

SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE(A), TANK

Phone # 0963-510824, Fax #0963-510170 Email: scj.tank@gmail.com



No. 353 /SCJ(A)

Dated Tank the 27^{th} March, 2023

To.

The Registrar,

KPK, Service Tribunal

Peshawar.

Put up to the court will William

Subject:

SUBMISSION

OF

APPLICATION

RESTORATION OF DEFENCE AND REPLY OF

SERVICE APPEAL NO.7567/2021.

Kindly find enclosed herewith an application for restoration of defence of respondent No. 5 in pending service appeal No. 7567/2021 and also reply of the same alongwith four separate copies for necessary action and its placement on relevant record.

Sincerely yours

Senior Civil Judge(A), Tank

HAFIZ AURANGZEB KHAN) Senior Civil Judge (Admn) Tank

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No: 7567/2021

Muhammad Riaz

VERSUS

Qudrat Ullah & 04 others

APPLICATION UNDER RULE 27 OF THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL RULES 1974 FOR THE PURPOSE OF RESTORATION OF DEFENSE

Respected Sir,

- 1. That instant service appeal is pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Tribunal which is fixed for hearing on 21.03.2023.
- 2. That respondent no. 5 was not served upon, therefore, could not attend the proceedings. Law favors the adjudication of disputes on merits rather than be knocked off on the basis of technicalities. The instant application is accompanied by the reply/comments; therefore, the defense of the respondent no. 5 may kindly be restored in best interest of justice.

It is, therefore, requested that application may kindly be accepted and the defense of respondent no. 5 may kindly be restored in the best interest of justice.

HAFIZ AURANGZEB KHAN

(HAFIZ AURANGZES KH Senior Civil Judge (Admin) Senior Chil Judge (Admn.). District Tank

(Respondent No. 5)

Dated: 20 / 63 / 2023

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No: 7567/2021

Muhammad Riaz

VERSUS

Qudrat Ullah & 04 others

PARA WISE REPLY ON BEHALF OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE (ADMIN) TANK (Respondent No. 5)

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:

- 1. That the instant service appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 2. That the instant appeal is time-barred as well as the representation petition of the appellant; as the parties had been appointed on 10.12.2014 on the recommendations of Departmental Selection Committee (D.S.C).
- 3. That earlier on 19.07.2018, the appellant has already agitated the same issue before the District & Sessions Judge Tank via a written application and such representation had been dismissed in the light of report dated: 09.10.2018 against which the appellant never filed any departmental appeal and, thus, those proceedings have attained finality.
- 4. That appellant, before approaching to this hon'ble Tribunal, has filed Departmental Appeal No. 03/13 of 2020 before Hon'ble District & Sessions Judge Tank which has been withdrawn by the appellant; thus appellant is not entitled to file service appeal when departmental appeal has been withdrawn by him.

ON FACTS:

- 1. That para no. 1 needs no reply.
- 2. That para no. 2 is correct.
- 3. That para no. 3 is correct.
- 4. That para no. 4 is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied.

 The seniority list of subordinate staff has been compiled with

great care, caution and due attention. The representation petition of appellant dated: 31.01.2020 has been rightly dismissed by learned Senior Civil Judge (Admin) being time barred in the presence of appellant and decision was so announced because, earlier on 19.07.2018, the appellant had already agitated the same issue before the District & Sessions Judge Tank via a written application and such representation had been dismissed in the light of report dated: 09.10.2018 against which the appellant never filed any departmental appeal and, thus, those proceedings have attained finality. **Application/representation dated:** 19.07.2018 of appellant is annexed.

- 5. That para no. 5 is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied. Order dated: 01.02.2020 was announced in the presence of appellant.
- 6. That para no. 6 is correct. Furthermore, it is note-worthy to mention here that departmental appeal was withdrawn vide Order dated: 11.09.2021. Thus, instant Service Appeal is not maintainable especially when departmental appeal has been withdrawn.
- 7. That para no. 7 is correct to the extent that appellant has withdrawn his departmental appeal but rest of the para is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied. The appellant cannot file service appeal when departmental appeal has been withdrawn.
- 8. That para no. 8 is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied. As departmental appeal has been withdrawn by the appellant, he is precluded from filing service appeal before the hon'ble Tribunal.

