07.03.2016

Appellant in person and Mr.Imranullah, Inspector (legal) alongwith Mr. Muhammad Zubair, Sr.G.P for respondents present. Due to non-availability of D.B arguments could not be heard. To come up for rejoinder and final hearing before D.B on 3.5.2016 at Camp Court Swat.

Charman Camp Court Swat

03.05.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Imranullah, Inspector (Legal) alongwith Mr. Muhammad Zubair, Senior Government Pleader for the respondents present. Arguments heard. Record perused. Vide our detailed judgment of today in connected appeal No. 874/2015, titled "Hussain Ali Versus the Provincial Police Officer, KPK Peshawar etc." this appeal is also accepted as per detailed judgment. Parties are left to bear their own cost. File be consigned to the record room.

Member

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 03.05.2016 3

X

Appellant Deposited
Security & Process Fee

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant was serving as a civil servant when subjected to inquiry on the allegations of preparation of fake Driving Licences and compulsorily retired from service vide impugned order dated 21.4.2015 regarding which he preferred departmental appeal on 28.4.2015 followed by service appeal on 4.8.2015.

That the inquiry officer has conducted no inquiry in the prescribed manners nor any opportunity of hearing was afforded to the appellant.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 2.11.2015 before S.B at Camp Court Swat as the matter pertains to the territorial limits of Malakand Division.

ر Chairman

02.11.2015

Appellant in person and Mr.Khawas Khan, S.I(legal)
alongwith Mr.Muhammad Zubair, Sr.G.P for respondents present.
Written reply submitted. The appeal is assigned to D.B for
rejoinder and final hearing for 7.3.2016 at Camp Court Swat.

Chaerman Camp Court Swat

Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of_	-		* -	
Case No		-	889	<u>/2015</u>

	Case No	80 /2015
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	06.08.2015	The appeal of Mr. Khurshid Ahmad resubmitted toda by Mr. Khushdil Khan Advocate may be entered in the Institution
		register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order.
		REGISTRAR
	10-8-12	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for prelimina
2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	hearing to be put up thereon $12-8-17$.
		63
•		CHAIRMAN
	•	
1/1 1/1	• મેરામાં તેમાં ખેત	7. 543 .5513°5012°50
2 3 A	ing and a second of	.n.m. ,misaul bemedatumi ditirecoli
nof for	is essimped to	ritter ruly submitted. The ditterm
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Burga multi and Sauta to un universitati
ando		rejeinder and figs. Her him sen 7.
	•	
20	rri=in	
	Camp Great	
		1
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	,	

The appeal of Mr. Khursheed Ahmad Ex-Senior Clerk Police Department received to-day i.e. on 04.08.2015 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

理器をいう

1- Copies of charge sheet, statement of allegations and show cause notice mentioned in the memo of appeal in respect of appellant are not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.

No. 1158 /S.T.

Dt. 04-8 /2015

Mr. Khushdil Khan Adv. Pesh.

REGISTRAR
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR.

The non Mochant of air mentioned deamly
is fet such documents have not period

for nome to each one as evident for

belleight 03.05.2012 (t/7) x bellei

belleight 10) which is a learner is these

cores which have been oraultised

in we opposed.

This resultanted this opposed

with such substituted this opposed

with the substituted this opposed

with the substituted this opposed to the substituted the subs

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. <u>889</u> /2015

Khursheed Ahmad

VERSUS

The PPO/IGP & others

INDEX

S.No.	Description of Documents	Date La	Annexure	Pages
1.	Memo of Service Appeal		-	· 1-6
2.	Copy of order of departmental inquiry against appellant :	03.05.2012	. A	0-7
3.	Copy of Charge Sheet with Statement of Allegations	30.04.2012	В	8-9
4.	Copy of letter thereby communicated Show Cause Notice with copy of Inquiry Report	26.09.2013	C	10-13
5.	Copy of letter of Respondent No.2 therein made some queries and asked for specific punishment	05.12.2013	D	0-14
6.	Copy of impugned office order thereby major penalty of compulsory retirement was imposed upon the appellant with immediate effect	21.04.2015	E	15-16
7.	Copy of Review Petition filed before Respondent No.1	29.04.2015	F	0-17
8.	Wakalat Nama			

Through

Khush Dil Khan

Advocate,

Supreme Court of Pakistan 9-B, Haroon Mansion,

Khyber Bazar, Peshawar. Cell # 091-2213445

Dated: 0 \ / 08/2015

.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No/2	015
	G.W.F. Province Service Tribunal
Khursheed Ahmad,	Diary No LA Ja
Ex-Senior Clerk,	04-8-291S
Office of the District Police Officer, Buner/Swat.	2366
R/o Mohallah Bar Kalay, Saidu Sharif, t	Appellant

Versus

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer/
 Inspector General of Police,
 Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Additional Inspector General of Police, Headquarters, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 21.04.2015 (ANNEX:-E) THEREBY APPELLANT WAS AWARDED MAJOR PUNISHMENT OF COMPULSORY RETIREMENT FROM SERVICE WITH IMMEDIATE EFFECT AGAINST WHICH HE FILED A REVIEW PETITION DATED 28.04.2015 (ANNEX:-F) BEFORE THE RESPONDENT NO.1 WHICH WAS NOT DISPOSED OFF WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF 90 DAYS.

