


FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Case No. - 551/2023

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	14/03/2023	<p>The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Amjid Ali resubmitted today by Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary hearing before Single Bench at Peshawar on _____. Parcha Peshi is given to appellant/counsel for the date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">By the order of Chairman  REGISTRAR</p>

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR.**

SERVICE APPEAL. 551 /2023

Amjad Ali

VS

Secretary (E&SE) & others

**APPLICATION FOR FIXING THE INSTANT APPEAL FOR
PRELIMINARY HEARING AT PRINCIPLE SEAT AT
PESHAWAR OF THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL.**

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the appellant has filed the instant appeal for arrears/back benefits in the shape of salaries in this Honorable Tribunal in which no date has been fixed so far.
2. That the instant appeal is pertain to Camp Court Abbottabad of this Honorable Tribunal.
3. That the counsel for the appellant is practicing at Peshawar and it will be convenient for the counsel to the appellant if the instant appeal is fix for preliminary hearing at principle seat at Peshawar of this Honorable Tribunal.

is therefore, most humbly prayed that acceptance of this application the instant appeal may kindly be fix for preliminary hearing at principle seat Peshawar of this Honorable Tribunal.

APPELLANT
THROUGH:



**TAIMUR ALI KHAN
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT**

The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Amjid Ali PST GPS Shingladar District Tor Ghar received today i.e. on 27.02.2023 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the co Counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Check list is not attached with the appeal.
- 2- Memorandum of appeal is not signed by the appellant.
- 3- Affidavit be got signed by the Oath Commissioner.
- 4- Annexures of the appeal may be attested.
- 5- Copy of COC order dated 13.1.2021 mentioned in para-5 of the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 6- Copies of order dated 8.9.2016, 3.7.2018 & 3.6.2022 are illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.
- 7- Seven more copies/sets of the appeal along with annexures i.e. complete in all respect may also be submitted with the appeal.

No. 798 /S.T,

DL 28/2 /2023


REGISTRAR
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR.

Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Adv.
High Court Peshawar.

Respected Sir

1- Removed.

2- Removed.


3- Removed

4- Removed

5- copy of C.O.C is attached at page-20-23

6- Removed

7- Removed

*Resubmitted after
compliance*


14/3/23

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 551 /2023

Muhammad Amjid Ali

V/S

Education Deptt:

INDEX


S. No.	Documents	Annexure	P. No.
01	Memo of appeal	-----	01-06
02	Affidavit	-----	6-A
03	Copies of appointment order dated 13.04.2016 and withdrawal dated 08.09.2016	A&B	7-9
04	Copy of judgment dated 10.05.2018	C	10-13
05	Copy of notification dated 03.07.2018	D	14
06	Copies of inquiry report and notification dated 23.10.2019	E&F	15-17
07	Copy of C.O.C order dated 13.01.2021	G	18-21
08	Copy of departmental appeal	H	22
09	Copy of affidavit	I	23
10	Copies of judgments	J	24-39
11	Vakalat Nama	-----	40

APPELLANT

THROUGH:


(TAIMUR ALI KHAN)
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

Cell No. 03339390916


Shaukatullah Torani
Advocate

①

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO. SSI /2023

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Case No. 3921

Date: 27/2/2023

Mr. Muhammad Amjid Ali, PST (BPS-12),
GPS Shingaldar, District Tor Gahr.

(APPELLANT)

VERSUS

1. The Secretary (Elementary & Secondary Education) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. The Director (Elementary & Secondary Education), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
3. The District Education Officer, (Male) Tor Gahr at Mansehra.
4. The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
5. The District Account Officer, District Tor Ghar at Mansehra.

(RESPONDENTS)

~~Secretary~~

~~Secretary~~

27/2/23

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO GRANT BACK BENEFITS/ARREARS TO THE APPELLANT IN THE SHAPE OF SALARIES FOR THE PERIOD WITH EFFECT FROM 08.09.2016 to 02.07.2018 AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

THAT ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE RESPONDENTS MAY KINDLY BE DIRECTED TO GRANT BACK BENEFITS/ARREARS TO THE APPELLANT IN SHAPE

OF SALARIES FOR THE PERIOD WITH EFFECT FROM 08.09.2016 to 02.07.2018 AS ON DOMICILE ON WHICH HIS APPOINTMENT ORDER WAS WITHDRAWN HAS BEEN VERIFIED AND FOUND CORRECT DURING THE DE-NOVO INQUIRY PROCEEDING. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWTH:

FACTS:

1. That the appellant was appointed as PST on 13.04.2016 alongwith other official after fulfilling all codal formalities and has performed his duty till 07.09.2016 and then his appointment order was withdrawn from the date of appointment vide order dated 08.09.2016 on the reason that his domicile has declared unverified by the quarter concerned. **(Copies of appointment order dated 13.04.2016 and withdrawal dated 08.09.2016 are attached as annexure-A&B)**
2. That the appellant has challenged the order dated 08.09.2016 in the Honorable Peshawar High Court Abbottabad Bench in writ petition No. 48-A/2017. The said writ petition was decided on 10.05.2018. The Honorable Court accepted the writ petition of the appellant and declared the impugned notification dated 08.09.2016 as illegal, unlawful and has no legal effect with the direction to the respondents to reinstate the appellant into his service, left the respondents at liberty to proceed against the appellant if they so wish but in accordance with law and rules on the subject. **(Copy of judgment dated 10.05.2018 is attached as Annexure-C)**
3. That in compliance of the judgment dated of Honorable Peshawar High Court Abbottabad Bench dated 10.05.2018 rendered in writ petition No.48-A/2017, the appellant was reinstated into service from the date of his withdrawn order vide notification dated 03.07.2018 and also mentioned in that order that his service arrears of pay and allowance will be decided on the outcome of de-novo inquiry. **(Copy of notification dated 03.07.2018 is attached as Annexure-D)**
4. That inquiry was conducted about the domicile of the appellant by the Additional Deputy Commissioner Torghar in which he gave his finding/recommendation that from the statement of the local representative and examination of documents provided by the appellant, it seems that the appellant is the resident of the District

3

Torghar and the domicile certificate has rightly issued to him and the service of the appellant was also regularized along with other officials from the date of his appointment vide notification dated 23.10.2019. **(Copies of inquiry report and notification dated 23.10.2019 are attached as Annexure-E&F)**

5. That in the reinstatement notification dated 03.07.2018 of the appellant, it was clearly mentioned by the competent authority that arrears of pay and allowances of the appellant will be decided on the outcome of de-novo inquiry and the de-novo inquiry also came in the favour of the appellant as on the basis of non verification of domicile of the appellant, his appointment order was withdrawn basis, however, his domicile was verified and found correct by the quarter concerned during de-novo inquiry, but despite that arrears of pay and allowance has not granted to the appellant, therefore the appellant filed C.O.C Petition No.142-A/2019 in the Honorable Peshawar High Court Abbottabad Branch with the prayer that contempt proceeding be initiated against the respondents for disobeying the order of the Court and exemplary punishment the awarded, respondents may graciously be directed to pay salaries benefits for the period between 07.09.2016 to 03.07.2018. The Honorable Court decided the C.O.C Petition of the appellant on 13.01.2021 in which the Honorable Court hold that as the respondents implemented the judgment dated 15.05.2016 by the reinstating the appellant into service and since there was no direction qua payment of back benefits in the said judgment, therefore, contempt proceeding cannot be initiated against the respondents, hence the C.O.C of the appellant was dismissed. However the appellant was placed liberty to approach the appropriate forum provide under the law for redressal of his grievance, if any, in accordance with law qua the issue of back benefits. **(Copy of C.O.C order dated 13.01.2021 is attached as Annexure-G)**
6. That the appellant then filed departmental appeal on for grant of back benefits/arrears in shape of salaries with effect from 08.09.2016 to 02.07.2018/arrears in shape of salaries for the period with effect from 08.09.2016 to 02.07.2018, which was not responded within the statutory period of ninety days. **(Copy of departmental appeal is attached as Annexure-H)**
7. That the appellant has no other remedy except to file the instant service appeal in this Honorable Tribunal on the following grounds amongst others.

