Sl: Roll Now	& members of regularized workcharged subject as noted against each have
1. 96102 M- 12. 22	attached. which Subjects in Remainship Attached. which failed.
2. 96103 Mr. Abdúl Hakimdo-	Highway Divn: i.Professional. Mardan. ii. Survey.
4. 96108 Mr. Abdul Waheeddo-	Mansehra. Highway Divn: 1. All (Absented subjects. Highway Divn: 1. Account (Absented subjects.)
6. 96111 Mr. Abdus Salamda-	Highway Divn: i. All (Absentee subjects.
7. 96112 Mr. Abid Hussain. S/Engr: ('E) 8. 96113 Mr. Abid Jan. W/Supdt:	Buildings Divn: i.Professionsl.
9.96114 Mr. Abidur Rehman. Sub Fake:	B.M. Divn: No.1 i. Account. Peshawar. ii. Professional. iii. Survey. Dev: CAE Divn: i. Survey.
10.96118 Mr. Ajmal Khan. W/Supervisor	Miranshah. CAW Division Chitral. i. Survey. i. Count. ii. Professional.
11. 96119 Mr. Akhar Ali. W/ aker. 12. 96121 Mr. Akhtar Hayat. R/Inspector.	Highway Divn: i. All
13-96122 Mr. Highter Nava	Dir do- ("bsentee"
	Mindelpa . 1de- (issentic)

S1: Roll Name.		• • • • • •			·
No. No.	Desi	rna- Osai			* .
	tion	na- Office	ce to which	h Subjects	_
Dia Company		attar	hed.		Remarks.
14. 961255 011 8				in which failed.	\
14. 961258-ALL Haza Gi	llani Sub	M		+ N + + GO +	
ي مرين	Eng	YMI Maint	enance/	factorial mark	
15. 96126 Mr. "li Rehma		C. D. wedu:	"ivn:Pesh	in A. A. Dinu	Jects.
	indo-	CWW D	ivision	A Company of the Comp	
	•	* * M	rvision	Account.	٠. ١
16. 95122 M		u-an		サー・ こいひんべつ	
16. 96127 Mr. Amal Khan	· Rond	T7		iii. Survey.	Louidi.
	Inspa	Highwa tor Sunt	ay Divn:	3 A77	,
17. 96128 Mr. Pir Amanu	1.0 per	tor.Swat.	•	** **** (Absentee
- · - xr · маnu.	Liahdo-	H1 phys	or Date	0 - 0 - 0) •
	•	Mardar	y Divn:	i. Account	
		41421		ニーニー だいりんりゃくつ	nol '
18. 96129 Mr. Aminud Din			. 1		
minud Din	1. Work	נע		iv. Pratical	~ T T
10 00	Superv	Highwa isor Posh	y Divn:	i. All	
19. 96130 Mr. Amir Abdul	Tiete n	isor.Peshai	war.		(#bsentee
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_{mau} •κοσ q	LJ,	_	subjects	
20. 961 31 Mr. And. An	-nspect	tor.Charsac	gya - TAII !	ido-	/Ana
20. 96131 Mr. Amir Afzal	· -do-	H (mhua-	· · · · ·		(Absentee
		Hirhwnj Swat		i · Survey	•
21. 96132 Mr. Amir Jamsh	12 5	n/kar.		ii Practical	T "
· ·	F ~ Z	Bldgs:	Divn:	A COURT	it & III.
	EUET HES	r. limerga	ra u	1. Account.	
22. 96133 Mr. Amir Muhamm		0"	- ** -	・・・「というのっと」	al.
muhamm	addo-	(41 WK)	, , ———	- Jurvey	
		CAW Div.	lsion	1. Account.	
•	,	Bunir.	i	i. Profession	
07.04			ii	i. Survey.	nal.
23. 96134 Mr. Amir Zaman.	D .		ī	v. Protiss	- 1
7 aman.	road .	Highway Time	Diune	v. Pratical-1	L. D. C.
	Inspecto	r.Timergar	.B *	i. All subjec	ats.
				,	
211 00000					•
24. 96136 Mr. Anees Kalim.	Wa-1.		•	•	•
	MK-A	B.M. Div	n: No. 2 4	H	
	Mistri.	Peshawar	•	· Account.	•
25. 96137. Mr. Anwar Zeb.					al.
nnwar 46b.	Road	High		" burvey.	•
	Inspector	Highway I - Bshawar.	ninu: T	· All Subject	te /AL
26. 96138 Mr. Arbab Man.	Want.		•	· All Subject	∞•(Absent∈
· ·	Work	C&W Divis			
27. 96139 Mr. Arshad Jared.	Supdt:	Karak.		· Practical-I	
Marca.	Work	·	r. :		
20 24	Superviso	Dev: C&W	Pivn: 1	All sujects	- (1)
28. 96142 Mr. Asifullah.	D = -				Loosentee
	Road .	Highway D	ivn:		
	ınspector	.D.I.Khan.	_ 1 .	-do-	$(^{A}$ bsente $_{\epsilon}$
29. 96144 Flr Attaullah.	Supervier.	Bldgs: D			
	, , -0 U	Homi-	lvn: i.	Account.	
		Haripur.	11.	Profession	7
30 000	•		iii.	Survey.	•
30. 96146 Mr. Aurengzeb-III,s	Sub Ro	-	•		u ·
	ou engr:	Der: CYM D	ivn:	Pros	·, ·
31. 96147 Mr. Aurangzeb-V		Orakzai Ha	ngu.	Professional	•
	.do-	C&W Divial		Practical-II	
		Malakand.			
	•	~	- i. •	** I D TO C + 1. A in 1.	Consentes
7 70 96457 34					
32. 96151 Mr. Azhar Mehmood.	-do- 1	11.5	iv.	Practical-II	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		lighway Di		Simon	
33. 96152 Mr. Azhar Obedullah		bbottabad		Practical-I	(Absentee
to name obedullar	H KKOW. I	lighway Div		AriotoMT -T (X II.
	U	·I.Khan.	(H + , 1, A,	ll subjects.	(Absenta
34. 96153 Mr. Azmat Elahi. S. Malik.	ah Enone				
Malik.	OHRTE M	ainto:/Mec	h: 1. 1	577 ans	
: 35. 96157 M		Divn: Pesh	r:	ll subjects.	17
35. 96154 Mr. Bakhtiar. Ro	ad H	ighway Di.			
I_n	spector.S	ighway Div		rofessional.	•
	 	U T	44.0	urvev.	1
	*,		. lii.Pr	actical-II.	
	•			-,	•