1/2/11

Respectfully Sheweth,

Facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:-

1. That appellant initially appointed as Class-IV on 21.12.1980, then he was appointed to the post of Junior Clerk on 08.10.1989, thereafter he was promoted to the post of Senior Clerk in the year 2008 on the basis of seniority-cum-fitness and as such the appellant served the

RE-SHAMILLED TO-SEP

Department for more than 34 years with splendid service record without any stigma or complaint.

2. That all of sudden, a letter dated 03.05.2012 (Annex:-A) was issued from the office of Respondent No.1 thereby ordered a departmental inquiry against the appellant and others and also appointed Mr. Muhammad Ayaz DSP/Legal, Swat as Inquiry Officer. In pursuance thereof a copy of Charge Sheet with Statement of Allegations duly signed by the Respondent No.2 on 30.04.2012 (Annex:-B) was served upon appellant. The allegations as contained in Charge Sheet are as under:-

That he while posted to Traffic/Licence Branch, Swat from the period from 08.03.2010 to 29.08.2012 was held responsible for issuance of bogus Driving Licences under the fake signature of DSP/Jehangir Khan, the then Motor Licencing Authority, Swat.

- 3. That appellant submitted reply to the Charge Sheet and Statement of Allegations therein he denied the charges being false and concocted.
- DSP/Legal, Swat has not conducted an independent inquiry rather he relied on the inquiry which was carried out under Section-157(i) Cr.P.C. by the Mian Nasib Jan the then DSP Headquarters, Swat and in the light of this inquiry he furnished the findings vide Inquiry Report signed on 06.09.2013. The copy of which was communicated to appellant alongwith Final Show Cause Notice under letter No.24243/E-III dated 26.09.2013 (Annex:-C). The appellant submitted reply thereto and objected the inquiry proceedings.

- 5. That before passing the impugned order, Respondent No.2 has agitated some queries as evident from letter dated 05.12.2013 (Annex:-D) addressed to District Police Officer Swat therein he candidly stated that the inquiry findings are not complete, the officials involved in inquiry be personally heard, their statements be submitted to him, in addition, punishment as major/minor be also suggested. The Inquiry Officer suggested minor penalty in the case of appellant.
- 6. That Respondent No.2 passed an office order dated 21.04.2015 (Annex:-E) thereby imposed major penalty of compulsory retirement from service with immediate effect upon the appellant against which he filed Review Petition before the Respondent No.1 on 29.04.2015 (Annex:-F) but the same was not disposed of within the statutory period.
- 7. That it is pertinent to mention that appellant has time and again requested the Respondent No.2 for provision of copies of Inquiry Report conducted under Section-157(i) of Cr.P.C., FSL Examination result, Statements of witnesses, the recommendations of Inquiry Officer regarding the imposition of minor penalty etc. but no copy of any documents was provided to appellant and as such he was not provided a fair opportunity to defend his case properly.

Hence this appeal is submitted on the following amongst other grounds:-

Grounds:

- A. That the allegations as leveled against the appellant in the Statements of Allegations are false and concocted and not proved against the appellant through cogent evidence, therefore, the alleged charges are not sustainable.
- B. That no proper inquiry has been conducted by the Inquiry Officer Mr. Muhammad Ayaz DSP/Legal, Swat. Neither he recorded the statements of any witness in the presence of appellant nor he provided an opportunity of cross-examination to him rather he totally relied on the findings of the inquiry conducted under Section-157(i) Cr.P.C. Thus the findings of inquiry are defective and not operative on the rights of appellant and the impugned order based thereon has no legal effect and not sustainable under the law and liable to be set aside.
- C. That the alleged inquiry under Section-157(i) Cr.P.C. has also conducted at the back of appellant neither the Inquiry Officer has recorded the statements of any witness in the presence of appellant nor its copy or report of FSL was provided to appellant, therefore, this inquiry has no legal weight and devoid of legal sanctity while the Inquiry Officer Mr. Muhammad Ayaz has wrongly and unlawfully relied upon it without conducting an independent inquiry by him in the circumstances of the case.
- D. That in recommendations, the Inquiry Officer has not specified the punishment under the Rules, however, then the Respondent No.2 has asked for specification of punishment vide his letter dated 05.12.2013, then he

;

proposed a minor penalty but Respondent No.2 acted in deviation of the recommendations of Inquiry Officer and awarded major penalty of compulsory retirement to appellant without cogent reason which is not sustainable under the law being violative of principle of natural justice.