GROUND:

- A. That not taking on the departmental appeal of the appellant within statutory period of ninety days and not granting back benefits/arrears to the appellant in shape of salaries for the period with effect from 08.09.2016 to 02.07.2018 are against the law, facts, norms of justice, material on record, notification dated 03.07.2018, de-novo inquiry report and superior court judgments, therefore, not tenable and the appellant is entitle to back benefits/arrears in shape of salaries for the period with effect from 08.09.2016 to 02.07.2018.

- B. That the appointment order of the appellant has withdrawn on the reason that domicile of the appellant was unverified, however, de-novo inquiry was conducted on the verification of the domicile in which the inquiry officer his finding/recommendation that from the statement of the local representative and examination of documents provided by the appellant, it seems that the appellant is the resident of the District Torghar and the domicile certificate has rightly issued to him and it was necessary that before withdrawing the appointment order of the appellant that proper inquiry should be conducted to dig out the realty about the domicile of the appellant, but no such action has been taken by the department and his appointment order withdraw in slipshod manner and after de-novo inquiry his domicile was verified and found correct, which means that due to the fault of the department the appellant has restrained to perform his duty with effect from 08.09.2016 to 02.07.2018, therefore the appellant is entitle for back benefits/arrears in the shape of salaries for that period.

- C. That in reinstatement notification dated 03.07.2018 of the appellant, it was mentioned that arrears of pay and allowance of the appellant will be decided on the outcome of de-novo inquiry and in de-novo inquiry the domicile of the appellant was verified and found correct, but despite that the appellant was deprived from arrears of pay and allowance as per notification dated 03.07.2018 which is against the norms of justice and fair play.

- D. That the reason on which the appointment order of the appellant was withdrawn was that, that the domicile of the appellant had declared unverified by the quarter concerned on which de-novo inquiry was conducted in which it was verified and found correct, therefore, there remain no ground to deprive the appellant from the back benefits/arrears in shape of salaries for the period with effect from 08.09.2016 to 02.07.2018.

- E. That the appellant was regularized from the date of appointment and also granted annual increments of eth year 2017, 2018 and 2019 to the appellant and as such he is also entitle to the salaries for the period with effect from 08.09.2016 to 02.07.2018.
- F. That the appellant did not willfully remained absent from his duty, but the department restrained him from performing his duty due to withdrawal of his appointment order on wrong presumption of his unverified domicile which was later on verified in the de-novo inquiry and as such the appellant cannot be deprived from his salaries for the period with effect from 08.09.2016 to 02.07.2018 due to the fault of the department.
- G. That as per superior court judgment that once an official was reinstated in service after exoneration of charges leveled against him, the period during which he remained either suspended or dismissed/removed could not be attributed as fault on his part. Absence of official during period of dismissal/removal was not voluntary on his part but it was due to the order of the authority which restrained from attending his job/duty. Therefore his service record could neither be adversely affected nor could he be denied any benefits to which he would have been entitled had he not been removed/dismissed and as such the appellant is entitle for the salaries for the period with effect from 08.09.2016 to 02.07.2018 on the basis of Apex Court judgment.
- H. That the appellant remained unpaid employees (not remained gainfully employed) for the period from withdrawal of ahis appointment till reinstatement into service which is evident from the affidavit made by the appellant in this respect and as per superior courts judgment, he is entitle for-back benefits in the shape of salaries for the period writhe effect from 07.09.2016 to 02.07.2018. **(Copy of affidavit is attached as Annexure-I)**
- I. That similar nature appeals have been allowed by this Honorable Tribunal and the appellant being similarly placed person also entitle the same relief under the rule of consistency. **(Copies of judgments are attached as Annexure-J)**
- J. That the appellant seeks permission of this Honorable Tribunal to advance others grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

M. Amjad
APPELLANT
Muhammad Amjid Ali

THROUGH:

TA
(TAIMUR ALI KHAN)
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

Shahin Ullah Torani
Advocate

1
⑦ 6-A

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO. _____/2023

Muhammad Amjid Ali

V/S

Education Deptt.

.....
AFFIDAVIT

I, Muhammad Amjid Ali, PST (BPS-12), GPS Shingaldar, District Tor Gahr (Appellant) do hereby affirm and declare that the contents of this service appeal are true and correct and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

M. Amjid Ali
DEPONENT.

Muhammad Amjid Ali
(APPELLANT)

A7



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (MALE) DISTRICT TOR GHAR

NOTIFICATION

Consequent upon the recommendations of the Departmental Selection Committee, appointment of the following candidates are hereby ordered against the vacant posts of Primary School Teacher (PST) on School based in BPS-12 (Rs.9055-650-28555) @ Rs.9055/- fixed plus usual allowances as admissible under the rules on adhoc basis and school basis initially for a period of one year under the existing policy of the Provincial Government, in Teaching Cadre on the terms and conditions given below with effect from the date of their taking over charge :-

S NO	NAME OF CANDIDATE	FATHER'S NAME	SCORE	WARD/ UNION COUNCIL	NAME OF SCHOOL WHERE APPOINTED	REMARKS
1 ✓	Muhammad Minhaj	Behram Gohar	99.87	Bartooni	GPS Bara Banda	Against V/P
2	Muhammad Adil	Niaz Muhammad	100.87	Bartooni	GPS Bartooni	--do--
3	Naeem Ullah	Sahib Ullah	91.62	Bartooni	GPS Faqir Abad	--do--
4	Khial Muhammad	Mozza Khan	80.46	Bartooni	GPS Kobal Bartooni	--do--
5	Abdul Waheed	Sarfaz Khan	79.91	Bartooni	GPS Palza Bartooni	--do--
6	Muhammad Naseeb	Gul Parast Khan	66.85	Shingaldar	GPS Cham Qalagay	--do--
7	Zar Muhammad	Abdul Majeed	49.72	Shingaldar	GPS Markhani B/K	--do--
8	Muhammad Fayaz	Hukam Zar	76.92	Bartooni	GPS Shingaldar	--do--
9 ✓	Muhammad Amjad Ali	Fazal Rabi	75.08	Bartooni	GPS Shingaldar	--do--
10	Abdus Saleem	Abdus Salam	67.89	Shingaldar	GPS Shingaldar	--do--
11	Gul Khetab Syed	Umar Malook Syed	61.66	Dour MeFa	GPS Shingaldar	--do--
12	Maqbool Shah	Sakhi Shah	68.62	Manjakot	GMPS Abo Shanai	--do--

TERMS & CONDITIONS:

1. NO TA/DA is allowed.
2. Charge reports should be submitted to all concerned in duplicate.
3. Appointment is purely on temporary & adhoc basis initially for a period of one year.
4. They should not be handed over charge if their age is above 35 years or below 18 years.
5. Their Appointments are subject to the condition that their **CERTIFICATE/DOCUMENTS AND DOMICILES** be verified from the concerned authorities by the District Education Officer before release of their salaries. Anyone who found producing fake documents will be dismissed from service and the case will further be reported to the law enforcing agencies for action under the relevant law.
6. Their services are liable to termination on one month's notice from either side. In case of resignation without notice his one-month pay/allowances shall be forfeited to the Government treasury.
7. Their Pay will not be activated until and unless pay release order is not issued by the competent authority after verification of their documents by the District Education Officer.
8. They should join their post within 10 days of the issuance of this notification. In case of failure to join the post within 10 days of the issuance of this notification, their appointment will expire automatically and no subsequent appeal etc shall be entertained.
9. They should produce Health and Age Certificate from the Medical Superintendent concerned before taking over charge.
10. They will be governed by such rules and regulations as may be issued from time to time by the Government.
11. Their services shall be terminated at any time, in case their performance is found unsatisfactory during their contract period. In case of misconduct, they shall be preceded under the rules framed from time to time.