ON GROUNDS:

- A. That para A is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied. The seniority list of subordinate staff has been compiled with great care, caution and due attention.
- B. That para B is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied. The appellant along with other respondents were appointed vide single appointment Order on 10.12.2014. Earlier on 19.07.2018, the appellant has already agitated the same issue before the competent authority and such representation had been dismissed against which the

- appellant never filed any departmental appeal and, thus, those proceedings have attained finality. Moreover, Order dated: 01.02.2020 is a well-reasoned Order which was passed on second representation petition of appellant and announced in presence of appellant.
- C. That para C is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied. The appellant is alleging the seniority lists of the years 2018 & 2019 to which the appellant has no concern whatsoever. When the parties were appointed, their seniority list was prepared and circulated among themselves in due course regarding which the appellant kept a mum since 2018 and filed representation petition firstly on 19.07.2018 and secondly on 31.01.2020. And if their seniority list was not provided to them after their initial appointment, the appellant too, didn't bother to fetch it copy from the office to examine it. There is nothing on the record to satisfy this hon'ble court that the appellant had ever tried to get attested copy of the seniority list and he has been denied so.
- D. That para D is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied. The parties were appointed in the light of Peshawar High Court (Subordinate Court Staff) Recruitment Rules 2003 read with (appointment, Civil Servants Pakhtunkhwa promotion and transfer) Rules 1989. The procedure for appointment of process servers is provided under Peshawar High Rules 2003. According to Peshawar High Court Rules 2003, over all merit of the candidates for the post of process server is based on "Academic marks + Higher Qualification + Experience + Test + Interview". The appellant can be given advantage of his Older Age in case of merit ties between two candidates. If one candidate attains higher marks being younger in age will stand above the others Older in age to him. Minutes of the Meeting are annexed.
- E. That para E is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied. The representation petition of appellant was decided by learned Senior Civil Judge (Admin) in the presence of appellant and decision was so announced, thus, the representation petition dated: 31.01.2020 is badly time-barred.
- F. That para F is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied.

 Appellant was very well-aware of the whole proceedings carried so far and remained indolent and sleeping over his

rights, therefore, cannot claim his rights at this stage when service appeal is not maintainable.

- G. That para G is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied. The appellant is never victimized. All the recruitment proceedings were conducted meritoriously by the Departmental Selection Committee (D.S.C). District Judiciary, being the custodian of justice, fairness and equity, cannot think of otherwise. The solemnity of selection proceedings conducted by the Departmental Selection Committee (D.S.C) as well as the record whereby the merit was prepared has never been challenged by the appellant before any competent forum.
- H. That para H is incorrect, misconceived, hence denied.

It is, therefore, requested that the appeal may kindly be dismissed.

HAFIZ AURANGZEB KHAN

(HAFIZ AURANGZEB KHAN)

Senior Chair Judge (Admn) Jap istrict Tank (Respondent No. 5) Tank

Dated: 20 / 63 / 2023

درخواست براد: - که دومات دی ، میرف کست بیماره طان مین من ساق کو دست در میم عاند کا میم طادر نسرای -

Ule ji

مؤدمانه گلارش که کم من سالی عد دیگر سیار افواد کے مورف بالاق ا کولطور بهاره محرق ہوئے تھے۔ سن سائی دیگر جارا افواد سے

