

12.03.2018

Counsel for the appellant and AAG alongwith Mr. Attaullah Minakhel, DEO and Mr. Muhammad Kamran, ADO for the respondents present. Arguments of the learned counsel for the appellant heard. The learned AAG requested for adjournment on the ground that their file is incomplete. Adjourned. To come up for arguments tomorrow on 13.03.2018 before the D.B at camp court, D.I.Khan.



Member


Chairman
Camp Court, D.I.Khan

13.03.2018


Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Ziaullah, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Mr. Attaullah, DEO and Mr. Muhammad Kamran, ADO for the respondents present. Further arguments heard. To come up for order on 14.03.2018 before this D.B at camp court, D.I.Khan.



Member


Chairman
Camp Court, D.I.Khan

14.03.2018


Junior to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Ziaullah, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Mr. Attaullah, DEO and Mr. Muhammad Kamran, ADO for the respondents present. Arguments already heard. Record perused. Vide our detailed judgment of today in service appeal No. 943/2012 entitled "Mst. Mehnaz Begum Vs. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary, E&SE, Peshawar and others" this appeal is also dismissed. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.


Member


Chairman
Camp Court, D.I.Khan

25.01.2018

Appellant in person present. Mr. Farhaj Sikandar, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Muhammad Kamran, ADO (litigation) and Mr. Naveed Zafar, Assistant Account Officer for the respondents also present. Written reply on behalf of respondents No. 1, 2 and 5 already submitted. Representative of respondent No. 4 requested for further adjournment. Another last opportunity granted. Adjourned. To come up for written reply/comments on behalf of respondents No. 3 and 4 on 22.02.2018 before S.B at Camp Court D.I.Khan.



(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)
Member
Camp Court D.I. Khan

22.02.2018


Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Muhammad Kamran, ADO for respondents No. 1, 2 & 5 and Mr. Naveed Zafar, Assistant Account Officer for respondent No. 4 also present. None present on behalf of respondent No. 3 hence, proceeded ex-parte. Written reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 submitted. Written reply on behalf of respondents No. 1, 2 & 5 already submitted. Adjourned. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 12.03.2018 before D.B at Camp Court D.I.Khan.



(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)
Member
Camp Court D.I. Khan


26.10.2017

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kamran ADO (Litigation) alongwith Mr. Farhaj Sikandar District Attorney for the respondents present. Representative of the respondents department requested for further time to file written reply. Request accepted by way of last chance. To come up for written reply on 30.11.2017 at Camp Court D.I.Khan.


Muhammad Hamid Mughal
Member (J)
Camp Court D.I.Khan

30.11.2017

Appellant in person present. Mr. Farhaj-Sikandar, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Muhammad Kamran, ADO (litigation) and Mr. Naveed Zafar, Assistant Account Officer for the respondents also present. Written reply on behalf of respondents No. 1, 2 and 5 submitted. Representative of respondent No. 4 requested for adjournment for filing of written reply/comments. Representative of respondent No. 3 is not in attendance therefore, notice be issued to respondent No. 3 with the direction to direct the representative to attend the court and submit written reply on the next date positively. Another last opportunity granted to respondents No. 3 & 4 for filing of written reply. Adjourned. To come up for written reply/comments on behalf of respondents No. 3 & 4 on 25.01.2018 before S.B at Camp Court D.I.Khan.


(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)
Member
Camp Court D.I. Khan

23.2.2016

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments ^{partly} heard which shows that the appellant has already gone through many forums including the hon'ble High Court for redressal of his grievances. It was also brought into the notice of the Tribunal that there were about 1630 sacked employees and presently more than 200 appeals are pending at different stages on various dates and that the matter involved is one and the same. Hence, it is deemed proper to consolidate all the appeals for hearing in order to avoid conflicting situation and decisions. Hence, case to come up for further proceedings with connected appeals on ~~26-7-16~~ at camp court, D.I.Khan.


MEMBER

Camp Court, D.I.Khan

26.07.2016

Since tour is hereby cancelled, therefore, the case is adjourned for the same on 23.08.2017.

Reader

23.08.2017

Counsel for the appellant present. It was contended by learned counsel for the appellant that this Tribunal has already admitted service appeals of similar nature appeal for regular hearing, therefore, this appeal may also be admitted for regular hearing.

The contention raised by learned counsel for the appellant needs consideration. The appeal is admitted for regular hearing. Appellant is directed to deposit the security and process fee within 10 days thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 26.10.2017 before S.B at Camp Court D.I.Khan.

**Appellant Deposited
Security & Process Fee**




(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)

Member
Camp Court D.I. Khan

26.10.2015

Clerk of counsel for the appellant present. Senior counsel for the appellant is not available, therefore, case to come up for preliminary hearing at camp court, D.I.Khan on 24-11-2015.


MEMBER
Camp court, D.I.Khan


23.11.2015

Counsel for the appellant present. Pre-admission notices be issued to the respondents and case to come up for preliminary hearing on 29-12-2015 at camp court, D.I.Khan.


MEMBER
Camp Court, D.I.Khan

26.01.2016





Counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment. To come up for preliminary hearing on 23.2.16 at camp court, D.I.Khan.


MEMBER
Camp court, D.I.Khan

Form- A
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Case No. 622/2015

S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	10.06.2015	<p>The appeal of Mst. Nosheen Faiz presented today by Mr. Muhammad Anwar Awan Advocate, may be entered in the Institution register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR</p>
2	11-6-15	<p>This case is entrusted to Touring Bench D.I.khan for preliminary hearing to be put up thereon <u>27-07-2015</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN</p>
	27.07.2015	<p>Counsel for the appellant present. Pre-admission notice be issued to the respondents as well as learned GP. To come up for preliminary hearing alongwith similar nature service appeal No. 344/2014 on <u>28-09-2015</u> at camp court, D.I.Khan.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> MEMBER Camp court, D.I.Khan</p>
	28.09.2015	<p>Clerk of counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment as counsel for the appellant is not available. Therefore, case to come up for preliminary hearing on <u>26-10-15</u> at camp court, D.I.Khan.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> MEMBER Camp court, D.I.Khan</p>

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Appeal no. ⁶²²..... of 2015.

Nosheen Faiz

VERSUS

Govt; Of KPK and others

INDEX

No.	Particulars	Annexure	Pages
1	Appeal		1-4
2	Copy Advertisement	A	5
3	Copy of Appointment Order	B	6
4	Copy of Judgment Dated 27.10.2011	C	7-21
5	Copy of Proforma For Inquiry	D	22
6	Copy of Inquiry Report Dated 26.01.2012	E	23-28
7	Copy of Order Dated 14.03.2012.	F	29-31
8	Copy of Application	G	32
9	Copy of Writ & Order Dated 03.02.2015.	H	33-39
10	Copy of Termination Order	I	40-41
11	Copy of Departmental Appeal Along with Receipt.	J	42-45
12	Copy of Documents	K	46-48
13	Wakalat Nama	L	49

Your humble Petitioner

Nosheen Faiz

Nosheen Faiz

Dated; 30-04-2015.

Anwar Adv.

Mohammad Anwar Awan
Advocate Supreme Court.

①

**BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR
CAMP COURT AT D.I.KHAN.**

Appeal no. 622 of 2015.

A.W.F. Province
Service Tribunal
Diary No. 672
Dated 10-6-2015

Nosheen Faiz D/O Faiz Ullah R/O GGHS No-4 Tehsil
D.I.Khan.

VERSUS

1. Director Elementary and Secondary Education Deptt: Peshawar.
2. District Education officer (Elementary and Secondary Education Deptt:) D.I.Khan.
3. Deputy Commissioner D.I.Khan.
4. Account Officer Kechary Road Dera Ismail Khan.
5. Government of KPK through secretary Elementary and Secondary Education Deptt: Peshawar.

**APPEAL U/S 4 OF KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST
ILLEGAL AND MALAFIDE BACK DATED TERMINATION ORDER
08.02.2012 FROM SERVICE ON THE BACK OF APPELLANT.**

That the brief facts of the case are as under:

- Answer*
1. That the appellant is permanently resident of Tehsil and District D.I.Khan and having qualification of T.T along with Matric.
 2. That the respondent advertised some post in daily Mashriq Peshawar dated 7th April 2007 of different categories including T.T. The appellant applied for the post and appeared in test and interview. The appellant was appointed as T.T on 01-10-2007. Copies of advertisement and appointment order is Annexure A, B.
 3. That the appellant after getting medical certificate, took the charge and performed his duty to the ut-most satisfaction of his high-ups.
 4. That the some so called inquiries were conducted against some appointment and they terminated all the appointment orders during January 2007 to 30th June 2008 including the appellant. The appellant challenged the impugned order through service appeal which was accepted and impugned termination orders in their cases
- Filed to file
10/6/15*

is set aside and remanded/sent back the cases to the Secretary Education for consideration in the light of above observation for reinstatement of qualified appellants. Copies of Judgment dated 27.10.2011 are Annexure C.

5. That according to the order of learned service tribunal, the secretary education conducted so called inquiry, inviting the appellant in circuit house D.I.Khan and Clerk of education department provided a Proforma, which were filled by the appellant and submitted the same to the clerk. The Proforma contain inquiry regarding qualification of the appellant in which no show cause was given nor it contained charges of allegation. Copy of Proforma is Annexure D.
6. That the inquiry committee after submission of Proforma, prepared his report in which recommendations were made against appellant and other for their termination due to the reason that they were appointed without observing codal formalities. Copy of recommendation dated 26.01.2012 is Annexure E.
7. That the inquiry report was produced before the service tribunal who directed the department to ensure the compliance with the recommendation without any wastage of time, so the aggrieved persons can seek remedy available to them. Copy of order Dated 14.03.2012 is Annexure F.
8. That petitioner after the inquiry report waiting for the decision and implementation of department and contacted several time for their reinstatement or termination order but they reluctant to issue any such order. Copy of Applications is Annexure G.
9. That feeling aggrieved from the act of the respondents, the appellant alongwith other filed writ petition before Hon'ble High Court for redressal of their grievances in which direction was issued to the respondent to provide the appellant and others the copies of termination orders. Copy of writ and judgment dated 03.02.2015 is Annexure H.
10. That after the direction of Hon'ble court, the respondent No-2 delivered a copy of back dated combined termination orders of all teachers on 15.02.2015. Copy of Termination order is Annexure I.
11. That feeling aggrieved from the illegal back dated termination order the appellant filed department appeal on 25.02.2015 which was not

Annexure

decided by the respondents till now. Copy of departmental appeal alongwith postal receipt is Annexure J.

12. That feeling aggrieved from above said action petitioner is constrained to approaches this honorable court on the following amongst other:

GROUNDS;

1. That the appellants are not treated in accordance with law and the actions of the respondents are malafide besides being discriminatory and harsh.
2. That the report of committee is nothing more than a recommendation to Government. The said report could not be made sole ground for termination of large number of civil servants.
3. That the report of committee is not based on the direction of the service tribunal in which it is clearly mentioned that qualification of the teacher should be checked but committee terminated them on the basis of non observance of codal formalities which issued was already decided by the Hon'ble Service Tribunal in his judgment dated 27-10-2011.
4. That appellants are being penalized without giving them any opportunity of hearing, they were neither associated with the proceeding of standing committee nor have given any show cause notice by the department, which is against the principal of natural justice and equity.
5. That the respondent on the direction of Hon'ble court, prepared back dated termination order in booklet shape from which it is evident that they had not issued any order to the appellant and others nor it was communicated to them.

Shivdas

In view of the above, It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that on acceptance this appeal this honorable court may pleased to declare that the back dated termination order dated 08.02.2012 be without lawful authority and of no legal effect and respondents may pleased be directed to reinstate the appellant with all back benefits.

YOUR HUMBLE APPELLANT

Nosheen Faiz

Nosheen Faiz
Through Counsel

Dated; 30-04-2015.


Anwar Adv

Mohammad Anwar Awan
Advocate Supreme Court

AFFIDAVIT

Nosheen Faiz do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on OATH that the contents of the same are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been concealed from this honorable court.

Deponent.