- E. That the matter in question is pertaining to factual controversies which could not be resolved without proper inquiry and recording of evidence which has not done in this case and thus appellant was condemned unheard, no fair opportunity of defence was provided to him, therefore, the impugned proceedings and subsequent the impugned orders are illegal, malafide, without lawful authority and not sustainable under the rules and liable to be set aside.
- F. That the entire proceedings of the inquiry has conducted by the Inquiry Officer in violation of Rules-10 & 11 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules-2011 and in such circumstances the impugned order of compulsory retirement is not sustainable and untenable.
- G. That inspite of repeated request of appellant for provision of necessary documents i.e. copies of Inquiry Reports, FSL Examination Report and the statements of witnesses, if any, but none of Respondent authorities have provided the requisite copies of the above documents while on the directions of the Commission it provided the copies of those documents which the appellant had not requested. Thus it shows the malafide of the Respondent authorities

who have not acted fairly and justly.

H. That the punishment as awarded to appellant is harsh,

unjustified, unfair and not commensurate with the alleged

offence and thus not sustainable.

I. That Respondent No.1 has not acted in accordance with

law and malafidely kept pending the Review Petition of

appellant without any action which is not warranted by

law.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this

service appeal, the impugned order dated 21.04.2015 may

kindly be set aside and appellant may graciously be reinstated

into service with all back benefits.

Any other relief as deemed appropriate in the

circumstances of case not specifically asked for, may also be

granted to appellant.

Through

Khush Dil Khan,

Advocate,

Supreme Court of Pakistan

Dated: <u>0\</u>/08/2015

Annex. A-P7 Provincial Police Officer, From: . Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, 10-05-012 ·Peshawar. Dy: Inspector General of Police, The To Malakand Region Swat. No. 103) 7 /E-III, dated P@hawar the 03/ 5 /2012 DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY AGAINST SENIOR CLERKS Subject: KHURSHID AHMAD, HUSSAIN ALI, MUSHTAQ AHMAD AND JUNIOR CLERK MUHAMMAD NAWAB MAKAND REGION SWAT. Memo: Please refer to your Memo No. 1124/E dated: 1.03.2013. Mr. Muhammad Ayaz, DSP/Legal Swat is nominated as Enquiry Officer in the subject case by the competent authority. Please direct him to serve the attached charge sheet and statement of allegation upon the defaulter officials and return its duplicate copy as token of receipt to this office for record. Act 100 Mosch (JAVEDYÓBÁL) Registrar For Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhty/khwa EC. Scryud. Peshawar / No. 5261 1/199: dt: 16-5 12013 Copy of obove alos Regional Pains Officer, Malakand, at Said: Sharif Swat.

its enclosure is seni re Diplugal swat for noace

16 (B)

Regional Police Officer,
Malagand, at Salda Sharif Swat.

0/01 2022 12:59 FAX

Anner. B 128

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

1, Khalid Masood, Addl: Inspector General of Police (HQrs), Khyber akhtunkhwa, Peshawar as Competent Authority, am of the opinion that Senior Herk Hussain All of Traffic /Licence Branch, Swat has rendered himself liable to be proceeded against as he committed the following acts /omissions within the meaning of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants efficiency and discipline rules 2011 :-

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

- That he while posted to Traffic /Licence Branch, Swat from the period from 08.03.2010 to 29.08.2012 was held responsible for issuance of bogus Driving Licences under the fake signature of DSP/Jehangir Khan, the then MLA
- For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of the said accused with Swat. reference to the above allegations, an enquiry committee consisting of the following is constituted under the Rules.
 - The enquiry committee shall, in accordance with the provisions of the Ordinance, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused, record its findings and make within 25 days of the receipt of this order, recommendations as to punishment or the appropriate action against the accused.
 - The accused and a well conversant representative of departmental shall join the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the enquiry committee.

(KHALID MA\$OOD) Addl: Inspector General of Police (HQrs) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawai

CHARGE SHEET

I. Khalid Masood, Addl: Inspector General of Police (HQrs), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar as Competent Authority, hereby charge you, Senior Clerk Hussain Ali of Traffic /Licence Branch, Swat as follow:

You while posted to Traffic/Licence Branch, Swat for the period; from 08:03:2010 to 29.08.2012 was held responsible for issuance of bogus Driving Licences under the fake signature of DSP/ Jehangir Khan, the then MLA, Swat during the preliminary enquiry conducted by DSP/HQrs Swat, which is gross misconduct on your part as defined in Civil Servants efficiency and discipline rules 2011.

By reasons of the above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct . under Civil Servants efficiency and discipline rules 2011, and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in the rules ibid.

You are, therefore, required to submit your written defence within seven days of the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the Enquiry Officer/Committee, as the case may be.

should reach written, defence, Life any, period, failing which

Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.

Statement of allegation lis enclosed.

(KHALIU MABOOD) Addl: Inspector General of Police (HQrs) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

From:

The

Provincial Police Officer,

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Peshawar.