No. No.	Designa- tion.	Office to which Subjects Remar attached. In which
36, 96155 Mr. Bakhti Muhammad.	Road Inspector	Hishway Divn: i. Professional. Abs. Timergara. ii. Survey.
37. 96:56 Banat Khan.	-do-	Dev CAW Divn: 1 Process
38. 96157 Br. Bashir Muhammad.	-d o →	Tank. Highway Divn: Timergara.
39. 96159 Mr. Faheem Hussain.	 do-	Highway Divn: ido- Absen
40. 96160 Mr. Faisal Saeed.	S/Engr:	Rldgs: Divn: i Professional
41. 96161 Mr. Faizur. Rehman.	-d o -	Bldgn: Divis 1 All and
	-do-	B.P.Divn:No. 4
43% 96163 Mr. Farin Ahmad.	lnen	Hiffmany Diaman
44. 90164 Mr. Farzand Ali.	÷d n − \	Highway Divn: 1. Account. Absented Peshgar. 11. Professional
45. 96167 Mr. Fazli Raziq.	ada a a a a a a a a a a	B.M.Divn:No.2. i. All subjects.Abse
46. 96168 Mr. Fazli Wahab.		Highway Divn: ida- Absent
48. 96169 Mr. Fazli Wahid. E		C&W Divn: 1. All subjects.
49. 96173 Mr. Ghaniullah. S		Malakand. B.M.Divn:No. 2 i. All subjects. Peshawar.
50. 96:75 Mr. Chulam Hussain		Dev: C&W Divn: i. Professional. Parachinar. B.M.Divn: No. 2
51. 96176 Mr. Chulam Jalil.S.	- 11 - 1	B.M.Divn:No.2 i. All subjects. Peshawar. C&W Division i. All subjects. Absor
52. 96178 Mr. Chulam Qadir. R	୦ <i>2 ሺ</i>	unitral.
53. 96180 Mr. Chulam Sarwar S	nspector. Supervisor	Dev: C&W Divn: i. All subjects Absentank.
54. 96181 Mr. Gohar Aman. Ro	pad	Highway Divn: 1 A77
90182.Mr. Gul Akbard	spector.	Dev: C&W Divn: 1 All all
56. 96183 Mr. Gul Whitab. S/	Hngr:	Tank. Bldgs: Divn: i. Professional.
		wingeliff 4
.57. 96185 Mr. Gul Zamand		C&W Division i. All subjects.
96186 Mr. Habibblilahi -d.c		-do- i. Account. ii. Professional
59. 96188 Mr. Hamidullahdo		iii. Survey. B.D. D.I. Khan. i.All subjects. Absente
60,98190 Mr. Hashim Khando	ı -	Peshawar. i. Account. Peshawar. bi. Professional. iii. Survey.
the same of the sa		•••P/6

