FORM OF ORDER SHEET was an an additional and the second se

Case No. - 609/**2023**

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge in the second of the
	blockenigs	
1	2	3
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•
1-	20/03/2023	The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Haroon resubmitted
		today by Mr. Muhammad Anwar Khan Advocate. It is fixed
•		for preliminary hearing before Single Bench at Penhawar
,		on Parcha Peshi is given to appellant/counsel for the
		date fixed.
	•	date fixed.
	•	By the order of Chairman
		LAS.
,		DECHENDAD
		REGISTRAR
j		
	•	
ļ		
	,	
	•	
]		
	í	
	,	
·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•	
	•	
		·

The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Haroon son of Akbar Said Belt no. 2111 received today Le. on 16.03.2023 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the co Counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Address of appellant is incomplete be completed according to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal rules 1974.
- 2- Copy of contract appointment order mentioned in para-1 of the memo of appeal (Annexure-A) is not attached with the appeal.
- 3- Annexure-F of the appeal is illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.
- 4- Certificate be furnished that whether any appeal on the subject matter has earlier been filed in this Tribunal.

No. 977 /S.T.

REGISTRAR
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR.

Mr. Muhammad Anwar Khan Adv. High Court at Peshawar.

Resubmitted after complinee

The copy of contract order in al-

Page NOB & 9.

20 -3 -23

M. Anwer Her

Adv H/comt

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Mr. Muhammad Haroon s/o Akbar said

APPLICATION FOR HEARING THE ABOVE TITLE APPLICANT'S SERVICE APPEAL IN PESHAWAR AS ALL THE PRINCIPALS RESPONDENTS ARE IN PESHAWAR.

Respectfully Sheweth:

It is humbly requested that the preliminary hearing of the above applicants may please be honor to hear in Peshawar.

Dated: 16/03/2023

Muhammad Anwar Khan Advocate, High Court, Peshawar.

EEFORE THE KPK SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 609 /2023

Mr. Muhammad Haroon : S/o Aldar Said

dnsllaqqA.....

AEERRAS

Government of KPK through Chief Secretary & Others

stnebnoqseA.....

INDEX

120		Wakalat Nama	.01
	Selvice		
96	Ħ	Copy of Pension rules for qualifying	.6
56 - 26	. H	Copy of Service Tribunal Judgment	.8
26-51	. O	Copy of Supreme Court Judgments	· _ · _ ·
71-81	С	Copy of Application/ Appeal	. 9
11-01	B	Copy of regular appointment order	·S
5-2	A	Copy of contract appointment orders	·
9.		Addresses of Parties	.8
5		tivsbiit	.2
4-1	-	Grounds of Service Appeal	Ţ
Pages	xəuuy	Description of Documents	SN.8

Appellant

/

dguordT.

Muhammad Anwar Khan (Pashton Ghari)

Advocate High Court, Peshawar

Date: (4/2/2023

BEFORE THE SERVICES TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. <u>609</u>/2023

SPO Muhammad Haroon S/o Akbar Said, Belt No 2111, R/o Datal Kalkot, Tehsil sheniangal, District Upper Dir. Employee of Deputy Inspector General of Police Malakand Region Malakand.

Appellant

VERSUS

- 1. Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secreteriate Peshawar.
- 2. The Deputy Inspector General of Police Malakand Region Malakand.
- 3. The Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secreteriate Peshawar..

.....<u>Respondents</u>

Appeal u/s 4 of the Khyber Pakhtun Khwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 against the order dated 1-3-2020 of The Respondents No. 3, whereby Appellant service has been Regularized from contract Service. The Regulization order dated 1-3-2020 may be considered w.e.f, 01-08-2009 instead of 1-03-2020.

Prayer in Appeal

On Acceptance of the instant appeal, The Respondents may be directed to count the Temporary Services of Appellant with effect from his initial recruitment dated 01-08-2009 toward his regular service for the purpose of grant of pension and he may be allowed pension and other back benefits.

Respectfully Sheweth:

The appellant submits as under:

- That the Appellant has been appointed as SPO (Special Police Officer) in Police Department on fixed Pay Rs. 15000/- PM in the Year 2009 in prescribe manner.
 (Copy of contract Order is attached as Annexure A)
 - 2. That the August supreme court of Pakistan also Regularized the contract service as a regular service and also counted the contract service for seniority as per Supreme Court judgments and judgment of Punjab service tribunal Reported in 2019 PLC (CS) 103.
 - 3. That the Appellant has been Regularized by the Respondents w.e.f 1/03/2020 instead of date of initial appointment of the Appellant. (Copy of regular appointment order is attached as Annexure B)
 - 4. That the Appellant requested to the Respondents for counting the contract service into Regular service with all back benefits but all in vain. (Copy.of application/Appeal is attached As Annexure C).
 - 5. That the August supreme court of Pakistan also Regularized the contract service as a regular service and also counted the contract service for seniority as per Supreme Court judgments and judgment of Punjab service tribunal Reported in 2019 PLC (CS) 103. (Copies of judgments of

supreme court and service tribunal are attached respectively as Annexures D and E).

GROUNDS:

- A) That the Petitioner has not been treated in accordance with law and his rights secured and guaranteed under the law have been badly violated.
- B) That the same is against the natural justice also.
- C) That the Appellant remained temporary employee of the Respondents, since 2009, the Appellant was regularized on 1-3-2020 thus in view of the provision contained in Article 371-A of the CSR the Appellant is also entitled that his contract services be counted for the purpose of pension.
 - D)That the Temporary service followed by confirmation/
 regular appointment gave the Appellant a right that his
 service be considered as regular service. (Copy of rules
 2.1 is attach as annexure F.)
 - E) That the Respondents are using different yard stick and are violating the provision of their own Law/ rules/calendar and the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
 - F) That the Appellant may kindly be allowed to advance additional arguments at the time of hearing the instant Service Appeal.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant service appeal, the

impugned regularization order dated 01.03.2020 may very graciously be consider w.e.f his initial appointment i.e. 21/07/2009 instead of 01-03-2020 with all back benefits.

Any other remedy which is deemed fit by this Honorable Tribunal in the interest of justice, may also be granted in favour of appellant.

> مریارون Appellant

Through

Muhammad Anwar Khan (Pashton Ghari)

Advocate High Court

Date:14/3/2023

Certificate:-

It is certify that no such like Service Appeal has earlier been filed by the Petitioner in this Honorable Court.

Advocate.

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No/2023
Mr. Muhammad Haroon S/o Akbar Said •
Appellant
VERSUS
Government of KPK through Chief Secretary & Others
Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Mr. Muhammad Haroon S/o Akbar Said, Belt No 2111, R/o Datal Kalkot, Tehsil sheniangal, District Upper Dir, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying **Service Appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Tribunal.

گرج اون DEPONENT

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No	/2023
Mr. Muhammad Haroon	S/o Akbar Said
	Appellant •
	VÈRSUS
Government of KPK thr	ough Chief Secretary & Others
	Respondents

ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

APPELLANT

Mr. Muhammad Haroon S/o Akbar Said, Belt No 2111, R/o Datal Kalkot, Tehsil sheniangal, District Upper Dir

RESPONDENTS

- 1. Govt of Khyber Pakhtun Khwa, Through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtun Khwa, Civil Secreteriate Peshawar.
- 2. The Deputy Inspector General of Police Malakand Region Malakand.
- 3. The Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtun Khwa, Civil Secreteriate Peshawar.