8

(Handwritten initials)

12. Their appointment is made on School based, they will have to serve at the place of posting, and their services are not transferable to any other station.
13. The competent Authority reserve the right to rectify the errors and omissions, if any noted/observed at any stage in the instant order issued erroneously.
14. Before handing over charge once again their document may be checked by the SDEO (M) Tor Ghar if they don't have the prescribed qualifications prescribed for the post they should not be handed over the charge.

CT
9/4/2016

—SD—
Abdullah
District Education Officer (M)
E&SE Tor Ghar

Endst: No. 1048-56 /Dated Tor Ghar the 13th April 2016.

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to the: -

1. Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa E&S Education Department Peshawar.
2. Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
3. PS to Minister E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
4. Deputy Commissioner District Tor Ghar.
5. District Accounts Officer Tor Ghar at Mansehra.
6. Sub Divisional Education Officer (M) Tor Ghar.
7. District Monitoring Officer (IMU) Tor Ghar.
8. District Education Management Information System (DEMIS) Local Office.
9. Head Teacher GPS Concerned.
10. Official Concerned.
11. Office File.

(Handwritten signature)
District Education Officer (M)
E&SE Tor Ghar

(Handwritten initials)

B (9)

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (M.A.S.) FOR GHAR
INDIA

GENERAL

TO : _____

FROM : _____

SUBJECT : _____

DATE : _____

BY : _____

OFFICE : _____

RE : _____

1. _____

2. _____

3. _____

4. _____

5. _____

6. _____

7. _____

8. _____

9. _____

10. _____

11. _____

12. _____

13. _____

14. _____

15. _____

16. _____

17. _____

18. _____

19. _____

20. _____

21. _____

22. _____

23. _____

24. _____

25. _____

26. _____

27. _____

28. _____

29. _____

30. _____

31. _____

32. _____

33. _____

34. _____

35. _____

36. _____

37. _____

38. _____

39. _____

40. _____

41. _____

42. _____

43. _____

44. _____

45. _____

46. _____

47. _____

48. _____

49. _____

50. _____

51. _____

52. _____

53. _____

54. _____

55. _____

56. _____

57. _____

58. _____

59. _____

60. _____

61. _____

62. _____

63. _____

64. _____

65. _____

66. _____

67. _____

68. _____

69. _____

70. _____

71. _____

72. _____

73. _____

74. _____

75. _____

76. _____

77. _____

78. _____

79. _____

80. _____

81. _____

82. _____

83. _____

84. _____

85. _____

86. _____

87. _____

88. _____

89. _____

90. _____

91. _____

92. _____

93. _____

94. _____

95. _____

96. _____

97. _____

98. _____

99. _____

100. _____

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (MALE) TOR GHAR

Ph 0345-6660087, Fax Nil

No. _____

Email: torghararemis@gamil.com

Dated ____/____/2016

Notification

Reference to the Deputy Commissioner Tor Ghar letters No 1223/DC 2016/TG dated 09.05.2016, NO1394 DC (2016) TG dated 19/05/2016 and Assistant Commissioner letter No. AC(2016)/TG 2792-93 dated 18/08/2016, in connection with the terms and condition No.4 of the appointment order issued vide this office Notification No1260-70dated 13/04/2016, the competent authority E&SE Tor Ghar is pleased to withdraw/denotify the appointment in respect of Muhammad Amjid Ali S/O Fazli Rabi PST GPS Shingaldar w.e.f the date of his appointment

SD

District Education Officer (M)
E&SE Tor Ghar

Endst No.3032-40 dated 8/9/2016

Copy for information to the.

1. Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
2. Deputy Commissioner Tor Ghar with the remarks that denotification and legal action has been initiated against the teacher as directed.
3. District Police Officer Tor Ghar with request to ledge FIR as per rules against above mentioned candidate.
4. District Nazim Tor Ghar.
5. District Account Officer Tor Ghar at Mansehra.
6. Sub Divisional Education Office (M) Tehsil Judba.
7. District Monitoring Officer (IMU) Tor Ghar.
8. District Education Management Information System (DEMIS) Local Office.
9. Office File.

Dy: District Education Officer (M)
E&SE Tor Ghar

**BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT,
BENCH ABBOTTABAD**

C-10
wp 487/17

- 1) Muhammad Amjid Ali son of Fazal Rabbi, resident of Loonian, Post Office Serkhaili Banda Mangri, Tehsil and District Torghar.
- 2) Attaullah son of Shehzada, resident of Sher Abad, Kuza Banda, Post Office Geedri, Tehsil and District Battagram.....Petitioners

Versus

- 1) Government of K.P.K. through Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 2) Director E&SE, K.P.K. Peshawar
- 3) DEO (Male) E&SE Torghar.
- 4) Deputy DEO (Male) E&SE Torghar
- 5) Deputy Commissioner, Torghar.
- 6) District Police Officer Torghar
- 7) District Nazim Torghar
- 8) District Accounts Officer Torghar at Mansehra.....Respondents

228 -
16.1.17

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF ISLAMIC
REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN, 1973 FOR
DECLARATION TO THE EFFECT THAT
THE NOTIFICATION BEARING ENDST.
NOS. 3032-40 DATED 08.09.2016 AND
NO. 2835-43 DATED 07.09.2016
ISSUED BY RESPONDENTS NOS. 3 & 4
REGARDING DENOTIFICATION/
WITHDRAWLS OF THE APPOINTMENT
ORDERS OF THE PETITIONERS ARE
ILLEGAL, WRONG, AGAINST THE LAW,**


FILED TODAY

ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR
PESHAWAR HIGH COURT
ABBOTTABAD BENCH

16.1.17

[Signature]

PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, ABBOTTABAD BENCH
FORM OF ORDER SHEET



Date of Order of Proceedings 1	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge (s) 2
10.05.2018	<p><u>W.P.No. 48-A/2017.</u></p> <p>Present: Mr. Muhammad Naeem Akbar, Advocate, for the petitioners.</p> <p>Sardar Muhammad Asif, Assistant A.G for respondents No.1 to 6 & 8.</p> <p>Mr. Junaid Anwar Khan, Advocate, for respondent No.7.</p> <p>***</p> <p><u>LAL JAN KHATTAK, J.-</u> Through this petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, the petitioners have prayed this court for issuance of a writ declaring the notifications bearing Endorsement Nos. 2835-43 and 3032-40 dated 07.09.2016 and 08.09.2016 respectively as illegal, unlawful and of no legal effect whereby their appointment orders have been withdrawn.</p> <p>2. Arguments heard and record gone through.</p> <p>3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners pointed out at the bar that the issue raised by the petitioners in this petition has already been laid to rest</p>

Joia

A

by this court in judgments dated 21.02.2018, 22.02.2018 and 09.05.2018 delivered in Writ Petitions No. 910-A/2016, 208-A/2017 and 1082-A/2016 respectively wherein, while accepting the referred petitions this court has not only declared the likewise notifications as illegal and of no legal effect but at the same time also ordered for re-instatement of the petitioners therein in their service leaving the respondents at liberty to proceed against them, if they so wish but in accordance with law and rules on the subject.

Gain

4. Perusal of the case record would show that the petitioners' case is at par with the referred writ petitions. When in all respect the petitioners' case is identical with the cases already decided by this court, then there would be no justification to take a view different than the one already taken by this Court.

