Ph: 9214461 Fax: 9220406	Khyber Patakhwa Service Woomal Dary No. 4856 Dared 1314/2 623	REGISTERED No. C.P.541-P/2018 - SCJ SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN Islamabad, dated2023
From	The Régistrar, Supreme Court of Pakistan, <u>Islamabad.</u>	
То	, The Registrar, K.P.K. Sĕrvice Tribunal, <u>Peshawar.</u>	
Subject:	<u>CIVIL PETITION NO.</u> Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa th Peshawar & another Versus Imtiaz ud Din	541-P OF 2018 rough Senior Member Board of Revenue
	On appeal from the Judgment Peshawar dated 13/04/2018 in Aj	/Order of the K.P.K. Service Tribunal, ppeal.257/2017.

Dear Sir,

I am directed to enclose herewith a certified copy of the Order of this Court dated 19/05/2022 dismissing as barred by time the above cited case in the terms stated therein for information and further necessary action.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter along with its enclosure immediately.

Encl: Order:

Yours faithfully, (MUHAMMAD MUJAHID MEHMOOD) ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (IMP) FOR REGISTRAR

Do the used 汐 2218

@CFMS Page 1 of 1.,

2

# SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

## PRESENT:

Mr. Justice Umar Ata Bandial, CJ Mr. Justice Syed Mansoor Ali Shah Mrs. Justice Ayesha A. Malik

# CIVIL PETITION NO.541-P OF 2018

[Against judgment dated 13.04.2018 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar, passed in Service Appeal No.257 of 2017]

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Senior Member Board of Revenue, Peshawar and another ....Petitioner(s)

### Versus

Imtiaz ud Din

...Respondent(s)

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Muhammad Sohail, Addl. AG

Respondent(s) : N.R.

Date of Hearing : 19.05.2022

## ORDER

**Umar Ata Bandial, CJ.-** This Petition is barred by 21 days. The application for condonation of delay (C.M.A. No.1119-P of 2018) states that the late supply of documents and the process of securing attested copies of the judgments of the learned Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar and lengthy correspondence between the various tiers of the Petitioner Department led to delay in the filing of this petition. The internal management issues of the Petitioner departments are not grounds constituting sufficient cause for condoning the delay. Consequently, no sufficient cause is made out in the application for condonation of delay.

AITESTED

Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakistan, Islamabad

Islamabad 19.05.2022 NOT ARPRON Oww Q.

2

- 2 -

Certified to be True Copy

Max

Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad