Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court or_					
					٠.
				\circ	
	10 (77		~ ~	
	180	< U	/2020	- d (1
e No -	しょうしょ	.) ~ 1	/2020	<u> </u>	<u></u>

.No.	Date of order	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
	proceedings	
1	2	3
		The appeal presented today by Mr. Umar Farooq Advocate
1	28/10/2020	may be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learned Member
		for proper order please.
		REGISTRAR
2		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on $\frac{0.1 - 0.3 - 2.1}{0.1}$
		up there on
		MEMBER(J)
01.		The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khan
	1	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
	san	ne before S.B on 26.07.2021.
		l . Doader
	.* ⁴	

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL	NO.		2020
- · ·		 	

MUSTAFA KHAN

VS

EDUCATION DEPTT:

INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal	1-3-
2.	Notification	A 4
3.	Pay slips	B&C 5-6
4.	Departmental appeal	D 7
5.	Service Tribunal judgment	E 8-9
6.	Vakalatnama	10

THROUGH:

APPELLANT

UMAR FAROOQ ADOCATE HIGH COURT

CELL NO 0313-8901647

Note:

Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After submission of the case.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 18034 /2020

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Mr, MUSTAFA KHAN

PST(BPS-12)

GPS PANDAYALLI, pisst Mohmand

Personnel Number:00356611

Dans No. 3450

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

.....RÉSPONDENTS

APPELLAN1

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE OKHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH:

ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as **PST (BPS-12)** quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from

- 6- That the appellant also prayed to be treated through the principals of consistency for allowing such relief which was granted in appeal No 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department in Judgment Dated 11.11.2019.
- 7- That where after the appellant waited for the statutory period of ninety days but no reply has been received from the respondents. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government,



therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.

- E- That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspect and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for under the golden principals of consistency.


MUSTAFA KHAN


MUX UMAR FAROOQ

APPELLANT^{**}

ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

ASTED (





GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SO(SR-II): 8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20-12-2012

From

The Secretary to Govf. of Khyteer Pashtuckhwa. Finance Department, Penhavear

To:

All Adamistrative Secretaries to Gov. of Kingler Pakintumbrana.

The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Knyber Pakhtualdrea

The Secretary to Governor Kriyber Pakiticakewa

å, The Secretary to Chief Minster, Khyber Pakhainkiwa.

5. The Secretary, Pravincial Aspectally. Knyber Pallaturkhika

All Heads of Attaches Departments in Knyher Pakhunkhwa

Af District Coordination Officerson Xhyber Pakiltonkines.

AP Political Agents / District & Sexalons Judges in Khytter Publistrikhwa

The Registra, निव्यंत्रमध्या High Court Peshawar

The Chairman, Public Service Corresion, Khyber Pokhlundawa.

The Chairman, Bewider Taburdi, Physic Pakhloridaws,

Sobject

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE <u>CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL</u> GOVERNMENT BPS 1-19

Dear Sit.

The Government of Khyte: Pakhtuskhyru has bees pleased to enhance / revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinces Civil Servants Gover of Mayber Pashtunkhwa (Violking in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1° September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in 675-16 to 665-19 will remain urchanged.

S.NO	BPS	EXISTING RATE (PM)	REVISED RATE (PM)
1.	1-4	Rs.1,500/-	Rs.1,700/-
<u> </u>	5-10	Ps.1,500/-	Rs.1,840/-
<u>. 3.</u>	11-15	Rs.2,000/-	Rs.2,720/-
<u> </u>	16-19	Rs.5,000/-	R\$,5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the grove rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officest who have not been sanctioned efficial vehicles.

Yours Faithfully,

(Sahibzada Sacod Alygad) Secretary Finance

Endsi: NO. FD/SO/SR-TD/8-52/2012

Dated Pessiawar the 20th Tiscenation, 2017

A Copy is forwarded for information to the:-

Addourtant General, Hander Pakitterktma, Pestigaket

Secretaries to Government of Punjab, জিলোন & Galborstan, Finance Department

3. All Auroramous / Serie Autonomous Bodies in Kingser Pakhtyakhas

MINTIAZ AYUB! Additional Secretary (Real

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20:12.2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- 1. All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 8. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
 - 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
 - 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject: REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS-1-19

Dear Sir,

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain unchanged.