5. In the wake of the above and for the reasons given in the referred judgments, this petition too is allowed and consequently, the impugned notifications dated 07.09.2016 & 08.09.2016 are declared as illegal, unlawful

Q

13

and of no legal effect with direction to the respondents to re-instate the petitioners in their service, however, the respondents would be at liberty to proceed against them if they so wish but in accordance with law and rules on the subject.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Lal Jan Khattak and Mr. Justice Abdul Shakoor



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (MALE)
DISTRICT TOR GHAR

Email: torgharem@gmail.com



NOTIFICATION

In compliance with the Judgment of Honorable Peshawar High Court Bench Abbottabad, dated 10/05/2018, in Writ Petition No. 48-A/2017, the services of the following teachers are reinstated on their posts in the schools mentioned against each from the date of their withdrawn order.

S. NO	NAME	FATHER NAME	DESIGNATION	NAME OF SCHOOL
1	Muhammad Amjad Ali	Fazal Rabi	PST	GPS Shingaldar
2	Attaullah	Shehzada	PST	GPS Shadag

Their arrears of pay and allowances will be decided on the outcome of the de-novo inquiry.

SD

District Education Officer (M)
District Tor Ghar

Endst: No. 4949-55 Dated 03 127 2018.

Copy for information to the.

1. Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
2. Deputy Commissioner Tor Ghar.
3. District Monitoring Officer IMU Tor Ghar.
4. District Accounts Officer Tor Ghar.
5. Sub Divisional Education Officer Male Tor Ghar.
6. Teacher Concerned.
7. Office File.

District Education Officer (M)
District Tor Ghar

Note. All employees education department & other interested ones, please Type "Follow torghardoo" in your mobile message & send it to "40404" to get free tweets of DEO Education Torghar on your mobile.

15

EO
O

Office of the Additional Deputy Commissioner
District Torghar

No. Steno/ADC (2018)/TG/ 132
Dated Torghar the 27/12/2018

8

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Torghar.

Subject: **INQUIRY**

In pursuance of the Deputy Commissioner Office letter bearing NO. Dom/DC (2018)/TG/ 1944 dt: 02-10-2018, Wherein, the Additional Deputy Commissioner Torghar was asked to conduct inquiry regarding the domicile verification of the following persons.

1. Hasham Ali S/O Hazrat Ahmad.
2. Muhammad Ikram S/O Muhammad Ishaq.
3. Muhammad Amjad Ali S/O Fazal Rabi.
4. Noor Zada S/O Ghulam Muhammad.
5. Attah Ullah S/O Shehzada.
6. Siad Farid S/O Abdul Shahid.
7. Nasrat Shah S/O Iqbal Shah.
8. Abdul Jalil S/O Abdul Ghafor.
9. Jamal Khan S/O Hajim Khan.

BACKGROUND:-

The above named persons were appointed as teachers by the Education Department Torghar. Their domicile certificates were sent to Deputy Commissioner Office Torghar for verification which were not verified. Consequent upon non-verification of their domicile certificates, the appointment orders of the above mentioned teachers were withdrawn by the Education Department. These teachers sought relief from the Peshawar High Court through filing writ petition No. 897-A/2016, No.48-A/2017, and No.1082-A/2016 (Annex A). Peshawar High Court Abbottabad Bench re-instated the above mentioned teachers vide judgment dat: 15-05-2018 dat: 09-05-2018 and dated: 10-05-2018 respectively (Annex B) leaving the respondents at liberty to proceed against them in accordance with law and rules if they so desire.

Education Department Torghar requested Deputy Commissioner Torghar vide letter No. 4956 Dated: 03-07-2018 to conduct inquiry as per judgment of the Peshawar High Court Abbottabad Bench, as the case is not fit for CPLA in the August Supreme Court of Pakistan. (Annex C)

PROCEEDINGS:-

The Undersigned proceeded with the matter and issued notices to the teachers to appear before the undersigned alongwith their supporting documents. They were also directed to bring with them village secretary, village Nazim, Tehsil Member, District Member and District Nazim for recording evidence for or against them, as the case may be. They alongwith village secretary, village Nazim/Naib Nazim, Tehsil Member, District Member and District Nazim appeared before the Inquiry Officer and recorded their statements verifying the residential status of the above mentioned teachers. The undersigned have gone through the documents i.e. (Domicile Certificates, CNICs, residential certificates and statements of the local elected representatives (Annex D: to D9)

FINDINGS/ RECOMMENDATIONS

From the above proceedings, statements of the local representatives and examination of the documents provided by the candidates: It seems that the above mentioned teachers are residents of District Torghar and the domicile certificates have rightly been issued to them.

Report is submitted

M. Ahmad
Additional Deputy Commissioner
Torghar

NOTIFICATION

In pursuance of the Section -3 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa employees of Elementary & Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Service Act: 2017 read with Section -1 Sub-section (2) of the act ibid and Elementary and Secondary Education Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Notification No. SO(S/F) E&SED/3-2/2018/SITT/Contract, Dated 16.02.2018, Service of the following Teachers PST (BPS-12) appointed on Adhoc basis on Contract, are hereby regularized in BPS-12 on the Same post in Teaching Cadre on the terms and condition given below with effect from the date of their appointment on the PST post.

S.No	Roll No	Name	Address	Total Marks (out of 200)	School	Appointment order No. & Dated
1	942100041	Hashim Ali	Ullair Basi Khail Tor Ghar	87.15	GPS Soray Asharay	No.908-18 Dated 09.04.2016
2	342400026	Noor Zada	Shatal Basi Khail Tor Ghar	65.28	GPS Shatal	No.908-18 Dated 09.04.2016
3	942200007	Muhammad Ikram	Fazal Basi Khail Tor Ghar	55.86	GPS Shagai	No.908-18 Dated 09.04.2016
4	942500031	Said Fareed	Cheer Basi Khail Tor Ghar	31.40	GPS Shagai	No.1060-70 Dated 13.04.2016
5	342200072	Jamal Khan	Kalasar Basi Khail Tor Ghar	67.76	GMPS Barar Shatal	No.1060-70 Dated 13.04.2016
6	812400167	Muhammad Minhaj	Cheechan Dehri Basi Khail Tor Ghar	99.87	GPS Bara Banda	No. 1046-56 Dated 13.04.2016
7	812500119	Muhammad Amjad Ali	Lonia Basi Khail Tor Ghar	75.08	GPS Shingaldar	No. 1046-56 Dated 13.04.2016

TERMS & CONDITIONS.

1. Their service shall be governed by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servant Act: 1973 Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Appointment, Deputation, Posting and Transfer of Teacher, Lecturers, Instructors and Doctors) Regularity Act: 2011 & such rules & regulations as may be issued from time to time by government.
2. Their pay shall be released subject to verification of academic documents/testimonials from the concerned Boards/ University by the District Education Officer Male Tor Ghar, anyone with fake documents will be dismissed from service and the case will further be reported to the law enforcing agencies for action under the relevant law.
3. Their services shall be considered regular and they shall be eligible for pension/deduction of GP Fund as applicable in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Service Act; 1973 as amended in 2013.
4. Their services are liable to termination on one month notice from either side. In case of resignation without notice, their one month pay/allowances shall be forfeited to the government treasury.
5. Their regularization is subject to fulfilment of qualification and experience required for a regular post.
6. They shall have not resigned from the services or terminated from services on account of misconduct, inefficacy or any other ground before the commencement of the Act: of 1973.
7. Their regularization shall not affect the promotion quota of existing holders of posts in the cadre of PST.
8. They shall rank junior to all other employees belonging to the cadre who are in service on regular basis on the commencement of this act: and shall also rank junior to such other persons if any, who in

17
17
17

the age shall be rank senior to the younger one.