کر کارون Appellant

Through.

Muhammad Anwar Khan (Pashton Ghari)

Advocate High Court

Date: 14/3/2023

OFFICE ORDER Amade A

Consequent upon their selection by Joint team consisting of Officers, Civil Administration, Special Branch, Police and Army Authority have been pleased to approve the following candidates as Special Police force in Dir Upper District on the following term and condition with effect from 01.08.2009.

5.140	Name	F/Name	TEGRAME	Council	10 7
		Shujamat Khan	Duryal	7 TITE TO CO. 2.2.2.	SPO-II
].	Ajmai	Onl Sherawan	Akhagram	X	3PO-2
2	Tori Rehman	Asfandyar	Akhagram		SFO-3
	Tieram	Gujar	Karkabanj	1 247 EE TO 1	SPO-4
	Bakht Rehmm	Gvi Baoda	Akhagram		SPO-5
; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	Usman Ali Shab	Sarzamiu b	Akhagram	1 71677-1-1-1	SPO-d
海,	Hamid Gul	Shad Muhammad	Bagh Manzai	Akhagram	SPO-7
7.	Bacha Rehman	Abdur Rehman	Akhagram	Akhagram	SPO-\$
8.	Amjad Khan		Kumira	Akhagram	SPO-V
9.	Akbar Ali Shah	Haji Qadar	Kumira	Akhagram	SPO-10
10.		Muzafar	Shinkarai	Akhagram	SPG-11
11.		Khaista Bacha	Akhagram	Akhagram	SPO 42
10	Rab Nuwaz	Shah Tamaz	Akhagram	Akhagrum	SPO 13
43.	Zahid Nawaz	Shah Tamaz	Akhagram	Akhagram	SPOLIT L
14.	; Amjad Ali	Muhammid Gran	Akhagram	Akhagram	SPO-L5
15.	Faroog	Qadir Khan	Akhagram	Akhagram	SPO-15
16	Sher Bacha	Asfandyar	Durayal	Akhagram	·SPO-17
17	, Fazal Islam	Khair Muhammad	Shinkarai	Akhagram	SPO-18
18	Tahir Zeb	Umar Zeb		Akhagram	SPO-19
[19	. Muhammad Jamil	Salarzay	Akhagram	Akhagram	SFO-20
7.0	. Muhammad Faroog	Muhbarak Said	Duryal	Akhagram	SPO-21
1	. Muhammad Alam	Muhamnad Khan	Kumira	Akhagram	
22	Ijaz Ullah	Gul Bar Zaman	Kumira	111	1 2 2 2 2 2
22	Saced Ullah	Mir Aslam	Khunanu tangay	• Akhagram	
20	i. Muhammad Nazir	Akbar Zada	Khunanu Tangay		
	•		Khunanu	Akhagram	SPO-25
2:	5. Nasib Ullah	Rahim Illah			
			Tangay Zaku	Akhagran	SPO-26
162		Mokamin		Akhagran	·
. 2		Muhammad Yousaf	Shinkarai	Akhagran	T = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
2	8. Zakir Ullah	Muhanmad Amin	Bagh Pashta	Pashta	SPO-29
2	9. Noor Zaman	Muhd Said Khan	Gal	Pashta	SPO-30
3	0. Ali Muhammad	Sher Muhammad	Gal	Pashta	SPO-31
3	1. Mushtaq Ahmad	Muhd: Younas	Pashta	Pashta	SPO-32
3	2. Asghar Khan	Sultan	Pashta	Pashta	SPO-33
13	3. Sultan Zeb	Habib Zar	Gal	Pashta	SPO-34
fi	34. Hayai Muhamma	i Rozi Muhammad	Pashta	Pashia .	SPO-35
	35. Hazrat Ullah	Davaish	Mitrora	Pashta	SPO-36
	36. Hussain Alimad	Ali Rehman		Pashta	SPO-37
	37. Muhammad Ayaz	Ghulam Muhamma	Maluk Banda	Wari	SPO-38
	38. Salar	Pas Muhammad		Wari	SPG-39
,	39. Farman Ali	Kabir 17-	Dskor Wari Payeen	• Wari	SPO-40
	40. Gul Wahab	Muhammad Zarin		Wari 3	To Co
	41: Wiger-Ahmad	Muhammad Alam	Control of the Contro	Wari	6PO-12
	42. Gul Rehman	Fida Rehman	Tangai Maluk Banda		N/ 150-43
	43. Hanif ur Rehman	n Aziz uz Rehman		Wari	20-44
	44. Wajid Khan	Gul Dar Khan	Daskur	Wari	SPO-45
	45 Murad	Ghulam Muhd:	Daskur	Wari	SPO-46
_				1 41 642 6	