MASHRIQ
روزنامہ
پشاور
مشاق
سلسلہ اشاعت کے 40 سال

7 اپریل

درخواستیں مطلوب ہیں

حکمران تعلیم ڈیرہ اسماعیل خان میں درج ذیل آسامیوں کیلئے صرف تربیت یافتہ اور مسلح ذمہ دار اسماعیل خان کے سکوتی مردوں کو درخواستیں امیدواروں سے مقررہ فارموں پر درخواستیں مطلوب ہیں۔ مقررہ درخواست فارم زیر درج ذیل شکل کے دفتر سے ملے گا۔ 25/4/07 روپے میں دفتری لوازمات کار میں وصول کیے جاسکتے ہیں۔ مندرجہ ذیل شرائط کے ساتھ درخواستیں تعلیمی / تدریسی اسٹاف / سرٹیفیکٹ / شناختی کارڈ اور ڈو جی اے کی حدود بقول کے ہمراہ صورت 20/4/07 تک مطلوب ہیں۔ جو کہ ای۔ ڈی۔ او (سکول اینڈ ٹریننگ) ڈیرہ اسماعیل خان کے دفتر واقع کینٹ ہال مقابل ہاتھی پارک دفتری لوازمات کار میں پہنچ جانی چاہیں۔ بعد ازاں کوئی درخواست وصول نہیں کی جائے گی۔

شرائط: (1)۔ تمام تقرریاں موجودہ مروجہ گورنمنٹ روٹز / پالیسی کی بنیاد پر ہوں گی۔ (2)۔ سلیکشن کی صورت میں امیدوار پیش کا مستحق نہ ہوگا۔ (3)۔ سی ٹی / بی۔ ای۔ ٹی / اڈوائسنگ ماسٹر / اڈوائسنگ آفیسر کی آسامیوں پر تقرری گورنمنٹ کے مروجہ قانون کے مطابق 75% (فیصد) نچ ڈیوٹی / ایئر ڈائریکٹوریٹ / 25% (فیصد) تقرری اوپن میرٹ کی بنیاد پر ہوگی۔ (4)۔ بی۔ ای۔ ٹی / (مردانہ / زنانہ) کی آسامیوں پر تقرری گورنمنٹ کے مروجہ قانون کے مطابق 75% یونین کونسل میرٹ کی بنیاد پر اور 25% اوپن میرٹ کی بنیاد پر ہوگی۔ (5)۔ تمام امیدواروں کو مقررہ تاریخ / مقام پر تحریری ٹیسٹ دینا ہوگا۔ صرف ٹیسٹ میں کامیاب امیدوارانٹرویو میں شمولیت کے لئے لبل ہوئے۔ ٹیسٹ میں کامیاب امیدواروں کی لسٹ زیر دستخطی کے دفتر کے نوٹس بورڈ پر لگائی جائے گی۔ (6)۔ انٹرویو کے وقت اصل اسٹاد کا پیش کرنا لازمی ہوگا۔ بصورت دیگر انٹرویو میں لیا جائے گا۔ (7)۔ حکمران تعلیم کے زیر ملازمت امیدوار اپنی درخواستیں اپنے مختلف آفسر کے توسط سے بعد تصدیق شدہ سرٹیفیکٹ بھیجنا ہوگی۔ (8)۔ دو درخواستیں جس میں امیدوار کی عمر کی حدود درخواست کی وصولی کی آخری تاریخ سے ایک دن بھی زیادہ ہوگی۔ وصول نہیں کی جائے گی۔ (9)۔ تمام درخواستیں ہر لٹل سے مکمل ہوں۔ نامکمل اور ناقص معلومات پر مبنی اور مقررہ تاریخ کے بعد وصول ہونے والی درخواستوں پر غور نہیں کیا جائے گا۔ (10)۔ تمام آسامیوں پر مندرجہ ذیل کا 2% (فیصد) کوٹہ مختص ہے۔ جس کیلئے انہیں سینئرٹیک میڈیکل بورڈ کا جاری کردہ سرٹیفیکٹ پیش کرنا لازمی ہوگا۔ (11)۔ ٹیسٹ / انٹرویو درج ذیل پر دو گرام کے مطابق سچ 9 بجے شروع ہوگا۔ (12)۔ کوئی ٹی / اے / ڈی اے نہیں دیا جائے گا۔ اور نہ ہی کوئی علیحدہ لیٹر جاری کیا جائے گا۔ (13)۔ سی۔ ٹی / بی۔ ای۔ ٹی / بی۔ ای۔ ڈی۔ ایم / ایس۔ ٹی / بی۔ ای۔ ڈی۔ ٹی / جی۔ ڈی کی پوسٹ کیلئے مرد / خواتین کی عمر کی حد 18 سے 33 سال جبکہ بی۔ ٹی۔ سی مردانہ / زنانہ کی عمر کی حد 18 سے 35 سال ہے۔

شیڈول برائے انٹرویو / ٹیسٹ

نمبر شمار	نام آسامی	تاریخ ٹیسٹ	مجوزہ تعلیمی قابلیت / اہلیت	تاریخ انٹرویو	مقام
1	سی۔ ٹی۔ (CT)	24/4/07	ایف۔ اے / ایف۔ ایس۔ سی / ازی کام بھدہ سی ٹی کورس	14/5/07	مردانہ GMS نمبر ڈیرہ زنانہ GGHS دین پور
2	ڈرائنگ ماسٹر (DM)	25/4/07	ایف۔ اے / ایف۔ ایس۔ سی / ازی کام بھدہ سی ٹی کورس	15/5/07	مردانہ GMS نمبر ڈیرہ زنانہ GGHS دین پور
3	ٹریڈنگ ایجوکیشن ٹیچر (PET)	25/4/07	ایف۔ اے / ایف۔ ایس۔ سی / ازی کام بھدہ سی ٹی کورس	15/5/07	مردانہ GMS نمبر ڈیرہ زنانہ GGHS دین پور
4	عربی ٹیچر (AT)	26/4/07	میٹرک بعد شہادت عالیہ سینئر ڈویژن دفاتر المدارس یا ایم اے عربی سینئر ڈویژن (تعلیمات المدارس)	16/5/07	مردانہ GMS نمبر ڈیرہ زنانہ GGHS دین پور
5	اسلامیات ٹیچر (IT)	26/4/07	میٹرک بعد شہادت عالیہ سینئر ڈویژن تر دفاتر المدارس یا بی اے عربی اسلامیات شہادت الیومہ (تعلیمات المدارس)	16/5/07	مردانہ GMS نمبر ڈیرہ زنانہ GGHS دین پور
6	تاری	26/4/07	میٹرک بعد تجزیہ فقر آئن منظور شدہ سلسلے سے	16/5/07	مردانہ GMS نمبر ڈیرہ زنانہ GGHS دین پور
7	پی ایس ٹی (پرائمری سکول ٹیچر)	28/4/07	ایف۔ اے / بی۔ ٹی / سی (ٹریڈنگ) میٹرک پی ٹی سی (ٹریڈنگ) نوٹ: خواتین کیلئے مذکورہ کو اگت پورے نہ ہونے کی صورت میں پالیسی کے مطابق ترقی برتی جائے گی۔	17/5/07	مردانہ GMS نمبر ڈیرہ زنانہ GGHS دین پور

Anwar
M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

B-6

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER, (SCHOOLS & LIT:)

DIKHAN

APPOINTMENT ORDER:

Consequent upon the approval of Selection Committee, the following **Fresh(Female)**. _____ is hereby appointed against vacant post of **T.T.** in the school noted against their name in BPS **07** plus usual allowances being a qualified, fresh candidate as per existing policy in the interest of public service w.e. from the date of taking over charge on the following terms and conditions.

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Name of Candidate with Father's Name</u>	<u>Schools where posted.</u>
1)	Nausheen Faiz W/O Muhammad Bashir R/O Niavella	GEHS, No: 4 Dikhan Addl: Post.

TERMS & CONDITIONS:

1. Charge reports should be submitted to all concerned.
2. No pensionary benefit will be available.
3. The services of the above named candidate is made purely on temporary basis & liable to terminate at any time with out assigning any notice/ reasons.
4. The candidate will produce Health & Age certificate from the M/S concerned.
5. The original documents may be checked/ verified by concerned Board/ University through DDO concerned before handing over charge.
6. No TA/DA is allowed.

Sd/-
EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER,
SCHOOLS & LITERACY DIKHAN

Endst: No. 20 110 -14 / Dated D.I.Khan the 01/10/2007

- Copy to the:-
1. Director Schools & Literacy N.W.F.P. Peshawar.
 2. District Co-ordination Officer, D.I.Khan.
 3. District Accounts Officer, D.I.Khan.
 4. Headmistress/ Headmaster concerned.
 5. Candidate concerned.

Na
EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER,
SCHOOLS & LITERACY DIKHAN

Na
Executive District Officer,
Literacy Education D.I.Khan

Anwar
M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

BEFORE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR.



SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1407/2010

Date of institution ... 21.07.2010

Date of judgment ... 27.10.2011

Abdul Salam S/o Shah Suliman,
D.I.Khan, Ex. P.T.C GPS, Kamal Khel

.. (Appellant)

VERSUS

1. Province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar.
2. Director of Education (E&S) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
3. Executive District Officer (F&S) Dera Ismail Khan.
4. District Coordination Officer, Dera Ismail Khan. ... (Respondents)

APPEAL U/S 4 OF NWFP (KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA) SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 04.9.2009, WHEREBY THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN TERMINATED FROM SERVICE BY THE INCOMPETENT AUTHORITY, DISREGARD OF THE RULES, AND WITHOUT OBSERVING THE LEGAL REQUIREMENTS, AND HIS DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL ELICITED NO RESPONSE WITHIN STATUTORY PERIOD.

1. Shahzada Irfan Zia, Advocate for the appellant
 2. Ashraf Ali Khattak
 3. Ghulam Nabi
 4. Saadullah Khan Marwat
 5. Muhammad Arif Baloch
 6. Muhammad Anwar Awan
 7. Shaukat Ali Jan
 8. Matiullah Rand
 9. Abdul Qayyum Qureshi
 10. Muhammad Ismail Alizai
 11. Abdul Hamid Khan
 12. Muhammad Waqar Alam
 13. Muhammad Saeed Bhutta
 14. Muhammad Saeed Khan & M.Asghar Khan
 15. Rustam Khan Kundi
 16. Gul Tiaz Khan
 17. Zahid Muhibullah
 18. Khalil-ur-Rehman Hissam
 19. Fazal-ur-Rehman Baloch
 20. Javed Iqbal
 21. Yasir Zakria Baloch
 22. Allah Nawaz, Advocates
- Advocates from S.No.2 to 22 for the remaining appellants.
Mr.Sher Afgan Khattak, AAG.

.. For respondents

Anwar
M.ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

ATTORNEY GENERAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Mr. Qalandar Ali Khan
Syed Manzoor Ali Shah

Chairman
Member

JUDGMENT

QALANDAR ALI KHAN, CHAIRMAN:- This single judgment is also directed to dispose of the appeals mentioned in the list appended herewith, as common questions of law and facts are involved in all the appeals.