10. The competent authority reserves the right to rectify the errors and omission, if any noted/observed at any stage in the instant order issued erroneously.

—Sd—

(Jaffar Mansoor Abbasi)
District Education Officer (M)
E&SE Tor Ghar

29/5/2018
Endst: No 2125-31 / Dated 23/10/2019.

Copy for information to the.

1. Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
2. Deputy Commissioner Tor Ghar
3. District Monitoring Officer (IMU) Tor Ghar.
4. District Account Officer Tor Ghar
5. Sub Divisional Education Officer Male Judba. ✓
6. Teacher Concerned.
7. Office File.

(Jaffar Mansoor Abbasi)
District Education Officer (M)
E&SE Tor Ghar

23/10/19

A

18 900


2

BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT ABBOTTABAD BENCH

GOC _____

In _____

WP no. : 48-A/2017



1. Muhammad Amjid Ali S/o Fazal Rabbi R/o: G.P.S Shanilgdar District Torghar.
2. Attaullah S/o Shehzada R/o G.P.S Khopra District Torghar.

... Petitioner

VERSUS

1. Hafiz Muhammad Ibrahim, Director, Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhawa, Peshawar.
2. Jaffar Mansoor Abbasi, District Education Officer (Male), Torghar, Judbah.
3. Amanullah, Accounts Officer Torghar, at Mansehra.

... Respondents/Contemnors

Certified to be True Copy
 EXAMINER
 25 JAN 2021
 Peshawar High Court, A.D. Bench
 Authorized Under Sec 75 Cr.P.C.

PETITION FOR INITIATING CONTEMPT OF COURT PROCEEDINGS
AGAINST RESPONDENTS FOR DISOBEYING THE ORDERS OF THIS
AUGUST COURT DATED 10-05-2018 IN WP NO 48-A/17 WHEREBY
RESPONDENTS REFUSED TO PAY BACK BENEFITS I.E.
SALARIES/BENEFITS FOR THE PERIOD BETWEEN 07-09-2016 TO 03-
07-2018 ALONG WITH INCREMENT 2016,2017 &2018 ETC.


10

19

10

PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, ABBOTTABAD BENCH

ORDER SHEET



Date of Order of Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge(s)
1	2
13.01.2021	<p>C.O.C No.143-A-2019</p> <p>Present: Mr. Nazakat Ali Tanoli, Advocate, for the petitioner.</p> <p>Sardar Muhammad Asif, Assistant Advocate General, for the respondents</p> <p>****</p> <p>SHAKEEL AHMAD, J: By means of this contempt petition, the petitioner has sought the following relief:</p> <p><i>"It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the contempt proceedings be initiated against the respondents for disobeying the order of this Court and exemplary punishment be awarded, respondents may graciously be directed to pay salaries/ benefits for the period between 07.09.2016 to 03.07.2018 alongwith increment of 2016, 2017 and 2018."</i></p> <p>2: In essence, the grievance of the petitioner is that though he has been reinstated in service by the respondents in view of the order dated 10.05.2018 of this Court, passed in WP No.48-A/2017, however, they have denied payment of back benefits to the petitioner.</p> <p>3. Arguments heard. Record perused.</p> <p>4. Perusal of record reveals that while allowing</p>

Certified to be True Copy
EXAMINER
23/1/2021
Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench
Authorized Under Seal of the Court

Handwritten initials or signature.

20

the aforesaid writ petition filed by the petitioner, this Court directed the respondents, as under:

"In the wake of the above and for the reasons given in the referred judgments, this petition too is allowed and consequently, the impugned notifications dated 07.09.2016 & 08.09.2016 are declared as illegal, unlawful and of no legal effect with direction to the respondents to re-instate the petitioners in their service, however, the respondents would be at liberty to proceed against them if they so wish but in accordance with law rules on the subject."

The record reflects that the respondents, in compliance with the above referred direction of this Court passed in WP No.48-A/2016, re-instated the petitioner in service vide Notification bearing Endst.No.4949-55 dated 03.07.2018, thus, the judgment of this Court has been implemented by the respondents. Since, there was no direction qua payment of back benefits to the petitioner in the judgment of this Court, the respondents were not under obligation to grant such benefits. Needless to refer that the respondents have already mentioned in the said notification that the arrears of pay and allowances will be decided on the outcome of the denovo inquiry. As such, contempt of Court proceedings cannot be initiated against the respondents, when they have already complied with the order of this Court dated 10.05.2018.

Certified to be True Copy
EXAMINER
25/10/2021
Peshawar Bench
Authorizes the undersigned

[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten mark]

21

5. In view of above, this petition is dismissed. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach the appropriate forum provided under the law for redressal of his grievance, if any, in accordance with law qua the issue of back benefits.

Announced.
Dt.13.01.2021.

Signature

Signature

JUDGE

JUDGE

Certified to be True Copy
EXAMINER
25 JAN 2021
Peshawar Bench
Authorized Signatory

*M.Saleem/PS**

(DB) Mr. Justice Mohammad Ibrahim Khan and Mr. Justice Shakeel Ahmad

قانونیت بنانے ڈائریکٹر صاحب ایلمنٹری ایجوکیشن سیکشن ڈی اے ایجوکیشن کے ساتھ

سوال :- درخواست برائے ادائیگی تعایجات مع الاء لسنر

جواب عالی

گزارش کی ذاتی ہے کہ مسائل 2016 کو مہرٹ

کی بنیاد پر تعینات ہوا اور چند مہینے ڈیوٹی سرانجام دینے کے بعد

ڈیوٹی سائل و ہر فیکٹس نہ ہونے کی وجہ سے درخواست کیا گیا جو کہ سائل

کے بنیاد پر ایجوکیشن ایسٹ آباد پنج مہینے چیلج کیا کر ڈیوٹی De-nou

انکوائری کی بنیاد پر مسائل کو Re-Instate کیا اور ڈیوٹی ٹورنر

De-nou انکوائری مقررہ دی جو کہ سائل کے تحت نہیں آیا اور DEO ٹورن

کے بنیاد پر مسائل کو تعایجات سمیت De-nou انکوائری کی شرط

پر بحال کیا۔ لیکن بعد میں تعایجات ادا نہیں کیے

اس لیے آج کے ذریعہ سے عرض ہے

کہ مسائل کو Intervening میریڈ کے تعایجات کا حکم صادر

کرنا کہ مشکل فرما رہی

عین نوادشاہی


آئی اے اے اے

محمد راجہ علی PST

ٹی پی ایس شہداد

ضلع ٹورن

471
14-11-2022



﴿ یک قطعہ اسٹامپ ایت۔ 100 روپے ﴾

بیان حلفی

مکتبہ سہمی محمد امجد علی ولد فضل ربی سراکن اونیاں تحصیل دوڑ میرہ ضلع تورغر حلفاً بیانی ہوں کہ میں من مقرر نے
15-02-2016 سے لیکر 22-05-2018 تک کسی بھی سرکاری یا غیر سرکاری ادارے میں کوئی ملازمت
نہیں کی اور نہ ہی اس دوران کوئی روزگار کیا ہے۔


العین

محمد امجد علی ----- مقربالا

13202-6966288-5

0347-6107649

(Handwritten signature)



24 J

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 4971/2021

BEFORE: SALAH-UD-DIN --- MEMBER(J)
MIAN MUHAMMAD --- MEMBER(E)

Muhammad Minhaj, PST (BPS-12), GPS, Bara Banda District Tor Ghar..... (Appellant)

VERSUS

1. The Secretary (Elementary & Secondary Education) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. The Director (Elementary & Secondary Education) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
3. The District Education Officer (Male) Tor Ghar.
4. The Secretary Finance, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
5. The District Accounts Officer, District Tor Ghar at Mansehra.
.....(Respondents)

Present:

MR. FAIMUR ALI KHAN,
Advocate --- For Appellant.

