Counsel for appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Hon'ble Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and more particularly, by the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar high Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Hon'ble High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honored and implemented by the respondent within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

File be consigned to the record room.

Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir Member (E)

ANNOUNCED 13.10.2020

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court or_			·
	0/00		
se No	7/5/50	/2020	

	Case No	/2020	
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge	
1	2	3	
1-	17/08/2020	The appeal presented today by Mr. Noor Muhammad Kh Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to	
		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on 14)10)20	
		MEMBER(J)	

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. /2	2020
---------------	------

NANDARA BEGUM Bib

VS

EDUCATION DEPTT:

<u>INDEX</u>

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal	*********	1- 3.
2.	Notification	A	4.
3.	Pay slips	B&C	5- 6.
4.	Service Tribunal judgment	D	7- 8.
5.	Departmental appeal	E	9.
6.	Vakalat nama		10.

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK
ADVOCATE
OFFICE: Flat No.4, 2nd Floor,

OFFICE: Flat No.4, 2nd Floor,
Juma Khan Plaza,
Near FATA Secretariat,
Warsak Road, Peshawar.
0345-9383141

Note: Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After Admission of the case.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, **PESHAWAR**

9 30/2020 Khyber Pakhinkhwa Service Pribunat

Miss. Nandara Bibi, PST (BPS-12), GGPS Asil Kor, District Mohmand.

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS **BY ILLEGALLY AND** UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER SUMMER <u>VACATIONS</u> AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as PST (BPS-12) quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

Fliedto-day

Registrar 17/8/20

- 5- That appellant also filed Departmental appeal before the appellate for redressal of his grievances in light of the principle of consistency but no reply has been received from the quarter concerned. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
- E- That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months

and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspects and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.

- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT

NANDARA BIBI

THROUGH:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK

ITR ZAMÁN S

ADVOCATES

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20.12.2012

The Secretary to Govt: of Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- 1. All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2.. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- The Secretary to Governor, Knyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- -5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 8. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
 - 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - H. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhunkhwa.

Subject: REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS-1-19

Dear Sir, · · .

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance/revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain un-

S.No. BPS		
1. 1.2	Existing Rate (PM)	Revised Rate (PM)
2. 5-10	1 100 1,2001-	Rs. 1,700/-
3. 11-15:	Rs. 1.500/-	Rs. 1.840/-
4 [6-19	Rs. 2:000/-	Rs. 2,720/-
110-17	Rs. 5,000/-	Rs. 5.000/-


2. Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary: Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December, 2012

ATTESTED





GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER MAXIMUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WITH GY -

NO FEISCISK IN 3-52221 Dated Pashawarthe: 20-12-2012

ীনত উল্লেছায়ন্দ ভেডিলো ও মান্ত্ৰন প্ৰথমবাল্যান্ত্ৰ ಗಿಗತನ**ು** ರಕ್ಷುತ್ರಮನ್ನನ್ನು <u>Parfiayear,</u>

Tót

Àll Àsmalistic (१८००वर्ड २५ के एक क्षेत्र के क्षेत्र के क्षेत्र के क्षेत्र के क्षेत्र के क्षेत्र के क्षेत्र के

The Series Wember, Based ज Reserved क्रिकेट्स हेर्न्ड संस्थान स्टब्स

िक डेन्ट्रप्रहार के Gerego रहा हुई। हुई। हुई।

रित्र वेद्या स्टम् । व टिन्डिक्षिण्डस्ता समृद्धः निर्मावानेकान्त्रः रित्र वेन्द्रात्त्रस्य स्थानिक स्थान्यम् । स्थितिक स्वास्त्रस्य

नी। महादेश में नेहादमेश्व प्रेस्ट्राचनकारी महादेशीय है विद्याचित्र कार्य

AT District Countries on Office the Kings of Palific Alexander.

ර්ම Policial Agents / District & Sarahors රුද්දුල් හා නිර්ලාල ඉඩවැන්නේ සුම

^{तित्}र निष्कुं अंदर्ग, निष्क्रोप्रकार संदूध दि<mark>रुद्धा, प्रेर्ट</mark>ाचेनुहार

ಿಗಳ ೧೯೨೮ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲವೇರ Gererox Certificates, ನಿಗ್ಗಾರಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಯಗಳಿಗಳು ನಿರ್ವ ೧೯೫೮ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ಕಾರಣ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕ ಸಂಘಟನೆಗಳು ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕ ಸಂಘಟನೆಗಳು

ತೀನ,ಕಿಲ್ಲೇ

REVISION IN THE PATE OF CONVEXANCE ALLOWANCE EDECTHE <u>्रेर्प्य सम्बद्धाः स्ट्रियान् ।</u>

2697 Str.

The Government of Youter Pathographic than been personally entering revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance achievative all the Armange Guil Surbound Guille が、Amber Pathananus (no,向前 a area in 都を信) well from it Sectionals . 過忆者 र्जेश (charling rates). However, the conveyance allowards for employees in \$754,\$ का \$954.ई का uali remietri · mikinggang ...

