## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR AT CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

#### SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1025/2015

Date of institution ... 17.09.2015 Date of judgment ... 20.02.2019

Date of Judgment ... 20.02.2017

.Faraz Gul Son of Gharib Nawaz, Senior Clerk Wildlife, Mansehra.

(Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Forest Department, Peshawar.
- 2. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Finance, Peshawar.
- 3. Conservator Wildlife Southern Circle, Peshawar.
- 4. Divisional Forest Officer, Wildlife, Mansehra.
- 5. Chief Conservator Wildlife, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondents)

APPEAL AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 29.07.2015 PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO. 5 WHEREBY RESPONDENT NO. 5 REJECTED THE APPEAL/REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE APPELLANT AGAINST THE ADVERSE REMARKS PASSED/ENTERED BY THE RESPONDENT NO. 4 IN THE ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REPORT OF THE APPELLANT FOR THE PERIOD FROM 01.01.2014 TO 10.07.2014.

Mr. Sajjad Ahmed Abbasi, Advocate.

For appellant.

Mr. Muhammad Bilal Khan, Deputy District Attorney

For respondents.

Mr. MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI MR. AHMAD HASSAN

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)
MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

#### **JUDGMENT**

MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI, MEMBER: - Appellant alongwith his counsel present. Mr. Muhammad Bilal Khan, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Mr. Khursheed Abbasi, SDFO for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused.

2. Brief facts of the case as per present service appeal are that the appellant was serving in Wildlife Department as Senior Clerk. The appellant received a

Myoning 2019

letter dated 08.06.2015 issued by respondent No. 3 wherein adverse remarks against the appellant was recorded in the Annual Confidential Report of the appellant pertaining to the period from 01.01.2014 to 10.07.2014. The appellant filed departmental appeal on 13.07.2015 which was rejected on 29.07.2015 and the same was communicated to the appellant on 18.08.2015 as alleged by the appellant in the prayer of appeal hence, the present service appeal on 17.09.2015.

- 3. Respondents were summoned who contested the appeal by filing written reply/comments.
- Learned counsel for the appellant contended that the appellant was serving as Senior Clerk in the Wildlife Department Mansehra. It was further contended that the appellant is serving in Wildlife Department for the last 20 years honestly, diligently and never indulged in any illegal activities. IT was further contended that the adverse remarks against the appellant ware baseless. It was further contended that during twenty years service not a single person has submitted a complaint against the appellant. It was further contended that the appellant performed his duty with the satisfaction of his superior. It was further contended that the adverse remarks pertain to the period with effect from 01.01.2014 to 10.07.2014 but the appellant received the same on 08.06.2015 through a letter of respondent No. 3 therefore, the adverse remarks was not communicated to the appellant within the stipulated period prescribed by the rules. It was further contended that no warning or counseling was issued prior to the adverse remarks recorded against the appellant pertaining to the period from 01.01.2014 to 10.07.2014. It was further contended that the adverse remarks recorded in the aforesaid annual confidential report of the appellant was without counseling, warning and any complaint and thus the same is not sustainable in

Monin 2012 : 2019

the eyes of law therefore; the adverse remarks recorded in the aforesaid annual confidential report of the appellant are liable to be expunged.

- 5. On the other hand, leaned Deputy District Attorney for the respondents opposed the contention of learned counsel for the appellant and contended that the reporting officer as well as counter signing officer are in a better position to evaluate the performance of the subordinate staff than this Hon'ble court. It was further contended that the impugned adverse remarks has been issued after fulfilling all codal formalities therefore, prayed for dismissal of appeal.
- Confidential Report of the appellant was recorded in the year 2014 but the appellant was communicated the said adverse remarks for the first time in the month of June 2015 as reveled from the available record although it was mandatory upon the respondents to convey the adverse entry to the appellant within the stipulated period but the respondents have not conveyed the same to the appellant/There is nothing on the record to show that any counseling or warning was issued to the appellant at the proper time before recording the adverse remarks in the ACR of the appellant. Furthermore, the adverse entries are liable to be expunged. In this regard reliance is also made on 1999 PLC (C.S) 447 wherein it has been held

----Adverse remarks -----Expunction-----Adverse remark viz. "below average and "not a wiling worker" were recorded in the Annual Confidential Report of civil servant for relevant year without bringing to the notice of civil servant at proper time that his performance lacked something which he might remove before the year closed up---before giving adverse entries in-Annual Confidential Report, counseling at proper time was essential---No document

Mpmm 302.2019

having been appended by Authority showing that such requirement was adequately met with, adverse remarks against civil servant were untenable in absence of such a timely warning, civil servant could not be condemned at the fag-end of the year concerned and the impugned adverse remarks were expunged in circumstances.

It was also held in 1999 PLC (C.S) 624 Supreme Court (Azad Jammu and Kashmir)

(d) Civil Service-

---- Annual Confidential Report----Adverse entries Competency----Annual Confidential Report of Civil servant for the year 1985-86 were conveyed to civil servant in 1990----Effect---- Incumbent upon Authority concerned to convey adverse annual confidential reports to civil servant within thirty days--- Adverse remarks against civil servant in his Annual Confidential Report, could not be read against him in circumstances.

7. As a sequel to above discussion, we are constrained to accept the appeal and the adverse remarks recorded against the appellant in his ACR for 01.01.2014 to 10.07.2014 are expunged. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 20.02.2019

(MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI)

MEMBER

CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

(AHMAD HASSAN) **MEMBER** CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD Appellant alongwith his counsel present. Mr. Muhammad Bilal Khan, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Mr. Khursheed Abbasi, SDFO for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused.

Vide our detailed judgment of today consisting of four pages placed on file, we are constrained to accept the appeal and the adverse remarks recorded against the appellant in his ACR for 01.01.2014 to10.07.2014 are expunged. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 20.02.2019

MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI)

CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

(AHMAD HASSAN)
MEMBER
CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

14.11.2018

Counsel for the appellant present. Due to retirement of the Hob'ble Chairman the Service Tribunal is incomplete. Tour to Camp Court Abbottabad has been cancelled. To come up for the same on 17.01.2019 at camp court Abbottabad.