2. In the Daily 'Mashriq' Peshawar dated 7th April 2007, a publication/ advertisement appeared from the Executive District Officer (EDO), E&SE, D.I.Khan, inviting applications for unspecified posts, both male and female of C.I. Drawing Masters (D.M); Physical Education Teachers (PET), Arabic Teachers (A.T), Islamiyat (Theology) Teachers (TT), Qari; and Primary School Teachers (PST) by 20.4.2007, and alongwith other conditions for selection of the candidates, the minimum qualification for the posts, dates of test and interview as well as places/venues of interview were also mentioned. The record would show that a large number of applications were received. Test and interview were also conducted for the said posts, resulting in appointments not only against the above mentioned posts but also against other posts like Junior Clerks, Lab Assistants and Assistant Store Keeper (M) in the year 2007. However, in the year 2008, a local Member of the Provincial Assembly, raised question No.31 regarding recruitment/appointments made in the Education Department of District D.I.Khan by the EDO D.I.Khan, which was referred to Standing Committee No.26 for Elementary & Secondary Education, by the Provincial Assembly. The Standing Committee deliberated upon the issue, during which the Committee was informed that inquiries had also been conducted into appointments in Education Department of District D.I.Khan and Inquiry Committee/Inquiry Officers have made recommendations for appropriate legal/departmental action. After deliberations, the Standing Committee recommended

ATTESTED

Secretary
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Commission
Peshawar

Anwar
M. ANWAR AWAN
S.D. Scale

that within one month the department should cancel appointment orders of those persons who were illegally appointed during the period between 1st January 2007 and June 2008 and also take stern disciplinary action against officers/officials found involved in illegal appointments. The record further shows that a Writ Petition was lodged in the High Court Bench D.I.Khan, which was accepted and an Hon'ble Bench of the Peshawar High Court D.I.Khan Bench directed the department to act upon the inquiry report dated 05.01.2009 positively within two months from 11.6.2009, where upon the District Coordination Officer (DCO) D.I.Khan passed office order dated 4.9.2009 thereby implementing the decision of the Standing Committee No.26, order of the Peshawar High Court D.I.Khan Bench dated 11.6.2009 and order of the Chief Minister NWFP (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa) contained in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department letter dated 26/8/2009, and terminated services of all the 'illegally/irregularly' appointed teachers, detail of which was given in Annexure to the office order. This office order of the DCO D.I.Khan was followed by a letter dated 7.5.2010 from the EDO(E&SE) D.I.Khan to all concerned for implementation of termination orders issued by the DCO on 4.9.2009, and also a corrigendum on 20.5.2010 thereby terminating all the personnel appointed from January 2007 to 30th June 2008 except 131 (F)PST, 309 (M) PST + deceased son quota, disabled quota and minority quota in the light of decision of the Peshawar High Court, D.I.Khan Bench. It is against the said order of DCO D.I.Khan that the appellant in the instant appeal as well as appellants in the connected appeals, listed in the enclosed list, first preferred departmental appeals and then lodged these appeals. In the meantime, some of the appellants had also approached Peshawar High Court, D.I.Khan Bench and had filed Writ Petitions which were returned to the petitioners for presentation to the proper forum (KPK Service Tribunal) if they so desire, vide order dated 29.4.2010. The petitioners moved the august Supreme Court of Pakistan where from the petitions were withdrawn and consequently dismissed by a Hon'ble Bench of

ATTACHED
 Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
 Service Tribunal
 Peshawar

Anwar
M. ANWAR AWAN
 Advocate

august Supreme Court of Pakistan vide order dated 28.6.2010 with the observation that if the petitioners approached proper forum for redressal of their grievances, the question of limitation be considered sympathetically if so raised. There-after, the appellants started lodging these appeals one by one, inter-alia, on the grounds that the impugned order dated 4.9.2009 was void, illegal and without jurisdiction because DCO D.I.Khan was not competent to terminate the services of officials in BPS-1 to BPS-10; that the DCO did not apply his independent mind and just acted upon the direction of Chief Minister and recommendation of a politically constituted standing Committee; that before passing the impugned order, legal requirements were not fulfilled and the appellants were terminated from service without any charge sheet and/or show cause notice; that no chance of personal hearing was afforded to the appellants before passing the impugned order, hence they were condemned unheard; that even during the course of successive inquiry proceedings, the appellants were not associated to justify their respective position and thus the entire proceedings were conducted ex-parte; and that if there was any fault or lapse on the part of the department in the selection process, the appellants should not have been punished for the same.

3. It may be mentioned here that quite a number of affectees of the impugned termination order had also approached this Tribunal in the year 2009 and vide order dated 10.2.2009, this Tribunal had disposed of around 49 appeals with direction to the Secretary to Government of NWFP (S&L) to constitute a committee of experts of his department and, if need be, of the Establishment Department and Finance Department, to consider the cases of all the appellants named in the order as well as cases of all similarly placed persons, and decision regarding the same be given at the level of the competent authority, so that the parties are saved from unnecessary litigation, i.e. the interest of justice, and in the interest of public work. It was expected that such a committee would be in a position to finalize its findings, and the competent authority may be in a position


ATTESTED
 Khushf Pervez
 Services Tribunal
 Peshawar


Anwar
 M.ANWAR AWAN
 Advocate

to grant a decision in these cases, within a period of three months from the date of delivery of the order. The said order was not implemented within the specified time. therefore, implementation petitions were lodged, wherein directions were accordingly issued to the department for implementation of the order. following which, a committee comprising a Chairman and three other Members was constituted, which conducted its proceedings and submitted its report, which has been kept in the office record, while a copy of report/findings/recommendations has been placed on this file. The Scrutiny Committee concluded that appointments of all the appellants, except that of Shahana Niazi D/o Ghulam Sadiq (Service Appeal No.2177/2010), were illegal and irregular. The report/findings/recommendations of the Scrutiny Committee reveals appointments of more than two thousand teachers of various categories against following 1390 sanctioned posts:-

PST	961
AT	61
TT	59
Qari	50
CT	171
DM	43
PET	45
<u>Total</u>	<u>1390</u>

4. The respondents defended the impugned termination order and resisted the appeals on several legal and factual grounds including the one that the services of a civil servant can be terminated without notice during the initial or extended period of his probation under section 11(i) of the NWFP (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa) Civil Servants Act, 1973. They alleged, in their written reply/comments, that the appellants were neither eligible/qualified for the posts, nor requisite codal formalities for appointment were observed, hence the appointments were illegal and fake. They contended that more than one inquiries were conducted and the matter was taken up in the Provincial Assembly and that it was recommended as a result of inquiries as well as by the Standing

ATTESTED



MANWAR
 Advocate

Committee, recommendations of which were unanimously adopted by the Provincial Assembly, to terminate the services of all persons illegally appointed. They maintained that all the appointments were found illegal and in violation of recruitment policy except 309 (M) and 131 (F) PST. They concluded that the decisions of the Inquiry Committees and recommendations of the Standing Committee, adopted unanimously by the Provincial Assembly, were also confirmed by the Chief Minister as well as by the Peshawar High Court D.I.Khan Bench, which were followed by the DCO by terminating the services of all those persons who were illegally/irregularly appointed and that the order of DCO was also followed by corrigendum issued by the EDO.

5. Arguments of the learned counsel for the appellants and learned AAG heard, and record perused.

6. The main thrust of the arguments of the learned counsel for the appellants was against the impugned order dated 4.9.2009 of the DCO D.I.Khan, which was a general order in all the cases of 'illegal/irregular' appointments. The objections to the impugned order were two-fold. Firstly, the order was general in nature on the direction/recommendation of the Standing Committee of the Provincial Assembly without application of mind to each and every case, and thereby services of around 1613 male and female teachers of various categories were terminated with one stroke of pen; and, secondly, the order was passed by the DCO D.I.Khan who was not appointing authority for employees in BPS-1 to BPS-10, and thus not competent to dispense with their services. The learned counsel further laid stress on the non-observance of codal formalities essentially required for termination of services of civil servants, like service of charge sheet and/or show cause notice and providing them opportunity of defence and hearing. They also alleged non-association of appellants in the inquiry proceedings conducted in the matter. The learned counsel contended that the appellants were appointed after qualifying test and interview for the posts conducted in pursuance of

ATTESTED
 Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
 School Education
 Peshawar

Anwar
M. ANWAR AWAN
 Associate

advertisement/publication made in the newspaper by the department/authority and after their applications for the posts were found in order by the department. They maintained that the appellants had joined service and performed their duty without any complaint about their performance from the quarter concerned.

7. The learned AAG assisted by the representatives of the department vehemently contested claim of the appellants/counsel for the appellants and argued that the appointments were made without first obtaining proper sanction of the posts, without advertisement, and without observance of the codal formalities including test and interview, preparation of merit list, and its approval by the competent authority. It was argued on behalf of the department that some of the appointments were made even before advertisement, without specifying the posts against which the appointments were being made and without checking whether the educational qualification of the candidates fulfilled the academic requirements for the posts. It was pointed out that all 440 PSTs appointed on merits and after observance of codal formalities were retained, while the rest appointed 'illegally/irregularly' were terminated as a result of more than one inquiries, recommendation of the Standing Committee, and orders of the Chief Minister as well as Peshawar High Court, D.I.Khan Bench. It was alleged on behalf of the department that the competent authority i.e. EDO D.I.Khan not only endorsed the impugned order of DCO D.I.Khan dated 4.9.2009 but also issued a follow up letter dated 7.5.2010 and corrigendum on 20.5.2010. They further pointed out that none of the appellants was in possession of proper documents showing his eligibility for the post, and also proper appointment order against the post. They concluded that the appointments of the appellants have been found by various legal and constitutional forums as illegal/irregular, besides fake in most of the cases.

ATTESTED

EX-10
Khyber
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

Anwa
M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

8. From whatever has been narrated above, as well as from perusal of the record, the following points emerge which are critically important for determination of fate of these appeals:-

(a) The services of the appellants, appointed in 2007, were dispensed with vide a general order of the DCO D.I.Khan dated 4.9.2009, against which some of them preferred departmental appeals and then lodged appeals in the Tribunal, which were disposed of vide order dated 10.2.2009, while the rest moved the Peshawar High Court D.I.Khan Bench in writ jurisdiction, but their writ petitions were returned to them for presentation to the proper forum vide judgment/order dated 29.4.2010, against which petitions were moved in the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, which were dismissed as withdrawn with the observation that if the petitioners/appellants approached the appropriate forum for redressal of their grievances, the question of limitation be considered sympathetically if so raised. Not only that the question of limitation has not been raised so vehemently by the department, the appellants have also been vigilantly pursuing their case, albeit in the wrong forum, therefore, the appeals lodged in the Tribunal after disposal of their petitions by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan cannot be held as time-barred, especially when the august Supreme Court of Pakistan directed for sympathetic consideration of the question of limitation, together with certain facts of the case warranting interference by the Tribunal. Besides, the impugned order has been issued by the DCO D.I.Khan who was not appointing authority of civil servants in BPS-1 to BPS-10, and, as

ATTESTED
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Peshawar

M. Anwar Awan
M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

such, the impugned order would be deemed to be an order by an authority not competent to issue the order, and, as such, void; and no limitation would run against such order (2007 SCMR 262 (g) and PLJ 2005 SC 709 (Appellate Jurisdiction)).

(b) The posts of Junior Clerks, Lab.Assistants and Assistant Store Keeper (M) were never advertised, and, as such, no codal formalities were observed for appointment of 14 Junior Clerks, 03 Lab.Assistants and one Assistant Store Keeper. Their appointments were, therefore, aptly termed as illegal/irregular, and, consequently, their services have rightly been terminated, as appointments secured through illegal/irregular orders would be void ab-initio and would not confer any right on the holders of such appointment orders. Their appeals also deserve to be dismissed on this score.

After/painstaking exercise in pursuance of the order dated 20.01.2011 in one of the implementation/execution petitions, for which the then Secretary Education, Mr.Muhammad Arifeen Khan, and his team genuinely deserve commendation, the Scrutiny Committee prepared a detailed report, stretching over hundreds of pages, wherein they held only the appointment of PST Shahana Niazi D/o Ghulam Sadiq (Service Appeal No.2177/10) according to the prescribed procedure, as her name also appeared in the merit list, and recommended her reinstatement into service. The respondent-department also did not contest her appeal in the manner they contested appeals of other appellants. Therefore, her appeal deserves to be accepted.

ATTESTED
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

M. Anwar Awam
M.ANWAR AWAM
Advocate

(d) Regarding the remaining cases, the respondents have resisted the appeals on the grounds that neither the posts on which appointments of the appellants were made were sanctioned before advertisement, nor the appellants qualified or were eligible for the posts, and codal formalities like test and interview, preparation of merit list and approval of competent authority were not observed; but these assertions of the respondents are belied by the available record as well as some documents produced by the appellants/ counsel for the appellants alongwith a joint affidavit by Muhammad Ayub Khan, SET GHS Panyala and Abdullah TF GHS Panyala who performed duty during test and interview of the appellants on 24th, 25th and 26th April 2007, during the course of arguments, showing constitution of committees for conducting test and interview, preparation of merit list after test and interview, besides revealing some cases in which the candidates other than those claimed by the respondents to have been appointed on merit secured more marks than the latter. So far sanction prior to advertisement/publication is concerned, it was duty of the authority to secure the requisite sanction prior to advertising/publicizing the posts for inviting applications, and the appellants can, by no stretch of imagination, be held responsible for any fault/lapse in this respect on the part of the authority i.e. EDO D.I.Khan. Notwithstanding the fact that appellants have placed on file verification of the certificates/testimonials of some of the appellants by the respondent-department, even if some irregularity was found in the appointments, the appellants/appointees should

ATTESTED
 [Signature]
 [Stamp]

[Signature]
 M. ANWAR AWAN
 Advocate

not be made to suffer for such lapses on the part of the appointing authority (1996 SCMR 411 (Supreme Court of Pakistan), 2004 SCMR 303 (Supreme Court of Pakistan), 2006 SCMR 678 (Supreme Court of Pakistan), PLJ 2006 SC 81 (Appellate Jurisdiction), PLJ 2011 Lahore 736 (Multan Bench Multan), and last but not the least 2011 SCMR 1581 (Supreme Court of Pakistan).