S1: Roll Name. Designa Normicetto hugarsubjects Remark tion a fattuched.	. `
fulled	`\
61. 95191 Hr. Hashing William F. Vorkit Mark Bildes: Divn: i. All subjects.	•
62.0609701 Move the professional. 1. All subjects. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2	,
Community of the second of the	•
i. All Subjects. Absent	
67. 9619731 T. H. Zou L.	•
65. 36198 White the contract of the contract o	
Road Righway Diva	
Shah. A Woodin Stengr: Bldgs: Divn.	
Dev: C&W Divn.	
69. 96201 Mr. Insanullah	
Inspector Timergara. i. All Subjects Absent	
Mansehra. i. All subjects. Absent	
Supervisor. Peshawar in Broomt. Absenter	
Bldgs: Divn:	
73. 96207 Mr. Inamullah Khan. Work Highway Divn: i. All subjects. 74. 36208 Mr. Inavetullah	
-do-	
75. 96209 Mr. Inayatullan. Road Bldgs: Divn: i. All subjects. Absente 76. 96214 Mian Telegrad D: 76. 96214 Mian Telegrad D: 77. 100-	
S/Engr: Highway Divn: i. Professional	
77. 96215 Mr. Iarar Ahmaddo- Bldgs: Divn: iii. Practical-I&II.	
-do- (ii) Bldgs: Divn.	
79. 96217 Ur. Jaffar Ali -do- Bid- Pi	
80, 96219 Mr. Jamilum Pohmon Ph. I. Khan. ii. Professional	
81. 96220 Mr. Javed Tobal Mensehra.	
Inspector Mansehra. i. Account. Absente	
Münshi. Timergara.	
Thomas I borney bivn: 1. All mibdoots	
Taker Cighway Divn: i. All subjects Absorts	
Dy. Soczo Mr. Khandad Khan. Road Highway Divn: i. All Sphiori	
36.96227 Mr. Khalilur Rehman. W/Munshido:	
i. All subjects. Absente:	
THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	

No. No.	Designa- tion.	Office to whi	ch Subjects in which failed.	Remarks
94: 96228 Mr. Khan Bad Shah.	S/Engr:	C&W Division Kohistan.	i. Account.	Absentee
88, 96229 Mr. Khanzeb.	Work Taker.	B.M. Divn: No	iii. Survey2 i. All Subje	
39. 96230 Mr. Khurshid Alam.	Road	Peshawar. Highway Divn: .Abbottabad.		1
90.00277		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
90.96233 Mr. Liagat Ali.	Road Inspector	Highway Divn: .Swat.	i. All subjec	ts.Absente
	S/Engr:	Dev: C&R Divn:		ts.Absente
92. 96235 Mr. Liagat Mohammad.	Road Inspector	Highway Divn.	i. Account. ii. Survey. iii. Practical-	•
	•		Tate Tractical	, ₩@₹₩₩₽
93. 96239 Mr. Masood Mian.	Koad Inspector.	Highway Divn:	i. All subjec	ts. Absenta
94.,96240 Mr. Mahfoozur Rehman	.S/Engr:	Blogs: Divn:	i. Profession	
95. 96243 Mrr Mir Salim Khan.	•do•./	Mardan. Bldgs: Division	n i. All subjec	•
96. 96244 Mr. Misbahullah.	Road	Swat. Highway Divn: Charsadda.	i. All subject	
97. 96245 Mr. Miskin Khan.	Supervisor	Highway Divn: Peshawar.	i. All subject	Starte
	Road Inspector	Highway Divn:	i. P-I & II.	Absentee
796 96247 Mr. Muhammad Abul S Khair.	Engr:	Dev: C&W Divn: Mohmand.	i. Practical-I	11. II.
700. 96248 Mr. Muhammad Ahsan R Rafiq. I	load F	lighway Divn:	i. Angayan	A)n = === + ==
101: 96249 Mr. Muhammad Ajmal.S	/Eggr: I	Bldgs: Divn:	ii. Professiona i. Account. ii. Professiona	1
102. 96251 Mr. Muhammad Asif. W		a.B.M. Divn:No.1	ii. Survey. i. All subject	•
103. 96252 Mr. Muhammad Aslam.S.	/Engr: E	Peshawar. Bldgs:Divn:	i. All subject	
104. 96253 Mr. Muhammad Aslam.Ro	,	ighway Divn:	i. All subject	ï
105. 96254 Mr. Muhammad Ayazd	io- Ĥ	ighway Divn:	i. All subjects	· ·
06. 96255 Mr. Muhammad Ayaz. Wo		harsadda. &W Division	u. Professional	
37. 96256 Mr. Muhammad Azam. S/	Engr: B	ldgs: Divn:	i. Account.	
	rate	1.1	i.(Professical. i. Survey.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
)8. 96257 Mr. Muhammad Fahimc	[7]	i W. Division	v. Practical-I. i. All subjects	
19. 96258 Mr. Muhammad Farooq.W	ork B	M. DIVN.Na a	i. All subjects	.Absentee