,		11100000		>•		
	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		المراج		
, ,	4 -		The state of the s		A. James	
· .	526 Muhd: Ikram	Noor Wali	Mina		(ir	
•	527 Gul Zada	Mahabat Khan	Mina Doag	Doag	SPO-526\	
-	528. Islam ul Haq	Gol Mul Hea	Mina Doag	Doag	SPO-527	
· . -	529 Jamil'ur Rehman	ı Shah Jehan	Mina Doag	• Doag	SPO-528	
l.	530 Inamud Din	- Abdul Wolel	Mina Doag	Doag	SPO-529	
<u> </u>	531 Muhammad Raz	100 0 1 700	Mina Doag		SPO-530	
-	#32 Lal Zada		Mina Doag	Doag	SPO-531	
-	533 Amin Ullah	Mula Jan	Mina Doag	Doag	SPO-532	
	534 Bahadar Zeb	Gul Rehman	Mina Doag	Doag	SPO-533	
	535 Zahurul Haq	Abdul Haq	Daramdala	Doag	SPC-534	
	536 Sherin Nabi	Marleman	Patrak	Patrak	SPO-535	
	537 Muhammad Khar	Amin Khan	Patrak	Patrak	SPO-536	
	=-538 Shafi	Zamin Khan	Patrak	Patrak	SPO-537	
	39 Riaz	Said Ghulam	Patrak	Patrak	SPO-538	
-	540 Muhammad Zeb	Muhammad Issa	Patrak	Patrak	SPO-539	
	541 Abdul Salam	Shamsur Rehman	Patrak	Patrak	SPO-540	
	542 Bakht Biland	Rasul Shah	Patrak	- Patrak	SPO-541	
(2)	543 Shafa ud Din	Sultan Shah	Patrak	Patrak	SPO-542	
	544 Ihsan Ullah	Dilaram Dilaram	Patrak	Patrak	SPO-543	
	545 Kifayat Ullah	Dilarana	Patrak	Patrak	SPO-544	
ļ. <u></u>	546 Mukhtyar Ahmad	Sultan Yousaf	Patrak	Patrak	SPO-545	
, .	1047 Muhammad Ali	Ajdar Khan	Patrak	Patrak	SPO-546	
~ · ·	548 Ažiz Ullah	Shinsi Khan	Beyar	• Barikot	SPO-547	
· .	549 Fazal Yousaf	Khan Jan	· Beyar	Barikot	SPO-548	
	550 Sami Ullah	Gul Akbar	Beyar	Barikot	SPO-549	•
	551 Naik Muhammad	Abdul Satar	Beyar	Barikot	SPO-550	
	552 Muhd: Ziaul Hag	Amir Badshah	Beyar-	Barikot	SPO-551	
	553 Mian Dam	Jamal ud Din	Beyar	Barikot	SPO-552	
	654 Bashir Ahmad	Gul Azim	Beyar	Barikot	SPO-553	
	555 Nasir ud Din	Said Muhammad	Beyar	Barikot	SPO-554	
ļ	556 Muhammad Sartai	Fazal Hadi	Beyar	Barikot	SPO-555	
·	55 / Shah Nasim	Noor Muhammad	Barikot	Barikot	SPO-556 '	
	558 Riaz	Gulab Khan	Barikot	Barikot	SPO-557	
ļ	559 Juma Khan	Dilbar shah	Barikot	Barikot	SPO-558	
	560 Şaiful İslam	Bahadar Khan	Barikot	Barikot	SPO-559	
<u> </u>	561 Gul Didar	Roshan Khan	Barikot	 Barikot 	SPO-560	
	562 Sher Habib	Sultan Zarin	Barikot	Barikot	SPO-561	
	563 Muhammad Bashir	Muhd: Mukhtar	Barikot . Barikot	Barikot	SPO-562	
	564 Shakil Ahmad	Muhabat Khan	Barikot	Barikot	SPO-563	
	565 Miftah ud Din	Muhammad Khaliq	Barikot	Barikot	SPO-564	
	66 Bakht Rawan	Musafar Shah		Barikot	SPO-565 4	
	67 Mulad: Haroon	Akbar Said	Kalkot Kalkot	•Barikot	SPO-566 /	
	568 Islam ud Din	Umar Jan	Kalkot	Barikot	SPO-567	•
	69 Hazrat Bilal	Juma Khan	Kalkot	Barikot	SPO-568 //	j
	70 Umar Zada 71 Gul Sher	Shamsu Din	Kalkot	Barikot	SPO-569 /	
		Muhammad Noor	Kalkot	Barikot	SPO-570 /	
		Pasham Khan	Kalkot	Barikot	SPO-571 /	
3 5	- Laryat	Fazal Bari	Barikot	Barikot	SPO-572	
		Kashmir Khan	Kalkot *	Barikot	SPO-573	
		Said Fagir	Kalkot	Kalkot	_SPO-574	
		Abdul Wahid	Kalkot	Kalkot	SPO-575 1 1/2	
		Palass Khan	Kalkot	Kalkot	SPO-576 T.,	
	78 Palass Khan 79 Fathullah	Sadat Khan	Kalkot A	Kalkot	SPO-577 / //	•
		Ghulam Jilani	77 11		&PO-578	
47	80 Sher Bahdar	Misri Khan	Thall	-Kylkot	SPO-579 14	
	and a constitution of the control of	Umar Fagir	Thall A	Kalkot	SPO-580 //	
		Noor Alam	Thall Thall	Kalkot	SPO-581	
and a	3 Muhammad Riaz 4 Awrang Zeb	Ghulam Muhammad	-19	Kalkot	SPO-582 1	
 디타	5 Harrat Dilai	Ghulam Haidar	TI 11		SPO-583 1 / ·	
	- manufags	C1 /7	711 11	Kalkot S	SPO-584 //	
						_



2021				
586 Muhammad Nabi	Hazrat Umar	Thall	 -	
587 Abdul Shakur	Said Faqir	protection	Kalkot	_ [S]
588 Aziz Ullah	dinan Ullah	Thall	Kalkot	SI
589 Jamshid	Sajad Khan	Lamotai	Kalkot	SI
7 590 Muhd: Nawat	Mirza Khan	Lamotai	Kalkot\	SI
591 Noor Rehman	Abdul Qayum	Lamotai	Kalkot	SF
592 Rahim Ullah	Mahib Ullah	Lamotai	Kalkot	SF
593 Izzat Fagir	Umar Faqir	Lamotai	Kalkot	SP
594 Saif ur Rehman	Minimum disk	Lamotai	Kalkot	SP
293 Wazir Muhd: Wass	Muliammad Ayuu Taza Khan	Lamotai	Kalkot	SP
596 Habib Khan	Ajim Khan	Lamotai	Kalkot	SP
597 Muhammad Atzal		Lamotai	Kalkot	SP(
598 Aman Ullah	Toor Bacha	Lamotai	Kalkot	SP(
599 Sami Ullah	Mahabat Khan	Thall	Kalkot	SPO
600 Sultan Zarin	Aldullah	Lamotai		
Summi Dailli	Juina Faqir	Thall		SPC
TERM AND COM			Kałkot	SPC

The appointees will be on contract for Tyears in service and on adhoc Basis for not a then 2 year in a st etch with no pension gratuity benefits and that the competent Aut may terminate from service as Special Folice Officer with out showing any reason ar

The appointees shall not de nand or mark and excuse for only emolument of Rs, 10,0

The appointees shall wear the uniform issued by the department and responsible for maintainence and afty of weapon issued by the department.

The appointees shall be responsible before any senior. Police Officer for any act of cowardice or irregilarity, indiscipline or misconduct.

The appointees shall not leave the job with out a prior notice of 2 month as per rule.

The appointees shall not invole in any political or criminal activities.

The appointees shall undergo the basic training fixed by the superior Officer. The appointees shall not try to chang or convert the mater of duty.

OB No

District Police Officer, Dir Upper.

1 13/2/2/2/

/EB, Dated Dir Upper, the

Copy of above is submitted for information to the:-1. Provincial Police Off cer N.W F.P. Peshawar.

Deputy Inspector General of Folice Region III Saidu Sharif Swat.

-District Police officer, Dir Upper

& muny

Dated Peshav at the April 8th, 2020 Home & Trought Strains Department Covernment of EUCher Pakhuunkhwa MOTTADIMITON

District Dir Upper under DDO Code OA 1016. Law & Order Si. Upper an Constables (BPS-07) with effect from 01-03-2020; pleased to notify, herewith regularization of the following Special Police Officers (SPOs) working in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and approval of the Provincial Cabinet the Home and Tribal Affairs Department is (Khyber Pakhturhliwa Act No.XXVII of 2019) and on the recommendation of Provincial Police Officer, Section 5 of the Khyber Palditunkhwa Special Police Officers (egulurization of Services) Act. 2019 diw best C'noides. al begissaca suoirecord sal de soneurang al They 2400/95-21/AHA despossible d'

	C		il A irize	H 37
:	<u> 23</u>		nsmheA tu sis	A 72
	32		General Annan	
	. 18		arshed Khan malara	
	30	Sher Mohammad Khan	ii Muhd Khan iii	
	: 67	lehan Badahah,		
4	_82	nad X nin A bammadoM.	de[W rids	
		Meant X SammadoM	हत्वहा सहयो र सम्	
	:52	hall Talall TrideA	ristLy dissi	SI IK
	77.	Alchar Zada		
1	. <u>53</u>	Mohammad Kaan	Challe Khan Mohd Mazir	i
	72.	- busdanH) nsdM annA	into deluc	
	[7	Mohammad Manager	Table Call) 11
	:07	bia2 medil	Tist Ninzalia .	
	.6I	nan A buslyi sbuid		3 21
L	.8 E	Shen Zada	Darvish Khan	
	9I .	Astandyar Khr.	mswsA dadabas	
Γ	S I	GELLA ISWAA	Sher Badahal	
	ijĮ.	Bazir Khan	abaS' asward	1 - 1
Γ	£ [:	Said Hazrat	Ali Akbar	
ï.:	I.I.	Gal Mawaz Kindi	Rasool Wali	- 6
	01	beninisdoWt.	misself rerdi	
	6	Hali Bahadari	Saz Bahadar	
Γ.	. <u>L</u>	ned beautiful bads	idina il Arada A	9
Γ.	ν	THE VIDE TOPOLE	Badshah Rabman	
-	ξ	rist. X lits. I lubdA	Habib Ullah	
-	7	Shamsher	Saba Gul LSPF 1	3
-		Gul Sher Rahman	Toti Rahman	7
	I]	Toti Rahman	Khan	
<u> </u>	9N:		Mohammad Kahin	I
	[9Ω	Bather Asme	711111	
			Name .	ON.S