- (e) It is a matter of record that not in a single inquiry out of so many inquiries by the department, the then EDO D.I.Khan has been confronted with his signatures on appointment letters, so conveniently termed by the respondent-department as bogus and fake. When the 'authority' has never and no-where disowned his signatures on such appointment letters, how the same can be held as bogus and fake. No-doubt, the record shows departmental proceedings against the then EDO, and major penalty of compulsory retirement has been imposed upon him, but only after causing colossal loss to the national exchequer, for which he must be made accountable and also made to make good the loss so caused to the public money, and also landing hundreds of jobless persons in deep trouble by forcing them to engage in protracted litigation, during which they have not only been robbed of whatever money was left with them after securing the jobs; while he himself enjoying post retirement life with all perks and privileges. In view of implications/consequences of the acts on the part of the then EDO D.I.Khan, the penalty imposed on him does not appear commensurate with the gravity of his guilt, but since that matter is

ATTESTED
 M.A.
 M. Anwar Awan
 Advocate
 Rhythm
 Services
 Pakistan

Anwar
M.ANWAR AWAN
 Advocate

not before us, we would stop short of making any order with respect to the departmental proceedings against him, but would, indeed, direct the respondent-department to recover the pay/salary paid to the illegally/irregularly appointed persons from the pension etc. of the then EDO instead of burdening the public exchequer for illegal/irregular acts on the part of the then EDO D.I.Khan.

(f) No-doubt, an illegal/irregular and an order void ab-initio would not confer a right on the holder of such order, but an order passed by a competent authority in the discharge of his duty after observance of codal formalities does confer right on the holder of such order to be heard in support of order in his favour and his case decided on merit instead of a general order on the direction of some outside authority. If authorities are needed, one can readily refer to a number of cases including cases reported as 1995 PLC(C.S) 419 (Lahore High Court), 2005 SCMR 1814 (Supreme Court of Pakistan), 2006 PLC (C.S) 1140(Northern Areas Chief Court), 2005 SCMR 85 (Supreme Court of Pakistan), 1987 PLC (C.S) 868 (b), 2007 SCMR 330 (Supreme Court of Pakistan), 2008 PLC (C.S) 582 (Northern Areas Chief Court), and 2007 MLD 703 (Lahore). Undoubtedly, notices were not issued to the appellants prior to the impugned order by the DCO D.I.Khan, and they were never provided opportunity of hearing either by the 'authority' prior to passing of the impugned order or during inquiry/ scrutiny proceedings by several committees during the pre and post period of impugned order. As such, the principle of audi-alteram partem was violated at all levels and at all stages, rendering the impugned

ATTESTED
M. Anwar Awan
Advocate

Anwar

M.ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

order void and invalid, in respect of those who were found eligible for the posts after observance of cedal formalities.

(g) There is no dispute that in the case of appointments, in BPS-1 to BPS-10, the appointing authority, in view of notification of the Provincial Government dated 7th October 2005, was EDO and thus also competent authority for disciplinary matters, whereas the District Coordination Officer was appointing authority for officials in BPS-11 to 15; therefore, the impugned order in respect of the appellants issued by the DCO D.I.Khan was an order by an incompetent authority and not sustainable in law as held in cases reported as 1983 PLC (C.S) 354 (Service Tribunal Punjab), 2001 PLC (C.S) 1097, 2008 PLC (C.S) 949 (Lahore High Court) and 1985 PLC (C.S) 1002. The contention of the respondents was that the competent authority i.e. EDO D.I.Khan not only endorsed the impugned order issued by the DCO D.I.Khan and issued a letter for implementation of termination order but also issued corrigendum thereby terminating the services of the appellants. Apart from the fact that endorsement of the order of an incompetent authority by the competent authority and follow up letter by him would not validate a void order issued by an incompetent authority, the corrigendum issued after more than 8 months of the impugned order would also not serve any useful purpose in view of PLD 2000 SC 104, as after issuance of termination order the department had become functus-officio.

(h) It was urged on behalf of the respondents that recommendations of the Standing Committee of the Provincial Assembly assumed legal

ATTESTED
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Anwar
M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

20

status following judgment/order dated 11.6.2009 of the Peshawar High Court, D.I.Khan Bench, whereby a clear direction was issued to act upon the inquiry report, but they lost sight of the fact that no direction of any authority could absolve the departmental authority from following the law/rules on the subject and fulfill necessary legal requirements before passing the impugned order.

9. As a sequel to the foregoing-discussion, we would make the following order:-

- (i) All the appeals of Junior Clerks, Lab. Assistants and Assistant Store Keeper(M) are dismissed with costs, being devoid of merit.
- (ii) The appeal of Ms.Shahana Niazi (Service Appeal No. 2177/10) is accepted, and by setting aside the impugned order, she is reinstated in service with consequential/back benefits.
- (iii) The appeals of the rest of the appellants including PSTs(M&F), CTs(M&F), PETs(M&F), DMs(M&F), ATs(M&F), TTs(M&F) and Qaris (M&F) are also accepted and impugned termination order in their cases set aside, but instead of their outright reinstatement, their cases are remanded/sent back to the Secretary, Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Peshawar (Respondent No.1) for reconsideration of the cases in the light of above observations for reinstatement of the qualified appellants and a speaking order in respect of those who are not found qualified, by the competent authority, after affording opportunity of hearing to the said appellants through an efficient and fair mechanism to be evolved for the purpose by him so as to ensure compliance with the mandatory legal requirements on the one hand

ATTESTED
Khan
Secretary

Shwan
Secretary


and integrity of the proceedings on the other hand. Since the matter has already been delayed inordinately, it is expected that the proposed exercise should not take more than three months, whereafter a progress report be submitted to the Registrar of the Tribunal.

- (iv) The respondent-department should also look into claim of those appellants who have alleged performance of duty for considerable time after their appointment, and if they are found to have actually performed duty for certain period, and, as such, entitled to pay/salary for the period of the duty, legal procedure should be adopted for recovery of their claims from the then EDO D.I.Khan who has already been held responsible for appointments in question as a consequence of departmental proceedings against him.

ANNOUNCED
27.10.2011 (S)

sd/- Dalanda Ali' Khan
Chairman
sd/- Syed Manzoor Ali' Shah
Member

Certified to be true copy



Date of Presentation of Application 15.1.2014
 Number of Pkts. 6000
 Copying Fee 32
 Urgent 2
 Total 34
 Name of Copy [Signature]
 Date of Copy 15.1.2014
 Date of Printing of Copy 15.1.2014

Anwar
M.ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

T.T

درخواست برائے آسامی

D-22

اپیل نمبر 1727/10 امیدوار نمبر

سرٹ لسٹ نمبر

نام امیدوار نوشین حفیظ ولدیت حفیظ اللہ خان

امیدوار کاشانی کارڈ نمبر

ذوبیناں ڈیو نمبر 10/10/10

کافیوں میں بچہ جموں (جہاں لائین ٹوٹل نامہ اعظم تحصیل برہو 10/10/10

تاریخ پیدائش: 1982-04-01

پیشہ: چوک محمد رفیقانی ڈرگ کی نر نامہ اعظم تحصیل برہو ضلع ڈیرہ اسماعیل خان

فون نمبر 3449891173

تعمیری قابلیت

نمبر	امتحان نام	امتحان پان	رول نمبر	کل نمبر	حاصل کردہ نمبر	اورڈر نوٹوشی	فیصد
	SSC	2007	4049	1050	616	ڈیو نمبر 10/10/10	
	FAIF.Sc	2009	22585	1100	525	11	
	BNB.Sc	20013	422	550	251	کوٹلہ لوہانوالی	
	MAM.Sc	-	-	-	-	-	
	PTC	-	-	-	-	-	

PST تقرری پالیسی: یونین کونسل واٹرز 50% اور ڈسٹرکٹ واٹرز میرٹ 40%

تاریخ تقرری: 10/11/2007
10/11/2007
امتحان آرڈر پارٹی آرڈر فیڈبک: ہوتی یا منتقلی

پتہ: جی ایم ایس ٹریڈ ملی بینک اکاؤنٹ اینڈ بیسٹ بینک پارٹنر

دستخط امیدوار

آفسیئر

MANWAR MAN Advocate

E-23

Signature

(20)

MOST IMMEDIATE / COURT CASE.

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

NO.50 (Lit) E&SED/1-3/2011
Dated Peshawar, the 26-01-2012.

To

The Executive District Officer,
Elementary & Secondary Education
D. I. Khan.

Subject: -

INQUIRY REPORT REGARDING THE KHYBER
PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL JUDGMENT DATED 27-
10-2011 ON SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1407/ 2010 AND OTHER
CONNECTED APPEALS ON ILLEGAL/ IRREGULAR
APPOINTMENTS IN DISTRICT D.I.KHAN.

I am directed to refer to the subject noted above and to enclose herewith a copy of the Inquiry report regarding the judgment dated: 27-10-2011 in Service Appeal No. 1407/ 2010 and other connected appeals on illegal/ irregular appointments in District D.I.Khan for necessary action and strict compliance in letter and spirit under intimation to this Department and all other concerned.

Enclosure: (As above)

Endst: of even No. & date.

SECTION OFFICER (LITIGATION)

Copy is forwarded for information to:-

1. Registrar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.
2. Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
3. D.C.O. D.I.Khan.
4. P.S to Secretary E&SE Department.

SECTION OFFICER (LITIGATION)

Amir
26-01-2012



ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT

REPORT/FINDINGS/RECOMMENDATIONS/
OF THE COMMITTEE WITH REFERENCE TO SECRETARY TO
GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA ELEMENTARY AND
SECONDARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION NO SO
LITIGATION/E&SE/1-3/2011 /D.I.KHAN DATED 29.11.2011 IN
PURSUANCE OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL
ORDER DATED 27.10.2011 ON SERVICE APPEAL NO 1407/2010
AND OTHER CONNECTED APPEALS.

INTRODUCTION:

In pursuance of the judgment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Services Tribunal dated 27.10.2011 in Service Appeal No 1407/2010 Abdus Salam versus Province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education and the others connected Service appeals, (Annexure-A), and judgment dated 16.12.2011 in Service Appeal No 3052/2010 Lubna Sadia versus Province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education, Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa constituted a Committee vide Notification No SO Litigation/E&SE/1-3/2011 /D.I.Khan dated 29.11.2011 comprising of the following for reconsideration of the cases in light of the judgment of the Honourable Services Tribunal vide (Annexure-B)

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Secretary) E&SE Department | (Chairman). |
| 2. Director (E&SE) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar | (Member). |
| 3. Abdul Wali Khan Dy Director (E&SE) | (Member). |
| 4. Ghulam Qasim EDO (E&SE) Tank. | (Member). |
| 5. Feroz Hussain Shah EDO (E&SE) DI Khan | (Member). |
| 6. Mushraf Ali AD (F&A) E&SE | (Member). |

TOR:

- To examine the appeals of the rest of the appellants including PST(M&F), CT(M&F), PET(M&F), DM(M&F), AT(M&F), IT(M&F) and Qaris (M&F) in the light of the judgment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Services Tribunal dated 27.10.2011 in Service Appeal No 1407/2010 and the others connected Service appeals.
- To examine, scrutinize the record of local office D.I.Khan regarding the appointments in the year 2007-08.