Annex - C-1?16

To:

The

Regional Police Officer,

Malakand Region, Swat.

No. 24, 3/E-III, dated Peshawar the / 9 /2013

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY AGAINST SENIOR CLERKS HUSSAIN ALI, KHURSHID AHMAD, MUSHTAQ AHMAD &

JUNIOR CLERK MUHAMMAD NAWAB

Memo:

Please refer to DSP/Legal Swat memo No. 16-17/P,

dated: 11,09.2013.

Please direct DSP/Legal Swat to serve the enclosed final show cause notices upon Senior Clerks Khurshid Ahmad, Hussain Ali, Mushtaq Ahmad & Junior Clerk Muhammad Nawab of DPO Office Swat and returned its duplicate copy as token of receipt to this office for record.

Encls: n(4)

(JAVED !QBAL)

Registrar For Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

No.

_/E-III, dated Peshawar the

naring i Collins Sulface

/2013

Copy to DSP/Legal Swat for necessary action w/r to his

Memo refer to above.

No 8990 /EC DT 30 9 013

(JAVED IQBAL)

Registrar For Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar



- 1. WHEREAS, you Senior Clerk Hussain Ali while posted in Traffic/License Branch Swat, committed gross misconduct as defined of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servant efficiency and discipline Rules 2011, resultantly you were Charge Sheeted and served with a statement of allegations and Mr. Muahmamd Ayaz DSP/Legal Swat was appointed to conduct enquiry.
- 2. WHEREAS, the Enquiry officer has finalized the Enquiry proceedings, giving you full opportunities of defence i.e personal hearing as well as cross examination of the witnesses and the statement of all PWS were recorded in your presence, besides audience to relevant record. Consequent upon the completion of Enquiry proceeding, the Enquiry Officer held you guilty of the charges leveled against you as per Charge Sheet. A copy of the findings of the Enquiry Officer is enclosed.
- 3. AND WHEREAS, on going through the finding and recommendation of Enquiry Officer, the material placed on record and other connected papers including your defence before the said Inquiry Officer; I am satisfied that you have committed the misconduct and are guilty of the charges leveled against you as per statement of allegations conveyed to you which stand proved and render you liable to be awarded punishment under the said rules.
- 4. NOW THEREFORE, I, Khalid Masood, Additional IGF/HQrs Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar as Competent Authority have tentatively decided to impose upon you, any one or more penalties including the penalty of "dismissal from Service" under Section 3 of the said Ordinance.

You are therefore, required to Show Cause within seven days of the receipt of this Notice, as to why the aforesaid penalty should not be imposed upon you, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defence to offer and an exparte action shall be taken against you. Meanwhile also intimate whether you desire to be heard in person or otherwise.

(Enquiry finding is enclosed)

(KHALID MASOOD)

Addl: IGP/Headquarters, For Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Peshawar.

24/9

AT ESTED

1/25/4330V

9444-880-493

5140 P. 007

#5 140 P. UUB

P-12

FINDING ENQUIRY

Kindly refer to your affice memo No. 10373/E-III, dated 03-05-2013.

This is the departmental enquiry against Hussain All License Clerk of this District who remains as Traffic Clerk with effect from 01-10-2011 to 29-12-2011. According to the allegation, Hussain All Senior Clerk while posted as License Clerk, issued bogus driving license on the fraud signature of Jehangir Khan the then DSP/MLA. In this connection an enquiry was initiated and the undersigned was directed accordingly.

During the course of enquiry, Senior Clerk Hussain All was summoned and his statement was got recorded who showed his ignorance in this regard:

Frior to this, Mian Nasib Jan the then DSP Head Quarter Swat was started an enquiry u/s 157 (I) Cr.PC vide DD No. 41 dated 24-09-2012 Police Station Saidu Sharif and Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region, Saidu Sharif, Swat office No. 6144/E, dated 20-09-2012. During the enquiry he has recovered 171 suspicious, D.L wherein on he 123 D.L files from S.No. 1 Q to 288 Q having the suspect signature of Mr. Jehangir Khan retired DSP MLA. Similarly six D.L file of routine license with four specimen signature sheet of Jehangir Khan was also . recovered from the MLA Office and sent to FSL Peshawar for analysis. Sign expert of FSL Peshawar opined that the D.L files bearing No. 1 Q to 31 Q. 35 Q to 206 Q; 210 Q to 223 Q, 225 Q to 228 Q, 230 Q to 244 Q, 244 Q to 251 Q, 251 Q to 266 Q, 266 Q to 269 Q, 269 Q to 272 Q, 272 Q to 277 Q, 281 Q to 288 Q are found bogus signatures of the MLA on file No. 32, 33, 34, 207, 208, 209, 224, 229, 245 to 267, 280, 220, 270, 271, 278, 279 and 280 were found correct. Beside this, deficiency in D.L. was also found on 11 D.L files. 20 D.L files have been signed by the concerned LC Knurshid Ahmad and Muhammad Nawab on behalf of MLA Swat. Similarly no Bank receipt of Rs. 610/- each was found on 2 files. On 15 D.L files of out District as well as on other 15 files were found without the signature of R.T.A. No. form C was available in 2 D.L files while the Medical Officer's Stamp on D.L No. 12636 on the name of Sher Muhammad s/o Yahya was also found bogus after due verification. Similarly, the Bank receipt as well as record of D.A.O office were also verified and placed on the enquiry file.