			(71)	
	478	Faima Bibi LSPF	Sher, Nazir (Husband	530
	479	Muhd; Razio	Algram Jan	
	480	Salman Khan	Anwar Zeb Khan	531
	481	Israc Almiad	Fazal Khan	532
1	482	Ghulani Isbaq	Jamsheed Khan	533
	4831	Muhibar Rehman	Khaista Rahinan	534
ij.	434	Sherin Nabi	Mohammed Said Khan	535
	485	Minind Khan	Amin-Kha:	536
	486	Shafi Ullain	Zamin Khan	537
!!	487	Kraz	Said Ghul mi	538
i.	488	Mubd Zaib	Mohammad Issa Khan	539
	489	Abdul Islan	Shams or Rahman	540
• !	495	Balcht Biland	Rasool Shah	541
•	491	Shafa uddin ()	Sultan Sheh	542
	49%	Insan (Mat. 7 19		543.
	# C V 2	Kedayai Ullahi	Dibram Khan	544
	493	Khan /	Dilaram Khan	545
	494	Mukhtyar Ahmad	Sultan Yousaf	546
	495	lefuhd Ali	Ajdar Khan	547
1	1496	Aziz Ulai.	Shamshi Khan	548
· -	497	Fazal Yousef Khan	Khan Jan	549
ŀ	498	Mond Nader Jan	Sadio Jan	550
	499	Naile Mund	Abdul Sattar	551
ŀ	500	Sardar Hussain	Mohanunad Kahman	552
-	501	Basher Ahmad	Gul Azim Khan	554
-	502	Nasru Din	Said Mohammad	555
ļ	503-	Mubd Saru i	Fazal Hadi	556
	504	Shab Nacing Khan	Noor Mchammac	557
-		Zarwar Khan	Sukanat Kuan	558
Ļ		Jurus Kiia.	Dilbar Shan	559
ŀ		Saif ut Islam	Bahadar Khan	560
i		Gel Dida	Roshan Khan	E 5
		Sher habii	Sultan Zarin	562
		Muhd; Bather	Mohamma Hunkhriar	563
۱	511	baedah ee Din	Mehammad Khaliq	565
<u> </u> -	512	Bakhi Rawan	Musafar Shah	566
?	543	Muhd Harson	Akbar Said	507
		Islam ud Ein	Umar Jan	568 1
j-	5.15:	Hamet Pilst	Juma Khan	569
-	516	Umar Zac	Shams Udcin	570
-	517	Cital Shere 🛌 🕟 🐰	Mohammad Nobe	571
-		Sher Kama	Said Ul Mulk	572
-		Shaukat Hayat	Fazel Hadi	573
-	520	Kalsoom LSPF	Lali Khan	574
	521	Ali Haids	Said Fagir	575
-		Sher Zamen	Gul Rahman.	576
_	523	Afzal Kharra	Palas Khan	577
		,		4 1 4 4

.

H

1

Atteptal



i	, ,		The state of the s	n Angri Allin and
1	566	1 7. 1/1 1. 1 1. 1 1. 1	/2	
	567	The state of the s	Sami Ur Rahman	627
	I		Gul Zamin	628
	568		Mchammad Nagio Khan	629
	569		Sher Afzal Khan	630
e H	<u> 570</u> ,		Aurung Zeb	631
i .	571	Layaq Zada 🕠	Fazel Haicen	632
1	572	Saleed ullah	Eazal Rahman	633
	5.73		Muhammad Zahir Shah	634
	574	Ubaid Ali Khan	Tali:Mand Kuan	635
Ì.	<u>57.51</u>	Farid Khan	Bakht Jehan Khan	636
	576	Shafi Ullah	Rozi Khan	637
Ī	577!	Muhd; Rabetan	Abdur Rahim	638
	573	Muhd; Umas 1)	Barkat Jan	639
ŀ	579	Ali Rahman Three	Mohammad kaza Khan	640
ļ.,	580	Sahib Zada	Akbar Zada	641
ŀ	58)	Zia Ullah /	Abdul Hamic	642
-	582	Said Robuild?	Baliadar Khan	644
_	<u> 583 -</u>	Rusan el Hac	Gul Azim Khan	646
ļ 	584	Khyal Muhammad	Maaz Ullah Khan	647
	<u> 585+</u>	Amjad Khar	Aziz Jan	648
	<u> 586 .</u>	Irshad Ahmad ·	Sherin Zada	649
	537	Aushad Iqba	Bashir	650
	583	Kamran Hasan	Zahir Shali	145
	589	I war Khan	Fazal Qayum	254
	590	Abdid Kaine	Bashin Alumad	483
	591	lmad Althar	Missal Khan	564
				JU4

Secretary to Cove of Khyber Palditunkhwa Marno, . Tribal Affairs, Department

Elagración is dade evene

Copy forwarded for information to:

The Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

The Regional Police Officer, Malakand.

The District Police Officer, Oir Upper.

The District Accounts Officer, Dir Upper.

Posto Home Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

SECTION OFFICER (BUDGET)

Page

رفراند المراد الموالك
جناب عالى! مائل حسب ذيل عرض رسال به-

1۔ بیر کہ سائل 2009ء میں SPO بھرتی ہوئے تھے اور بیٹاور ہائی کورٹ نے 2017ء میں ۔1

2 يك محكم يولس ني يكم مار 2020 وكوستقل كرن كاآر دُر فرمايا -- 2

للذا اشدعا ہے کہ سائل کو برطابق قانون بھرٹی کی تاریخ سے Regular کرنے کا تھم صادر فرما یاجاد ہے۔

UNE 3 13-12-2072

AHerry

Ule Lip

ا کے لرمن سنتل کوسل کوشی سینتل پولسی ، فودسی میں کشبت سیاهی کوفی ہوئے تھے . کی یہ کہموں سائل کو سال 3-20 میں میہولر کے ایک کی سیالی کو سال کے سال کی کارسی کی کارسی کی کارسی کی کارسی کی کر

كيائياك -

3: المركد من ستكر كو 2004 من بيرسال 20-3-1. شك سروس ويكو لو نرساني كا عام معار مرما شي

12-12-2-2-01611

قريادرا ولدا الرسيد بلط نه 2111 سأن درام درا الم ملوط لحول مرين والم المردار

Augustal

Annex D

Complete Case Judgment

http://www.plsbeta.com/LawOnline/law/contell121.asp?Cased...

RLD 2016 Supreme Court 534 ...

J. 18 18 18

Presents Anwar Zaheer Jamali, C.J.; Mian Saqib Nisar, Amir Hani Muslim, Iqbal Hameedur Rahman and Khilji Arif Hussain, J.J.