Anwar
M.ANWAR AWAN
Associate

- To frame efficient and fair mechanism and procedure to find out the qualified appellants according to the observations in the aforesaid judgment and implement.
- To ensure compliance with the mandatory legal requirements on the one hand and integrity of the proceedings on the other.
- To consider the claim of those appellants who have alleged performance of duty for considerable time after their appointment as directed by the Honourable Services Tribunal.
- To provide an opportunity of hearing to the appellants.
- To provide an opportunity to all concerned to produce record, documents etc in support of their claims and possible remedy.
- To act in accordance with rules, Law and Policy prevailing in 2007-08 regarding the recruitment of the above said posts.
- To fulfill the given task within the period as expected and proposed by the Honourable Service Tribunal in his judgment dated 27.10.2011.
- To consign the record in the safe custody of the competent authority.
- To pass on evidence, both documentary and verble, record and analysis conclude findings and make recommendations as way forward so as to ensure equity and justice.

BRIEF HISTORY OF THE CASE:

In District DI Khan reportedly illegal and irregular appointments were made in Elementary and Secondary department at a large-scale during the period from 01.01.2007 to 30.06.2008. The matter was highlighted by one Honourable member of Provincial Assembly through Assembly Question No.31. The Provincial Assembly declared the same appointments as illegal and irregular and politically motivated which was debated in the house on 19.08.2008 and was referred to Standing Committee No.26 for scrutiny. The Committee finalized its report/recommendations and presented to the Assembly on 12.01.2009, which was adopted on the same day. In order to implement the decision of the Standing Committee, duly adopted by the Provincial Assembly. The Elementary and Secondary Education Department constituted the following three Committees to check the appointments record of the appointments made by Ex-Executive District Officer (E&SE) DI Khan for the period from 01.01.2007 to 30.06.2008 in pursuance of the Minutes of the meeting held on 13.01.2009 of the Standing Committee No. 26 of Provincial Assembly vide Notification No. SO (AB) E&SED/4-4/Enq/DIK/2009 dated 01-01-2009.

Committee No.1 for scrutiny of Illegal/Irregular appointments of KPOs /PSTs /Lab Assistants /Junior Clerks/ Assistant Store Keepers and Class-IV Male and Female.

Committee No.2 for scrutiny of Illegal/Irregular appointments of CT, DM, PET Male and Female.

Committee No.3 for scrutiny of Illegal/Irregular appointments of Arabic Teacher, Theology Teacher and Qari Male and Female.

The enquiry Reports were submitted to the Standing Committee on 08.01.2009. The Standing Committee recommended to the department to terminate the

M. Anwar Awan
M. ANWAR AWAN
Associate

2. Their appointments are illegal and irregular in term of prescribed method of recruitment.
3. The Executive District Officer (E&SE) D I Khan issued individual separate appointment orders to each and every appellant. NWFP (now Khyber Pakhtunkhwa) Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer Rules 1989 is violated.
4. Decision of the Standing Committee No.26 duly adopted by the Provincial Assembly was implemented. A high Level Enquiry was conducted in their cases. Peshawar High Court D I Khan Bench has also directed for the implementation of the decision of the Standing Committee No.26. Proper procedure was adopted for their termination but they were not terminated by competent authority ,which was Executive District Officer Elementary and Secondary Education D I Khan but by the DCO D I Khan, thus irregular, The Honourable Serevice Tribunal set aside the trmination order on technical ground and their cases remanded back to Secretary .
5. The appointments of the appellants were illegal and irregular under Rule 10(2) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa civil servant (Appointment Promotion and Transfer Rules 1989 which is reproduced below.
 "Initial recruitment to the posts which do not fall within the purview of the commission shall be made on the recommendations of the departmental Selection Committee after the vacancies have been advertised in the newspapers".Non observance of Rule 10(2) of APT rules 1939 rendered the whole process illegal.
6. Appeals being merit less deserve to be dismissed

35 TT (I) CATEGORY III

1. 12 TT posts were sanctioned on 29.09.2007 by the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance department vide No BOV/FD/2-38/2006-07 dated 29.09.2007 (Annexure-H) against which the following 21 candidates were appointed as TT within 2 days of its sanction with out advertisement and observing recruitment process, Merit list, DSC and other procedure.

S. No.	Appellant No./10	Name of appellant	Spouse Name	Name of School	Admission No.	Date	Roll No.	Examination	Name of Institution
1	1698/10	Amna Hareem	Shoukat Ali Jan	GGHS No.9 Dinpur DIKhan	21513-17	01.10.2010	30.10.2009	Shahadat ul Almia	Jamiatul Aloom Alislamiya Lakki
2	1727/10	Nosheen Faiz	Faiz Ullah	GGHS No.4 DIKhan	20110-14	01.10.2007	30.04.2010	Shahadat ul Almia	Darul Aloom Mahmoodia Azeem Abad Bannu
3	1956/10	Suraya Bibi	Syed Nazar Hussain Shah	GGMS Nizam Abad (Kachi Kath Garh)	20355-59	01.10.2007	30.04.2010	Shahadat ul Almia	Jamiatul Muntozir Lahore
4	2173/10	Madeeha Batool	Malik Qadir Bakhsh	GGHS Ramak/GG IIS Paroa	20370-71	01.10.2007	30.04.2010	Shahadat ul Alia	Jamia Darul Aloom Islamia Lakki Marwat
5	2175/10	Muzayen Batool	Malik Qadir	GGHSS No.2 DIKhan/GG	21823-27	01.10.2007	30.04.2010	Sanad ul Faragh	Jamiatul Aloom Alislamiya Lakki

Anwar
M.ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

departmental Selection Committee after the vacancies have been advertised in the newspapers"

6. In case of appointment of the applicants the vacancies were not advertised and Departmental Selection Committee has not recommended the applicants for the appointment.
7. Appeals being merit less deserve to be dismissed on the analogy of the decision of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Services Tribunal decision under Para-9(i) read with Para-8(b).

RECOMMENDATIONS:


- The Committee heard personally and scrutinized the record and appeals of terminated PST (M&F), CT (M&F), DM (M&F), PET (M&F), AT (M&F), TT (M&F), Qari (M&F) teachers/officials lying in the office of the Executive District Officer (E&SE) D. I. Khan on case to case basis in accordance with Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Services Tribunal order dated 27.10.2011, and segregated / check/scrutinize their cases on the basis of different categories of Teachers/officials from 19.12.2011 to 24.12.2011, All the appointments of the appellants against the posts of PST (M&F), CT (M&F), DM (M&F), PET (M&F), AT (M&F), TT (M&F), Qari (M&F) appended under various categories from S.No 1 to 41 have been made without observing codel formalities/procedure, Government Policy and Merit and in violation of Rule 10(2) of the NWFP (now Khyber Pakhtunkhwa) Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer Rules 1989). The appointments of the appellants are declared illegal and irregular. Cases being merit less deserves to be terminated. The following steps are recommended to be taken.
- a. Executive District Officer Elementary and Secondary Education D I Khan is required to issue proper termination orders of the above appellants and similar cases listed above under various categories PST (M&F), CT (M&F), DM (M&F), PET (M&F), AT (M&F), TT (M&F), Qari (M&F) teachers in the findings from S.No.1-41 excepts those who were working on lower posts and were appointed on higher posts in other categories, they may be reversed to their original posts.
 - b. Executive District Officer Elementary and Secondary Education D I Khan is further required to release/activate the pay of those PST Male who were appointed on merit included in the joint appointment order of 309 candidates dated 02.07.2007 and PST Female who were appointed on merit included in the joint appointment order of 131 candidates dated 02.07.2007. (Annexure- E-1),
 - c. Executive District Officer Elementary and Secondary Education D I Khan is required to advertise the vacant posts immediately and complete the recruitment process before 15 March, 2012 and the terminated teachers may be provide opportunity to compete if otherwise they have the qualification required for the post and further they may be awarded extra 2 marks per year of span of service rendered if they actually performed duty after appointment.
 - d. District Coordination Officer D I Khan is required to recover the claim of appellants who have alleged performance of duty for the considerable

Anwar
M. ANWAR AWAN
 Advocate

time after their appointment and they have actually performed duty for certain period to be calculated by Executive District Officer Elementary and Secondary Education D I Khan through legal procedure in accordance with Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Services Tribunal order dated 27.10.2011.

- Syed Feroz Hussain Shah*
1. Syed Feroz Hussain Shah
Executive District Officer
E & S E D I Khan (Member)
 2. Ghulam Qasim
Executive District Officer
E & S E Tank (Member)
 3. A - Wali Khan
Dy Director E&SE
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
(Member)
 4. (Muhammad Rafiq Khattak)
Director,
Elementary and Secondary Education
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
(Member)
 5. Muhammad Mushtaq Jadoon)
Secretary
Elementary and Secondary Education
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
(Chairman)

Anwar
M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate



S.No.of Order or proceedings	Date of Order or proceeding	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
	<p>14.03.2012</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Execution Petition No.34/12</u></p> <p><u>Muhammad Hassan Khan etc..... (Petitioners)</u> <u>Versus</u> <u>Secretary, E&SE Department, KPK,etc. (Respondents).</u></p> <p>Counsel for the petitioners. Mr.Muhammad Rafique-Khattak, Director, Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and Syed Feroz Hussain-Shah, EDO(E&SE) D.I.Khan in person alongwith Mashal Khan, L.O and Muhammad Nawaz, ADO on behalf of the respondents with AAG present. The respondents have already provided implementation report, which has been perused in the light of judgment of the Tribunal. The implementation report would show that after providing opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and appellants in the connected appeals, and scrutinizing record on case to case basis, the Committee made certain recommendations including issuance of termination/removal orders of those found illegally appointed and reversion to lower posts of those who were appointed on higher posts in other categories, and also releasing/activating pay of those PSTs (Male) and (Female) who were found validly appointed on merit. The Director (E&SE) KPK and EDO (E&SE) D.I.Khan stated at the Bar that they have already implemented recommendations of the Committee and issued the orders/letters accordingly, in accordance with the recommendations and letter and spirit of the judgment of the Tribunal dated 27.10.2011 in Service Appeal No. 1407/2010 titled 'Abdul Salam-vs-Province of KPK through Secretary, Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar etc.'. Be that as it may, the fact remains that in accordance with the afore-</p>

AT PESHAWAR
 14/03/2012
 M. Anwar

Anwar
M.ANWAR AWAN
 Advocate

mentioned judgment of the Tribunal, the respondent-department not only widely publicized hearing of cases of the petitioners and other appellants through publication in the newspapers but have also prepared list of those who appeared before the Committee in response to the publication and obtained their signatures on the list. The learned counsel for the petitioners also confirmed holding of meeting by the Committee at D.I.Khan and participation of the petitioners and other connected persons in the proceedings of the Committee. The implementation report also shows that each and every case has been examined by the Committee after providing opportunity of hearing to the petitioners/appellants, and in pursuance of such proceedings, recommendations have been made by the Committee which are being implemented by the respondent-department. In short, in accordance with the judgment dated 27.10.2011 of the Tribunal, the Secretary, E&SE, KPK, Peshawar (Respondent No.1) constituted a Committee, headed by him, and comprising five other officers of the Education Department including Director, E&SE, KPK, Peshawar and EDO(E&SE) D.I.Khan, conducted proceedings at D.I.Khan after widely publicizing the same through newspapers and thereby ensuring participation of the petitioners and other appellants and providing opportunity of hearing to them and also scrutinizing cases of the petitioners and other appellants on case to case basis and thereafter making certain recommendations which are being implemented through issuance of appropriate orders. As such, the judgment of the Tribunal stands implemented in its letter and spirit.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
M. ANWAR AWAN
 ADDL. SECRETARY

The learned counsel for the petitioners, however, raised

objections regarding the proceedings conducted by the Committee; but he was unable to augment his contentions in this regard with support of law: as fresh orders in pursuance of the proceedings and recommendations of the Committee would accrue a fresh cause of action for appeal or any other remedy prescribed by the law, which is, certainly, beyond the scope of the implementation/execution proceedings. The misgivings, probably, emanate from lack of knowledge about proceedings of the Committee, which have been provided to the Tribunal in the shape of a book, but not available either with the counsel for the petitioners or petitioners and other appellants. Therefore, the respondents are directed to place the report on the website of the department so that the petitioners, appellants and all concerned should get knowledge of the proceedings and recommendations and chalk out future course of action in accordance with law. The respondents are further directed to ensure compliance with the recommendations of the Committee forthwith, without further wastage of time, so that the aggrieved persons can seek remedy available to them under the law.