Reffer A/Abad

17.01.2019

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Bilal learned Deputy District Attorney present. Learned Deputy District Attorney requested for adjournment. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 20.02.2019 before D.B at Camp Court Abbottabad.

Member

Member Camp Court Λ/Abad

19.07.2018

Appellant Mr. Faraz Gul alongwith his counsel Ar. Sajjad Ahmad Abbasi, Advocate present. Mr. Khurshid Abbasi, SDFO on behalf of the respondents alongwith Mr. Usman Ghani District Attorney. Due to load shedding for the entire day, arguments could not be heard. To come up for arguments on 19.09.2018 before the D.B at camp court, Abbottabad.

Member

Chairman Camp Court, A/Abad

18.09.2018

Mr. Abdul Qayum, Advocate is present on behalf of learned counsel for the appellant Mr. Sajjad Ahmad Abbsi, Advocate present. Mr. Khursheed Abbasi, SDFO Wildlife alongwith Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney for the respondents present. The above named junior to counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment as learned senior counsel for the appellant is busy in the Hon'ble High Court and is not in a position to attend the Tribunal. Granted. To come up for arguments on 14.11.2018 before the D.B at camp court, Abbottabad.

Member

Chairman Camp court, A/Abad Appellant in person and Mr. Muhammad Bilal, DDA alongwith Mr. Khushi Muhammad, SO for respondents present. Rejoinder submitted. Counsel for the appellant is not in attendance. Appellant seeks Adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 21.03.2018 before D.B at camp court Abbottabad.

Member

Chairman Camp court, A/Abad.

21.03.2018

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney alongwith Khursheed Abbasi, SDFO for the respondents present. Counsel for the respondents present.

Member

Chairman Camp court, A/Abad

23.05.2018

Appellant Faraz Gul in person present Mr. Sohail Ahmad Zeb, Assistant (Litigation) alongwith Mr. Muhammad Jan, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present. Requested for adjournment as senior counsel for the appellant named above has gone to village to attend funeral ceremony of his close relative.

Request is accepted. Case to come up for arguments on 19.07.2018 before the D.B. at camp court, Abbottabad.

Member

Chairman
Camp court, A/Abad

24.11.2016

Agent of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Munsif Ali, SDFO alongwith Mst. Bushra Bibi, Government Pleader for respondents present. Written reply submitted. Cost of Rs. 1000/- paid and receipt obtained from appellant. The appeal is assigned to D.B for rejoinder and final hearing for 19.04.2017 at camp court, Abbottabad.

Chairman Camp Court, A/Abad

19.04.2017

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Akhlaq Ahmad, Range Officer alongwith Mr. Muhammad Bilal, Government Pleader for the respondents present. Rejoinder not submitted. Seeks adjournment. To come up for rejoinder and final hearing before the D.B on 17.10.2017 at camp court, Abbottabad.

Charman
Camp court, A/Abad

17.10.2017

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Bilal, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present. Counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. To come up for rejoinder and arguments before the D.B on 18.01.2017 at camp court, Abbottabad.

Member

Camp court, A/Abad.

17.03.2016

Appellant in person, M/S Abdur Rashid, SDWO and Irshad Muhammad, SO alongwith Mr. Muhammad Saddique, Sr. GP for respondents present. Requested for adjournment. To come up for written reply/comments on 23.6.2016 before S.B at Camp Court A/Abad.

23.06.2016

Camp Court A/Abad Agent of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Siddique Sr.GP present. No representative present on behalf of the respondents. Learned Sr.GP requested for further adjournment. Last opportunity granted. To come up for written reply/comments on 19.08.2016 before S.B. at camp court, Abbottabad.

Chaighan
Camp court, A/Abad,

19.08.2016

Appellant in person and Mr. Muhammad Siddique Sr.GP for the respondents present. Representative of the respondents is not in attendance nor written reply submitted despite repeated opportunities including last opportunity. Last opportunity is further extended subject to payment of cost of Rs./600/- which shall be borne by the respondents from their own pockets. To come up for written reply/comments and cost on 24.11.2016 before S.B at camp court, Abbottabad.

Chairman
Camp court, A/Abad.

16.11.2015

None present for appellant. Notice to counsel for the appellant be issued for preliminary hearing for 14.12.2015 before S.B at Camp Court A/Abad.

Chairman Camp Court A/Abad.

14.12.2015

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant is serving as Senior Clerk in Wildlife Department and has put in about 20 years service. That Performance Evaluation Report of the appellant for the period commencing from 1.1.2014 to 10.7.2014 was marked as adverse which was communicated on 8.6.2015 where against appellant preferred departmental appeal on 13.7.2015 which was rejected on 29.7.2015 and communicated to the appellant on 18.8.2015 and hence the instant service appeal on 17.9.2015.

That the adverse remarks are likely to affect the terms and conditions of service of appellant and, moreover, the same are liable to be set aside as the same are against facts and law.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply for 17.3.2016 before S.B at Camp Court A/Abad.

Charman Camp Court A/Abad



### FORM-A

### FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court			<u> </u>
Case No.	, .	1085	12015

	Case No	1085 /2015
	Date of order/ proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge/ Magistrate
1	2	3
1.	17.09.2015	The appeal of Mr. Faraz Gul presented to-day by Mr. Sajjad Ahmad Abbasi, Advocate, Advocate, may be entered in the institution register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for preliminary hearing.
	21-9-18	This case be put up before the S.Bench at camp court, Abbottabad for preliminary hearing on 2-0-10-15.
2	20.10.2015	None present for appellant. The appeal be relisted for preliminary hearing for 16.11.2015 before S.B at Camp Cour A/Abad.
,	*	Charman Camp Court A. Abad.

Service Appeal No. <u>/025</u> /2015

Faraz Gul son of Son of Gharib Nawaz, Senior Clerk Wild Life, Mansehra.

....APPELLANT

#### **VERSUS**

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Forest Department, Peshawar & others.

