Form- And Services and party

FORM OF ORDER SHEET AND A SECOND OF A SECOND

Court or		,2 - ,		T4 14		3 ₂ 5
Fmlementa	ation Petition No.		183	3/2023	<u>3</u>	<i>I</i> -)

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge					
1	2	3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 /					
1	21.03.2023	The execution petition of Mr. Fakhr Alam					
		submitted today by Uzma Syed Advocate. It is fixed for					
		implementation report before Single Bench at Peshaw					
		on Original file be requisitioned. AAG					
		has noted the next date. The respondents be issued					
		notices to submit compliance/implementation report on					
		the date fixed.					
		By the order of Chairman					
		REGISTRAR,					
	,						

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL. PESHAWAR.

SERVICE APPEAL NO.

GOVT. OF KPK & OTHERS vs.

APPLICATION FOR FIXATION OF THE ABOVE TITLED APPEAL PRINCIPAL SEAT, PESHAWAR

Respectfully Sneweth:

- That the above mentioned appeal is pending adjudication before this Hon ble Tribunal in which no date has been fixed so far.
- That according to Rule 5 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974, a Tribunal may hold its sittings at any place in Khyber Oakhtunkhwa which would be convenient to the parties whose 2.
- That it is worth mentioning that the offices of all the respondents. concerned are at Peshawar and Peshawar is also convenient to the appellant/applicant meaning thereby that Principal Seat would be 3. convenient to the parties concerned.
- That any other ground will be raised at the time of arguments with the permission of this Hon'ble tribunal. 4.

It is therefore prayed that on acceptance of this application the appeal may please be fixed at Principal Seat, Peshawa: for the Convenience of parties and best interest of justice.

Appellant/Applicant

Through Dates 21-3- 2022

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 183 /2023
In
Service Appeal 1204/2019

Fakhr Alam

Date: 20/03/2023

VS

Police Deptt:

<u>INDEX</u>

S.No.	Documents	Annexure	Page No.
.1.	Memo of Execution Petition		01-02
2.	Copy of Judgment	- A -	03-07
3.	Copy of application	-B-	08
4.	Vakalat Nama		09

PETITIONER

Fakhr Alam

THROUGH:

JZMA SYED

SYED NOMAN ALT BUKHARI ADVOCATE, HIGH COURT

Cell No: 0306-5109438



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 183

Service Appeal 1204/2019

(PETITIONER)

Mr. Fakhr Alam S/o Nisar Badshah (Ex-Constable N0,247) ated Buner Police.

VERSUS

- 1. The Regional Police Officer, Malakand at SaiduSharif Swat.
- 2. The District Police Officer, Bunner.

(RESPONDENTS)

EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT DATED 08/02/2023 OF THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- That the appellant filed an appeal bearing No.12045/2019 against 1. the dismissal order.
- That the said appeal was finally heard by the Honorable Tribunal 2. on 08/02/2023 and the Honorable Tribunal was kind enough to accept the appeal and re-instated the appellant with all back benefits. (Copy of judgment is attached as Annexure-A).
- That the appellant also filed applications for implementation of 3. judgment but in vain. So, in-action and not fulfilling formal requirements by the department after passing the judgment of this august Tribunal, is totally illegal amount to disobedience and



Contempt of Court. Copy of applications is attached as annexure-B.

- 4. That the judgment is still in the field and has not been suspended or set aside by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore, the respondents are legally bound to pass formal appropriate order.
- 5. That the petitioner has having no other remedy except to file this execution petition.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may be directed to implement the judgment dated 08/02/2023 of this august Tribunal in letter and spirit. Any other remedy, which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also be awarded in favour of petitioner.

PETITIONER

Fakhr Alam

THROUGH:

UZMA SYED

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI ADVOCATE, HIGH COURT

AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of the execution petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from Hon'able Tribunal.

Raza shan you commissioner of the Commissioner

Deponent

(A-3)

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Appeal No. 1204 /2019

Fakhr Alam shah S/o Nisar Bacha (Ex-Constable No.247 Buner Police) resident of village Gadar, Mardan.

(Appellant).

VERSUS

- The District Police Officer, Buner.
- 2. The Regional Police Officer, Malakand at Saidusharif Swat.

(Respondents).

Appeal under Section-4 of The Service Tribunal, 1974, against the order of The D.P.O/Respondent No.1, contained in Letter No.923-26/Enq dated 12-02-2018, (O.B. No.19 dated 68-02-2018), whereby the Appellant is awarded the punishment of dismissal from service, upholding the previous order contained in O.B. No.78 dated 11-08-2014.

- 1 That the Appellant was appointed as constable in Guner Police on 09-03-2009.
- 2. That previously the D.P.O/Respondent No.1, awarded the Appellant with the punishment of dismissal from service on 11-08-2014, which was set-aside by this Honourable Tribunal in service Appeal No. 41 of opportunity of defence was afforded to Appellant.



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR AT CAMP COURT SWAT

Service Appeal No. 1204/2019

Date of Institution... 30.09.2019

Date of Decision ... 08.02.2023



Fakhr Alam Shah S/O Nisar Bacha (Ex-Constable No. 247 Buner Police) Resident of Village Gadar, Mardan.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

The District Police Officer, Buner and 01 another.

(Respondents)

For appellant.