In view of the above, the implementation/execution petition is disposed of as having served the purpose. File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED

14.03.2012 Date of Presentation of Petition

MEMBER

CHAIRMAN

Name of Petitioner: 1200
 Copying: 8-00
 Total: 8-00
 Name of Counsel: 3
 Date of Hearing: 20-3-12
 Date of Delivery: 20-3-12

Certified to be true copy

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
 Service Tribunal
 Peshawar

M. ANWAR AWAN
 Advocate

G-32

بخدمت جناب ڈسٹرکٹ ایجوکیشن آفیسر (مردانہ) ڈیرہ اسماعیل خان

عنوان:- ٹرینیشن لیٹر اجراء

جناب عالی!

گزارش ہے کہ درخواست گزار محکمہ تعلیم میں آرڈر نمبر ۱۴-۱۱۰ مورخہ ۲۰۰۷-۱۵-۱ کے تحت بطور T.T تعینات ہوا تھا۔ بد قسمتی سے درخواست گزار کو ڈی سی اے نے سیاسی گورنمنٹ کی سفارشات پر مورخہ 04-09-2009 کو ٹرینیشن کر دیا۔ جسکے خلاف درخواست گزار نے سرس ٹریبونل میں سرس اپیل مورخہ 1727/2010 دائر کی جس پر سرس ٹریبونل نے مورخہ 27-10-2011 کو درخواست گزار کی اپیل منظور کرتے ہوئے ڈی سی اے کا آرڈر مورخہ 04-09-2009 منسوخ کر دیا۔ مزید برآں اپنے فیصلے میں درخواست گزار کے خلاف محکمہ کے اعتراضات ادا کرتے ہوئے درخواست گزار کا کیس سیکرٹری تعلیم پشاور کو بھیج دیا تاکہ وہ ٹریبونل کے فیصلے کے مندرجات کی روشنی میں کوالیفائیڈ اساتذہ کو بحال کرے اور نان کوالیفائیڈ کے خلاف واضح آرڈر جاری کرے۔ سیکرٹری تعلیم نے لیٹر نمبر S.O(Lit)E&SED/1-3/2011 مورخہ 26-01-2012 کے تحت ای ڈی او محکمہ تعلیم ڈیرہ کو ہدایات جاری کیں کہ وہ درخواست گزار سمیت 1691 ٹیچرز کے ٹرینیشن آرڈر جاری کرے مگر ابھی تک درخواست گزار سمیت کسی بھی ٹیچرز کو ٹرینیشن آرڈر جاری نہیں کئے اور نہ ہی ڈسٹرکٹ اکاؤنٹ آفس، ڈی سی اے آفس (موجودہ ڈپٹی کمشنر آفس) میں ان آرڈرز کی کاپیاں دستیاب ہیں۔ حالانکہ محکمہ تعلیم میں رٹ پٹیشن نمبر 1358-2012 سامانہ حکومت میں اپنی تحریری جواب میں لکھا تھا کہ ان ٹیچرز کو مورخہ 08-02-2012 کو ٹرینیشن جاری کر دیے ہیں جو کہ ابھی تک ہائی کورٹ کے ریکارڈ میں موجود ہے۔ حقیقت یہ ہے کہ ابھی تک کسی بھی ٹیچرز کو ٹرینیشن لیٹر جاری نہیں کئے گئے۔

لہذا آپ سے گزارش ہے کہ درخواست گزار کو 10 یوم کے اندر ٹرینیشن لیٹر جاری کریں۔ بصورت دیگر درخواست گزار قانونی چارہ جوئی کا حق رکھتا ہے۔

مورخہ 24-3-2014

ارض

نوٹیشن فیض / ماہنامہ لیسٹریٹو خان سکے جو کہ ارض
ڈاکٹر منہ مارو بلہ محفل سرور ضلعا ڈیرہ اسماعیل خان

کاپی برائے اطلاع:-

1. وزیر تعلیم خیبر پختونخوا پشاور
2. سیکرٹری تعلیم خیبر پختونخوا پشاور
3. ڈائریکٹر تعلیم خیبر پختونخوا پشاور


Anwar
M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

H-33

BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT BENCH DERA ISMAIL KHAN

Writ petition No. 481 of 2014.

1. Tahir Bashir S/O Sheikh Abdul hanan R/O Mohallah Kidmatgara Wala D.I.Khan.
2. Mohammad Kamran S/O Abdul Razaz R/O Mohallah Shew Shah D.I.Khan.
3. Shahid Nawaz S/O Rab Nawaz R/O Mohallah Qureshian Wala D.I.Khan.
4. Mohammad Ramzan S/O Mohammad Ashiq R/O Mohallah Juma Shah D.I.Khan.
5. Mohammad Azhar S/O Mohammad Akram R/O Shor Kot D.I.Khan.
6. Mohammad Ramzan S/O Mohammad Hakim R/O Yarik D.I.Khan.
7. Abdul Ghafar S/O Sona Khan R/O Yarik D.I.Khan.
8. Razia Sultana D/O Gulzar Khan R/O Shiekh Yousaf D.I.Khan.
9. Naeema Sadia D/O Khuda Baksh R/O Mohallah Qasaban D.I.Khan.
10. Shazia Malik D/O Ghias- Ul- Haq R/O Nad Ali Shah D.I.Khan.
11. Mehr-un-Nisa D/O Rahim Baksh R/O Zafar Abad Colony D.I.Khan.
12. Rehana Andaleeb W/O Iftakhar Hussain R/O Zafar Abad Colony D.I.Khan.
13. Inam Ullah S/o Abdul Razzaq R/O Rorri Tehsil Kulach D.I.Khan.
14. Mohammad Ali Abbas S/O Riaz Hussain R/O Mohallah Molvi Ahmad Sahib D.I.Khan.
15. Mohammad Asif Rizwan S/O Mohammad Aslam Khan R/O Mohallah Kumhran Wala D.I.Khan.
16. Mohammad Sohail S/O Abdul Majeed R/O haroon Shaheed Colony D.I.Khan.
17. Mumtaz Bibi W/O Asmat Ullah R/O Mosa Zai Sharif D.I.Khan.
18. Abdur Rehman S/O Ranjho Khan R/O Gomal University D.I.Khan.
19. Mohammad Ilyas S/O Farooq Azam R/O Gara Hayat D.I.Khan.
20. Humara Rehman D/O Fazal Rehman R/O Mohallah Hayat Ullah D.I.Khan.
21. Attia Naz D/ O Bashir Ahmaad R/O Eidgah Kalan D.I.Khan.
22. Mohammad Iqbal S/O Shiekh Abdul Hanan R/O Mohallah Khidmatgaran D.I.Khan.
23. Naseem Bibi W/O Naimat Ullah R/O Yarak D.I.Khan.
24. Nousheen Faiz D/O Faiz Mohammad R/O Naiwela D.I.Khan.



1746
13/6/14

VERSUS

ATTESTED

EXAMINOR

Peshawar High Court
Dera Ismail Khan Bench 09/3/15

M.ANWAL
Advocate

1. Government of Khyber Pukhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department K.P.K Peshawar.
2. Director of Education (E&S) Khyber Pukhtunkhwa Peshawar.
3. District Education Officer (Male).
4. District Education Officer (Female).
5. District Account Officer D.I.Khan.

WRIT PETITIONER UNDER ARTICLE 199 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN, 1973.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

1. That the petitioners above named are peaceful respectable, law abiding and bona fide citizen of District Dera Ismail Khan, Islamic republic of Pakistan. The petitioners have completed their Academic qualification and was appointed against the vacant posts of PST etc. Later on the basis of political victimization the Government in the year 2010 conducted an inquiry against the petitioner, which resultantly, the dismissal of the hundreds of the appointed teachers. Copy of order is Annexure A. 1746
2. That feeling aggrieved from the termination orders, petitioners preferred an appeal before the service tribunal, which was decided vide its order dated 27-01-2011 directing the respondents to conduct an inquiry in the light of direction given by Hon'ble Service Tribunal. The copy of judgment is Annexure B. 13/8/14
3. That on the basis of the judgment dated 27-10-2011, the so-called inquiry was conducted by Government of Khyber Pukhtunkhwa vide later No. SO (Lit) E &

Anwar

Anwar
M.ANWAR AWAN
 Advocate

TESTED
 EXAMINED
 Peshawar High Court
 D.I.Khan (19/7/11)

SED/1-3/2011 Dated Peshawar, the 26-01-2012 communicated recommendations of the committee which is annexed as Annexure C.

- 4. That it is to be noted that the committee vide its report dated 26-01-2012 also framed certain recommendation which beside the others also include a proper termination orders of all the affectees by the then decision of service tribunal report 26-01-2012 and inquiry report dated 27-01-2011.
- 5. That service of the effectives opted to execute the judgment dated 27-10-2011 before the Honorable service tribunal Khyber Pukhtunkhwa, which was decided vide its judgment dated 14-03-2012. Copy of the order is Annexure D.
- 6. That the order dated 14-03-2012 was challenged before the August Supreme Court which was decided on 27-06-2-12. copy of the order is Annexure E.
- 7. That as the judgment 27-10-2011 and recommendation so based upon this judgment were finalized and that attain finality in the view of the August Supreme Court, judgment dated 27-06-2012, the petitioners time and again approached to the respondents for the implementation of all the orders along with recommendation but up till now no proper order for termination has yet been issued/ communicated to the petitioners. Copy of application is Annexure F.
- 8. That the some affectees even try to challenge the findings of the committee dated 26-01-2012 before the Provincial Service Tribunal, but the same was rejected with the objection of non-availability of the final termination order. Copy of objection is Annexure G.
- 9. That the respondents all together ignored the rights so accrued hence, having no other alternate remedy, the petitioner is constrain to challenge the same inter alia the following grounds.

1746
18/8/14

Anwar

Anwar
M.ANWAR AWAN
Associate

YES
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court
19/3/15

GROUNDS:

- i. That the act of the respondents is against the law, facts and circumstances of the case and the same amounting to high handedness on the part of the respondents.
- ii. That the non-issuance of the termination letters is based on malafide and deliberate act of the respondents whereby, the petitioner have become made disable to challenge the same before service tribunal.
- iii. That very purposely the termination letter were withheld by the respondents up till now, as the non-availability of the termination letters has created a legal disability for challenging the act/recommendation of the committee before the Honorable Service Tribunal, hence, the acts of the respondents is against the law, good governess and fundamental rights of a citizen who has the right to have an access to all the legal forums as per law.
- iv. That due to the aforesaid reason most respectfully it is submitted that the above said acts, of the respondents named above are illegal, unlawful, against the law and clear cut misusing of the powers of being government officials.

Anwar
M. ANWAR AWAN
 Advocate

TESTE
 EXAMINER
 Anwar High Court
 Khan Bench 09/13/15

- v. That since there is no other efficacious remedy is available to the petitioner except to file the instant petition.
- vi. That counsel of the petitions may kindly be allowed to raise further grounds during the course of arguments.

It is, therefore, Humbly prayed that by accepting the present Writ Petition, respondents may graciously be directed to issue the termination orders of the petitioners with all back benefits up till now Or any other appropriate relief, which this Honorable Court may deems best in the interest of justice, may also be granted to petitioners.

Yours Humble Petitioners

H.3
Bashir

Tahir Bashir and Others

Anwar ad

Muhammad Anwar Awan

Advocate Supreme Court

Dated: 12-08-2014.

CERTIFICATE

Certified that petitioner in this honorable court has earlier filled no other write petition on the subject.

H.3
Bashir
Petitioners

BOOKS REFERIED

1. Constitution of Islamic of Pakistan 1973

Anwar
M.ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

ATTESTED

EXAMINER

Mushtaq High Court
Khan Bench 9/3/15

IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, D.I.KHAN BENCH

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Date of order or proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge(s).
(1)	(2)
03.02.2015.	<p><u>W.P.No.481-D/2014.</u></p> <p><u>Present:-</u> Mohammad Anwar Awan, Advocate for petitioners.</p> <p>A.A.G for respondents.</p> <p>*****</p> <p><u>IKRAMULLAH KHAN J:-</u> The matter was argued at some length. When learned counsel for petitioners was directed to point out any illegality in the impugned order, he was unable to do so and claimed that petitioners have been verbally informed about the termination of their services.</p> <p>2. On the other hand, learned A.A.G refuted the arguments of learned counsel for petitioners and argued that the petitioners have been dismissed from their services vide formal written orders. He provided a list of employees whose services were terminated.</p> <p>3. The termination orders passed by respondents be provided to the petitioners and copies thereof be placed on record of this petition. The petitioners may, if so advised, seek their relief before the appropriate legal forum, but in</p>

Anwar
M.ANWAR AWAN
 Advocate

TESTED
 EXAMINED
 Peshawar High Court
 D.I.Khan Bench 09/3/15

39


accordance with law.

