#### Eorm- A

#### FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of

Case No.-Order or other proceedings with signature of judge Date of order S.No. proceedings The appeal presented today by Mr. Umar Farooq Advocate may 18/11/2020 be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learned Member for proper order please. This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put 2up there on U10317 MEMBER(J) The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khan is 01.03.2021 on leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same before S.B on 26.07.2021.

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO.		/2020.
------------	--	--------

## BASHIR AHMAD VS EDUCATION DEPTT:

#### **INDEX**

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal	***************************************	1-3
2.	Notification	A	4
3.	Pay Slips	B&C	5-6
4.	Departmental Appeal	D	7
5.	Service Tribunal Judgment	E	8-9
6.	Vakalat nama		1.0

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

UMAR FAROOQ

ADVOCATE

CELL NO. 03138901647

Note: Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After submission of the case.

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1438/2020

MR. BASHIR AHMAD SST (BPS-16) GHS, AKHOON ZAD KHAN DISTRICT MARDAN Personnel. Number: 00101885 Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Diary No 5041

APPELLANT

#### **VERSUS**

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

..RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT. 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

#### **PRAYER**

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be Filedto-dadrected not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment vacations all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

#### R/SHEWETH ON FACTS:

- 1. That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as **SST (BPS-16)** quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2. That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD(PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS-1 to 15 were

- 5. That some of teachers of different pay scale approached to this august Tribunal in different service appeals which allowed by this august tribunal vide its Judgment No 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department Dated 11-11-2019...... E.
- 6. That the appellant also prayed to be treated through the principals of consistency for allowing such relief which was granted in appeal No. 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department in Judgement Dated 11.11.2019.
- 7. That where after the appellant waited for the statutory period of ninety days but no reply has been received from the respondents. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

#### **GROUNDS:**

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, Discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.

- D-That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant. Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
- E-That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspect and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for under the golden principals of consistency.

APPELLANT .

BASHIR AHMAD

THROUGH:

UMAR FAROOQ ADVOCATE







## GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SC(SR-II)-8-52/2012 Dated Pashawarthe: 20-12-2012

From

The Spiciality to Gove, of Khyber Pachtunianua. Finance Despriment, Perthavear.

Τō.

All Adarenia bullere Secretarias de Gerri es Réseter Pakister du rece

The Sanior Member, Boyal of Revenue, Whicher Pakhidalitaa...

The Secretary to Governor Kripter Petitions was

. The Secretary to Chief Minarch, Khyber Palcierichus,

The Secretary, Province Aromaly, Khyber Palalenthwa All Heads of Attached Departments in Kristics Pakintin Power

Ağ Ölsinizi Cosadination Öliksersus Xiyisisi Püklitenkines.

All Political Agents / District & Semions Judges in Khytter Publications

The Registra, Pashawar HyllyCotts, Poshawar

The Charmen Public Service Corenesish, Khyber Pokhtunkiwa.

The Chairman, Services Tribunal Physic Pakhlunahwa.

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GÖVERNMENT BPS 1-19

Dear Sir.

The Government of Khybet Pekhturahya has bass pleased to enhance / revise the rate of Conveyonce Allewance admissible to all the Provinces Civil Servants, Covid of Mayber Pexhounishwa (violating to EPS-1 to EPS-15) will from 1° September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in SPSAIS to EPSAIS Will remain . . . wrananged.

S.NO BPS	EXISTING RATE (PH)	REVISED RATE (PM)
1. 1-4	Rs.1,500/-	Rs.1,700/=
2, 5-10	Rs.1,500/-	Rs.1,540/-
3. 11:15	85.2 <b>,00</b> 0/-	Rs.2,720/-
. 4 16-19	Rs.5.000/	Rs.5.000/=

Coffveyance Allowance at the above rates por month shall be admissible to Those BPS-47, 18 and 19 offices who have not been sanctioned afficial vehicles.

Yours Faithfully,

Sahibeada Sacod Alumadi Secretary Figures

Eddst SO. FINSOISR-III-8-52:2012

Dated Persiawar the Int Descriptor, Itil

A Copy is forwarded for information to thet-

Attourish Gental Pantel Paliticking Phylicipa

Secretaries to Government of Punjab, South & Sportfatten (Figure Department

MENLEY

Au Auguromanus / <del>Serii</del> Autonomanus Bodes in Kirrings Pakhitarikhirus

Adaliana Seranian PR

BETTER COPY PAGE-5

N Pay

# GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20:12.2012

From-

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- 1. All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa-
- 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa:
- S. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa:

Subject: REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS-1-19

Dear Sir.

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance the the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain unchanged.

S.No.	BPS	Existing Rate (PM).	Revised Rate (PM)
1.	1-4	Rs. 1,500/-	'Rs. 1,700/-
2	5-10	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,840/-
3.	11-15	Rs. 2,000/-	Rs. 2,720/-
4:	16-19	Rs. 5,000/-	Rs. 5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17.
 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully 👍

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-INS-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December, 2012

J. Ten

Desig: SECONDARY SCHOOL TEA(80951590) Grade: 16 NTN: Buckle No.: Gazetted/Non-Gazetted: G PAYMENTS AMOUNT DEDUCTION'S AMOUNT · LOAN/FUND PRINCIPAL REPAID BALANCE 0001 Basic Pay 55,390.00 3016 GPF Subscription 3,340.00-GPF#: IVEDUMND3921/CS 535,135.00 1000 House Rent Allowance 2,727.00 6505 GPF Loan Principal In 16,666.00-INCOME TAX 17,336.28 1,445.00 15,891.59 1210 Convey Allowance 20 5,000.00 3501 Benevolent Fund 800.00- GPF Temp. Advance 0368 300,000.00 166,660.00 133,340.00 1300 Medical Allowance 1,500.00 3990 Emp.Edu. Fund KPK 150.00-1528 Unattractive Area A 1,500.00 4004 R. Benefits & Death C 650.00-1560 Science Teaching All 200.00 3609 Income Tax 1,445.00-2148 15% Adhoc Relief All-1,090.00 2199 Adhoc Relief Allow @ 737.00 2211 Adhoc Relief All 201 3,764.00 2224 Adhoc Relief All 201 5,539.00 2247 Adhoc Relief All 201 5,539.00 2264 Adhoč Relief All 201 5,539.00 **PAYMENTS** 88,525.00 **DEDUCTIONS** 23,051.00-65,474.00 01.07.2020 31.07.2020 **NET PAY** 

MARDAN MAIN BRCH

NATIONAL BANK OF PAKISTAN

83T 18 M.S. (65/30/15/15

MARDAN

Accnt.No: 7721-6

ATTESTED

MARDAN MAIN BRCH

Branch Code: 230365

.0001 Basic Pay 53, 1000 House Rent Allowance		6 GPF Subscription ) . 6505 GPF Loan Principa Accounts Office GHALAI			DUMND3921/CS DME TAX 18,929.40	631,719.00 3,156.00 15,774.00	
		PAYROLL REGISTER For the month of August		Page :	1,200 e: 29.08.2019		,
DDO: MG6050 GHS Akhun 1300 Medical Allowance	1,500.00	Payroll Section 3501 Benevolent Fund	800.00-	GPF Temp. Advance	0368 400,000.00	399,984:00 16.00	 
1528 Unattractive Area A 1560 Science Teaching All 2148 15% Adhoc Relief All	200.00	3990 Emp.Edu. Fund KPK 4004 R. Benefits & Death ( 3609 Income Tax		•			
2199 Adhoc Relief Allow @ 2211 Adhoc Relief All 201	737.00 3,764.00		•			Andrew State of the State of th	·.
2224 Adhoc Relief All 201 2247 Adhoc Relief All 201 2264 Adhoc Relief All 201	5,387.00 5,387.00 5,387.00				, e		



The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS.

#### Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as SST (BPS-16) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS-I to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-15 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R)CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That the august K.P.K service tribunal also allowed the restoration of the conveyance allowance in its judgment dated 11.11.2019 in appeal No 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department. Copy attached. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & Summer vacations.

TESTED

Dated: 20.07.2020

Your Obediently

لشر احمر

**BASHIR AHMAD** 

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE PESHAWAR APPEAL NO. 145 /2019 Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar..... VERSUS 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. ......RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE. TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY ACTION UNLAWF DE ICTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE PPE NT IRING WINTER & GAL ANL NO ACTION TAKEN ON VACATIO DEPARTE A.L. PEA <u> JE APPELLANT WITHIN</u> STATUTO OF: EK. ETY DAYS.

#### PRAYER:

of this appeal the respondents may That or to make deduction of conveyance kindly Ladin led tions period (Summer & Winter allowance during va Vacations) and make t payment of all outstanding amount Conveyance allowance which have been deducted Facate-day previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in Registrar favor of the appellant.

#### R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

24/30/16

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for

Appeal No. 1452/2019 Markad Hayat is Giort Counsel for the appellant present. 11.11.2019

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement, and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the

judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal..

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Monourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Pétitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a

াকছ reasonable time.

File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED

11.11.2019

Chairmán

Positawat.

Cartified

# **VAKALATNAMA**

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

,	,	
		OF 2020
		•
		(APPELLANT)
BASHIR AHMAD		(PLAINTIFF)
		(PETITIONER)
•	<u>VERSUS</u>	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		(RESPONDENT)
Education Department		(DEFENDANT)
		(DELENDANT)
/We MR. BASHIR AHMAD _		do hereby
appoint and constitute UMAR FAI	ROOO, Advocate.	Peshawar to appear plead act
compromise, withdraw or re	fer to arbitrat	ion for me/us as my/our
Counsel/Advocate in the above n	oted matter, with	out any liability for his default
and with the authority to engage,	/appoint any othe	er Advocate Counsel on my/our
cost. I/we authorize the said Advo	cate to deposit, w	rithdraw and receive on my/our
oehalf all sums and amounts paya	ble or deposited o	on my/our account in the above
noted matter.		
		· ·
		181/-
	·	CLIENT
		CLIENT
	•	•

ACCEPTED UMAR FAROGO