...P/3...

S1: Roll Name.		Office to while attached.	ia Which	Remark,
110% 96259 Mr. Muhammad Haron			さんま ほけいひらい	
114. 96262 Mr. Muhammad Abni Amin.	Road. Inspecto:	Highway Divo r:Charsadda	111. Practical-	
11% 96264 Mr. Muhammad Ighal	-lii.s/Eng	so: Highway Di	vn: i. Professio	nal.
1120 Sough Mr. Muhammad Ighal-	IVdo-	Bldgs: Divn: Battagram.	i. Account.	
1144 96867 Mr. Mularaud Islang.	Two et	Highway Divn:	i. All subject	
115. 96268 Mr. Muhammad Jamehi	.d. S/Engr:	ewstor"	i. All ສນຽປແຕ	ite.
117. 96271 Mr. Muhammad Joved.	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Blage: Divn:	i. A]] subjec	ts.Absente
4484, 96272 Mr. Mullemmed Nancu.	.Inspector. 	Highway Divn: Manschra.	i. Profession	21. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		C&W Divosion Malakand.	i. Professionii. Survey.iii. P-I & II.	nl. 'V
123. 36874 Mr. Muliemmod Nesson.	•	Highway Divn: Madschra.	i. All subjec	ts.Absente
		CAW Division Karak.	i. Account. ii. Profession iii. Survey. iv. Pructical-	
1121. 95275 Ma. Hoberman Rinz.	·	Bldm: Diva: Baniper,	i. Account. ii. Erofessiona iii.Survey.	Abroation
			i. Arryont. ii. Professiona iii. Survey.	
	,	lighway Divn: Swat. i	i. Account. ii. Professione ii. Survey.	
124. 96278 Mr. Nubramed Rafiq Sy Shinwari.	\$	Aldge: Dj vn: wet.	iv. Practical-I i. Account. ii. Survey. ii. P-I & II.	
425. 96279 Mr. Muharmeal Payool	. T	ighway Divn: ime rgara.	i. Account. ii. Survey. ii. Prectical-II	
126. 96280 Mr. Muhammad Sadiq.Wo.	מוכי בעמיים.	M.Divn:No. 2 Forhamor.	i. Account.	;
127. 96282 Mr. Muhammad Saeed S/1 "Kamud.	Î., s	agaah (a.	i. Account. ii. Frofessional ii. Survey.	
128, 9628/ Mir. Muhammad Sovjerc	N1.	iahway Divn: ≀ andan	i. Survey.	
129. 96230 Mr. Muhammed Shafiq-II	12.5	Pro et am marco	i.Practical_I.	
130. 96290 Mr. Muhammad Tariq.	-40- G8 Ke		i. Account. ii. Survey.	