4. This petition is disposed of accordingly.


Announced
03.2.2015.
Aftab*


JUDGE


JUDGE

TESTER

EXAMINER
Senior High Court
Lahore Bench. 09/3/15

1/12/15



M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

1-40

OFFICE THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER (E&SE) D.I.Khan

ORDER:

In pursuance of order dated 27-10-2011 of the KPK Service Tribunal in service appeal No. 1407/2010 and other connected appeals, committee headed by the Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (E&SE) Department considered the cases of the appellants and similar placed persons and came to the conclusion that the appointment of the following TTs (Female) was illegal, irregular and void ab-initio in terms of rule 10(2) of the NWFP Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules 1989 and prescribed method of recruitment. On the recommendation of the committee contained at page 103-104 of the enquiry report, their so called services are hereby terminated.

S. No	Appeal No/year	Name of appellant	Father's Name	Name of School
1	2685/10	Nighat Jabeen	Umer Daraz	GGMS Musa Zai
2	654/11	Ambina Aman	Aman Ullah Khan	GGMS Athog/GGHS Behari Colony
3	1748/10	Asma Gul	Karim Khan	GGMS Wanda Mochian Wala/Rahmani Khel/GGHS Abdul
4	1761/10	Irum Mati	Mati Ullah	GGMS Roda/Wanda Madat
5	1858/10	Salma Bibi	Yar Muhammad	GGMS Musa Zai/Wanda Lali
6	2675/10	Salma Khanum	Atta Muhammad Muslim	GGHS Ramak/GGMS Kachi Kath Garh/Chah Khan
7	820/11	Rajab Naeem	Naeem Akhter	GGMS Wanda Hisam
8	271	Rahmania Bibi	Sakhi Nawaz	GGMS Wanda Madat/GGHSS Paharpur/GGMS Kurar
9	3162/11	Razia Sultana	Gulzar Khan	GGMS Khutti
10	1698/10	Amna Hareem	Shoukat Ali Jan	GGHS No.9 Dinpur DIKhan
11	1727/10	Nosheen Faiz	Faiz Ullah	GGHS No.4 DIKhan
12	1956/10	Suraya Bibi	Syed Nazar Hussain Shah	GGMS Nizam Abad (Kachi Kath Garh)
13	2173/10	Madeeha Batool	Malik Qadir Bakhsh	GGHS Ramak/GGHSS Paroa
14	2175/10	Muzzayan Batool Qadri	Malik Qadir Bakhsh	GGHSS No.2 DIKhan/GGHS Kiri Shamoza/Ramak
15	2180/10	Sidra Hina	Abdul Qodus	GGMS Zarni Khel/GGHSS No.1/GGMS Gandhi Ashiq
16	2428/10	Yasmin Akhter	Fazal Karim	GGHS No.4 Basit Ustrana
17	2430/10	Rehana Yasmin	Aman Ullah	GGHSS NO.6 DIKhan
18	2433/10	Bibi Habiba	Abdul Qodus	GGMS Shah Dau
19	2434/10	Neelam Shahzadi	Abdul Karim	GGMS Ajmal Abad
20	2435/10	Naheed Akhter	Muhammad Nawaz	GGHSS NO.6 DIKhan
21	2439/10	Saima Tabasum	Sheikh Abdul Karim	GGMS Zafar Abad Colony/GGHS Qasaban/GGMS Sagu
22	30/11	Ansa Mehreen	Aziz ur Rahman	GGMS Malana/GGHSS No.2
23	549/11	Rehana Jabeen	Meherban Khan	GGMS Saidu Wali
24	894/11	Fizza Zahra	Muhammad Iqbal	GGMS Ruknau/GGHS Bilot Sharif

[Signature]
Sub Divisional Officer
D.I. Khan

[Signature]
M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

41

25	Nil	Zukhruf Inayat	Malik Inayatullah	GGHSS Kulachi
26	Nil	Nusrat Sabah	Syed Jaffer Hussain Shah	GGMS Shah Dau
27	Nil	Aqsa Nasir	Muhammad Nasir	GGHS Muryali
28	Nil	Maira Khatoon	Abdur Rauf	GGMS Gilotti
29	1957/10	Musarat Bibi	Ghulam Hussain	GGHS Lar/GGMS Khanu Khel
30	2275/10	Sabtha Yasmin	Hidayatullah	GGMS Hisam

[Signature]
EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER
(E&SE) D.I.Khan

Ends No. 1698-172
Copy for information to:

Dated D.I.Khan the 08/12/2012

1. P.S to Secretary (E&SE) KPK.
2. P.A to Director (E&SE) Peshawar.
3. District Coordination Officer D.I.Khan.
4. District Officer (E&SE) (M/F) D.I.Khan
5. All concerned.

[Signature]
Sub District Officer
(M) P.E.S. D.I.Khan

[Signature]
EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER
(E&SE) D.I.Khan

[Signature]
M.ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

J-42

The Director,
Elementary & Secondary Education
Khyber Pakhtun Khaw
Peshawar.


Through: Proper Channel.

Subject: DEPAETMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST ILLEGAL TERMINATION FROM SERVICE


Respected Sir,

The appellant humbly submits as under:

1. That the appellant being eligible and having required qualification was appointed by the Executive District Officer, Elementary & Secondary Education D.I.Khan after due course/ process of recruitment.
2. That, after furnishing Medical Fitness Certificate and taking over the charge of said post, the appellant started performing her official duties regularly with due diligence, care devotion and to the satisfaction of superiors and leave no stone unturned in performance of his/her official duties.
3. That during the period the services rendered by the appellant remained up to the mark and no deficiency, inefficiency, negligence or irregularity of the appellant was reported.
4. That, due to the political influence, some inquiries were conducted and during course of such inquiries appellant was no served with any notice, whatsoever, not appellant was given any opportunity of hearing. Thus, the appellant condemned un-heard and thereafter, the DCO D.I.Khan terminated the teachers being appointed in the year 2007 and the E.D.O D.I.Khan Elementary & Secondary Education D.I.Khan.


M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

5. That feeling aggrieved from the illegal termination orders of E.D.O.D.I.Khan, the appellant filed an appeal along with others before learned Service Tribunal, who after hearing the counsel for the parties, accepted the appeal of some appellants on 27-10-2011 with the direction that qualification of the appellants have been checked.
6. That secretary education conducted so called inquiry, inviting the appellant in circuit house D.I.Khan and Clerk of education department provided a Proforma, which were filled by the appellant and submitted it to the same clerk. The Proforma contain inquiry regarding qualification of the appellant in which no show cause was given nor it contained charges of allegation on the appellant.
7. That secretary education after inquiry, recommended termination of all teachers which were appointed in 2007 and on such recommendation E.D.O D.I.Khan verbally informed the court during the proceeding of implementation of the judgment that he terminated the all the teacher who were present in the inquiry but did not issue any termination order nor same was received to the appellant. The appellant several time requested the department to issue termination order to the appellant but in vain. Feeling aggrieved from the situation, the appellant filed writ petition regarding issuance of termination order, in which direction was issued by the Hon.ble court on 03.02.2015 that termination orders passed by the department be provided to the petitioners and copies thereof be placed on the record of the court. It is also evident from the combined termination orders of the 1691 teachers that it was not signed by the EDO but stamped signatures were shown on the termination orders. The combined termination order has no sanctity in eyes of law. It is also evident from the department record that termination orders were not issued to the appellant nor it was received to appellant.
8. That feeling aggrieved from the illegal termination order, appellant filed this departmental appeal.



M.ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

9. That education official did not issue any charge sheet, nor issue any Show cause notice but provided a Performa which did not contained any allegation regarding inquiry. The inquiry was conducted only for checking of qualification of all civil servants which were terminated.

In wake of above submissions, it is respectfully prayed that on acceptance of instant appeal, the termination orders may please be set aside and appellatant may graciously be re-instated with all back/future benefits.

Nosheen Faiz
Your Honorable Appellant

Nosheen Faiz T.T, GGHS No-4 D.I.Khan R/O
Naivela P/O Naivela. Teh Paroo
Distt: D.I. Khan.

Note: That this appeal is being filed directly to the Director as the Office of Executive District Officer, Elementary, & Secondary Education, D.I.Khan, was reluctant to forward this appeal, being through proper channel.

1. Copy to the Secretary, Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar.
2. Copy to the District Coordination Officer, Dera Ismail Khan.

Anwar
M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

BOARD OF INTERMEDIATE AND SECONDARY EDUCATION
DERA ISMAIL KHAN N-W.F.P (PAKISTAN)


K-46

Serial No 1105Roll No 4049Group AMB.Session 2007(A)Registration No 202488-Dt-31-06

SECONDARY SCHOOL CERTIFICATE EXAMINATION
Part-I /II

PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that Mr./Miss. NoShem Fairiz
Son / Daughter of Mr. Fairiz Ullah Khan
has passed the Secondary School Certificate Examination of
Board of Intermediate & Secondary Education, Dera Ismail Khan
held in the month of April 2007 as a private
candidate from District D.I-Khan.
He/She obtained 616 marks and has been placed in
GRADE C representing Good in the following subjects.



- | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. English | 5. Mathematics |
| 2. Urdu | 6. <u>General Science</u> |
| 3. Islamiyat (Comp) | 7. <u>Islamic Studies</u> |
| 4. Pakistan Studies | 8. <u>A.M.D</u> |

His/her date of birth according to Examination Admission Form is 01-04-1982
(18th April 1982 and EIGHT).

Date of declaration of result 16-07-2007Date of Issue 16-07-2007Prepared by [Signature]Checked by [Signature]

Note:

- This certificate is issued without alteration or erasure.
- Error / omission excepted.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
B.I.S.E, DERA ISMAIL KHAN

[Signature]
19/10/07

Anwar
M. ANWAR
Advocate

47

رجسٹرڈ اور پبلشڈ

محکمہ تعلیم صوبہ سرحد

بِسْمِ اللّٰهِ الرَّحْمٰنِ الرَّحِیْمِ

پاکستان



دارالعلوم محمودیہ ڈھنڈی عظیم آباد بنوں

كلية الدراسات الاسلامية

الرقم ۱۵۷

شهادة اتمام دراسة وعالمية

الحمد لله رب العلمين والصلوة والسلام على خاتم النبيين وبعد فان ادارة

دارالعلوم محمودیہ ڈھنڈی بنوں تشهد بان الطالب نوشین فیض

بن فیض السرخان السولودفی ۱/۵/۱۹۸۲ عام ۱۹۸۲

قد اتم دراسته العالمية بكلية الدراسات الاسلامية التابعة لدارالعلوم محمودیہ

ڈھنڈی بنوں عام ۱۵۲۶ الدراسي و فاز بتقدير ممتاز ولهذا

منح هذه الشهادة وندعو له بالتوفيق والفوز بان يبذل جهوده في خدمة الدين

بكل حكمة وتبدير

M. Anwar
Director
M. Anwar (M. Anwar)

رقم ۱۴۴ / تاریخ ۱۰ / ۱۳۱۵
دارالعلوم محمودیہ ڈھنڈی بنوں
DARUL ULOOM HAQQANIA
M. ANWAR (M. ANWAR)
DIRECTOR

التاريخ ۱۰ / ۱۳۱۵



توقيع المدير

توقيع مین ادارة التعليم

Anwar

M. ANWAR AWAN
Ad 10

818

Serial N^o 074815

Registration No. 14582-gomal-10
Roll No. 422
Session: xxx

GOMAL UNIVERSITY

DERA ISMAIL KHAN
N.W.F.P
PAKISTAN



M. ANWAR AWAN
Advocate

Provisional Certificate

This is to certify that ~~Mf.~~ /Miss. /~~Mrs.~~ NOSHEEN FAIZ
^{XX} ~~Son/~~ ^{XX} ~~Daughter/~~ ^{XX} ~~Wife of~~ FAIZ ULLAH KHAN
of the Department / ^{XXX} Institute of PRIVATE CANDIDATE OF DISTT:D.I.KHAN
has passed BA.(P-II)SUPPLY,2012 Examination held in JANUARY,2013
in the subject of xxx
~~He~~ / She was placed in SECOND
division, Securing 251 marks out of 550

The examination was taken as ~~a whole~~ /in parts.