Statements of Mr. Jehangir Khan DSP (Retired) cierk Mushtaq Ahmad. Nawab Khan, Khurshid Ahmad, Adnan I/C Computer, Alamgir s/o Gujar Khan r/o Sersenal vice president of Dewlai stand. Monshi Mohammad Ishaq aliqs Matal s/o Tota Khan r/o Matra Bandal as well as Sher Afzai s/o Abdur Rahim r/o Rahim Abad Monshi General Bus Stand Mingora were also got recorded. It is worth to mentioned here that maintaining of the record is the responsibility of the License Clerk and the above mentioned D. License were prepared in the period of these license clerks.

From the enquiry proceedings, FSL report as well as enquiry u/s 157 (1) Cr.PC conducted by the local police of Police Station Saldu Sharif on the directions of Mian Nasib Jan the then DSP/Headquarter MLA. I reached to the conclusion that Senior Clerk Hussain Ali is held responsible for his tenure for committing such like fraud as well cognizable offence as stated by Mian Nasib Jan the then DSP/Heddquarter His statement is also attached.

Therefore, I am agree with the finding report of Mian Nasib Jan DSP Headquarter MLA. Enquiry officer and Senior Clerk Hussain All Ilicense Clerk Swat) are held responsible for committing the above fraud and other inegularities in the License Branch. The allegation leveled against him is proved for the enquiry, therefore, he is recommended for suitable punishment please.

Copy to Regional Polices Officer, Malakand Region, Saldu Sharif, Swat for information with reference vide his office Endst: No. 7455-66/E, dated

> (Muhammad Ayaz Khan) DSP/Legal Swat 6-9-13

Finnes !

Fax:

9210927

From:

Provincial Police Officer, The

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

The District Police Office,

Swat.

/E-III, dated Peshawar, the

Subject:

ENQUIRY AGAINST MINISTERIA

Reference DSP/Legal Svat memo: No.16-17/P, 11.09.2013 on the subject cited above.

Enquiry findings sent by the Enquiry Officer are not complete. The officials involved in the enquiry may be personally heard and their statements be submitted to the undersigned. Moreover punishment against them may also be suggested, please.

1. Mushtaq Ahmed

2. Khurshid Ahmed

3. Hussain Ali

4. Muhammad Nawab

Assistant Grade Clerk

Senior Clerk

Senior Clerk

Senior Clerk

Additional I.G.P/HQrs: For Provincial Police Officer.

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Annex. E33

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
CENTRAL POLICE OFFICE

PESHAWAR Ph: 091-9210545 Fax: 091-9210927

No.25,59 /E.V.

Dated

15451E

Peshawar the

21-4

72015

ORDER

This order will dispose of departmental enquiry against the following

officials: -

Mushtaq Ahmad, Asstt: Grade Clerk
 Khurshid Ahamd, Senior Clerk
 Hussain Ali, Senior Clerk
 Muhammad Nawab, Senior Clerk
 DPO Swat.
 HE DPO Dy

- 2. The above accused officials were Charge Sheeted on account of their posting to Driving License Branch Swat for the period from 08.03.2010 to 29.08.2012 whereas they were held responsible for issuance of bogus driving licenses under the fake signatures of Mr. Jehangir Khan, the then DSP MLA Swat. The allegations were confirmed in the preliminary enquiry conducted by DSP/HQrs: Swat. Hence they were proceeded under proper departmental proceedings and DSP Legal Swat was appointed as Enquiry Officer.
- 3. The Enquiry Officer submitted his finding report which is placed on the enquiry file in which the allegations were established and all the above officials were held responsible for committing fraud and other irregularities in the license branch.
- 4. The accused officials were served with Final Show Causes. They submitted their replies accordingly. Finally they were summoned in the office of the undersigned and heard at length.
- 5. The accused officials failed to rebut the charges nor could produced any cogent evidence in their defense.
- 6. I have gone through the entire material placed before me which depicts that besides departmental enquiry, the matter remained under enquiry with DSP/HQrs: Swat who conducted enquiry u/s 157(1) Cr.P.C vide Daily Diagram No.41 dated 24.09.2012. In the said enquiry, the Enquiry Officer established charges through FSL and independent evidence u/s 157(1) Cr.PC. The charges stand proved against the delinquent officials beyond any shadow of doubl. The accused officials have committed gross misconduct in sheer violation of their official duty and have earned bad name for the entire Police Department.





OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA CENTRAL POLICE OFFICE PESHAWAR

Ph: 091-9210545 Fax: 091-9210927

7. Henceforth, I, MIAN MUHAMMAD ASIF, Addl: Inspector General of Police HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar being Competent Authority, under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, E &D Rules-1973 (Amended in 2011), hereby award them Major Punishment of compulsory retirement from Service with jamediate.

(MIAN MUHAMMAD ASIF) PSP Addl: IGP/HQrs; For Inspector Gene, at of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

No.2570-79/E-V dated Peshawar the, 21/9 /2015.

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action to

the: -

- 1. Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region Swat.
- 2. Deputy Inspector General of Police HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Asstt: Inspector General of Police Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
- 4. Asstt: Inspector General of Police Legal CPO Peshawar.
- 5. District Police Officer, Swat

NO 3414-18 1= date 22-4- 1015

SE/DPG SMUL, BUJUTY, DIVILL

61161 SP FRP, 8WILL & Acelt Ryen

Great

For 11/action.

AT ()

Classianas Calles Gillese, Ratemat, at supercharit small 21/4

Ninnama IC I A Control and Section 2012 to 12 to

Traisboundary Joseph Manager

Тс

The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. P.17

Subject:

REVIEW PETITION AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 21-04-2015.

Memorandum:

It stated with due respect that I was compulsorily retired from service by your good self-vide C.P.O Order No. 2569/E-V, dated 21-04-2015 on the charge of fraud and irregularities in the license branch, issuing bogus driving licenses under the fake signatures of Mr. Jehangir Khan, DSP, the then MLA Swat. Proper departmental proceedings were also initiated against the appellant wherein appellant were recommended for minor punishment by the enquiry officer.

- I also collectively request that the whole episode was a self-made, concocted and there was no any fact with regards to my forgery or irregularities due to short period i.e. **2-Months and 8-days** in license dealing as it is the facts that no one in the whole service has complained against me regarding issuing any fake driving license.
- 3. Believe sir, I state on oath that I belong to a poor and destitute family of Swat District and have no any resource of income except this employment and cannot afford of lawyers expenses as the monthly salaries was the only income source of my family members and school / college going children, it is humbly requested that the order may very kindly be set-a-side and the appellant may kindly be re-instated in service with all back benefits. I will pray for your long life and prosperity for your this act of kindness and also assure you sir that I will perform my duties to the entire satisfaction of my immediate officers.

ATCESTED

Your's obediently

(Khurshid Ahmad) Ex-Senior Clerk 0346-9403874 Dated 29-04-2015

WAKALAT NAMA

	The state of the s
IN T	HE COURT OF 10 P Service Tu's I for
K	urshil ohmal
	Clore P.C. Depte In Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)
	VERSUS
- fly	marcial Police Men
_ la	Respondent(s)
	do hereby appoint Khush Dil Khan, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan in the above oned case, to do all or any of the following acts, deeds and things.
1.	To appear, act and plead for me/us in the above mentioned case in this Court/Tribunal in which the same may be tried or heard and any other proceedings arising out of or connected therewith.
2.	To sign, verify and file or withdraw all proceedings, petitions, appeals, affidavits and applications for compromise or withdrawal or for submission to arbitration of the said case, or any other documents, as may be deemed necessary or advisable by them for the conduct, prosecution or defence of the said case at all its stages.
3.	To receive payment of, and issue receipts for, all moneys that may be or become due and payable to us during the course of proceedings.
AND	hereby agree:-
	a. That the Advocate(s) shall be entitled to withdraw from the prosecution of the said case if the whole or any part of the agreed fee remains unpaid.
	In witness whereof I/We have signed this Wakalat Nama hereunder, the contents of which have been read/explained to me/us and fully understood by me/us this
	1
	Attested & Accepted by
	Signature of Executants
	Khush Dil Khan, Advocate,
	Supreme Court of Pakistan
	0 R Haraan Manajan

9-B, Haroon Mansion Khyber Bazar, Peshawar Off: Tel: 091-2213445

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 889/2015

Khursheed Ahmad Ex Senior Clerk

Appellant

VERSUS

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar & others

Respondents

WRITTEN REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS

1. PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1. That the appellant has got no cause of action and locus standi to file the present appeal.
- 2. That the appeal is bad due to misjoinder and nonjoinder of necessary parties.
- 3. That the appeal is time barred.
- 4. That the appellant has not come to the Tribunal with clean hands.
- 5. That this Honorable Tribunal has got no jurisdiction to entertain the present appeal.
- 6. That the instant appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 7. That the appellant had concealed the material facts from this honorable Tribunal.
- 8. That the appellant has been estopped by his own conduct to file the appeal.