51: Roll Name.		
No. No.	Dosigna- tion.	- Office to which Subjects in Remar. attached. which failed.
131. 96292 Mr. Muliamond Yaqoob-II.	•	Bldgs: Divn: i. Professional. D.I. Khan. ii. Pt: EI & II. Abse
132 95295 Mr. Muhammad Your		Highway Divn: i. All Absent
135. 96299 Mr. Muhammad Zuri		Pldgs: Divn: i. Subfer.
		Dev: C&W Divn: i. Account. SWA at Tank. ii. Professional.
135. 96301 Mr. Mubbrik Reb.	- Ro _{lad} - Inspector	iii. Survey. Highway Divn: i. All subjects. r.Timergana.
96302 Mr. Mukhtiar Huse	aindo-	-40
Mr. Mumtaz Ahmad.	S/Engr:	Bldgg: Divn: i. All subjects Abse
		B.M. Divn: No. 1. i. Account. or. Peshawer. ii. Professional
139. 95307 Mr. Murad Khan.	Inspector	iii. Survey. Highway Divn: i. All Absentee. Timergara. Subjects.
140. 96308 Mr. Muchtag Ahmad.	. S/Engr:	Bldgs: Divn: i. All Absentee
141. 96310 Mg. Nacem Khan.	Artificer	Highway Divn: i. All
	Road Inspector	Cold Division i. All Absentee.
193. 96346 Mi. Maveed Aktion.	March	
	S/Engr:	Highway Divn: i Survey
145. 96819 Mr. Nek Rebim.	Road	-do-
146. 96320 Mr. Nekzada.	Inspector. S/Engr:	C&W Division i Account
147. 95322 Mr. Niamatullah.	_	Molakand. ii. Practical-I. 7 CSW Division i. Account. Absentse.
148 0770"		Chitral. ii. Professional.
148. 9632" Fr. Nigarul Haq.	-do- (E)	B.M. Divn:No.2, i. Account. Absentes. Peshawar.
149. 96325 Mr. Niher Gul.	-do-	CAW Division i. All Absentee. S Chitral. Subjects Chitral
150. 96328 Mr. Noor Afzal.	Road Inspector.	Highway Divm whit wPhoness oman was a Peshaway walk in Survey
151. 96329 Mr. Noor Rehman.	ovrogr:	ON MEDICAL CONTRACTOR OF THE C
152. 96330 Mr. Noor Rehmah.	Road week	Dulars Bliggar Average States Chaireadhar II Riogeachann Rei
153. 96332 Mr. Noorzeb Khan.		The state of the s
154. 96334 Mr. Rafig Ahmad.	S/Engr:	
		Swat. Professional Tracks
	Road Inspector.	
		iii. Survey.

	Si:	Roll No:	Nam	e.		Designa- tion.	Office to which attached.	n Sull Whi	bjects in Remark, ich failed.
			•	•		2000000	Highway Divn: Kohet.	1.1.	Account. Professional.
÷					Ahmad.		Highway Divn: Charsadda:		All Absentee subjects.
•	÷				າມິອນຫາຊີ.	Work Munshi.	Highway Divn: D.I.Khan.	i.	
				Renman		S/Angr:	-do- '	i.	-do- Absentee
· ·	୍ 1⊊0 ୬	96341	Mr.	Roidar	Alam.	-do-	C&V Divn: Buniz	i	-lo- Absentee
					emin.		Bldgo: Divn: Abbottabed.	i.	Account. Professional
	•		102100	7. •		misbeciul.	Highway Divn:	i.	All subjects.
· 1. 1	169,-	96346	Mr.	Sadig S	Salim	S/Engr:	C&W Divn:Kohia	stan.	i. Professional.
٠.	ተፍ _{ጥ b}	96347	Mr.	Sadiqul	leh Khar	ado	Dev:C&W Divn: Orakzai at.Har	1.1	Account
	• , • • • •			Saeed 7		Work Munshi.	Dev: C&W Divn: Parachinar.	i	All subjects.
		,		Saced (Road Inspector.	Highway Divn: Abbottabad.	i	do- Absentee
				Safiinud	-		Highway Divn:	i.	Professional. Survey.
					issan.	S/Engr:	Highway Divn: Timergara.	. i.	Account
				Sajid I			Highway Divn: Kohat.	i.	
	170.	96356	Mī.	Salim F	Gian-IIS,	/Engr: -	Bldgs:Divn:Swa	it. j	i. Professional.
	171.	96357	Hr.	Salimul	lah,	Road	Highwey Divn: D.I.Khan.	; i.i.	Account?
	172.	96358	Mr.	Samiul	Leli.	S/Engr:	Highway Divn: Kohat.	i. ii.	Account.
	175。	96359	Mr.	Sanauli	lah.	Road Inspector.	Highway Divn: Swat.	iii.	Account. Professional. Survey. Proctical-I.
		•				Sub Fngr:	B.M.Divn:No.1, Peshawar.	i. 11.	
			-	Sardar		Inspector.	Тарк.	ii.	Account. Absentee. Professional. Survey.
		,	Minite	الباران. ♦		4	Abbottabad.	ll.	. Professmonal. Survey.
•				,			.Highway Divn:S	wat.	i.All Absentee. subjects.
	1/8.	76366	Mγ. •	Sahdab	Nagoen.	Sub Logn:	Highway Divn: Marden.	ii.	Account. Trofessional. Survey.
	179.	9636.7	Mr, Khan		drammed		Bldgs: Divn: Battagram.	i.	Professional. Survey.
	180.	96369			llah.				All subjects.
Í			. Is		*. •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