•			
SNO	, 825	ECISTING RATE (PH) - TRE	VISED RATE (PH)
· i.	1-	\$\$.1.500/-	Rs.1,700/
<u> </u>	5-10	93.1,500/-	R3.1.840/-
·	11-15	Fs.2,600;	TS.2.720/-
	15.19	84,5,0007	R\$.5.000/-

के क्षीड़िस्टाकेट वर्ष विकास तीति हैं है है है के कि क्षिक्ष के कि इस्तार के कि इस्तार है के कि १९७५ स्टेस्टर १, १८ इन्ट १५ वर्षस्टा अस्त १२५० वर्ष रेस्टर इसेटरिक्ट ऑस्ट्रेस अस्टिस

Yours Falth的时,

Saniomes Saco Alumadi Sacialary निकास


Heast NO. POSCHSRATIONS

Dallel Personanche Die Trecomber, Wiff

à Cepy is terwarded for informationa citiet.

ರಾರ್ಯವನ್ನು ವಿರ್ವಹದ್ದು ಸರೀವರ್ಷ ಸಂಭಾವತಗಳ ಹಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತೇತ್ರಗಳು ಆವರ್ಷಕರ 10 G5ಕ್ಕವಾಗುಹತ ದೇ ಸಂಭಾವ ಭಾವತ್ರಿಕೆ ಮೈದ್ರಸ್ಥೆಕರ್ಮ, ಗೌಗ್ಯಾಚ್ರಕ್ಕ ವಿಸ್ತರಕ್ಕೆಗಳು

UNTIAZAVEB




PAYCENT ADVICE

B

EH-S FEA COIL MAINTE	P Con Oak Mande of Cour
e: #: 00103576 Buckle: PRIMARY SCHOOL TEACHER	MG00(-Agency Education Office Min. Of K.A & N.A & S.F.R NTN: GPE : 3194/CSS
CHI GD. 1730185744154 CPF Emberest Applied	Old BESTT CODE
15 Active Permanent P-YS AND ALLOWANCES: O01-Rasic Pau O00-House Rent Allowance 300-Medical Allowance 528-Unattractive Area Allow F148-15% Adhoc Relief All-2013	#600% - 36 070.00 2 349.00 1 500.00 1 000.00
1179-Adhoc Relief Allow @10X 1211-Adhoc Relief All 2016 10X 1224-Adhoc Relief All 2017 10X 1247-Adhoc Relief All 2018 10X Bross Fay and Allowances [DUCTIONS:	750.00 503.00 254.00 3 607.00 3 607.00 5 ,940.00
T Payable 0.00 Deducted PF Balance 344,574.00 [661-E.E.F (Exchange) 701-Benevolent Fund(Exchange) 795-R. Fen & Death Comp(Exch)	250.00 TAX:(3509) 20.00 890.00 100.00 600.00 600.00
Total Deductions:	NET ADOUNT PAYABLE , 210.00
YRS SON D.B. B.	47,730.00 FP Quota.

NATIONAL BY K OF PARSHAGOADAR



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBLE PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

Mr. Magsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar.....

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

.....RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-400F THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount Conveyance allowance which have been deducted Facto-daypreviously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH:

ATTESTON FACTS:

ce Tribenai

2-4/18/19

1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.

> 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

> > and hear areal

11.11.2019

Affect No. 1452/2019 Markad Hayat vs Gert

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)@S/2016 which was handed down on 03.12:2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil-servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawars High Court passed on 01:10-2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal...

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ-Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court, during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract. over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passedin Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED

1.11.2019

ertified to he ture cops

MILLIAM

To,

The Director, (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.



Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER &

SUMMER VACATIONS

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as PST (BPS-12) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-16 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R) CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & summer vacations.

Dated: 20.04.2020

Your Obediently

NANDARA BIBL PST GGPS Asi Kor, Mohmand

ATTESTED

VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

	OF 2020
Nandara Bibi	(APPELLANT)(PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)
<u>VERSUS</u>	
Education Department	(RESPONDENT)(DEFENDANT)
Advocate, Peshawar to appear, plead, a refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our C noted matter, without any liability for his de engage/appoint any other Advocate Cou authorize the said Advocate to deposit, with behalf all sums and amounts payable or de the above noted matter.	consel/Advocate in the above fault and with the authority to unsel on my/our cost. I/we thdraw and receive on my/our
Dated/2020 NOOR I	CLIENT ACCEPTED MOHAMMAD KHATTAK MIR ZAMAN SAFI

ADVOCATES

OFFICE:

Flat No.4, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, Near FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road, Peshawar. Mobile No.0345-9383141