2. REPLY ON FACTS

- 1. Para No. 1 pertains to record, hence needs no comments.
- 2. Para No. 2 is correct to the extent that the appellant while posted at License Branch was proceeded departmentally on allegations of issuance of bogus driving license under the false signature of the then DSP MLA Swat Mr. Jehangir Khan. In this regard a preliminary enquiry was conducted against him by DSP HQrs Swat, wherein the charges leveled against him were stand proved. A proper departmental enquiry was conducted against him by DSP Legal Swat. After fulfilling all codal formalities, he was found guilty of the charges of committing fraud & other irregularities in the license branch. Hence, was recommended for a suitable punishment.
- 3. Para No. 3 is correct to the extent that the appellant denied the allegations leveled against him but he failed to produce any cogent reason in his defense.
- 4. Para No. 4 is totally incorrect and is based on surmise and conjectures. In fact a proper departmental enquiry was conducted against the delinquent official. He was issued a charge sheet and summary of allegations. His statement was also recorded and he was given full opportunity to defend himself but he failed to satisfy the E.O regarding the allegations leveled against him. Hence, after fulfilling all codal formalities, he was recommended for major penalty as against him were stand proved, hence, he was awarded major punishment of compulsory retirement from service vide OB No. 2569/E-V, dated 21-04-2015.
- 5. Para No. 5 is incorrect. In fact the appellant was proceeded departmentally as per law and rules. All codal formalities were fulfilled. He was personally heard and was given full opportunity to defend himself, but he failed to satisfy the E.O about the allegations leveled against him.
- 6. Para No. 6 is correct to the extent that the appellant filed a departmental appeal but as the charges leveled against him were stand proved. Hence his appeal was rejected/filed.

7. Para No. 7 is totally incorrect and denied. The appellant was awarded ample opportunity to defend himself. He was issued a charge sheet & summary of allegations. He was called & heard in person. He was issued final show cause notice. Hence, all the codal formalities were fulfilled.

GROUNDS:

- a) Incorrect. The allegations leveled against appellant were stand proved.
- b) Incorrect. A proper enquiry was conducted against him by DSP Legal Swat. He was given full opportunity to defend himself but he failed to produce any cogent reason for his defense. Furthermore, he was also called & heard in person. As all codal formalities were fulfilled, hence, the punishment order is in accordance with law/rules.
- c) Incorrect. The appellant was properly associated with the enquiry proceedings and was given full opportunity to defend himself but he failed to defend himself.
- d) Incorrect. The appellant was found guilty of fraud. Being a member of a disciplined force, he committed gross misconduct & does not deserve any leniency. Hence, he was rightly awarded major punishment of compulsory retirement from service.
- e) Incorrect. In fact a proper enquiry was conducted against him. The punishment order is in accordance with law/rules.
- f) Incorrect. No violation of law or rules has been committed by the replying respondents. The punishment order is lawful and liable to be upheld.
- g) Incorrect. Para already explained in detail.
- h) Incorrect. The appellant being a member of a disciplined force committed gross misconduct, hence, does not deserve any leniency.
- i) Incorrect. The appeal of appellant has been filed/rejected because the charges leveled against him were stand proved.

PRAYERS

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that in light of above facts and submissions, the appeal of appellant being devoid of merits and legal footing may be set aside/dismissed.

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Respondent No. 01 & 02

Regional Police Officer,
Malakand at Saidu Sharif, Swat.
Respondent No. 03

Regional Police Offices, Malakand, at Saidu Sharit Swale

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 889/2015	ti garaj.		pr	ر	
Khursheed Ahmed Ex Senior Cl	erk	***************************************			Appellant
		VERS	sus		·

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar & others

Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

We, the above respondents do hereby solemnly affirm on oath and declare that the contents of the appeal are correct/true to the best of our knowledge/behalf and nothing has been kept secrete from the honorable Service Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar (Respondent No. 01 & 02)

Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region, Saidu Sharif Swat (Respondent No. 03)

Regional Police Officer, Malakand, at Saidu Sharif Swat.

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service A	ppeal No. 889/2015		
Khurshe	ed Ahmed Ex Senior Clerk		Appellant
. ,	*å	VERSUS	
1.	Provincial Police Officer, Khyb	er Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar & others	

POWER OF ATTORNEY

We, the undersigned No. 01 to 02 doe hereby appoint Muhammad Ilyas Inspector Legal Swat as Special representative on our behalf in the above noted appeal. He is authorized to represent us before the Tribunal on each and every date fixed and to assist the Govt: Pleader attach to Tribunal.

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar (Respondent No. 01 & 02)

Respondents

Regional Police Officer,
Malakand Region, Saidu Sharif Swat
(Respondent No. 03)

Regional Police Officer,

Malakand, at Saidu Sharif Swat.