Civil Appeal No:1072/2005

CHAIRMAN, PAKISTAN RAILWAY, GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN, ISLAMABAD and others—Appellants.

Versus

SHAH JEHAN SHAH—Respondent

(On appeal against the judgment dated 29-12-2003 passed by the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad in Appeal No. 6(P)/CS/2003)

Civil Appeal No.686/2012

Mst. ROBINA SHAHEEN-Appellant

Versus

DIRECTOR EDUCATION (ESSC) KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA and others -- Respondent

(On appeal against the judgment dated 9-4-2012 passed by the KPK Service Tribunal, Peshawar, in Appeal No.1539/2009).

Civil Appeals Nos. 1072 of 2005 and 686 of 2012, decided on 14th April, 2016.

(a) Interpretation of statues -

----When a word had not been defined in the statute, its ordinary dictionary meaning was to be looked at.

(b) Words and phrases ---

----"Count" - Definition.

Chambers 21st Dictionary and Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary of Current English 7th Edn. ref.

(c) Civil Service Regulations (CSR)---

---Art. 371-A.—Pensionary benefits—Government servants rendering temporary service in a temporary establishment for more than five years—Entitlement to grant of pensionary benefits within the meaning of Art.371-A of the Civil Service Regulations (CSR)—Scope—Article 371-A of the Civil Service Regulations (CSR) would not ipso facto or simplicite allow government servants rendering temporary service in a temporary establishment for more than five years to be entitled to grant of pension, hither such period would only be counted towards such government servants' pension if otherwise entitled to pension. Mir Ahmad Khan v. Secretary to Government and others (1997 SCMR 1477) declared to be period incurian.

Service rendered for more than five years as contemplated by Article 371-A of the Civil Service Regulations (CSR) would only be added, included, or taken into account for the purposes of pensionary benefits, and not make such government servant qualify for pension per se. Article 371-A of Civil Service

. 1/16/2021, 1:40 PM

2 of

Complete Case Judgment

Regulations (CSR) by itself did not provide for the entitlement for the purposes of pension, rather it was restricted to the counting of the period of a minimum of five years which had been reridered by the temperary employee that once he was appointed on a permanent basis, such period (of five years) shall be taken into account for the object of calculating his entitlement to pension with respect to the requisite

Mir Ahmad Khan v. Secretary, to Government and others 1997 SCMR 1477 declared to be per incurrant

Article 371-A cannot be used as a tool to bypass the conditions for qualifying service of pensionary benefits, and such government servant had to fulfill the minimum number of years for grant of pension. This was due to the use of the word "count" as opposed to "qualify" or "eligible" in Article 371-A of the Civil Service Regulations (CSR).

It was absurd, ludicrous and inconceivable that a government servant, who was in regular employment, would become entitled to pension after serving the minimum years of qualifying service as prescribed by the law, whereas while interpreting Article 371-A of the Civil Service Regulations (CSR), a government servant who had served as a temporary employee could be given preference over a regular employee, and after a minimum service of only five years would automatically become entitled to pension. Holding so would be against the object and spirit of the concept of pension.

PLD 2013 SC 829 ref.

(d) Civil Service Regulations (CSR)-

---Art. 371-A--Pensionary benefits---Government servants rendering temporary service in a temporary establishment—"Temporary establishment"—Meaning—Temporary establishment could be said to mean an organisation or institution which was not permanent, rather effective for a certain period-only.

Hafiz S.A. Rehman, Senior Advocate Supreme Court for Appellants (in C.A. No. 1072 of 2005).

Riaz Sherpao, Advocate Supreme Court and Mir Adam Khan, Advocate-on-Record for Appellant

Abdur Rehman Siddiqui, Advocate Supreme Court for Respondent (in C.A. No.1072 of 2005).

Ijaz Anwar, Advocate Supreme Court and M.S. Khattak, Advocate-on-Record for Respondent No.5 (iii C.A.No.686 of 2012).

Waqar Alimed Khan, Addl, A.G. (on behalf of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa).

Dates of hearing: 14th and 15th March, 2016.

JUDGMENT

MIAN SAQIB NISAR, J:- These appeals, by leave of the Court, involve a similar question of law, hence are being disposed of together. The key question involved herein is whether persons who have rendered more than five years' service in a temporary establishment are entitled to the grant of pensionary benefits within the meaning of Article 371-A of the Civil Service Regulations (CSR), and a re-visitation of the judgment of this Court reported as Mir Ahmad Khan v. Secretary to Government and others (1997) SCMR 1477) 3 3

Civil Appeal No.1072/2005

2. This appeal entails the facts in that the respondent was appointed as an Assistant Executive Engineer

Complete Case Judgment

in any way fall within the meaning and purview of "temporary establishment". Thus the respondent could not rely upon Article 371-A of the CSR Besides, if hypothetically speaking Pakistan Locomotive Factory http://www.plsbeta.com/LawOnline/law/content21.asp?Cased... Risalpur was a temporary establishment even then the respondent would not be able to take the benefit of Article 371-A (supra) as he otherwise does not qualify for pensionary benefits having wit been subsequently taken into permanent employment, which is sine qua non for the grant thereof.

8. Adverting to the law laid down in the case of Mir Ahmad Klian (supra) wherein it was held:-

"Admittedly the appellant put in more than ten years' temporary service before his services were terminated he was, therefore, entitled to pensionary benefits under Regulation 371-A(i) of Civil Service Regulations."

In light of the discussion in paragraph No.6, the judgment delivered in Mir Ahmad Khan's case (supra) is

9. In view of the foregoing, we find that the respondent was not entitled to the grant of pensionary benefits in terms of Article 371-A of the CSR, and the learned Service Tribunal had erroneously allowed him pension by avroandly relying upon the case of Mir Ahmad Khan (curred) which is declared to be now him pension by wrongly relying upon the case of Mir Ahmad Khan (supra) which is declared to be per

10. The above are the detailed reasons for our short order of even date whereby the appellants appeal was accepted and the impugned judgment was set aside, which reads as follows:-

"We have heard the arguments of learned ASCs for the parties. For the reasons to be rec later this appeal is allowed, the impugned Judgment of the Federal Service Tribunal dated 29.12.2003 is see aside and the service appeal prefetred by the respondent is dismissed.

Civil Appeal No.686/2012:

11. The brief facts of the instant appeal are that the appellant was a Professional Teaching Certification (PTC) Teacher; in the Commissionerate for Afghan Refugees, Peshawar (Commissionerate), from (PTC) teacher; in the Commissionerate for Alghan Reingers, Teshawai (Commissionerate 28.2.1987 to 17.1:2005, i.e. approximately 18 years. During her employment at the Commissionerate applied for two months leave on 20.1.2004; after which she was appointed as a PTC Teacher in the Schools and Literacy Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (department) and she assumed charge of her post on 25. F1.2004 and tendeded her resignation with the Commissionerate on 10.1.2005. Thereafter she filed a departmental appeal to respondent No.1 claiming that her 18 years' service with the Commissionerate be counted for the purposes of her pension, which (departmental appeal) was accepted vide order dated 24.6.2008. However, the said order stated that her 10 years' service be counted towards calculation of her pension, as opposed to 18 years, which the appellant was dissatisfied with thus she filled a corrigendum application for correction of the said mistake. However, in response, the department on 20.7.2009 informed the appellant that her prior service with the Commissionerate could not be counted. towards her pension. Aggrieved, the appellant filed an appeal before the learned Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal which was dismissed vide the impugned judgment dated 9.4.2012 whereafter she approached this Court. Leave was granted on 5.7.2013 in the following ternis:-