...RESPONDENTS

#### **SERVICE APPEAL**

### **INDEX**

S. #	Description	Page No.	Annexure	
1.	Appeal alongwith affidavit.	1 to 7		
2.	Addresses of the parties	8	•	
3.	Application for condonation of delay	9 to 10		
4.	Copy of letter	// 1	"A"	
5.	Copy of representation	12 to 14	"B"	
6.	Copy of order	15	"C"	
7.	Wakalatnama.	16	· .	

Through;

Dated: <u>14- 9 -</u> /2015

(SAJJAD ÅHMED ABBASI) Advocate High Court, Abbottabad.

Faraz Gul son of Son of Gharib Nawaz, Senior Clerk Wild Life, Mansehra.

....APPELLANT .

Diary No 1084

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Forest Department, Peshawar.
- 2. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Finance, Peshawar.
- 3. Conservator Wild Life Southern Circle, Peshawar.
- 4. Divisional Forest Officer, Wild Life, Mansehra.
- 5. Chief Conservator Wild Life, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

... RESPONDENTS

17/9/18.

APPEAL AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 29/07/2015

PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO. 5, WHEREBY RESPONDENT NO. 5 REJECTED /THE APPEAL/
REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE APPELLANT AGAINST THE ADVERSE REMARKS PASSED/
ENTERED BY THE RESPONDENT NO. 4 IN THE

ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REPORT OF THE APPELLANT FOR THE PERIOD FROM 01/01/2014 TO 10/07/2014.

PRAYER: ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO. 5 29/07/2015 (COMMUNICATED DATED APPELLANT ON 18/08/2015) AND THE ADVERSE REMARKS PASSED/ ENTERED IN THE A.C.R OF APPELLANT FOR THE PERIOD THE **FROM** 01/01/2014 TO 10/07/2014 BE **SET** ASIDE/ EXPUNGED.

#### Respectfully Sheweth:-

- That the appellant is serving in Office of Wild Life,
   Mansehra as senior clerk Divisional Forest Officer,
   Wild Life Division, Mansehra.
- 2. That the appellant is serving in Wild Life Department for the last 20 years, honestly, diligently, and never indulged in any illegal activity.

- 3. That on 08/06/2015 the respondent No. 3 issued a letter bearing No. 1070WL(SC) dated 08/06/2015 in the name of appellant wherein it was shown that "Adverse remarks against the appellant were recorded in the ACR of the appellant pertaining to the period from 01/01/2014 to 10/09/2014. Copy of letter is annexed as Annexure "A".
- 4. That on 13/07/2015 the appellant filed a representation/ appeal before the higher authority i.e conservator Wild Life Southern Circle, Peshawar against the adverse remarks recorded in appellant's ACR. Copy of representation is annexed as Annexure "B".
- 5. That on 29/07/2015, the Conservator of Wild Life Southern Circle, Peshawar dismissed the representation/appeal filed by the appellant. Copy of order is annexed as Annexure "C".
- 6. That the adverse remarks recorded in the ACR of the appellant for the period from 01/01/2014 to 10/09/2014 and the order dated 29/07/2015 passed by the Conservator of Wild Life Southern Circle, Abbottabad are wrong, against facts, law and are liable to be set aside/ expunged (Copy of decision was

communicated to appellant on 13/08/2015), inter-alia, on the following amongst other grounds;-

#### **GROUNDS**;-

- a. That the adverse remarks are devoid of any details and reasons upon which the adverse remarks are based.
- b. That the adverse remarks are baseless. No adverse report was ever communicated to the appellant.
- c. That the appellant is serving the department honestly and diligently for the last 20 years and there is no evidence on record against the appellant which could support the allegation leveled against the appellant. Not a single person has ever complained against the appellant for indulging in corruption or corrupt practices. Nor any substantial allegation has ever been leveled or proved against appellant.
- d. That the appellant worked according to the satisfaction of his superiors, and so far so subordinates are concerned they have never

- e. That the appellant had a record of 19 years unblemished service.
- f. That before recording of adverse remarks in appellant's ACR, the appellant never received any warning or counseling from the reporting authority.
- g. That the adverse remarks in appellant's ACR are not recorded by the competent authority.
- h. That the adverse remarks were not communicated to the appellant within the prescribed limit of time and the same are apparently biased and malafide and are baseless.
- i. That the adverse remarks against the appellant are not supported by any evidence.
- j. That the adverse remarks recorded against the appellant are not sustainable and are liable to be expunged.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this appeal, the order passed by respondent No. 5 dated 29/07/2015 (Communicated to appellant on 18/08/2015) be set aside and the adverse remarks passed/ entered in the ACR of the appellant for the period from 01/01/2014 to 10/07/2014 be set aside/ expunged.

Faraz Gul

Dated: 14-9-/2015

Through;

(SAJJAD AHMED ABBASI)
Advocate High Court, Abbottabad.

#### **VERIFICATION**;-

Verified on oath that the contents of forgoing appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein from this Honourable Court.

APPELLANT

Service Appeal No	/20	15
-------------------	-----	----

Faraz Gul son of son of Gharib Nawaz, Senior Clerk Wild Life, Mansehra.

....APPELLANT

#### **VERSUS**

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Forest Department, Peshawar & others.

...RESPONDENTS

#### **SERVICE APPEAL**

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Faraz Gul son of son of Gharib Nawaz, Senior Clerk Wild Life, Mansehra, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of forgoing appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein from this Honourable Court.

DEPONENT

Identified By:-

(SAJJAD AHMED ABBASI)
Advocate High Court, Abbottabad

Service Ap	
Faraz Gul son of Son of Gharib Nawaz, Senior Clerk Wild	Life, Mansehra.
	APPELLANT
VERSUS	
Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Forest others.	-
	RESPONDENTS
SERVICE APPEAL	
ADDRESSES OF THE PARTI	<u>ES</u>
Respectfully Sheweth:-	
Addresses of the parties are as under	-
Faraz Gul son of son of Gharib Nawaz, Senior Clerk Wild	l Life, Mansehra. APPELLANT
VERSUS	
<ol> <li>Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secret Peshawar.</li> <li>Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary I</li> <li>Conservator Wild Life Southern Circle, Peshawar.</li> </ol>	•
<ul><li>4. Divisional Forest Officer, Wild Life, Mansehra.</li><li>5. Chief Conservator Wild Life, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa</li></ul>	a, Peshawar.
	RESPONDENTS
	2 gal
	APPELLANT
Dated: 14-9-/2015 Through;	)

(SAJJAD AHMED ABBASI)
Advocate High Court, Abbottabad.