MR. MUHAMMAD RIAZ KHAN PAINDAKHEL,
Assistant Advocate General --- For respondents.

Date of Institution ... 26.04.2021
Date of hearing ... 03.06.2022
Date of Decision ... 03.06.2022

JUDGEMENT


MIAN MUHAMMAD, MEMBER(E):- The service appeal has been instituted under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 against the impugned Notification of respondent No. 3 dated 15.11.2016 whereby appointment Notification of the appellant as PST dated 13.01.2016 was withdrawn and another impugned Notification of respondent No. 3 dated 22.05.2018 when he was reinstated in service under the directions of honourable Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench dated 22.02.2018 and subsequently denovo enquiry also held for verification of his

MIAN MUHAMMAD
MEMBER(E)

25

domicile but back benefits (salary/arrears) for the period from 15.12.2016 to 22.05.2018 were not paid to the appellant.

02. Brief facts leading to submission of the instant service appeal are that the appellant was appointed as PST (BS-12) GPS Bara Banda vide Notification dated 13.04.2016 in pursuance of which the appellant started performing his duty. However, his credentials i.e. certificate/documents and domicile were subject to verification from the concerned authorities under Clause 5 of the terms and conditions of appointment. On non verification of his domicile certificate, appointment notification of the appellant dated 13.04.2016 was withdrawn vide impugned Notification dated 15.12.2016. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant filed Writ Petition No. 209-A/2017 before the honourable Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench which vide judgement dated 22.02.2018 accepted the Writ Petition, declared the impugned Notification dated 15.12.2016 as illegal, unlawful, of no legal effect and reinstated the appellant in service leaving the respondents at liberty to proceed against him if they so wished but in accordance with law and rules on the subject. In compliance with the directions of honourable Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench, the appellant was reinstated in service vide notification dated 22.05.2018 and his pay & allowances were left to be decided on the outcome of denovo enquiry. In the denovo enquiry, his domicile certificate was found to have been validly issued to the appellant being bonafide resident of district Torghar. The appellant went in COC No. 137-A-2019 before the honourable Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench against the respondents for disobeying order of the court dated 22.02.2018 and denial of back benefits for the period between 15.12.2016 to 22.05.2018. Petition for COC proceedings against the respondents was however dismissed vide order dated 13.01.2021 on the



26

ground that the respondents complied with orders of the court and reinstated the appellant in service whereas there was no direction regarding payment of back benefits to the petitioner in the court judgement and the respondents did mention in Notification of his reinstatement in service dated 22.05.2018 that arrears of pay & allowances will be decided on the outcome of denovo enquiry. The appellant thereafter submitted departmental appeal to respondent No 2 on 22.01.2021 which was not decided within the statutory period hence the instant service appeal was filed on 26.04.2021.

03. On admission of the appeal, the respondents were put on notice to submit reply/parawise comments on the contents and assertions of appeal. They submitted reply/parawise comments repudiating assertions of the appellant. Stance taken by the respondents in their reply/parawise comments was defended by learned AAG on their behalf. We have heard arguments of learned counsel for the appellant as well as learned AAG and gone through the record with their assistance.

04. Learned counsel for the appellant vehemently contended that the appellant though reinstated in service on 22.05.2018 under the judgement of court dated 22.02.2018 but he was denied the back benefits accrued during the period between 15.12.2016 to 22.05.2018 despite the fact that it was clearly mentioned in the reinstatement notification dated 22.05.2018 that arrears of pay and allowances will be decided on the outcome of denovo enquiry. In the denovo enquiry, domicile certificate of the appellant was found and verified as valid being bonafide resident of district Torghar but even then arrears for the said period were not paid to the appellant. It was further argued that the appellant remained out of service w.e.f. 15.12.2016 to 22.05.2018 for no fault attributable on his part therefore he is entitled to pay and allowances for the said period. Moreover, the appellant was granted

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

annual increments for the year 2016, 2017 and 2018 he is also entitled for the salaries w.e.f 15.12.2016 to 22.05.2018 because the appellant did not remain in a gainful employment during the period and an affidavit to this effect has already been furnished. In support of his arguments, he relied on judgement of this Tribunal dated 29.03.2022 delivered in service appeal No. 4975/2021 titled Abdul Jalil CT (BS-15) GMS Seri Kohani, District Torghar Versus Secretary (Elementary & Secondary Education) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and four (04) others

05. Learned AAG conversely argued that in compliance with the judgement of honourable Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench dated 22.02.2018, the appellant was reinstated in service subject to the outcome of denovo enquiry regarding verification of his domicile certificate. The denovo enquiry was conducted and the concerned authorities recommended that he is resident of district Torghar and domicile certificate has rightly been issued to him. The appellant has been released pay and arrears for the period of performance of his duty, have also been paid to him. The appellant has been treated in accordance with law and no discrimination has been caused to him, the appeal may therefore be dismissed with costs, he concluded.

06. Perusal of the record reveals that the appellant was appointed as PST (BS-13) vide Notification dated 13.04.2016, however vide Notification dated 15.12.2016, the appointment order of the appellant was withdrawn for the reason that his domicile certificate was not verified as valid from the concerned quarters. The Writ Petition filed by the appellant before the august Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench was accepted and he was reinstated in service vide Notification dated 22.05.2018 wherein it is categorically mentioned that the issue of arrears of pay and allowances will

28

he decided on the outcome of denovo enquiry. It is an admitted fact that domicile certificate of the appellant was found valid during the course of denovo enquiry. therefore, the period during which the appellant remained out of service cannot be considered as a fault on part of the appellant. August Supreme Court of Pakistan in its judgement reported as 2013 SCMR 752 has laid down the principle which is worth mentioning here as follows;


Once an employee is reinstated in service after his exoneration of the charges leveled against him, the period during which he remained either suspended or dismissed cannot be attributed as a fault on his part. His absence during this period was not voluntary on his part but it was due to order of the appellant that he was restrained not to attend his job/duty because on the basis of charge sheet, he was suspended and later on dismissed. At the moment, his exoneration from the charges would mean that he shall stand restored in service, as if he was never out of service of the appellant. If the absence of the respondent or non-attending the work was not volunteer act on the part of the respondent and was due to steps taken by the appellant, in no manner the service record of the respondent can be adversely affected nor he can be denied any benefit to which he was entitled, if he had not been suspended or dismissed.


07. It is an established fact that the appellant remained out of service w.e.f. 15.12.2016 to 22.05.2018 not by choice but due to the acts of respondents which makes him entitled for pay and allowances particularly when he has furnished an affidavit alongwith service appeal to the effect that he did not remain gainfully employed in any service during the said period of his absence. The affidavit so submitted by the appellant, has neither been denied, nor contested by the respondents.




08. As a sequel to the preceding Paras, we are of the considered view to allow the instant service appeal on its merit and the appellant is held entitled to the payment of salary w.e.f. 15.12.2016 to 22.05.2018. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

09. Pronounced in open court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal this 3rd of June, 2022.


(SALAH-UD-DIN)
MEMBER (J)


(MIAN MUHAMMAD)
MEMBER(E)


Tribunal
Peshawar

Page 6
23/12/23
30/1
35/1

Date: 23/12/23
Date of Decision: 23/12/23

30

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR.**


SERVICE APPEAL NO. 4975 /2021

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Case No. 5035

Dated 26/4/2021

Abdul Jalil, CT (BPS-15),
GMS Seri Kohani, District Tor Ghar.