Prima facie, it is difficult to understand that admittedly when the petitioner had served for a period of about 18 years in the Commissionerate and thereafter joined the Education Department and initially the Education Department had also accepted her claim allowing computing of Her previous service for the purpose of pension then what prevailed with the department subsequently in disa Molving continuity. In view of the judgment cited by the learned counsel in the case of Zafar Shah (2003 SCMR 686) in such like circumstances, continuity for the purpose of extending the

3. Inter alia; to examine this aspect of the case, leave to appeal is granted in this case....

7 of 8

Complete Case Judgment

http://www.plsbeta.com/LawOnline/law/content21:asp?Cased

Subsequently on 19.9.2013, it was decided that this case was to be heard along with Civil Appeal No.1072/2005 before the larger bench as they both involved similar questions of law. 12. Learned counsel for the appellant primarily submitted that the time spent at the Commissionerate is to be counted towards her pension in terms of Article 371-A of the CSR

13. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents stated that due to the special facts and circumstances prevalent at that time, the decision rendered in the case of Mir Ahmad Khan (supra) is good law, however in the instant matter, the appellant is not entitled to inclusion of the period she spent as an employee of the Commissionerate for the purposes of pensionary benefits for the reason that six in fact a project employee of a non-governmental organisation called Basic Education for Afghan Refugees (BEFARe), and not an employee of the Federal Government, and that she had resigned from the Commissionerate on 10.1.2005 after which she joined the department.

14. Learned Additional Advocate General, KPK adopted the arguments of Mr. Hafiz S., A. Reliman, learned counsel for the appellants in Civil Appeal No.1072/2005.

15. Heardi The appellant's main grievance is that the eighteen years she spent at the Commissionerate be counted towards her service at the department for the purposes of the grant of pensionary benefits as per Article 371. A of the CSR, suffice it to say that the Commissionerate for Afghan Refugees does not in any way fall within the meaning of "temporary establishment" as defined in Civil Appeal No.1072/2005 above to mean an organisation or institution which is not permanent, rather effective for a certain period only as described. Even otherwise, the appellant's service with the department was temporary and on a contract basis, and there is nothing on the record which suggests that she was subsequently confirmed or made permanent within the department. Therefore keeping in view the interpretation of Article 371 A of the CSR explained above with regard to Civil Appeal No.1072/2005 in that the said article would not ipso facto allow government servants rendering temporary service in a temporary establishment for more than five years to be entitled to grant of pension, rather such period would only be counted towards such government servants pension if otherwise entitled to pension; we are of the opinion that the appellant is

16. In light of the above, we find no infirmity in the impugned judgment warranting interference by this Court, therefore this appeal is dismissed as being devoid of merit.

MWA/C-6/S

Appeal dismissed.

Alteste

1/16/2021 1:40 PM

. ase Judgement

http:///ww.plsbeta.com/LawOnline/law/casedescription.asp?casede

2018 S C M R 64

[Supreme Court of Pakistan]

Present: Gulzar Ahmed and Sajjad Ali Shah, JJ

ABDUL JABBAR and others---Appellants

Versus

GENERAL MANAGER (PERSONNEL) PAK STAN RAILWAYS and others Respontents

Civil Appeals Nos. 17-K to 42-K of 2017, decided o 16th November, 2017.

(Against the consolidated order dated 26.8.2016 passed by the Fegeral Service Tribunal Islamabad in 94(K)CS/16 to 119(K)CS/16)

(a) Civil Servants (Appeal) Rules, 1977---

----R.4(1)---Appeal from an 'order' passed by an authority---"Order"---Scope---For preferring an appeal in terms of R. 4 of the Civil Se vants (Appeal) Rules, 1977, there had to be an order altering, interpreting to a civil servant's disadvantage, reducing or withholding his maximum pension and allowance: --In such grievances/proceedings no particular form of order was required and even pens on fixation notices could be treated as an order for the purposes of availing the remedy of opeal under R. 4 of the Civil Servan's (Appeal) Rules, 1977.

(b) Civil Servants (Appeal) Rules, 1977---

----Pensionary rights, claim for---Limitation---Griev ince in respect of pensionary benefits was a recurring cause, consequently, limitation coul not come in the way of such relief-Where, however, such pensionary benefit was altered or interpreted to the disadvantage of a civil servant or his pension was reduced or his max mum pension was withheld including an additional pension admissible to him under the ules then his grievance to that extent had to be regulated in terms of R. 4(1) of the Civil S rvants (Appeal) Rules, 1977.

Chief Executive Progressive Paper Ltd. The Chairman National Press Trust, Islamabad v. Sh. Abdul Majeed 2005 PLC (C.S.) 14 9 ref.

Muhammad Khalil Dogar, Advocate Suprer e Court and Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, Advocate-on-Record for Appellants (in all cases).

Sanaullah Noor Ghouri, Advocate Supreme Court and Ms. Raana Khan, Advocate-

WH MASSOCIATE

Case Judgement

http://www.plsbeta.com/LawOnline/law/casedescription.meg/cased

on-Record for Respondents.

Date of hearing: 16th November, 2017.

ORDER

SAJJAD ALI SHAH, J.—The appellants who are retired civil servants have impugned the order of the Service Tribunal dated 26.8.2016 declining the reckoning of increment by way financial relief of Rs. 300/- per month in the monthly salary for computing, pensionary emoluments from the date of retirement for want of impugned order as required by section 4(1)(a) of the Service Tribunals Act, 1973.

- 2. Briefly, the Prime Minister's Secretariat on 11.3.1997 issued an U.O. No.16(P)PMDIR/931/97 in compliance of Prime Minister's address to the Nation on 23.2.1997 regarding financial relief of Rs.300/- per nonth to the low paid employees. The appellants were allowed such relief till the date of their retirement but such benefit was not included in their last pay for commuting pension and other post-retirement benefits on the ground that it was an ad hoc relief. It appears that the appellants, in the year 2016, filed departmental appeals and after getting no response approached the Service Tribunal. The Tribunal, after hearing the parties, through the impugned order, dismissed their appeals by holding that "there is a yawning gap of over eighteen years since the appellant retired w.e.f. Feb, 1998. The appellant should have come within the statutory period as prescribed under Rule-4 of the Service Tribunals Act, 1973, when came to know that Rs.300/ - per month announced by the Prime Minister had not been computed in the pensionary benefits" and that "it is admitted position that in the is at hand there is no impugned order; hence, appeal filed by the appellant is hit by section 4(1)(a) of the Service Tribunal Act, 1973. When there is no impugned order, there should be no representation or appeal, hence, as a corollary no appellate order":-
- 3. Leave was granted by this Court vide order dated 27.1.2017 which reads as under-

"that the non or deficient grant of pension is a recurring cause of action and thus to hold that the petitioners have been sleeping over their rights since long; besides that they have failed to avail any departmental remedy such as that of appeal or representation is misconceived because red departmental appeal to a retired employee for pensionary benefits is available under the law and thus a civil servant aggrieved of the short payment or non-payment of the pensionary benefits can directly approach the learned Service Tribunal for the claim of their pensionary benefits. Leave is granted to consider the above."