7/ 51: Roll Name		••	
No. No.	Designa- tion.	Office to whice attached.	ch Subjects in Remarks. Which failed.
181. 96371 Mar. Shah Hussain.	100000+- '	:Dev: C&W Divn:	i. All subjects.
192, 96373 Mr. Sabh Nawaz	Sub Engr:	C&W Divn: Buni	Absente
185. 96374 Mr. Sheh Room Bad Sheh.	-do-	C&W Division	i. Account.
184 00700		Chitrel.	11. Professinal. iii.Survey.
184 96377 Mr. Shakirullah.	Road Inspector.	Highway Divn:	iv. Practical-I. i. All Absentee.
185. 90380 Mr. Shamsul Haq.	-do-	Highway Divn: Timergara.	subjects. ido- Absentee.
186, 96381 Mr. Shamsur Rehman	.Work Taker.	B.M.Divn:No.2,	i. Account.
197. 90382 Mr. Shamsu Taorez.	Road '	Highway Diam	ii. Professional. ii. Survey.
188. 96385 Mr. Sher Afzal.	misher for	Timergara.	i. Professional. ii. Survey. iii. Practical-II.
Mr. Sher Ali	Sub France	-do-	i.Allsubjects.Absentee
190, 96388 Mr. Sikandar Javed.	Born Biler:	do-	±do-
199. 96391 Mian Wake Co	Inspector.		i. Practical-I & II.
	-do-	Highway Divn: Mardan.	i. Account. ii. Professional. ii. Survey.
192, 96302 Mr. Tajim Khan.	-do-	Highway Divn:S	
193, 96395 Mr. Tariq Hussain.	-do-	A.	subjects.
194: 96396 Mr. Tariq Hussain Shah.	Sub Lngr:	Bldgs:Divn:	ido- i. Account.
195. 96397 Mr. Tariq Muhammad.	-do-	i	ii. Professional. ii. Survey.
196 96308 M- 11	5	Swabi.	A. All subjects.
	Inspector.	Highway Divn: Swat.	ido- Absentee.
	•	Highway Divn: D.I.Khan.	ido- Absentee.
178, 96400 Mr. Zabardast Khan.		B.M.Divn:No.1, Peshawari	i. Professional.
199. 96401 Mr. Zafar Ali.	oub Engr	Bldgs: Divn	1+All
200, 70405 Mr. Zahid Shah.	(oad a la l	があかん バーバス・スト・オス・スペン・タン 切りがまる	MARKE LIVING COURS AND COURSE
201. 96404 Mr. Zahoor Ahmadain	UDVENET 1	等是0.500000000000000000000000000000000000	Account Mosentee
202. 96405 Nr. Zanoor Erani R	oad e e		CCOINC Wibsentee W Floressone W Bactical IV
20 3 264068 vo. Zannin vibidini S	rapectory by	ensehrak av ak	A Land Ausenteen Land
PEOPLE DE LOTATION DE LA PRINCIPALITA DE LA PRINCIPATION DE LA PRINCIP	fatta sassis S		
	公司(6.3) 在40年代本本。	LCDS: Divini Alia Brdan III III	MACCOUNT ADSENTEES CEGOLESSIONAL SULVEY IN THE STATE
	onder a strong	TO COMPANY OF THE	
	nspeology will	TO THE RESERVE THE ACTUAL TO THE PARTY OF TH	III CCOUNTY AND A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF

S1: Roll Name.		Dewigna- tion.		Subjects Remarks. In which Cailed.
200, 96409 Mr.	Zewar Gul.	Sub Engr:	Peshawar.	i. Account. Absented Professional.
207. 96410 Mr.	Ziaul Haq.	Rcad Inspector.		Pr: I&II. Absentée
208: 96411 Mr.		Sub Engr:	Bldgs: Divn: i Nowshera.	. All Absentee.
209. 96433 ME	Molahicaran Karan	-do-	Malakand. ii.	Account. Professional. Pt: I & II.
210. 96414 Mr.	Nasir Ahmad.	Road Inspector.	Dev: C&W Divn: i Knyber.	. All subjects.
217. 96417 Mr. 212. 96418 Mr.	Manzoon Elahi. Zia Jan.	Sub Engr: -do-	Konistan. Bldgs: Diwn: i	Professional. Account. Practical-I.
215. 96420 Mr.	Muhammad Arif-	IIIdo- (M)		. Professional.
214 _p 96422 Mr.	Tila Muhammad.	Work Supervisor.	B.M.Divn:No.1, i Peshawar.	All subjects.
235 _a 96423 Mr.	Shah Tehmas.	S/Engr:	Mechanical Divn: Bannu. i	i. Account. i. Professional. i. Survey
215. 96424 Mr.	Bakkshi Badsha	hdo-	C&W Divn: i. i. i. Malakand.	. Professional.
	Nadeem Ahmad	_do-' (M)	Dev: C&W Divn: i Orakzai Hangu.	. Professional.
218, 96426 Mr.		Road Inspector.	C&W Division a Malakand.	. All Subjects.
219. 96431 Mr.	Abdul Hemid.	S/Engr:	-do- 1	. Professional.

M/S Amjad Ali (Roll No. 95135), Hamraz Khan (Rell No. 96189), Liagat Ali (Roll No. 96232), Masihur Rehman (Roll No. 96238), Shah Faisal RRoll No. 96370) & Shahid Nawaz (Roll No. 96372) have failed. However being Graduate Sub Engineers they are exempt from passing Grade 'B' Departmental Examination. Their names have therefore been deleted from the result.

The name of Mr. Gul Malook Sub Engineer (Foll No. 96184) was included in the candidature list. He absented from the examination and as such failed. However, it has been found that he had passed Departmental Grade B' Examinatio on 17.11.1991 under Roll No. 460. Hence his name has been deleted.

Mr. Fazli Rabbi Tracer Highway Division Peshawar (Roll No. 96427) des. not eligible to take the Departmenral Grade B' Examination. Therefore his name has been deleted.

Copy to the: -

1. Secretary to Govt: of NWFP C&W Department, Pesha 2. All Chief Ebgineers in C&W Department, N.W.F.P.

3. All Superintending Engineers in C&W Department, N.W.F.P.

Executive Engineers in . C&W Department, N.W.F.P.

P.F. of the official concerned.



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT

(REGULATION WING)

No.SO(FR)/FD/7-13/2017/6253 Dated Peshawar, the 07-03-2018

NOTIFICATION

NO.FD/SO(FR)7-13/2017/6253. In pursuance of the upgradation committee and approval granted by Competent Authority, sanction is hereby accorded to the upgradation of the post of Sub-Engineers from BPS-11/12 to BPS-16 (one time) as personal to the incumbents having 10 years or more service at their credit in the same scale in all the Government Departments of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, with immediate effect.

SECRETARY TO GOVT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Endst No. & Date even.

Copy of the above is forwarded for information and necessary action to the: -

- PS to Additional Chief Secretary, FATA. 1.
- All Administrative Secretaries Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 2.
- Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar. 3.
- Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 4.
- Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar 5.
- Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 6.
- Secretary Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 8. All Heads of Attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- Registrar, Service Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 10.
- The Treasury Officer, Peshawar. 11.
- All District/Agency Accounts Officers in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa / FATA.
- 13. Director Local Fund Audit, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- PS to Finance Secretary. 14.
- 15. All Section Officers/Budget Officers in Finance Department

SECTION OFFICER (FR)

To be substituted with this Department's Notification of even number and date



GOVT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA COMMUNICATION & WORKS DEPARTMENT

Dated Peshawar, the April 30, 2018

NOTIFICATION

No.SOE/C&WD/4-2/2018: Pursuant to Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Judgment dated 02.03.2016 upheld by Supreme Court of Pakistan vide its order dated 13.02.2017 duly opined by Law Department and in consultation with the Departmental Promotion Committee, the Competent Authority has been pleased to grant Senior Scale/selection grade BS-16 in respect of the following Sub Engineers of C&W Department w.e.f. 04.09.2003 i.e. the date on which their juniors were awarded Senior Scale (BS-16) or from the dates they become qualify, whichever is latter, as per prevailing policy.