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

I, Khalid Masood, Addl: Inspector General of Police (HQrs), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar as Competent Authority, am of the opinion that Senior Clerk Khurshid Ahmad of Traffic /Licence Branch, Swat has rendered himself liable to be proceeded against as he committed the following acts /omissions within the meaning of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants efficiency and discipline rules 2011 :-

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

- That he while posted to Traffic /Licence Branch, Swat from the period from [08,03.2010 to 29.08.2012 was held responsible for issuance of bogus Driving Licences under the take signature of DSP/Jehangir Khan, the then MLA Swat.
- 2. For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of the said accused with reference to the above allegations, an enquiry committee consisting of the following is constituted under the Rules

- The enquiry committee shall, in accordance with the provisions of the Ordinance, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused, record its findings and make within 25 days of the receipt of this order, recommendations as to punishment or the appropriate action against the accused.
- The accused and a well conversant representative of department shall join the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the enquiry committee:

(KHALID MASOCD)

(KHALID MASOCD)

Addl: Inspector General of Police
(HOrs) Khyper Pakhtinkhype (HQrs) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Peshawar.

FINDING ENQUIRY

Kindly refer to your office memo No. 10373/E-III. dated 03-05-2013.

This is the departmental enquiry against Khurshid Ahmad License lerk of this District who remaind as Traffic Clerk with effect from 10-02-2012 to 18-04-2012. According to the allegation, Khurshid Ahmad Senior Clerk while posted as License Clerk, issued bogus driving license on the fraud signature of Jehangir Khan the then DSP/MLA Swat. In this connection an enquiry was initiated and the undersigned was directed accordingly.

During the course of enquiry. Senior Clerk Khurshid Ahmaa was summaned and his statement was got recorded who showed his ignorance in this regard.

Prior to this, Mian Nasio Jan the then DSP Head Quarter MLA Swat was started an enquiry u/s 157 (i) Cr.PC vide DD No. 41 dated 24-09-2012 Police Station Saidu Sharif and Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region, Saidu Shari-Swar office vide letter No. 6144/E. dated 20-09-2012. During the enquiry he has recovered 171 suspicious, Driving License wherein on the 123 D.L files from \$.No. 1 Q to 288 Q having the suspect signature of Mr. Jehangir Khan retired DSP. Similarly six D.L. file of routine license with four specimen signature sheet of Jehangir Khan was also recovered from the MLA Office and sent to FSL Peshawar for analysis Sign expert of FSL Peshawar opined that the D.L. files bearing No. 1 Q to \$1 Q, 35 Q to 206 Q 210 Q to 223 Q, 225 Q to 228 Q, 230 Q to 244 Q, 244 Q to 251 Q, 251 Q to 268 Q, 266 Q to 269 Q, 269 Q to 272 Q, 272 G to 277 Q. 281 Q to 288 Q are found bogus signatures of the MLA on file No. 32, 33, 34, 207, 208, 209, 224, 229, 245 to 267, 280, 220, 270, 271, 278, 279 and 260 Were found correct. Beside this, deficiency in D.L was also found on 11 D.L fles. 20 D.L. files have been signed by the concerned L.C. Mushtag Ahmad and Hussain All on behalf of MLA Swat. Similarly no Bank receipt of Rs. 510/- each was found on 2 files. On 1.5 D.L files of our District as well as on other 15 files were found without the signature of R.T.A. No. form C was available in 2 D.L. files while the Medical Officer's Stamp on D.L No. 12635 on the name of Sher Muhammad s/o Yahya. was also found bogus after due verification. Similarly, the Bank receipt as well as record of D.A.O office were also verified and placed on the enquiry file.

Statements of Mr. Jehangir Khan DSP (Retired) clerk Mushtud Ahmad. Hussain All, Muhammad Nawab. Adnan I/C Computer. Alchair s/o Gujor Khan t/o Sersenal vice president of Dewlal stand, Monshi Mohammad thaq alias Matal s/o Tota Khan t/o Matra Bandal as well as Sher Afzal s/o Abauthaq alias Matal s/o Tota Khan t/o Matra Bandal as well as Sher Afzal s/o Abauthaq alias Matra Abad Monshi General Bus Stand Mingora were also gat reoprated. It is worth to mentioned here that maintaining of the record is the responsibility of the License Clerk and the above mentioned D. License were prepared in the period of these license clerks.

From the enquity processings, talt report as well as enquity u/s 157 or EC conducted by the local police of Police Station Salou Sharif on the directions of Mian Nasib Janethe Then DSP/Headquarter. I reached to the conclusion Senior Clerk Khurshid Ahrmoo is held responsible for his tenure for committing such like troud as well cognizable offence as stated by Mion Nasib Jan the then DSP/Headquarter his statement is also attached.

Therefore, I am agree with the finding report of Mian Nash Jan DSP/HQrs/MLA. Enquiry officer and Senior Clerk Khurshid Ahmiad (License Clerk Swaf) are held responsible for committing the above fraud and other irregularities in the License Branch. The allegation leveled against him is proved for the enquiry, therefore, he is recommended for suitable punishment please.

Copy to Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region, Solau Shorit, Swiat for information with reference vide his office Endst: No. 7455-65/E, dated 18-06-2013.

The telephone of the surface of the source of the telephone of the source of the sourc

(Aluhampadiayas Khan) 237/legai Swar

6 6 11