4. Learned ASC for the appellants contended that this Court in the case of Managing Director, Pakistan Railways, Carriage Factory, Islamabad v. Muhammad Asghar (2003 SCMR 1037) has held that the increase of Rs.300 per month announced by the Prime

AH 11/15/2022 11:27 A

Minister in his address to the nation granting financial relief to the low paid employees was neither ad hoc nor a temporary relief and wa: liable to be included for calculating pension and other post-retirement benefits and, herefore, the Tribunal has erred in rejecting the appellants' claim for want of the impugned order. Per counsel since the pensionary benefit being terms and conditions of the service and available under the law, therefore, the civil servants aggrieved of short payment or non-payment of pensionary benefits could directly approach the Service Tribun I to claim such benefits or their short fall and that there was no requirement of any impugned order.

- On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents initially tried to argue that the relief so allowed in consequent to the Prime Mir ister's address was an ad hoc relief but in view of the pronouncement of this Court in the case of Managing Director, Pakistan Railways, Carriage Factory, Islamabad (supra), d.1 not press this argument, however, contended that the claim is hit by laches and, therefore, was rightly declined. It was lastly submitted that since such relief was discontinued w.e.f. 1.12.2001 vide Finance Division's O.M. No.F(1)(5)IMP 2001 dated 4.9.2001 on introducing revised pay scales, therefore, the employees who retired after 1.12.2001 were not entitled to such benefit. However the entitlement of the appellants to the relief claimed was not disputed on factual plane.
- In response, learned ASC for the appellant, submitted that none of the appellant retired after 1.12.2001, therefore, such submission is not even relevant for the purposes of instant controversy.
- We have heard the contentions of learned counsel for the respective parties and have perused the record. The procedure to be followed by a civil servant in case where his conditions of service in respect of pay, allowances o pension are altered, interpreted to his disadvantage, reduced or withheld etc. is detailed in section 4(1)(a) to (c) of the (ivil
 - "4.(1) A civil servant shall be entitled to appeal to the appellate authority from an order passed by an authority which -(a)
 - alters to his disadvantage, his conditions of service, pay, allowances or pension; or
 - (b) interprets to his disadvantage the provisions of any rules whereby his conditions of service, pay, allowances or pension are regulated; or
 - reduces or withholds the maximum pension, including an additional pension, admissible to him under the ules governing pensions; or
 - (d)
- Perusal of the reproduced provision reflects at a civil servant has to file an appeal 8.

respondents on two counts; firstly, that after determination of this controversy by this Court in above referred case where the respondents unsuccessfully had challenged the inclusion of such financial relief towards pensionary benefits, it was their bounden duty to include such benefit at least in the cases of employees who, like the present appellants, were entitled to such relief even if their argument of discontinuation of such relief on 1.12.2001 i.e. upon introduction of revised pay scales is accepted Secondly, neither the representation of the appellants was rejected by the respondents on the ground of being barred by time nor the Service Tribunal gave any specific finding or held the petition barred by time.

- 14. In the instant case the employees of the respondent/Pakistan Railways who were granted relief by this Court in the case of Managing Director, Pakistan Railways, Carriage Factory, Islamabad (supra) had preferred representation by treating the pension fixation notices as an order interpreting the financial relief of Rs.300/- P.M. to their disadvantage. The Tribunal accepted their appeal by redressing their grievances and directing the respondent/Pakistan Railways to include the financial relief of Rs.300/- P.M. allowed by the Prime Minister in reckoning their pensionary benefits which order was maintained by this Court in the judgment referred and reproduced above. Following their colleagues, the appellants herein instead of filing appeal in terms of Rule 4 of the Civil Servants (Appeal) Rules, 1977, had moved the representation to the appellate authority which could be treated as an un-responded appeal, had approached the Tribunal which, in our opinion, appears to be sufficient compliance of section 4(1)(1) of the Service Tribunals Act, 1973 specially when the claim of the appellants was backed by the judgment of this Court.
- In the foregoing circumstances, all these appeals are allowed and the respondent/Pakistan Railways is directed to include the financial relief of Rs. 300/- RM. for computing post retirement benefits. Parties to bear their own costs.

MWA/A-36/SC

Appeals allowed.

11/15/2022. 11:27

http://www.plsbeta.com/LawOnline/law/casedescription.asp?casede.

2010 F L C (C.S.) 103

[Punjab Service Tribunal]

Before Justice (R) Abdul Sami Khan, Chairman

FAREEHA REHMAN and others

Versus

PROVINCE OF PUNJAB through Secretary Higher Education and others

Service Appeals Nos. 2730 to 2742, 2946 to 2978 and 4058 to 4065 of 2016, decided on 19th July. 2018.

Punjab Service Tribunal Act (IX of 1974)---

Discrimination—Appellants were lecturers appointed on contract basis for a period of 3 years—Grievance of appellants was that similarly placed other lecturers had been regularized—Validity—Appellants were appointed as female lecturers through departmental selection committee on contract basis for a period of 3 years—Contract services of appellants were extended from time to time without any break for about 15 years—Appellants were met with discriminatory treatment as same was established from facts and documentary evidence relied upon by appellants that other employees of Government of Punjab through different orders/notifications were regularized—Claim of appellants that their employments should have been regularized from date of initial appointments was refused which showed that they had been dealt with discrimination—Service Tribunal set aside orders passed by authorities as appellants were entitled for regularization from date of initial appointment as lecturers—Appeal was allowed in circumstances.

Muhammad Aslam Awan, Advocate Supreme Court v. Federation of Pakistan and others" reported as 2014 SCMR 1289 rel.

Muhammad Sajid Khan Tanoli for Appellant (in Appeals Nos.2730 to 2742 of 2016 and 2946 to 2978 of 2016).

Rizwana Aujum Mufti for Appellant (in Appeals Nos. 4058 to 4065 of 2016).

Muhammad Arshad Naseer District Attorney.

ORDER

JUSTICE (R) ABDUL SAMI KHAN, CHAIRMAN.---Since common questions of law and facts are involved in the following appeals which are being disposed of through this single judgment:-

- Fareeha Rehman v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.2730/2016).
- H) Raheela Ghafoor v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.2731/2016).
- III) Zomra Ilyas v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.2732/2016).
- IV) Andleeb Iqbal v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.2733/ 2016).
- V) Noreen Akhtar v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.2734/2016).
- VI) Anjum Iqbal v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.2735/ 2016).
- VII) Huma Khanum v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.2736/ 2016).

A H-16/2012 H-1:55 AM

- Wy (5) 11 Shazia Jabeen v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.4061/2016).
 - LI) Rizwana Nazir v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.4062/2016).
 - LII) Farzana Khan v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.4063/ 2016).
 - LIII) Uzma Tariq v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.4064/ 2016).
 - LIV) Ghazala Naz v. Province of the Punjab through Secretary Higher Education Department and others (Service Appeal No.4065/2016).
- 2. Appellants filed instant appeals under section 4 of the Punjab Service Tribunal Act. 1974 praying that the appellants may be regularized in their services w.e.f. their date of initial appointments with all back pensionary and other benefits and their previous length of service about 15 years be considered as a regular employee and seniority may also be fixed from the date of original appointments and the probation period may also be considered as regular service by way of setting aside the impugned order dated 9.5.2016.
- 3. Briefly, the departmental authorities established eight colleges during the period from 1998-1999 and the Director of Education (Colleges), Rawalpindi recruited 70 female lecturers along with other staff on contract basis through Departmental Selection Committee for a period of three years with the approval of the competent authority. The Higher Education Department extended their contract services from time to time but they were not regularized despite rendering long service without break. It is also mentioned that the services of other lecturers recruitment by the respondent department during this period were regularized gradually.