S.No.	BPS	Existing Rate (PM)	Revised Rate (PNI)
1.	1-4	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,700/-
2	5-10	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,840/-
3.	11-15	Rs. 2,000/-	Rs. 2,720/-
4.	16-19	Rs. 5,000/-	Rs. 5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17,
 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December, 2012



GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN ACCOUNTANT GENERAL PAKISTAN REVENUE PESHAWAR

PAYMENT ADVICE

. To 13

PAY ROLL SYSTEM I	
Rers #: 00356611 Buckle:	F <u>Sec:001 Month:May 2019</u> MGQ005 -Agency Education Officer M Min. Of Education NTM:
CHICERS. 21036616341	Old # DEPTT CODE
GPF Interest Applied 12 Vocational Temporary PAYS AND ALLOWANCES:	ng0005 -63
∲001-Basic Pay 1000-House Rent Allowance 1210-Convey Allowance 2005	18,120.00 1,961.00 2,856.00
1300-Medical Allowance 1528-Unattractive Area Allow 2148-15% Adhoc Relief All-2013	1,500.00 1,500.00 329.00
2199-Adhoc Relief Allow @10% 2211-Adhoc Relief All 2016 10% 2224-Adhoc Relief All 2017 10% Gross Pay and Allowances DEDUCTIONS:	225.00 1,354.00 1,812.00 31,469.00
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### #### ####	Subrc: 2.220.00
3701-Benevolent Fund(Exchange) 3705-R. Ben & Death Comp(Exch)	600.06
Total Deductions	NET AMOUNT PAYABLE 3, 520. 00
QUALIFYING SERVICE	27,949.00
01, 07, 1982 UN	P Quota: ITED BANK LIMITED EKKA GHUND 04940578



GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN ACCOUNTANT GENERAL PAKISTAN REVENUE **PESHAWAR**

PAYMENT ADVICE

PAY ROLL SYSTEMAI @ers`#: 00356611 Buckle: MUSTAFA KHAN FRIMARY SCHOOL TEACHER CHICEPS. 21036616341 GFF-Interest Applied 12 Vocational Temporary PAYS AND ALLOWANCES:

F Sec. 001 Hontin June 2017 MGCOOS -Agency Education Officer M Min. Of Education NTH: GPFI # Old # DEPTT CODE

\$001-Basic Pau .000-House Rent Allowance 300-Medical Allowance 528-Unattractive Area Allow

2148-15% Adhoc Relief All-2013 1199-Adhoc Relief Allow @10% 2211-Adhoc Relief All 2016 10% 2224-Adhoc Relief All 2017 10%

1247-Adhoc Relief All 2018 10% Gross Pay and Allowances

GPF Balance 103,848,00 \$661-E.E.F (Exchange) \$701-Benevolent Fund(Exchange) \$705-R. Ben & Death Comp(Exch)

#GOOO#

18/120, 00 1/961.00 1/500.00 500.00 329.00

-63

225.00 1 1354, 00 14812.00 1,812,00 28, 613, 00

24220.00 100.00 600.0Q 600.80

NET AMOUNT PAPABLE 1/320 90 Z5, 093, 00

Total Deductions

YRS

DEDUCTIONS:

QUALIFYING SERVICE MON D. D. B

01.07.1982 16 Years 03 Months 026 Days

LFP Quote:

UNITED BANK LIMITED EKKA GHUND 0204940573

Subre:

The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE

DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as PST (BPS-12) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-15 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R) CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That the august K.P.K service tribunal also allowed the restoration of the convence allowance in its judgment dated 11.11.2019 in appeal No 1452/2019 titled Magsad Hayat versus Education Derpartment. Copy attached. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & summer vacations.

Dated: 09062020

Your Obediently MUSTAFA KHAN **GPS PANDAYALLI**



UNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNA BEFORE THE KHYBER PAK

PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar.....

VERSUS

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED BY ILLEGALLY AND OF THE RESPONDENTS UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted Fledto-daypreviously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in Registrar favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

7-14/181/1.6

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and esecondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

Appeal No. 1452/2019 Markad Hayat vs Gost 122 (F)

11.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Pétitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a

reasonable time.

File be consigned to the regord.

ATTESTE

ANNOUNCED

11.11.2019

EV W

Chairman

Pernawat

VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR OF 2020 (APPELLANT) **MUSTAFA KHAN** (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER) **VERSUS** (RESPONDENT) Education Department (DEFENDANT) I/We**MUSTAFA KHAN** do hereby appoint and constitute UMAR FAROOQ, Advocate, High court, Peshawar to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. Dated.

ACCEPTED
UMAR FAROOQ
ADVOCATE