Dera Ismail Khan.

Dated 17-05-2013

[Signature]
ADDITIONAL CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS

[Signature]
4/10/2013

VAKALATNAMA

49

IN THE COURT OF KPK Service Tribunal Comp D.I.KHAN

Nousheen Faiz **VERSUS** Govt of KPK

SUIT/OFFICE.....Appeal.....

I/WE.....Appellant.....

The above named.....Nousheen Faiz.....hereby appoint

MUHAMMAD ANWAR AWAN ADVOCATE, HIGH COURT D.I.Khan, in the above mentioned case to all or any of the following acts, deeds and things.

1. To appear, act and plead for me/us in the above mentioned case in this court/tribunal in which the same may be tried or heard or any other proceedings out of our connected therewith.
2. To sign and verify and file or withdraw all proceedings, petitions, appeals, affidavits, and applications for compromise or withdrawal, or for the submission to arbitration of the said case or any other documents, may be deemed necessary or advisable by them by the conduct, prosecution or defense of the said case at all its stages.
3. To receive payments of and issue receipts for all moneys that may be or become due and payable to us during the course on conclusion of the proceedings.
To do all other acts and things, which may deemed necessary or advisable during the court of Proceedings.

AND hereby agree:

- a) To ratify whatever advocates may do the proceedings.
- b) Not to hold the advocates responsible if the said case be proceed ex-parte or dismissed in default in consequence of their absence form the court when it is called for hearing.
- c) That the advocates shall be entitled to withdraw from the prosecution of the said case if the whole or any part of the agreed fee remain UN-paid.
- d) That advocates may be permitted to argue any other point at the time of arguments.

In witness whereof I/we have signed this vakalatnama here under the contents of which have been read / explained to me/us fully understood by me/us this.

Date 28-5-2015

Nousheen Faiz

Signature of executant (s)

Accepted by:

MUHAMMAD ANWAR AWAN
ADVOCATE
HIGH COURT
Distt: Courts, D.I.Khan.
Ph.# (off) 0966-730828

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 622/2015

Nosheen Faiz

VS

Government of KPK

JOINT PARAWISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS

Preliminary Objections

1. That the Service Appeal is not maintainable and incompetent in the eyes of law in its present form.
2. That the appellant is estopped by his own unwholesome conduct as Public Servant to file this appeal.
3. That the appellant has got no cause of action or locus standi to file the instant appeal, when there is provision for Review under Rule 3 of Appeal Rules, 1986.
4. That the appellant has not come to this Honourable Court with clean hands and has suppressed all relevant facts.
5. That the appellant has concealed the material facts and ground realities from this Honourable Tribunal.
6. That the appeal is bad due to mis-joinder / non-joinder of necessary parties.
7. That the appellant has not come to Honourable Court with clean hands.
8. That the KPK Service Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the instant petition in its present form.
9. That the appeal has been mis-oriented, mis-constructed and mistakenly drawn and is incompetent in its present frame and context, and is liable for Rejection.
10. That the appeal is weak having no force, fabricated, fictitious, based on ill will, malafide motives and having no legal footings in the eyes of law.
11. That the present service appeal is not maintainable in its present form and jurisdiction of this **Honourable Service Tribunal** is barred by the **Section 23 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Rules 1974** "According to which no Tribunal shall entertain any appeal in which the matter directly or substantially has already been finally decided by a Court / Tribunal of competent jurisdiction".
12. That the recommendations of the Committee constituted in light of direction of this Honourable Tribunal were implemented and terminated all the illegal teachers and provided them termination orders. Hence the appeal is badly time barred as well as barred by leeches.
13. That the proceeding with the instant appeal would be a futile exercise and just wastage of the precious time of this honourable Tribunal.
14. That as stated in the objections supra, the appeal is bereft of cause of action and is liable for dismissal.

Objection on Facts

1. Para pertains to the address of parties hence need no comments.
2. Incorrect / not admitted. Vehemently denied. The EDO (S&L) advertised vacant post of PST, CT and other cadres on **07.04.2007**. After completion of codal formalities 309 male PSTs was appointed on merit under joint appointment order No. 12655-973 dated 02.07.2007. The name of appellant does not reflect in the said appointment order.
 - i. The appellant is one of the 1613 illegal terminated teachers. His services along with **1613 teachers were terminated by the then DCO DIKhan** vide order dated 04.09.2009. (**annexure A**).
 - ii. Termination orders dated 04.09.2009 were challenged before the **Honourable Peshawar High Court DIKhan Bench** and Honourable High Court suspended

- the operation of termination orders dated 04.09.2009 till the decision of writ petitions (**annexure B**).
- iii. On 29.04.2010 writ petitions were returned to the petitioners and termination orders dated 04.09.2009 was implemented with effect from 01.05.2010 (**annexure C**).
 - iv. That the appellant and others preferred service appeal for reinstatement of their services.
 - v. **The Honourable Service Tribunal vide judgment dated 27.10.2011 in Service Appeal No. 1407/2010** instead of outright reinstatement of appellant and others remanded / sent back case of the appellant and similar placed persons to the Secretary E&SE KPK Peshawar for reconsideration (**annexure D**).
 - vi. The High Level inquiry committee headed by the Secretary E&SE KPK Peshawar examined and considered the case of the appellant and others. The committee dismissed the appeals of all the appellants being devoid of merits as well as legal footings and submitted inquiry report to this Honourable Tribunal. The name of the appellant reflects in the findings of inquiry committee.
 - vii. Incompliance with the recommendations of the inquiry committee, the then EDO DIKhan issued **termination order on 08.02.2012**. The name of appellant is present in the termination order list.
 - viii. After submission of inquiry report and termination orders some of the aggrieved affectees filed Execution Petitions for the implementation of the order dated 27.10.2011 of the Honourable Tribunal. The Honourable Tribunal disposed of Execution Petition on 14.03.2012. Subsequently order dated 14.03.2012 of the Honourable Tribunal was challenged in CPLA before Supreme Court of Pakistan. But the apex court declined leave to appeal and dismissed the petitions. Thus termination of the service of the appellant and others attained finality. (**annexure E, F**)
3. Incorrect / not admitted. This para pertains to the record.
 4. Incorrect/not admitted, strongly denied. The appellant was appointed as school teacher **without observing all the codal formalities**. The appointment of the appellant was illegal, out of turn without performing all the pre-requisites which are necessary and compulsory for the appointment of the school teacher as per existing rules. The act of the respondents is quite legal, justified, bonafide, based on real legal facts and in the interest of government and the public at large.
 5. Incorrect/not admitted, intensely denied. In year 2008 Mr. **Israr Ullah Khan Gandapur (Late) Ex MPA** has raised a question in provincial assembly regarding the **illegal appointments and recruitments in the education department DIKhan**. Hence the provincial Assembly constituted a committee No. 26 for Elementary and Secondary Education Department dated 20.08.2008. The standing committee No. 26 scrutinized all the appointments record of the year 2007-08 and concluded that all the illegal appointed teachers were terminated from service during the period of 01.01.2007 to 30.06.2008. (**Annexure G**) Therefore the appellant **has been terminated from service along with all the illegally appointed teachers in the year 2007 & 2008** on the direction of Provincial Government dated 04.09.2009. Then appellant and other terminated teachers approached the **Honourable High Court and Supreme Court of Pakistan, both the courts has dismissed the appeals of appellant**. Then appellant and others approach the Honourable

Service Tribunal and Service Tribunal remanded all the appeals to the Secretary E&SE KPK Peshawar vide judgment dated 27.10.2011 in Service Appeal No. 1407/2010. Therefore, the stance of the appellant is having no truth and is totally false and fictitious.

6. Incorrect / not admitted, vigorously denied. The Secretary Education has constituted a committee to probe the matter. **The committee concluded that the appointment of the appellant and other were illegal and irregular under Rule 10(2) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servant Appointment Promotion and Transfer Rules 1989** which reproduce as, **“initial recruitment to the posts which do not fall within the purview of the commission shall be made on the recommendations of the Departmental Selection Committee after the vacancies have been advertised in the News Papers”**. The termination order of the appellant has been made in good faith, bonafide and in the best interest of public at-large.
7. Incorrect / not admitted, fervently denied. The recommendations of the enquiry committee were implemented with letter and spirit. In the **Execution Petition No. 34/2012 the Director E&SE KPK Peshawar and EDO DIKhan stated at the bar** dated 14.03.2012 before the Service Tribunal that they have already implemented the recommendations of the committee and issued the termination orders / letter accordingly. Further appellants filed **writ petition No 481/2014** and the same was disposed of on 03.02.2015. This act of the respondents cannot be declared against the law on any ground whatsoever but the straight away rejection of appeal. **(Annexure H)**
8. Incorrect / not admitted. The appeal of appellant is badly time barred. According to Section 23 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Rules 1974 “No Tribunal shall entertain any appeal in which the matter directly or substantially has already been finally decided by a Court / Tribunal of competent jurisdiction”.
9. Incorrect / not admitted. The Honourable Court has no jurisdiction to interfere in the administrative action of the authority in instant Service Appeal.

Objections on Ground

1. Incorrect/ not admitted, strongly denied. After fulfilling all the codal and legal formalities, besides the act of respondents was according to the law with legal justification and in the light of **Judgment on Service Tribunal in service appeal No. 1407/2010 decided on 27.10.2011**. There is no pre-pense malice in fact and malice in law against the appellant.
2. Incorrect / not admitted, vehemently refuted. The report of committee was comprehensive in all respect as per the direction of Honourable Service Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
3. Incorrect / not admitted, forcefully denied. The committee was constituted on the direction of the Honourable Service Tribunal. After personal hearing of appellants


committee comes to the conclusion that the appointments of the appellants were illegal and irregular in the light of Rule 10(2) of APT 1989 (annexure I).

4. Incorrect / not admitted, hotly denied. The appellants were treated according to law and provided an opportunity of hearing and defense but the appellants failed to defend their illegal appointment orders. The termination orders were issued in the public interest by the Competent Authority after fulfilling all legal and codal formalities, therefore, the petitioner has got no cause of action or locus standi to file the writ petition for his grievances
5. Incorrect / not admitted heatedly denied. It is clear crystal from the judgment dated 14.03.2012 in EP No. 34/2012 the termination orders were produced before the Honourable Service Tribunal and the same termination order were also presented before the Honourable High Court dated 03.02.2015. The photocopy of the same was provided to the appellants. Hence the appeal of the appellant is badly time barred and in fruituous.

The respondents also seek leave of the Honourable Court to advance and urge additional as well as further grounds during the course of arguments.

PRAYER:


It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of these para-wise comments, the instant Service Appeal being devoid of legal footings and merits, may graciously be dismissed with cost.



Secretary


Elementary & Secondary Education Department
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

Director

Elementary & Secondary Education Department
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar


Director
& Secretary
Elementary & Secondary Education
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar


District Education Officer (Male)
Dera Ismail Khan



BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 692.2015.

Nosheen Faiz . VS

Government of KPK

Affidavit

I Mr. kamran Khan legal representative of District Education Officer (M) DIKhan do hereby solemnly affirm and declared on oath that content of the above mentioned service appeal are correct to the best of my knowledge and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Service Tribunal.

Mr. Kamran Khan
Deponent

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 622-2015.


Nasheen Faiz.

VS

Government of KPK

Authority

I Mr. Nazir Khan District Education Officer (M) DIKhan do hereby authorized Mr. Kamran Khan Legal representative of DEO (M) DIKhan to attend this Honourable Service Tribunal KPK Peshawar DIKhan Bench on my behalf in connection with submission of para wise comments and till the decision of the service appeal.


District Education Officer (M)
Dera Ismail Khan