MS. UZMA SYED, Advocate

MR. MUHAMMAD RIAZ KHAN PAINDAKHEL, Assistant Advocate General

For respondents."

MR. SALAH-UD-DIN MR. MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN

MEMBER (JUDICIAL) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGMENT:

SALAH-UD-DIN, MEMBER:- Precisely stated the facts surrounding the instant service appeal are that the appellant was appointed as Constable in Police Department vide order dated 09.03.2009. The appellant was dismissed from service by the District Police Officer on 11.08.2014 on the basis of a complaint filed by one Sher Zamin alleging therein that the appellant had attempted to commit sodomy upon his nephew namely Bilal Khan. The appellant challenged his penalty through filing of Service Appeal bearing No. 241/2015 before this Tribunal, which was allowed vide judgment dated

PSTED

(\$)

06.11.2017 with the directions to the respondent to hold de-novo inquiry within a period of four months, failing which the appellant shall be deemed to have been reinstated in service. De-novo inquiry was thus conducted in the matter and the appellant was again dismissed from service vide order dated 12.02.2018. The appellant after availing departmental remedy, has now approached this Tribunal through filing of instant service appeal for redressal of his grievance.

- 2. Notices were issued to the respondents, who submitted their para-wise comments, wherein they denied the assertions raised by the appellant in his appeal.
- 3. Learned counsel for the appellant has addressed her arguments supporting the grounds raised by the appellant in his service appeal. On the other hand, learned Assistant Advocate General for the respondents has controverted the arguments of learned counsel for the appellant and has supported the comments submitted by the respondents.
- 4. Arguments have already been heard and record perused.
- 5. A perusal of the record would show that during the de-novo inquiry, Mr. Darvesh Khan Head of Investigation Buner issued show-cause notice to the appellant on 10.01.2018, wherein it is mentioned that he being competent Authority had decided to proceed against the appellant in general Police proceedings without aid of inquiry officer. Similarly, grounds of action were also conveyed to the appellant, however later on statement of allegations and charge sheet were issued to the appellant on 15.01.2018, by the same Darvesh Khan

Head of the Investigation Buner. While going through the contents of statement of allegations, we have observed that on one hand, Mr. Darvesh Khan Head of Investigation issuing the same as competent Authority but on the other hand he is also an inquiry officer in the matter. If Mr. Darvesh Khan was an inquiry officer in the matter, then he was legally not competent to issue statement of allegations and charge sheet to the appellant as it was the job of the competent Authority and not the inquiry officer. It is also interesting to note that the inquiry officer has issued final show-cause notice to the appellant on 16.01.2018, which was the job of the competent Authority. Furthermore, charge sheet as well as statement of allegations were issued to the appellant on 15.01.2018 and whole exercise of de-novo inquiry was completed by submitting inquiry report to the District Police Officer Buner on 19.01.2018. While going through the available record, we have observed that inquiry proceedings were conducted in a hasty and slipshod manner without complying the mandatory provisions of Police Rules, 1975 rendering the impugned order of dismissal of the appellant as void ab-initio., One of the main dent in the previous inquiry proceedings as pointed out in the judgment rendered in previous service appeal of the appellant was non-provision of an opportunity to the appellant for cross-examination of the witnesses examined during the inquiry. It is, however astonishing that as per the available record, the appellant was again not provided any opportunity of cross-examination of complainant namely Sher Zamin. Although, the complainant Sher Zamin has been cross-examined, however it

appears that cross-examination has been conducted by the inquiry officer and not the complainant. As far as the question of limitation is concerned, the same would not be attracted in the instant case for the reason that the impugned order dated 12.02.2018 is void ab-initio and no limitation runs against a void order.

- 6. According to the charge sheet as well as statement of allegations, complainant's nephew namely Bilal was taken by the appellant to a field for sodomy, however in his statement recorded during the inquiry, complainant namely Sher Zamin has not at all mentioned that his nephew was taken by the appellant to a field for commission of sodomy. Moreover, in case any attempt was made by the appellant for commission of sodomy upon Bilal, it is not understandable that why any FIR was not registered against the appellant.
- 7. In view of the above discussion, orders dated 12.02.2018, 14.06.2018 and 04.12.2018 are set-aside and the appellant stands reinstated in service with all back benefits. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 08.02.2023

(SALAH-UÞ-DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL) CAMP COURT SWAT

(MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

CAMP COURT SWAT

Date of Presentation of Application.

Number of Whites

Copying Fee

Urgent ____

Total_36

Name of C

Date of Delivery of Copy_

2/2/3

Certified to be ture copy

Anyber NER

Server Tribunal

Eshawar

modela Dpo ala zona (B-8) درفواست بسراد بیمالی هی کولی سے بیمیت کول ر المالی موالی المالی موالی المالی موالی المالی موالی المالی المالی موالی المالی ال ملة كريشر مين كرل معرفي سود مرانعي مود دريا الله مين مريد الماري مين مريد الله مين مري سایل نے سرس شریبونل سردس آبیل دافل کرے جرمتور مور کوا مو ا ۵۵ او ۱۹۵ کی درات س ما مرات Jei (3 leio 2 libér o co, la para la iso de sols) المنزا بنزلي دفوس اسرعاع برايل سترزه نیا کی دی سے عمرولی سی جال زیار عل بعامات دوناه مارونال مارد olinfliss, collati