 . 1.	Muhammad Zubair	2.	Muhammad Akram	3.	irshad Ahmad
4	Abdul Qayum	5.	Abdul Faroog	6.	Saeedullah
7.	Ghulam Oadar (rtd)	8.	. Muhammad Idrees Alizai	9.	Khalid Naeem
10.	Syed Tariq Mehmood	11.	Muddasar Saghir (rtd).	12.	Zahir Gul (rtd)
13.	Muhammad Zaka Khan	14.	Muhammad Saeed	15.	Aurangzeb
16.	Daulat Khan (rtd)	17.	Naseem Ahmad	18.	Abdu <u>r Rah</u> im (rtd)
19.	Sarfaraz Alam (rtd)	20.	Niaz Muhammad	21.	Riaz Ahmad (rtd)
22.	Zulfigar Ahmad	23.	Syed Abdullah Shah	24.	Yousaf Ali
25.	Syed Qasir Shah	26.	Syed Nawazish Ali Shah	27.	Abdul Qayum
28.	Muhammad Hamid Zia	29.	Mian Jehanzeb	30.	Zia-ud-Din
31.	Malik Arif Saeed	32.	Muhammad Shakeel Athar	33.	Said-ul-Ibrar
34.	Muhammad Khalil Noor	35.	Muhammad Shafiq	36.	Fazal Mehmood
37.	Taj Muhammad (rtd)	38.	Sabit Khan (rtd)	39	Liaqat Shah (rtd)
40.	Noor-ul-Basar	41.	Muhammad Javed	42.	Ghulam Rahim
43.	Lal Badshah (rtd)	44.	Inam-ul-Haq Babar	45.	Fazal Rehman
46.	Syed Azmat Ali Shah	47.	Saif-ur-Rehman	48.	Ajmal Khan
49	Abdul Waheed	50.	Abdul Khalil	51.	Hassan Jan
- 52:	Roidar Muhammad	. 53.	- (11 1)	. 54.	Sibghatullah
55	Muhammad Ghazanfaru	•			

2. The posts shall automatically stand downgraded to their original status as and when vacated by the present incumbents.

SECRETARY TO
Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Communication & Works Department

P.T.0

Endst of even number and date

Copy is forwarded to the:-

- 1... Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar
- 2. Accountant General PR (sub office) Peshawar
- 3. Secretary to Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Establishment Department Peshawar.
- 4. Secretary to Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Department Peshawar.
- 5. Secretary Admn, Infrastructure & Coord FATA Sectt, Warsak Road, Peshawar.
- 6. Chief Engineer (North/Centre/QDO) C&W Peshawar
- 7. Chief Engineer (East) C&W Abpottabad
- 8 Chief Engineer (FATA) W&S Peshawar
- 9. Managing Director PKHA, Peshawar
- 10. All Superintending Engineers concerned
- 11. Section Officer (FR) Finance Department, Peshawar
- 12. All Executive Engineers concerned.
- 13. Accounts Officer C&W Department, Peshawar
- 14. Registrar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar.
- 15. District Accounts Officers concerned.
- 16. Agency Accounts Officers concerned.
- 17. Officials concerned.
- 18. PS to Secretary C&W Department, Peshawar.
- 19. PA to Additional Secretary C&W Department, Peshawar.
- 20 PA to Deputy Secretary (Admn), C&W Department Peshawar.

21. Office order File/Personal File.

(ABDUR RASHID KHAN) SECTION OFFICER (Estb)



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

No.SO(FR)/FD/7-13/2017/6253 Dated Peshawar, the 07-03-2018

NOTIFICATION

NO.FD/SO(FR)7-13/2017/6253. In pursuance of recommendations of the upgradation committee and approval granted by Competent Authority, sanction is hereby accorded to the upgradation of the post of Sub-Engineers from BPS-11/12 to BPS-16 (one time) as personal to the incumbents having 10 years or more service at their credit in the same scale in all the Government Departments of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, with immediate effect.

SECRETARY TO GOVT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Endst No. & Date even.

Copy of the above is forwarded for information and necessary action to the:

- 1: PS to Additional Chief Secretary, FATA.
- 2. All Administrative Secretaries Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 4. Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 6. Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 7. Secretary Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 8. All Heads of Attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 9. Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- .10. Registrar, Service Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. The Treasury Officer, Peshawar.
- 12. All District/Agency Accounts Officers in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa / FATA,
- 13. Director Local Fund Audit, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 14. PS to Finance Secretary.
- 15. All Section Officers/Budget Officers in Finance Department

SECTION OFFICER (FR)