Carriag An	mool No	/2015
Service Ap	ipear No	/2013

Faraz Gul son of son of Gharib Nawaz, Senior Clerk Wild Life, Mansehra.

....APPELLANT

#### **VERSUS**

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Forest Department, Peshawar & others.

...RESPONDENTS

#### **SERVICE APPEAL**

# APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING THE APPEAL.

Respectfully Sheweth;-

- 1. That the titled appeal is being filed before this Honourable Tribunal.
- 2. That although as per record the departmental appeal filed by the appellant was rejected on 29/07/2015 but decision was not communicated to appellant in time.
- 3. That the order dated 29/07/2015 passed by respondent No. 5 was first time communicated to the appellant on 18/08/2015.
- 4. That soon thereafter this appeal is being filed.
- 5. That the delay in filing appeal cannot be attributed to the appellant as no information of order dated

29/07/2015was ever communicated to appellant before 18/08/2015.

6. That there is no willful delay in filing the appeal.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this appeal, the delay if any agitated before this court in filing appeal be condoned.

Faraz Gul ...APPELLANT

Dated: 14-9-/2015

Through;

(SAJJAD AHMED ABBASI)
Advocate High Court, Abbottabad

#### **AFFIDAVIT;-**

I, Faraz Gul son of son of Gharib Nawaz, Senior Clerk Wild Life, Mansehra, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of forgoing application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein from this Honourable Court.

DEPÓNENT

Identified By:-

(SAJJAD AMMED ABBASI)
Advocate High Court, Abbottabad

To

Mr. Faraz Gul Senior Clerk C/O Divisional Forest Officer Wildlife Mansehra ANNEXURE A

 $\langle \eta \rangle$ 

No\_\_\_\_\_

/WL(SC)

dated Peshawar the 1999 to 6

0115

Subject:-

ADVERSE REMARKS RECORDED IN THE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORTS FOR THE PERIOD FROM 1-1-2014 TO 10-7-2014

The following adverse remarks have been recorded by the Reporting Officer in your renformance Evaluation Report for the period from 1-1-2014 to 10-7-2014.

1	PART-II	A. PERFORMANCE
	S.No. 01	Below Average (C)
	S. No.02	Below Average (C)
	S.No.03	Poor (D)
	S.No.04	- Poor (D)

#### **B-PERSONAL TRAITS**

 S.No.05
 Below Average (C)

 S.No.06
 Below Average (C)

 S.No.07
 Poor (D)

 S.No.08
 Below Average (C)

S.No.09 Below Average (C) S.No.10 Poor (D)

S.No.14 Pool S.No.14 No.

PAR HIII:

S.No.(d) Not yet fit for promotion?

PARTIV:

(iv) Below Average?

#### PEN PICTURÉ:

The overall performance Juring the period remained poor and unsatisfactory.

Your are directed to note the said remarks for improving your efficiency, official work and conduct. This will be in your own interest to follow the instructions.

Please acknowledge the receipt

Conservator Wildlife Southern Circle Peshawar

No. 6708 AVI 1805

Three copies forwarded to Divisional Forest Officer Wildlife Mansehra for information and communication to the concerned subordinate. One copy may be handed over to the subordinate concerned whose acknowledgement should be obtained on the remaining two copies. One copy bearing his acknowledgement should be returned to this office and the other be placed in Divisional copy of his character roll. A copy of Performance Evaluation Reports of Mr. Faraz Gul, Senior Clerk for the period form 01-01-2014 to 10-7-2014 duly countersigned is also forwarded to Divisional Forest Officer Wildlife Mansehra for record in his office.

Conservator Wildlife Southern Circle
Peshawar

### OFFICE OF THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER MANSEHRA WILDLIFE DIVISION MANSEHRA

ANNEXURE D

Tc

The Conservator Wildlife Southern Circle Peshawar.

No 48 /WL-M

dated Mansehra the

13 -07-2015...

Subject:

ADVERSE REMARKS RECORDED IN THE PERFORMANCE EVELUATION REPORT FOR THE PERIOD FROM 01-01-2014 TO 10-

07-2014.

Reference:

This office letter No.1325/WL-M dated 15-06-2015.

Enclosed please find herewith an appeal against adverse remarks PER/ACR for the periods 01-01-2014 to 10-07-2014, furnished by Mr.Faraz Gul Senior Clerk of this Divisions for favour of kind information and further necessary action please.

Divisional Forest Officer Mansehra Wildlife Division Mansehra 2

No.: 49 /WL-M

Copy forwarded to Mr. Faraz Gul Senior Clerk for information.

Divisional Forest Officer Manschra Wildlife Division Manschra 2

The Conservator Wildlife, Southern Circle, Peshawar.

Through

Proper Channel.

Subject:

ADVERSE REMARKS RECORDED IN THE PERFORMANCE

**EVA LU ATION REPORT FOR THE PERIOD FROM 01.01.2014** 

TO 10.07.2014

REFERENCE:

Your letter No.10707/WL(SC) dated 08.06.2015.

Dear Sir,

I have the honour to submit that the adverse remarks recorded in my ACR for the period from 01-01-2014 to 10-07-2014 is the result of personnel grudges without any base. In this connection the following few lines are submitted for your kind perusal:-

1. I am single handedly running the office business of the Mansehra Wildlife Division since last five years to the entire satisfaction of my superior and no charges of inefficiency has been leveled against me.

2. Prior to this report none of the reporting officer including Mr. Muhammad Hussain has written such an adverse report of the undersigned.

