09.06.2017

Clerk of the counsel for appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional AG for official respondents No. 1 & 2 also present. Clerk of the counsel for appellant requested for adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 10.10.2017 before D.B.

(GUL®ZEB KHAN) MEMBER (MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI) MEMBER

10/10/2017

Clerk of counsel for the appellant and Additional AG for the respondents present. Seeks adjournment as counsel for the appellant is not in attendance. To come up for arguments on 12/1/2018 before DB.

SISIN Member

Chairman

12.01.2018

Mr. Atta-ur-Rahman one of the appellant in connected appeal present. Mr. Zia Ullah, DDA present. Counsel for the appellant was stated to have been elevated and requested for adjournment to engage another counsel. Adjourned. To come up for argument on 15.03.2018 before D.B.

Member 1

hairman

15.03.2018

None present on behalf of the appellant. Learned Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present. Case called for several times but no one appeared on behalf of the appellant. Consequently the present appeal is dismissed in default. File be consigned to the record room.

(Muhammad Amin Kundi) Member

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal) Member 19.09.2016

Clerk of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Sultan Shah, Supdt alongwith Addl: AG for official respondents present. Written reply on behalf of respondent No.3 not submitted. Fresh Notice be issued to private respondent No.3. To come up for written reply of respondents No. and 3 on 14.11.2016 before S.B.

P

Member

14.11.2016

Agent of counsel for the appellant and Asstt. AG for the respondents present. Written reply by respondent No. 3 not submitted. Proceeded ex-parte. The appeal is assigned to D.B for rejoinder and final hearing for 17.01.2017.

Chairman

17.01.2017

Clerk counsel for appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP for official respondents No. 1 & 2 present. Rejoinder not submitted. Clerk counsel for appellant requested for time to file rejoinder. Adjourned. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 09.06.2017 before D.B.

(AHMAD HASSAN) MEMBER (ASHFAQUE TAJ) MEMBER 27.4.2016

Agent of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Sultan Shah, Assistant alongwith Addl: A.G for the official respondents present. Requested for further adjournment. Last opportunity granted. To come up for written reply/comments on 20.05.2016 before S.B.

Charman

20.05.2016

Clerk to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Sultan Shah, Assistant alongwith Mr. Kabirullah Khan Khattak, Assistant AG for official respondents No. 1 & 2 present. Para-wise comments on behalf of official respondents No. 1 & 2 submitted. None present on behalf of private respondent No. 3. Notice be issued to private respondent No. 3 for submission of written reply/comments for 10.08.2016 before S.B.

Memner

10.08.2016

Agent to counsel for the appellant and Addl. AG for respondents present. Written reply of respondent No.3 not submitted. To come up for written reply/comments of respondent No.3 on 19.09.2016.

1ember



Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant is a civil servant of Executive Group and terms and conditions of the appellant are governed by the then Provincial Civil Services (Secretariat/Executive Rules 1997. That the appellant was entitled to promotion but ignored constraining him to prefer service appeal for ante-date promotion which was allowed vide judgment dated 13.3.2009 where-against appeal of the department before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan was dismissed on 24.5.2012 and, therefore, on the strength of the said judgments notification dated 25.7.2012 was issued giving ante-date promotion to appellant with effect from 1.2.2005 and there-after appellant was promoted to BPS-18 on 9.2.2012. That on the strength of Rule-8 of the KPK Provincial Management Service Rules, 2007 and amendment dated 12.11.2007, the appellant stood senior to civil servant belonging to PMS Group. That despite seniority of the appellant and the afore-stated rules, respondent No. 3 Amir Afaq (PMS) was appointed in BPS-19 on acting charge basis and with an object to deprive the appellant from his vested right of promotion constraining the appellant to prefer departmental appeal against the impugned notification dated 21.11.2014 on 18.12.2014 which was not responded and hence the instant service appeal on 16.4.2015.

That the appellant is entitled to promotion in preference to respondent No. 3 as the appellant belongs to the Executive Cadre while respondent No. 3 belongs to PMS Group and, therefore, the appellant stood senior.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 27.4.2016 before S.B.

Annaliant Deposited

ocessFe🛭 놀

Chairman

14.10.2015

Counsel for the appellant, Assistant A.G for official respondents No. 1 and 2 and agent of counsel for private respondent No. 3 present. Reply by private respondent No. 3 submitted while request for adjournment was made on behalf of official respondents No. 1 and 2. To come up for reply to application on behalf of official respondents No. 1 and 2 and arguments on 28.01.2016 before S.B.

Chairman

, √ ∵≤28.1.2016

Counsel for the appellant, Addl: A.G for official respondents and counsel for private respondent No. 3 present. Arguments heard and record perused.

The appeal was dismissed in default on 2.7.2015. The same was dismissed when fixed for preliminary hearing as such there is no obstacle in restoring the appeal. Therefore, the application is accepted and appeal restored. To come up for preliminary hearing on 11.2.2016 before S.B.