APPELLANT

VERSUS

1. The Secretary (Elementary & Secondary Education) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
 2. The Director (Elementary & Secondary Education) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
 3. The District Education Officer (Male) Tor Ghar.
 4. The Secretary Finance, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
 5. The District Account Officer, District Tor Ghar at Mansehra.
- (RESPONDENTS)**

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT TO GRANT BACK BENEFITS TO THE APPELLANT IN SHAPE OF SALARIES FOR THE PERIOD WITH EFFECT FROM 07.09.2016 TO 03.07.2018 AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

Filed today
W.
Registrar
3/4/2021

PRAYER:

THAT ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE RESPONDENTS MAY BE DIRECTED TO GRANT BACK BENEFITS TO THE APPELLANT IN SHAPE OF SALARIES FOR THE PERIOD WITH EFFECT FROM 07.09.2016 TO 03.07.2018 AS ON DOMICILE ON WHICH HIS APPOINTMENT ORDER WAS WITHDRAWN HAS BEEN VERIFIED AND FOUND CORRECT DURING THE DE-NOVO INQUIRY PROCEEDING. ANY OTHER REMEDY, WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT, MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

ATTESTED

[Signature]
Secretary
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal


31

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 4975/2021

Date of Institution ... 26.04.2021

Date of Decision ... 29.03.2022



Abdul Jalil, CT (BPS-15), GMS Seri Kohani, District Tor Ghar.

... (Appellant)

VERSUS

The secretary (Elementary & Secondary Education) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and four others.

... (Respondents)

MR. TAIMUR ALI KHAN,
Advocate

For appellant.

MR. KABIRULLAH KHATTAK,
Additional Advocate General

For respondents.

MR. SALAH-UD-DIN
MS. ROZINA REHMAN

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

JUDGMENT:

SALAH-UD-DIN, MEMBER:-

Brief facts leading to filing of the instant Service Appeal are that the appellant was appointed as C.T (BPS-15), vide Notification dated 09.04.2016. The appellant performed his duties till 06.09.2016, however vide Notification dated 07.09.2016 issued from the office of District Education Officer (Male) Torghar, his appointment order was withdrawn on the ground that his domicile certificate was declared unverified by the quarter concerned. The appellant challenged the order dated 07.09.2016 through filing of Writ Petition No. 1082-A/2016 before the august Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench, which was allowed by setting-aside the Notification dated 07.09.2016, however the respondents were left

J

at liberty to proceed against the appellant in accordance with law and rules, if they so desire. The appellant was reinstated vide Notification dated 03.07.2018 in light of judgment of august Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench, however the issue of arrears of his pay and allowances was ordered to be decided on the outcome of de-novo inquiry. During the inquiry, the domicile certificate of the appellant was found genuine and Notification dated 29.05.2019 was also issued regarding regularization of his service with effect from the date of his appointment but the arrears of pay and allowances with effect from 07.09.2016 to 03.07.2018 were not granted to the appellant. The appellant agitated the matter before august Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench through filing of COC No. 136-A/2019, which was though dismissed vide judgment dated 13.01.2021, however it was observed that the appellant would be at liberty to approach the appropriate forum provided under the law for redressal of his grievance, if any, in accordance with law qua the issue of back benefits. The appellant then filed departmental appeal, which was not responded within the statutory period, hence the instant service appeal.

02. Notices were issued to the respondents, who submitted their comments, wherein they refuted the assertions made by the appellant in his appeal.

03. Mr. Taimur Ali Khan, Advocate representing the appellant has contended that it was categorically mentioned in the reinstatement order dated 03.07.2018 that the issue of arrears of pay and allowances will be decided upon the outcome of de-novo inquiry, however the arrears were not granted to the appellant despite the fact that his domicile certificate was found genuine during the de-novo inquiry. He next contended that as the appellant remained out of service with effect from 07.09.2016 till 02.07.2018 for no fault on his part, therefore, he is entitled to pay and allowances for the said period. He further argued that that appellant has though been granted annual increments pertaining to the years 2016, 2017 & 2018, therefore, he is entitled to be paid the salaries for the period during which he

W/TESTED
EXAMINER
S/

33

remained out of service due to fault of the respondents. Reliance was placed on 2013 SCMR 752, 2015 PLC (C.S) 215, PLD 1991 Supreme Court 226 and 2018 SCMR 64.

04. On the other hand, learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents has contended that in view of principle of no work no pay, the appellant cannot claim salaries for the period during which he remained out of service. He further argued that the appeal in hand being barred by time is liable to be dismissed on this score alone. He next contended that the appellant has been dealt in accordance with law and no discrimination has been caused to him, therefore, the appeal in hand may be dismissed with costs.

05. We have heard the arguments of learned counsel for the appellant as well as learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents and have perused the record.

06. A perusal of the record would show that the appellant was appointed as C.T (BPS-15) vide Notification dated 09.04.2016, however vide Notification dated 07.09.2016, the appointment order of the appellant was withdrawn for the reason that his domicile certificate was not verified as valid from the concerned quarter. The Writ Petition filed by the appellant before the august Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench was however allowed and he was reinstated in service vide Notification dated 03.07.2018, wherein it is categorically mentioned that the issue of arrears of pay and allowances would be decided upon the outcome of de-novo inquiry. It is an admitted fact that the domicile certificate of the appellant was found valid during the de-novo inquiry, therefore, the period during which the appellant remained out of service could not be considered as a fault on the part of the appellant. August Supreme Court of Pakistan in its judgment reported as 2013 SCMR 752 has graciously observed as below:-

"Once an employee is reinstated in service after his exoneration of the charges leveled against him, the period during which he remained either suspended or dismissed cannot be attributed as a fault on his part. His

ABDUL KAZIM
 Member
 Service Tribunal
 Peshawar

absence during this period was not voluntary on his part but it was due to order of the appellant that he was restrained not to attend his job/duty because on the basis of charge sheet, he was suspended and later on dismissed. AT the moment, his exoneration from the charges would mean that he shall stand restored in service, as if he was never out of service of the appellant. If the absence of the respondent or non-attending the work was not volunteer act on the part of the respondent and was due to steps taken by the appellant, in no manner the service record of the respondent can be adversely affected nor he can be denied any benefit to which he was entitled, if he had not been suspended or dismissed."

07. While deriving wisdom from the above mentioned judgment of august Supreme Court of Pakistan, we are of the view that the appellant was entitled to pay and allowances for the period during which he remained out of service, particularly when he has submitted an affidavit alongwith his appeal that he did not remain gainfully employed in any service during the period of his absence. The affidavit so submitted by the appellant has not been denied by the respondents through filing of any counter affidavit. So far as the question of limitation is concerned, the issue being one of financial benefits, therefore, the appeal is not hit by law of limitation.

08. The result of the above discussion is that the appeal in hand is allowed and the appellant is held entitled to payment of salaries with effect from 07.09.2016 to 02.07.2018. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED
29.03.2022

(SALAH-UD-DIN)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

(ROZINA REHMAN)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

Date of...
Page 5
25/1/22
30/2/22

23/2/23

23/2/23

35


**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR.**

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 4976 /2021 **Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal**

Diary No. 5034

Dated 26/4/2021

Atta Ullah, PST (BPS-12),
GPS Kopra, Aka Zai District Torghar.