3. As compared to other Wildlife Divisions where sufficient ministerial staff including Head Clerk, Steno Grapher, Senior Clerk and junior Clerk have been provided where as in Mansehra I am the lonely senior Clerk running the office affair's in on unfriendly and tense atmosphere. This naturally results in committing mistakes and confusion.

4. In May 2014, this very reporting Officer broke Locks of two of my official Almeries and draws where I used to keep vouchers, cheques book and service books in my absence and took away all the vouchers and cheques book. On my arrival he not only insulted me but also threatened of dire consequences besides shunting me out of the office. In the circumstances I was left with no option but to inform my Conservator Wildlife Southern Circle of the situation. On listening to my request he advised me to submit written report of the incident. I accordingly submitted the same report in written on which an enquiry was ordered by the then Chief Conservator of Wildlife. All this process agitated the ex DFO Wildlife Mansehra and he on that occasion also threatened me of his revenge.

In light of above exposition it is crystal clear that the adverse remarks recorded in my ACR are the result of personnel grudges and merits to be expunged in the name of justice and save service career of a poor low paid employee of the department. I kindly be provided an opportunity of personnel hearing.

Jang!

In the end I once againen sure you of my services to the department with the same zeal and devotion in future as well.

Thanking you in anticipation please.

Yours faithfully

Faraz Gul

(Senior clerk)

Mansehra Wildlife Division

# BY DR. MOHSIN FAROOQUE CONSERVATOR WILDLIFE SOUTHERN CIRCLE PESHAWAR ANNEXURE

Adverse entries in the Performance Evaluation Reports of Mr. Faraz Gul Senior Clerk of Mansehra Wildlife Division for the period from 1-1-2014 to 10-7-2014 were recorded by Mr. Muhammad Hussain-I. Divisional Forest Officer Wildlife (reporting officer). The official submitted an appeal and consequently comments of the Reporting Officer were obtained on his appeal.

Contentions of the official were based on presumption that he is the only dealing hand in running the office and the reporting officer has malafide intentions against the official reported upon: which are not based on facts. The office of the Divisional Forest Officer Wildlife Mansehra has been provided with sufficient manpower through local arrangement and also the point raised against the reporting officer has been quashed through proper enquiry.

The appellant is directed to give up the complaining attitude and mend his ways to avoid complications in future. Thus the appeal stands rejected.

Dr. Mobsin Farooque Conservator Wildlife Southern Circle Peshawar

No 394-97/WL(SC)

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

- 1. Chief Conservator Wildlife Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Divisional Forest Officer Wildlife Manschra
- Official Concerned.
- 4. Office order file for record.

Conservator Wildlife Southern Circle

ВС	No. 107  No. 107  S.No. 107  S.No	Mediziel Ispist Bar Associatio Abbuilabad
<i>-</i>	Je july 19 per popul sign service Appeal sign sign sign sign sign sign sign sign	بعدالت عنوان: منجانب
	مقدمه مندرجہ بالاعنوان بین اپی طرف واسط پیروی وجوا بدی برائے پیشی اتھنے مقدمہ بقام مرافیط کی کور سے استیار سے کھی کی مرافیل کے مرافیل کے مرافیل کور سے ذیل شرائط پروکیل مقرر کیا ہے کہ میں ہر پیشی پرخود یا بنر ربید مختار خاص رو پروعدالت حاضر ہوتار ہوں گا اور بروقت پکارے جانے مقدمہ وکیل حاصب موصوف کوا طلاع دے کر حاضر عدالت کروں گا۔ اگر پیشی پرمظہم حاضر نہ ہوا اور مقدمہ میری غیر حاضری کی وجہ کے طور پرمیر نے خلاف ہوگیا توصاحب موصوف اس کے کی طور پر فرمددار نہ ہوں گئیز دکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام کی ہری کے علاوہ کی جو کی طور پرمیر نے خلاف کی اور تعلیل پیروی کرنے کے ذمہدار نہ ہوں گئیز دکیل صاحب موصوف صدر امتام کے ہیں ہونے پرمظہم کو کوئی نقصان پنچ تو اس کے دملاوہ کی اور تو گئی ہو نے پرمظہم کو کوئی نقصان پنچ تو اس کے دو اسطے ساعت ہونے پریابر وز تعطیل ہا کچہری کے اوقات کے آگے پیچھے پیش ہونے پرمظہم کو کوئی نقصان پنچ تو اس کے دمدوار نیاس کے دواسطے ساعت ہونے پریابر وز تعطیل ہا کچہری کے اوقات کے آگے پیچھے پیش ہونے پرمظہم کو کوئی نقصان پنچ تو اس کے دوراد موصوف موصوف مدوار نہ ہونگے ۔ جھے کوئل ساختہ پرداختہ صاحب موصوف دورخواست اجرائے ڈگری ونظر خانی ایبل مگرانی و ہرشم کی مدور ہوں کر نے اقبال دور کی اور ورخواست اجرائے ڈگری ونظر خانی ایبل مگرانی و ہرشم کور کیا ہوں دیس کر نے اقبال دورخواست اجرائے ڈگری ونظر خانی ایبل مگرانی و ہرشم کے بیان دیبے اور اس پرخالتی وراضی نامہ و فیصلہ برطف کرنے اقبال دورخواست کی اقبال دور کو اور کی محل اور ہرشم کے بیان دیبے اور اس پرخالی ورا مدور کی کا افتار ہوگا ور دورخواست محم اقباقی یا قرقی یا گرفتاری قبل از گرفتاری ونظر اور کی کور کی کا افتار ہوگا کی اور موسوف کو بیٹری افتار ہوگا کی مقدمہ نکور یا اس کے موسوف کو بیٹری اور کور کی کا افتار ہوگا کے اور بصورت ضرورت صاحب موسوف کو بیٹری اختیار ہوگا کی مقدمہ نکور یا اس کے موسوف کو بیٹری افتار ہوگا کی مقدمہ نکور یا اس کے موسوف کو بیٹری افتار ہوگا کو کی کھر کی کی افتار ہوگا کی مقدمہ نکور یا اس کے موسوف کو بیٹری کی کور کی دور کور کر کے اور اس کی کور کور کور کور کی کور	Start (6):0
	کی جزوی کاروائی کے یابصورت ایک کی دوسر ہو کیل کواپنے بجائے یا پنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں اورا لیے وکیل کو بھی ہرامر میں وہی اور دوران مقدمہ جو بچھ ہرجاندالتواپڑے گا وہ صاحب موصوف کا حق ہوگا۔ گا وہ ساحب موصوف کو جا کا دوہ مقدمہ کا حق ہوگا۔ اگر وکیل صاحب موصوف کو پورا اختیار ہوگا کہ وہ مقدمہ کی پیروی نہ کریں اورا لیصورت میں میراکوئی مطالبہ کی شم کا صاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔  الہذاو کا است نامہ کی دیا ہے کہ سندر ہے۔  مور نحہ: اللہ کی سندر ہے۔  مفرون و کا لت نامہ من لیا ہے اور اچھی طرح سمجھ لیا ہے اور معطور ہے۔  ولی سام کی اور ایک ماہ کی سندر ہے۔  مور نحہ: اللہ کی سندر ہیں سندر ہے۔  مور نحہ: اللہ کی سندر ہے۔	

Real (61:4)

#### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD SERVICE APPEAL NO 1025/2015

Mr. Faraz Gul S/o Gharib Nawaz, Senior Clerk Wildlife Department

(Ap)	pellant)
------	----------

#### **FERSUS**

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhw and Wildlife Department, Peshawar an	a through Secretary Forestry, Environment
, and , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(Respondents)
	*:
Respectfully Sheweth:	

Para wise comments on behalf of respondent's No 1,2,3,4 and 5.

#### **Preliminary Objections:**

- 1. That the appellant has got no cause of action to file the present appeal.
- 2. That the appellant has not come to this Honorable tribunal with clean hands.
- 3. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct.
- 4. That the appeal is bad in is present form.
- 5. That the appeal is time barred.
- 6. That the appellant has suppressed the original facts from this Honorable Tribunal hence not entitled for any relief and appeal is liable to be dismissed.
- 7. That the order passed by the authority after fulfillment all the codal formalities hence the appeal is liable to be dismissed without any further proceeding.

#### ON FACTS

- ĺ. Correct
- Incorrect. Though the appellant has 20 years service at his credit but in fact 2. through out most of the times he remained absent from duty. The absence from duty was treated as leave without pay by the authority but the appellant has managed that the entry regarding leave without pay should not be made in his service book. Mr. Muhammad Hussain, the then Divisional Forest Officer, Wildlife Mansehra as a competent authority at that time sent charge sheet and memo of allegation to Conservator Wildlife Southern Circle, Peshawar with the request to appoint an enquiry officer to conduct an inquiry against the accused. In this respect Mr. Muhammad Faique Khan Divisional Forest Officer Wildlife Abbottabad was appointed as inquiry officer to conduct an inquiry and submit his findings. The inquiry officer proved the accused official as a guilty of the charges leveled against him. The accused was given full opportunity in his defense but he failed to prove him self innocent and the inquiry officer in his findings recommended a minor penalty of with holding of one year increment for a specific period. The competent authority also served show cause notice to the accused official for personal hearing but he again failed to provide proof against the charges, and the competent authority agreed with the finding of inquiry officer.
- It is incorrect in fact the overall performance during the period 01-01-2014 to 10-3. 07-2014 remained poor and unsatisfactory in this regard the reporting officer has recorded the adverse remarks in the Performance Evaluation Report of the appellant.
- 4. Correct
- Correct. After getting comments from the reporting officer the Conservator 5. Wildlife Southern Circle, Peshawar has rejected the appeal.
- 6. Incorrect, the order passed on by the Conservator Wildlife Southern Circle, Peshawar after thorough examination of the office record, comments of the reporting officer and reply of appellant.

#### **GROUNDS**

- a. Incorrect due to poor performance and unsatisfactory work adverse remarks recorded by the reporting officer in the ACR of the appellant.
  - b. Incorrect. The adverse remarks recorded in the performance evaluation report for the period 01-01-2014 to 10-07-2014 of the appellant has communicated in time vide Conservator Wildlife Southern Circle, Peshawar office letter No 10607-10608WL (SC) dated 08-06-2015.
  - c. As explained vide Sr. No 2 of the appeal.
  - d. As explained above
  - e. Incorrect
  - f. Incorrect
  - g. Incorrect
  - h. Incorrect As explained Juz (B) above.
  - i. Incorrect
  - i. Incorrect

In view of the above ex-position it is quite clear that neither the injustice has been made to the appellant / petitioner nor the merit has been ignored. It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of above reply the appeal may graciously be dismissed.

Divisional Forest Officer Mansehra Wildlife Division Mansehra

Chief Conservator Wildlife Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar Conservator Wildlife Southern Circle

Peshawar

Secretary

Forestry, Environment & Wildlife Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

#### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD SERVICE APPEAL NO 1025/2015

Mr. Faraz Gul S/o Gharib Nawaz, Senior Clerk Wildlife Department......(Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Forestry, Environment and Wildlife Department, Peshawar and Others...... (Respondents)

#### AFFIDAVIT

I, Mr. Muhammad Faique Khan Divisional Forest Wildlife Mansehra do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that all contents of accompanied comments / reply are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this honorable court.