Chairnan

## FORM OF ORDER SHEET

| Court of             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |      |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|------|
| Misc. Application No | 82/2                                  | 2015 |

| S.No. | Date of order proceedings | Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate   |
|-------|---------------------------|--|
| 1     | 2                         | 3  |
| 1     | 31/07/2015                | The application for restoration of appeal no. 337/20 submitted by Mr. Naeem Anwar through Mr. Shakeel Ahmad Advoca |
|       |                           | may be entered in the relevant Register and put up to the Court f  |
|       | ·                         | proper order please.   |
| 2-    | . <del>.</del>            | PECISTRAP -  |
|       | 10-8-18                   | This Misc. application be put up before S. Bench on $13-8-17$  |
|       |                           | on   |
|       |                           |  |
|       |                           | CHAIRMAN   |
|       |                           |  |
|       | 13.08.2015                | Counsel for the petitioner/appellant present. Notice to  |
|       | 10.00.2010                | respondents be issued for 14.10.2015 before S.B. The original  |
|       |                           | record be also requisitioned.  |
|       | ,                         |  |
|       |                           | Chairman   |
|       | •                         |  |
|       |                           |  |
|       |                           |  |
|       |                           |  |
|       |                           |  |
|       | •                         |  |
|       | <del>.</del>              |  |
|       |                           |  |
|       |                           |  |
|       | •                         |  |
|       |                           | I  |

Before the Khyber Pakhtukhwa, services Tribunal, Peshawar.

Service Appeal No 337 /2019 Nacem Anwar Additional Secretary Sports Civil Secretariate, Peshawan

12019 misc epplication no. 82/15

Corvice Tribunal

Chary No\_8,02

<u>Appellant</u>

#### Versus

1. Govt of KPK, through secretary establishment department, Peshawar.

2. Govt of KPK, through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

3. Amir Afaq (PMS-bS-19) presently serves in P & D department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

Respondents

## Petition for the restoration of the

### Captioned case dismissed in default

### Vide order dated

### Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the captioned case was fixed for hearing for 02-7-15 and was dismissed in default of the same day.
- 2. That though, the case was noted for hearing on the notice, but, inadvertently and wrongly entered the date in the diary 31-7-15 by the clerk of the counsel for the petitioner (Copy of the diary is annexure A).
- 3. That the absence of the counsel or the petitioner on the date of hearing was neither intentional nor deliberate, but due to the reason stated above.
- 4. That the valuable rights of the petitioner is involved, the same would be defeated. If the case is not restored and decided on merits.

- 5. That even otherwise, law tilts in favour of adjudication on merit, rather than on technicalities.
- 6. That the application is well within time.

It is therefore prayed that on acceptance of this petition, the captioned case may be restored in the interest of justice.

Petitioner

Through

Shakeel Ahmad

Advocate, Peshawar

SFFIDAUTT

It is stated in oall that all
the contents of this application are
tone and correct to the best of
my knowledge and belief and
nothing has been concealed from
this Howable court.

Artiested Commissioner Commissi

Deponant

ariátions Motive, Absence of: Absence of motive es were or failure on the part of prosecution to prove the same, does not adversely affect the testimony of the eye-witnesses, if they are otherwise reliable. [2009-SCMR-523] R-650]

#### BEFÖRE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

| Misc: Application No. | /2015 |
|-----------------------|-------|
| In Service Appeal No. | /2015 |

Naeem Anwar, Additional Secretary Sports, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.

Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others.

Respondents.

## REPLY TO THE APPLICATION ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT NO. 3

#### Preliminary Objections:

- 1. That the application in hand is not maintainable.
- 2. That the application in hand is hopelessly barred by time, there is no application for condonation of delay, hence the application deserves summary dismissal.
- 3. That the application in hand is not supported by any application for the condonation of delay, hence the same is liable to be dismissed summarily.
- 4. That the appellant showed slackness and negligence in pursuing the case hence not entitled to any leniency.
- 5. That valuable rights have been created in favour of the replying respondents on the dismissal of the appeal, therefore, the appeal cannot be restored.

#### ON FACTS:

- 1. Contents need no reply. Neither the appellant nor his counsel was present to have pursued their case.
- 2. Contents misconceived and incorrect. The appellant though were allowed the date but they remained negligent in pursuing their case, the counsel as well as the appellant remained absent, the explanation given is not based on fact.

- 3. Contents misconceived and incorrect. The appellant and his counselwere not vigilant enough to pursue their case, therefore, the same was rightly dismissed by this Honorable Tribunal.
- 4. Contents misleading and incorrect. On the dismissal of the appeal valuable rights are created in favour of the replying respondents, similarly the appellant is required to have explained each day delay while submitting the application.
- 5. Contents misleading and incorrect. The law of limitation cannot be termed as mere technicalities.
- 6. Contents misleading and incorrect. The application in hand is hopelessly barred by time.

It is, therefore, prayed that on acceptance of this reply the application in hand be dismissed with costs.

Respondent No. 3

Through

IJAZ ANWAR Advocate Peshawar

#### Verification

I do hereby solemnly affirm on this 14<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2015 at Peshawar declare that the contents of the above reply are true and correct and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Deponent

# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

| Misc: Application No. | /2015 |
|-----------------------|-------|
| In Service Appeal No. | /2015 |

Naeem Anwar, Additional Secretary Sports, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.

Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others.

Respondents.

# REPLY TO THE APPLICATION ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT NO. 3

#### Preliminary Objections:

- 1. That the application in hand is not maintainable.
- 2. That the application in hand is hopelessly barred by time, there is no application for condonation of delay, hence the application deserves summary dismissal.
- 3. That the application in hand is not supported by any application for the condonation of delay, hence the same is liable to be dismissed summarily.
- 4. That the appellant showed slackness and negligence in pursuing the case hence not entitled to any leniency.
- 5. That valuable rights have been created in favour of the replying respondents on the dismissal of the appeal, therefore, the appeal cannot be restored.

#### ON FACTS:

- 1. Contents need no reply. Neither the appellant nor his counsel was present to have pursued their case.
- 2. Contents misconceived and incorrect. The appellant though were allowed the date but they remained negligent in pursuing their case, the counsel as well as the appellant remained absent, the explanation given is not based on fact.

- 3. Contents misconceived and incorrect. The appellant and his counsel were not vigilant enough to pursue their case, therefore, the same was rightly dismissed by this Honorable Tribunal.
- 4. Contents misleading and incorrect. On the dismissal of the appeal valuable rights are created in favour of the replying respondents, similarly the appellant is required to have explained each day delay while submitting the application.
- 5. Contents misleading and incorrect. The law of limitation cannot be termed as mere technicalities.
- 6. Contents misleading and incorrect. The application in hand is hopelessly barred by time.

It is, therefore, prayed that on acceptance of this reply the application in hand be dismissed with costs.

Respondent No. 3

Through

IJAZ ANWAR Advocate Peshawar

#### Verification

I do hereby solemnly affirm on this 14<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2015 at Peshawar declare that the contents of the above reply are true and correct and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Deponent

| POWER OF ATTORNEY  |   |
|--|---|
| In the Court of Lefte Sorvine Tolkend<br>Naccens Anuas   | Pagho   |
| Naceus Harra   | }For  |
|  | _ }Plaintiff  |
|  | }Appellant  |
|  | } Petitioner  |
| VEDCUC   | }Complainant  |
| VERSUS   |   |
| Soll or left and other   | }Defendant  |
|  | Respondent  |
|  | }Accused  |
| Appeal/Revision/Suit/Application/Petition/Case No of   | j   |
| Fixed for  |   |
| I/We, the undersigned, do hereby nominate and appoint  |   |
| IJAZ ANWAR ADVOCATE, SUPREME COURT OF PAK  | TOTAN   |
| 1.10 : 1 11 :  | .151AN  |
| in my same and on my behalf to appear at to appear answer in the above Court or any Court to which the business is transfer  | l attorney, for me  |
| in my same and on my behalf to appear at to appear   | r, plead, act and   |
| answer in the above Court or any Court to which the business is transfe  | rred in the above   |
| matter and is agreed to sign and file petitions. An appeal statementa of   | 00000-4 1:1:4   |
| compromises of other documents whatshever in connection with the   |   |
| matter at ising there from any also to apply for and receive all documents   | a   |
| about terms, depositions etc. and to apply for and regule summong and as   | ·la a.u   |
| poor and to apply for and get issued and arrest affachment or other ave  |   |
| of order and to conduct any proceeding that may arise there outlined   | 4 1 C 1   |
| receive payment of any of all sums of submit for the above motton to a   |   |
| omproject any office Legal Practitioner authorizing him to oversity  | 4Ī ,  |
| admorates hereby connerted on the Advocate wherever he may think for the   | . 1   |
| lawyer may be appointed by my said counsel to conduct the case who shapowers.  | all have the same   |
| powers.  |   |
| AND to all acts legally necessary to manage and conduct the  | said case in all  |
| respects, whether herein specified or not, as may be proper and expedient.   | said case in an   |
|  |   |
| AND I/we hereby agree to ratify and confirm all lawful acts done of  | on my/our behalf  |
| under or by virtue of this power or of the usual practice in such matter.  |   |
| PROVIDED always, that I/we undertake at time of calling of   | 41  |
|  |   |
| The true of the distribution of the proceeded of the state of the stat |   |
| The responsible for the Saille. All costs awarded in favour about the their in   | nsei shall not be   |
| or his nominee, and if awarded against shall be payable by me/us   | it of the counsel   |
| IN WITNESS whereof I/we have hereto signed at  |   |
| the  |   |
| Executant/Executants the year the year   |   |
| Accepted subject to the terms regarding fee  | <del>-</del> |
|  |   |
|  | $J(\mathcal{K})$  |
|  |   |

Colom

Ijaz Anwar

Advocate High Courts & Supreme Court of Pakistan

ADVOCATES, LEGAL ADVISORS, SERVICE & LABOUR LAW CONSULTANT FR-3 &4, Fourth Floor, Bilour Plaza, Saddar, Road, Peshawar Cantt Ph.091-5272154 Mobile-0333-9107225

Will Seavine Totherd Marin Maceum Marines

fort or lept and solves.

Pul Saicis Homein Holle page.

Mester,

Macelyna.