APPELLANT

VERSUS

1. The Secretary (Elementary & Secondary Education) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
 2. The Director (Elementary & Secondary Education) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
 3. The District Education Officer (Male) Tor Ghar.
 4. The Secretary Finance, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
 5. The District Account Officer, District Tor Ghar at Mansehra.
- (RESPONDENTS)**

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT TO GRANT BACK BENEFITS TO THE APPELLANT IN SHAPE OF SALARIES FOR THE PERIOD WITH EFFECT FROM 07.09.2016 TO 03.07.2018 ALONG WITH ANNUAL INCREMENT OF YEAR 2016, 2017 & 2018 AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

Filed to-day
Registrar
26/04/2021

PRAYER:

THAT ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE RESPONDENTS MAY BE DIRECTED TO GRANT BACK BENEFITS TO THE APPELLANT IN SHAPE OF SALARIES FOR THE PERIOD WITH EFFECT FROM 07.09.2016 TO 03.07.2018 ALONG WITH ANNUAL INCREMENT OF YEAR 2016, 2017 & 2018 AS ON DOMICILE ON WHICH HIS APPOINTMENT ORDER WAS WITHDRWAN HAS VERIFIED AND FOUND CORRECT DURING THE DE-NOVO INQUIRY PROCEEDING. ANY OTHER REMEDY, WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE


36

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 4976/2021

Date of Institution ... 26.04.2021

Date of Decision ... 29.03.2022



Atta Ullah, PST (BPS-12), GPS Kopra, Aka Zai District Torghar.

... (Appellant)

VERSUS

The Secretary (Elementary & Secondary Education) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and four others.

... (Respondents)

MR. TAIMUR ALI KHAN
Advocate

For appellant.

MR. KABIRULLAH KHATTAK,
Additional Advocate General

For respondents.

MR. SALAH-UD-DIN
MS. ROZINA REHMAN

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

JUDGMENT:

SALAH-UD-DIN, MEMBER:- Precise facts forming the background of the instant appeal are that the appellant was appointed as PST (BPS-12), vide Notification dated 09.04.2016. The appellant performed his duties till 06.09.2016, however vide Notification dated 07.09.2016 issued from the office of District Education Officer (Male) Torghar, his appointment order was withdrawn on the ground that his domicile certificate was declared unverified by the quarter concerned. The appellant challenged the order dated 07.09.2016 through filing of Writ Petition No. 48-A/2017 before the august Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench, which was allowed by setting-aside the Notification dated 07.09.2016, however the respondents were left at liberty to

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

proceed against the appellant in accordance with law and rules, if they so desire. The appellant was reinstated vide Notification dated 03.07.2018 in light of judgment of august Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench, however the issue of arrears of his pay and allowances was ordered to be decided on the outcome of de-novo inquiry. During the inquiry, the domicile certificate of the appellant was found genuine by the concerned quarter. The appellant was removed from service vide order dated 24.11.2018 on the ground of willful absence from duty, which was challenged by the appellant through filing of departmental appeal. The same was allowed vide order dated 11.07.2019 and the appellant was reinstated in service with effect from the date of his removal from service by treating the intervening period as leave without pay. Vide Notification dated 04.11.2020, the service of the appellant was regularized with effect from the date of his appointment but the arrears of pay and allowances with effect from 07.09.2016 to 03.07.2018 were not granted to the appellant. The appellant agitated the matter before august Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench through filing of COC No. 143-A/2019, which was though dismissed vide judgment dated 13.01.2021, however it was observed that the appellant would be at liberty to approach the appropriate forum provided under the law for redressal of his grievance, if any, in accordance with law qua the issue of back benefits. The appellant then filed departmental appeal, which was not responded within the statutory period, hence the instant service appeal.

[Handwritten signature]

02. Notices were issued to the respondents, who contested the appeal by way of submitting joint comments, wherein they refuted the assertions made by the appellant in his appeal.

03. Mr. Taimur All Khan, Advocate representing the appellant has contended that it was categorically mentioned in the reinstatement order dated 03.07.2018 that the issue of arrears of pay and allowances will be decided upon the outcome of de-novo inquiry, however the arrears were not granted to the appellant despite the fact that his domicile

[Handwritten signature]


certificate was found genuine during the de-novo inquiry. He next contended that as the appellant remained out of service with effect from 07.09.2016 till 02.07.2018 for no fault on his part, therefore, he is entitled to payment of salaries as well as annual increments for the said period. Reliance was placed on 2013 SCMR 752, 2015 PLC (C.S) 215, PLD 1991 Supreme Court 226 and 2018 SCMR 64.

04. On the other hand, learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents has contended that in view of principle of no work no pay, the appellant cannot claim salaries for the period during which he remained out of service. He further argued that the appeal in hand being barred by time is liable to be dismissed on this score alone. He next contended that the appellant has been dealt in accordance with law and no discrimination has been caused to him, therefore, the appeal in hand may be dismissed with costs.

7-7

05. We have heard the arguments of learned counsel for the appellant as well as learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents and have perused the record.

06. A perusal of the record would show that the appellant was appointed as PST (BPS-12) vide Notification dated 09.04.2016, however vide Notification dated 07.09.2016, the appointment order of the appellant was withdrawn for the reason that his domicile certificate was not verified as valid from the concerned quarter. The Writ Petition filed by the appellant before the august Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench was however allowed and he was reinstated in service vide Notification dated 03.07.2018, wherein it is categorically mentioned that the issue of arrears of pay and allowances would be decided upon the outcome of de-novo inquiry. It is an admitted fact that the domicile certificate of the appellant was found valid during the de-novo inquiry, therefore, the period during which the appellant remained out of service could not be considered as a fault on the part of the appellant. August Supreme Court of Pakistan in its judgment reported as


The Honorable Justice
[Name of the Justice]
[Designation]


2013 SCMR 752 has graciously observed as below:-


"Once an employee is reinstated in service after his exoneration of the charges leveled against him, the period during which he remained either suspended or dismissed cannot be attributed as a fault on his part. His absence during this period was not voluntary on his part but it was due to order of the appellant that he was restrained not to attend his job/duty because on the basis of charge sheet, he was suspended and later on dismissed. AT the moment, his exoneration from the charges would mean that he shall stand restored in service, as if he was never out of service of the appellant. If the absence of the respondent or non-attending the work was not volunteer act on the part of the respondent and was due to steps taken by the appellant, in no manner the service record of the respondent can be adversely affected nor he can be denied any benefit to which he was entitled, if he had not been suspended or dismissed."


07. While deriving wisdom from the above mentioned judgment of august Supreme Court of Pakistan, we are of the view that the appellant was entitled to pay and allowances for the period during which he remained out of service, particularly when he has submitted an affidavit alongwith his appeal that he did not remain gainfully employed in any service during the period of his absence. The affidavit so submitted by the appellant has not been denied by the respondents through filing of any counter affidavit. So far as the question of limitation is concerned, the issue being one of financial benefits, therefore, the appeal is not hit by law of limitation.

08. In view of the foregoing discussion, the appeal in hand is allowed and the appellant is held entitled to payment of salaries with effect from 07.09.2016 to 02.07.2018 as well as annual increments for the years 2016 to 2018. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED
29.03.2022


(ROZINA REHMAN)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)


(SALAH-UD-DIN)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)


Tribunal
Islamabad

40

VAKALAT NAMA

NO. _____/202

IN THE COURT OF KP Service Tribunal, Peshawar

Muhammad Anjid Ali (Appellant)
(Petitioner)
(Plaintiff)

VERSUS

Educational Deptt (Respondent)
(Defendant)

I/We, Muhammad Anjid Ali

Do hereby appoint and constitute Taimur Ali Khan, Advocate High Court Peshawar, to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate/Counsel on my/our costs.

I/We authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. The Advocate/Counsel is also at liberty to leave my/our case at any stage of the proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid or is outstanding against me/us.

Dated _____/202

[Signature]
(CLIENT)

ACCEPTED

[Signature]
TAIMUR ALI KHAN
Advocate High Court
BC-10-4240
CNIC: 17101-7395544-5
Cell No. 0333-9390916

OFFICE:
Room # FR-8, 4th Floor,
Bilour Plaza, Peshawar,
Cantt: Peshawar

[Signature]
Shahid Ullah Torani
Advocate