Deponent

Divisional Forest Officer Mansehra Wildlife Division Mansehra

# بعدالت خيبر پختونخواه سروس ٹريبول کيمپ کور ھا يبھ آباد

اپیل نمبر 1025/2015

فرازگل سينئر كلرك محكمه وائلڈ لائف \_

\_\_\_ا پيلانث

\_\_\_رسياندنش

### بنام

صوبائی حکومت KPK بذریعه سیکریٹری جنگلات ماحولیات ودائلڈلائف ڈیپارٹمنٹ پیثاوروغیرہ۔

## <u> جواب الجواب منجانب البيلانث</u>

جناب عالى! جواب الجواب منجانب اپيلانٹ ذيل عرض ہے۔

- ا۔ فقرہ نمبر 1 غلط ہے۔ اپیلانٹ کو بیت ہے کہ وہ اپنے حقوق کے حصول کے لئے عدالت ہذا سے رجوع کر سکے۔
  - ۲۔ فقرہ نمبر 2 غلط ہے۔ اپیلانٹ صاف ہاتھوں سے عدالت ہذامیں آیا ہے۔
  - س۔ فقره نمبر 3 غلط ہے۔ اپیلانٹ کے راستے میں کوئی "Estopple" نہے۔
    - سم فقرہ نمبر 4 غلط ہے۔ اپیل درست ہے۔
    - ۵۔ فقرہ نمبر 5 غلط ہے۔ اپیل اندر معیاد ہے۔
- ۲۔ فقرہ نمبر 6 دریں صورت غلط ہے اپیلانٹ درخواست گزار کے ساتھ متعلقہ کواٹر کی جانب انتہائی زیادتی اور ناانسافی کی گئی ہے جس پراپیلانٹ نے مجازاتھارٹی کواپیل کی جس کے منظور نہ ہونے پرانساف کے حصول کے لئے عدالت کا دروازہ کھٹکھٹایا جومیر ابنیادی اور قانونی حق ہے بادی النظر میں ھی میر امقد مہ صنبوط اور قابل کے عدالت کا دروازہ کھٹکھٹایا جومیر ابنیادی اور قانونی حق ہے بادی النظر میں ھی میر امقد مہ صنبوط اور قابل

### رفتار ہے اور مجھے عدالت سے فوری انصاف کی قوی امید ہے۔

2۔ فقرہ نمبر 7 غلط ہے اول میر ہے ساتھ رپورٹنگ آفیسر کی جانب سے زیادتی کی گئی اور بیک جنبش قلم میری ACR میں منفی تاثر ات کا اظہار کیا گیا جس پر میں نے مجاز Appellate اتھارٹی کو اپیل کی تاہم مجھے شدید ما یوس کیا گیا جس پرمجبوراً مجھے متعلقہ فورم لینی عدالت ہٰذا Competant Court سے رجوع کرنا پڑا۔

### عذرات واقعاتى ؛

- \_No Comments \_
- فقره نمبر 2 جس طرح ترتیب دیا گیا غلط ہے 20 سالہ طویل سروس کیرئیر میں مدی بھی بھی Leave فقرہ نمبر 2 جس طرح ترتیب دیا گیا غلط ہے 2 بول فقرہ بنہ افتاح ثبوت ہے اگر مدی غیر حاضر رہتا تو اس عرصہ کے دوران Reporting Officer لازی طور راس کا اندراج سروس بک میں کرتے سروس ریکارڈ اس حوالے سے خاموش ہے جو اس بات کی غمازی ہے کہ پیرا بنہ امن گھڑت اور خلاف واقعات سروس ریکارڈ اس حوالے سے خاموش ہے جو اس بات کی غمازی ہے کہ پیرا بنہ امن گھڑت اور خلاف واقعات ہے باقی فقرہ اس حد تک درست ہے کہ اس وقت کے DFO محمد صین نے من اپیلانٹ کے خلاف انکوائری کی بابت کنزرویٹر صاحب کے آفس کو تحریر کیا جس پر متعلقہ کو اگر نے محمد صین صاحب کے ایک دوسر سے کی بابت کنزرویٹر صاحب کے آفس کو ترکی ایس مقان کو انکوائری آفیسر مقرر کیا من سائل نے بحثیت ملازم ماتحت مذکورہ آفیسرز سے بھر پورتعاون کیا اور جملہ تھائق ان کے سامنے رکھے تا ہم نامعلوم وجو بات کی بناء پر انکوائری آفیسر کے باب سے بھی ذیا دتی نے اپنے ہمسر DFO کو Favour دیا اس طرح میر ہے ساتھ انکوائری آفیسر کی جانب سے بھی ذیا دتی نے اپنے ہمسر DFO کو Show Cause واب دیا گیا تھا۔
- ا۔ محکمانہ جواب غلط ہے اس ضمن میں میری اپیل کا پیرانمبر 3 ہر لحاظ سے درست ہے ریکارڈ ملاحظ فر مایا جاسکتا ہے۔
  - ہ۔ مقاج جواب نہہے۔
- ۵۔ فقرہ نمبر5 کے جواب میں عرض ہے کہ اپیل غلط طور پر Reject کی گئی تھی۔ البتہ جب اسی آفیسر جس نے من سائل کی ACR میں منفی تاثر ات کا اظہار کیا تھا سے Comments لینے کی ضرورت نہیں تھی۔
  - ۲۔ فقرہ نمبر 6اپیل من اپیلانٹ ہرلحاظ سے درست اور حقیقت پرمنی ہے۔

### <u> جواب گراؤنڈ؛</u>

- a فقرہ اپیل من سائل درست ہے محکمانہ جواب غلط اور محتاج ثبوت ہے۔
- b۔ فقرہ اپیل من سائل درست ہے جواب مسٹول علی مختاج ثبوت ہے۔ ریمارکس بروقت نہیں پہنچائے گئے۔
  - c فقره اليل من سائل درست ہے جواب مسئول عليه غلط ہے۔
  - d فقره اپیل من سائل درست ہے جواب مسئول علیہ غلط ہے۔
  - e فقره اپیل من اپیلانث درست ہے جبکہ مسئول علیہ کی جانب سے جواب غلط ہے۔
- f فقرہ اپیل اپیلانٹ درست ہے ACR میں لکھے گے منفی تا ٹر ات قانون کی نظر میں قابل Expunged ہیں کسے گے منفی تا ٹر ات قانون کی نظر میں قابل Leave without pay کرنا تو درست ہو سکتا ہے کین اس آڑ میں اس کے منتقبل کو تباہ کرنا قانون کی نظر میں قرین انصاف نہ ہے۔
  - g ۔ یہ کہ اپیلانٹ سنیارٹی کے لحاظ سے اپنے کئی ہمسر سے آگے ہے ACR کی موجودہ شکل بحال رہے یا مقدمہ کے Prolong ہونے کی صورت میں سائل کی پروموثن رک سکتی ہے جس سے سائل کو نا قابل تلافی نقصان ہوسکتا ہے۔ ہوسکتا ہے۔

استدعاہے کہ ایل ، اپیلانٹ منظور فرمائی جاوے۔

الرقوم: 120**18** <u>18 المرق</u>وم:



#### **AUTHORITY LETTER**

Mr. Khurshid Abbasi Sub-divisional Wildlife Officer Mansehra is hereby authorized to attend the honorable Service Tribunal Camp Court Abbottabad in case title "Faraz Gul Senior Clerk V/S Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others" on behalf of Divisional Forest Officer Wildlife Mansehra on each and every date of hearing till final decision is taken in the case by court.