Appellants along with other female lecturers filed Writ Petitions Nos.2713/2009 and 2722/2009 in the Lahore High Court, Rawalpindi Bench, Rawalpindi for regularization of their services. The said writ petitions were accepted vide orders dated 4.4.2012 with the following

"For the reasons supra, both the writ petition are accepted and the respondents are directed to regularize the petitioners with immediate effect within a period of two months".

Thereafter, the respondent department filed I.C.A. No.155/2012 assailing the judgment dated 4.4.2012 which was dismissed vide order dated 16.5.2013, hence the judgment of the Hon'ble Labore High Court, Rawalpindi Bench, Rawalpindi has attained finality.

In compliance of the order of the Hon'ble Lahore High Court, Rawalpindi Bench. Rawalpindi, appellants along with other lecturers who were on the strength of Higher Education Department on 4.12.2014 were regularized into government service with immediate effect vide Notification No.SO(CE-III)61-2/2009 dated 4.12.2014 and not from the date of their initial appointments.

Aggrieved of the notification dated 4.12.2014, appellant preferred departmental appeals which were not decided and later on, appellants filed Service Appeals Nos. 1788, 1790 to 1800 of 2015 and 2050 to 2090 of 2015 which were decided by this Tribunal vide order dated 18.2.2016 with direction to the Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of the Punjab, Lahore to decide the pending representations/departmental appeals of the appellants within a period of 30 days.

In deference to the same, the Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of the Punjab. Lahore vide order dated 9.5.2016 decided the representations/ departmental appeals of the appellants and refused the claim of the appellants as prayed. Hence these appeal.

- 4. I have considered the arguments of both parties and perused the record.
- 5. It is established from the record that the appellants were appointed as female lecturers through

11/16/2021.11/55

4 of 5

http://www.plsbeta.com/LawOnline/law/casedescription.asp?casede..

Departmental Selection Committee in the year, 1998-99 on contract basis for a period of three years. The contract services of the appellants were extended from time to time without any break for about

It has been noticed that the appellants were met with discriminatory treatment which is established from the facts and documentary evidence relied upon by the learned Counsel for the appellants that the other employees of the Government of the Punjab through different orders/ notifications were regularized but the claim of the appellants that their employment may be regularized from the date of initial appointments was refused which shows that they have been dealt with discrimination by the Higher Education Department, Government of the Punjab.

In this regard I may observe here that it has been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan that seniority of a civil servant was to be reckoned from the date of initial appointment and

7. In this regard reliance can easily be placed on the judgment of the Full Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan titled as "Muhammad Aslam Awan, Advocate Supreme Court v. Federation of Pakistan and others" reported as 2014 SCMR 1289 held as under:-

"civil servant---Seniority of---Reckoned from date of initial appointment---In service matters. while considering the seniority of civil servants, the seniority was reckoned from the date of initial appointment and not from the date of confirmation or regularization".

For what has been discussed above, I came to the conclusion that the appellants were entitled for regularization from the date of their initial appointments as Lecturers, hence these appeals are allowed by setting the impugned orders. MH/2/PST

Appeal allowed.

Attested

BETTER COPY

SERVICE QUALIFING FOR PENSION

Conditions of Qualifications:- The service of a Government servant does not qualify for pension unless it conforms to the following three conditions:-

The Service must be under Government.

Second:- The service must not be Non-pensionable.

The service must be paid by Government from the Provincial consolidated fund. Third:-

Rule.2.1.

SERVICE RENDERED AFTER RETIRMENT ON SUPERANNUATION PENSION.

Service rendered after retirement on superannuation pension/retiring pension shall not count for pension or gratuity. Note below Rule - 2.1

- Beginning of service: Subject to any special rules, the services of civil servant being to qualify for pension when he takes over charge of the post to which he is first Rule 2.2.
- Temporary and officiating service:- Temporary and officiating service shall court for pension as indicated below:-
 - Civil servants borne on temporary establishment who have rendered more than five years continuous temporary service shall count such service for the (i) purpose of pension or gratuity: and
 - Temporary and officiating service followed by confirmation shall be count for (ii) pension or gratuity. Rule 2.3.

CLARIFICATION OF PHRASE - QUALIFYING DERVICE

Temporary and officiating service followed by confirmation or temporary/officiating service exceeding five years qualifies for pension.

Some confusion seems to exist in some quarters as to how condonation of interruptions between two spells of temporary /officiating service may be regulated under rule 2.12 (1) of the West Pakistan civil services pension Rule. According to Rule 2.3 ibid temporary and officiating service followed by confirmation or temporary/ officiating service of more than five years counts for pension / gratuity.

The provisions of rule 2.12(1) take cognizance of only those cases where the government servant had prior to the interruption period of qualifying service towards pension/gratuity. The condonation of interruptions in service with a view to allowing past Non- qualifying temporary /officiating service to qualify for pension gratuity under rule 2.3 is not permissible. In words condonation of interruption for pension gratuity in temporary officiating service is permissible only where the broken period of temporary officiating service is qualifying i.e. it exceeds five years or is followed by confirmation.

Where neither condition is fulfilled condonation of interrupt is not Alfa permissible.

To make it more clearly the following illustrations are given:-

AKbar said VERSUS chief secret	ory ç	Z
 Accused/ Petitioner/ Appellant/ Plaintiff. Respondent/ Defendant/ Complainant	············	
Charge U/s. KNOW ALL to whom these presents shall come that I the undersigned appoint: This ammad Angree II.	•	

Muhammad Anwar Khan, (Pushton

Advocate, High Court, Peshawar (herein eiter colled the advocate) to be the Advocate for the Appellant/Petitioner in the above mentioned case, to do all the following acts, deeds and things or any of them ,that is to say ;

- To act and plead in the above mentioned case in this court or any other Court in which the same may be tried or heard in the first instance or in appeal or review or execution or in any other stage of its progress until its final decision.
- To sign, verify and present pleadings, appeals, cross objections petitions for execution, review . revision, withdrawal, compromise or other petition or allidavits or other documents as shail be deemed necessary or advisable for the prosecution of said case in all its stages.
- 3) To withdraw or compromise in the said case or submit to erbitration any difference or dispute that shall arise touching or in any monner relating to the said case.
- 4) To receive money and grant receipts therefore and to do of other acts and things which may be necessary to be done for the progress and the course of the prosecution of the said case.
- 5) To engage any other Lagal practitioner authorizing him to exercise the power and authorities hereby conferred on the Advocate whenever he may think fit to do so.

AND I hereby agree to ratify whatever the Advocate or his substitute shall do in the promises.

AND I hereby agree not to hold the Advocate or its substitute responsible for the result of the said case and in consequence of his absence from the court when the said case is called up for

AND I hereby that in the event of the whole or any part of the fee agreed by me to be paid to the Advocate remaining unpaid. He shall be entitled to withdraw from the prosecution of the said

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I hereunto set my hand to these presents the contents of which have been explained to and understood by me. this 10 day of 3 200 3

Signature / thumb impression of party / parties

Accepted , p

Muhammad Anwar Khan, Cushum Chiefe Advocate High Court, Peshawar:

Ceil No:- 0333-9262374

Office Address:- Law Chamber No 127, New Bur Room, Judicial Complex, Pashawar