المکارس - جسہ موجودہ سرٹ لسٹ بیارہ کان میں اس

سائی کو بان جار المکاروں سے جو سیر کرمالیا سے - جوتہ

سائی کو بان جار المکاروں سے جو سیر کرمالیا سے - جوتہ

مالا من سائی بان جار افراد سے سینا رئی میں منسوف کا

مالا من سائی بان جار افراد سے سینا رئی میں منسوف کا

مالا من سائی بان جار افراد سے سینا رئی میں منسوف کا

حاصل میں بنریم جرمیار ال میں منسان نے مترفوی میرو مرسوس توامین کارمیں

حاصل میں بنریم جرمیار ال میں منسان نے مترفوی میرو مرسوس توامین کارمیں

ماکن اس میں میں کردے میر کرنا کا حکم معادر حرماش کی سین کا دیا معادر حرماش کی سینکی نا میں میں در حاش کی سینکی نا میں جا کہ کارمین کی دیا کا حکم معادر حرماش کی سینکی نا میں جرماش میں میں نا میں نے دیا کہ سینکی دیا کا حکم معادر حرماش میں سینکی نا میں نے دیا کہ رہیا گلا حکم معادر حرماش میں سینکی نا میں نے دیا کہ رہیا کا حکم معادر حرماش میں سینکی نا میں نے دیا کہ رہیا گلا حکم معادر حرماش میں سینکی نا میں نے دیا کہ رہیا گلا حکم معادر حرماش میں سینکی نا میں نے دیا کہ رہیا گلا حکم معادر حرماش میں سینکی نا میں نے دیا کہ رہیا گلا حکم معادر حرماش میں میں نا میں نا میں نے دیا کہ رہیا گلا حکم معادر حرماش میں میں نا میں نے دیا کہ رہیا گلا حکم معادر حرماش میں دیا گلا رہیا گلا کیا کہ میں کیا گلا کیا کہ میا کیا گلا کیا کہ میں کیا کہ کارمیا کیا گلا کیا کہ میا کہ کیا کہ کیا کہ کیا کہ کارمیا کیا گلا کیا کہ کیا کہ کارمیا کیا گلا کیا کہ کیا کہ کیا کہ کیا کہ کیا کہ کارمیا کیا کہ کیا کہ کیا کہ کیا کہ کارمیا کیا کہ کرنا کا کہ کیا کہ کارمیا کیا کہ کرنا کیا کہ کیا کیا کہ کیا

Sit Tank Per report.

il fot vije inge ingelisot iste

05 0:

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE DEPARTMENTAL SELECTION COMMITTEE (DSC) HELD IN THE CHAMBER OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, TANK ON 10TH DECEMBER, 2014.

A Meeting of Departmental Selection Committee (DSC) was held in the Office of the Senior Civil Judge Tank on 10th December 2014. The following attended.

- 2. Raja Muhanimad Shaaib Khan
 Civil Judge DIK......Member/Nominee of Peshawar High Court Peshawar.
- Mrs. Tania Hashmi
 Civil Judge I Tank........Member / Nominee of Senior Civil Judge, Tank
 The applicants/candidates for recruitment to the following posts were considered.

4. PROCESS SERVER BPS-03:-

In response to the advertisement <u>428</u> applications were received for appointment on five (05) vacancies of Process Servers. <u>305</u> applicants were found eligible out of these and same were called for screening test. <u>292</u> candidates appeared in the screening test, out of which <u>47</u> cleared the test. They were called for the interview. After interview the committee thus unanimously recommended the following for appointment as Process Servers (3PS-03).

S.No	Name/Father Name	Post/BPS	Resident of
ı	Qudrut Ullah Son of Sarwar Jan	Process Server/BPS-03	Village Muhammad Akbar,
2	Muhammad Mubashar Kamal son of Khizar Hayat	Process Server/BPS-03	Village Dolat Khan Kamala.
ξ\$	Lauseef Waqar son of Zulfiqar	Process Server/BPS-03	Mohallah Qutab Colony Fank City.
-1	Ashfaq Ahmed son of Meharban	Process Server/BPS-03	Mohallah Qutab Colony Fank City.
5	Muhammad Riaz son of Ghulam Qadir	Process Server/BPS-03	Mohallah Illahi Abad Tank City.

2.NAJB OASID BPS-02:-

In response to the advertisement <u>305</u> applications were received for appointment on one (01) vacancy of Naib Qasid. <u>13</u> applicants were found inetigible out of these and <u>292</u> candidates were called for the interview. <u>188</u> appeared in interview. The committee thus unanimously recommended the following for appointment as Naib Qasid (BPS-01).

Minustry Lou

<u>2022</u> 2037

2035 -2038

2-2034

)1-2034 03-2043 -01-2048

-05-203 -02-205

3-06-20 3-05-20

0-10-20

15-03-2

25-12-2 25.12. 04.04.

05,04



3. SWEEPER BPS-01:-

In response to the advertisement <u>75</u> applications were received for appointment on one (01) vacancy of Sweeper. <u>04</u> applicants were found ineligible out of these and <u>71</u> candidates were called for the interview. <u>23</u> candidates were absent. The committee thus unanimously recommended the following for appointment as Sweeper (BPS-01)

S.No	Name/Father Name	Post/BPS	Resident of
1.	Muhammad Yousaf son of Muhammad Jan	Sweeper/ BPS-01	Garrah Hayat Tehsil&District
1	1		Tank.

The meeting ended with a note of banks.

(Nadcent Muhammad) Senior Civil Judge Tank/ Chairman

Raja Muhammad Shoaib Khan (Nonince of Peshawar High Court Peshawar)

(Mrs. Tania Hashmi) Civil Judge-Frank, Nominee of SCJ Tank

No. 332 - 3358CJ/JM Tank

Dated: 10th December 2014

Copy forwarded for information to:-

1. The Registrar Peshawar High Court Peshawar

2. The Hon' able District and Sessions Judge, Tank.

3. Office copy

th from of the Departmental Melretion (1996) they

(Nadecin Muhammad)
Senior Civil Judge Tank