Divisional Forest Officer Mansehra Wildlife Division Mansehra

	_
DBA NO. 107 BC No. 107 S.No  Name of Advocate	Hemiziel District Bar Association Abbuttabad
	MUUUU
سروس کربی کا ایم ایم ایم اور ایم اور ایم اور ایم	بعدالت ـ
مرازع المرابع ا	عندلاه:
Service Appeal size is six!	موان
. <b></b>	منجانب: ـ
باعث تحرير آنکه	
ندمه مندرجہ بالاعنوان میں ای طرف ہے داسطے پیروی وجوابد ہی برائے پیشی یا تصفیہ مقدمہ بہقام <b>کرمیٹ آبار</b> کے لیے مسر ال	<u>ت</u>
سیاد افک علمی الموقعی کو	<del></del>
وحب ذیل شرائط پروکیل مقرر کیا ہے کہ میں ہر پیثی پرخود یا بذر بعد مختار خاص روبر وعدالت حاضر ہوتار ہوں گااور بروفت پکارے	
ا نے مقد مہ وکیل صاحب موصوف کوا طلاع دے کر حاضر عدالت کروں گا۔اگر پیشی پرمظہر حاضر نہ ہواا در مقد مہیری غیر حاضری کی وجہ	e To
کے کی طور پرمیرے خلاف ہوگیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی طور پر ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام کچہری کے	- Lit
مادہ کسی جگہ یا کچہری کےاوقات سے پہلے یا پیچھے یا بروز تعطیل ہیروی کرنے کے ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے اور مقدمہ کچہری کےعلاوہ کسی اور جگہ	
اعت ہونے پر یابروز تعطیل یا کچہری کے اوقات کے آگے پیچھے پیش ہونے پرمظہر کوکوئی نقصان پنچے تواس کے ذمہ داریااس کے واسطے	-
کسی معاوضہ کے اداکر نے یا مختانہ کے واپس کرنے ہے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمہ دار نہ ہونگے ۔ مجھ کوکل ساختہ پر داختہ صاحب موصوف	
نل کرده ذات منظور دمقبول ہوگا اورصاحبِ موصوف کوعرض دعویٰ یا جواب دعویٰ اور درخواست اجرائے ڈگری ونظر ثانی اپیل نگرانی و ہرتتم	
۔ خواست پر دستخط وتصدیق کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگااور کسی تھم یاڈ گری کرانے اور ہرشم کاروپیہ وصول کرنے اور رسید دینے اور داخل کرنے	
ہ ر ہر تشم کے بیان دینے ادراس پر ثالثی دراضی نامہ د فیصلہ بر صلف کرنے اقبال دعویٰ دینے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا ادر بصورت جانے بیرونجات	
ر برا میں اور آمدگی مقدمہ یا منسوخی ڈگری کیطر فہ درخواست تھم امتنا می یا قرتی یا گرفتاری قبل از گرفتاری دا جرائے ڈگری بھی صاحب کیچبری صدراپیل و برآمدگی مقدمہ یا منسوخی ڈگری کیطر فہ درخواست تھم امتنا می یا قرتی یا گرفتاری قبل از گرفتاری دا	)
وصوف کو بشر طادا ٹیگن علیحد ہمختانہ پیروی کا اختیار ہوگا۔اور بصورت ِضرورت صاحبِ موصوف کویہ بھی اختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ ندکوریا اس کے	
ں جزوکی کاروائی کے یابصورت اپیل کسی دوسرے وکیل کواپنے بجائے یا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں اورا پسے وکیل کو بھی ہرامر میں	
ی بروی کا روان سے یا جورت بین کا روسرے دیں درسی میں ہیں۔ ہی اور ویسے اختیارات حاصل ہو نگے جیسے صاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں اور دوران مقدمہ جو بچھ ہر جاندالتو اپڑے گا وہ صاحب موصوف	
یں اور ویے اسیارات عامل کی اوسے بیٹ میں اور کو اور کا میں اور وروں کا توصاحب و صوف کو پور ااختیار ہوگا کہ وہ مقدمہ احق ہوگا۔اگر وکیل صاحبِ موصوف کو پوری فیس تاریخ پیشی سے پہلے ادانہ کروں گا توصاحب و صوف کو پور ااختیار ہوگا کہ وہ مقدمہ	
لی پیروی نہ کریں اورا لیمی صورت میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ کی تئم کا صاحبِ موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔ مدیری سرب کا سرب کی مدیر کا میں میں مطالبہ کوئی مطالبہ کی تئم کا صاحبِ موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔	
بذاوکالت نامد کھودیا ہے کہ سندرہے۔ ضمون وکالت نامہ من لیا ہے اور اچھی طرح سمجھ لیا ہے اور منطور ہے۔ دن ماہ سال	
صمون وکالت نامہ من کیا ہے اور ایس طرح مجھ کیا ہے اور منظورہے۔ فرن ماہ سال	

22 (6):9)

#### KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

	1.01	٠		2 (-	2	:
No	484	/ST	Dated	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	/ 2019

To

The Divisional Forest Officer Wild Life Department Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Mansehra.

Subject: -

JUDGMENT IN APPEAL NO. 1025/2015, MR. FARAZ GUL.

I am directed to forward herewith a certified copy of Judgement dated 20.02.2019 passed by this Tribunal on the above subject for strict compliance.

Encl: As above

REGISTRAR • KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.