#### <u>BEFORE</u> KHY<u>BER PAKHTUN</u>KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNA PESHAWAR.

#### SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1261/15

Date of institution ... 10.11.2015 Date of judgment 08.11.2016

Shamsher Alam, Ex-Constable No.273, District Police Mardan.

(Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

- Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan Region-1 Mardan.
- District Police Officer Mardan.
- 3. Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

(Respondents)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974, AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 28.10.2015 PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO.1 WHERE BY DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT FILED AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 28.08.2015 OF RESPONDENT NO.2 HAS BEEN REJECTED/FILED.

Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand, Advocate.

Mr. Muhammad Jan, Government Pleader

MR. MUHAMAMD AAMIR NAZIR

MR. PIR BAKHSH SHAH

For appellant.

For respondents.

MEMBER (JUDICIAL) MEMBER(JUDICIAL)

#### <u>JUDGMENT</u>

MUHAMMAD AAMIR NAZIR, MEMBER: Shamsher Alam, ex-constable No.273, District Police Mardan, hereinafter referred to as appellant, through instant appeal under section-4 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa service Tribunal Act 1974 has impugned order dated 28.08.2015 vide which the appellant was dismissed from service. Against the impugned order referred above, appellant filed departmental appeal on 01.09.2015 but the same was also rejected by the appellate authority vide order dated 28.10.2015.

Brief facts of the case giving rise to the instant appeal are that the appellant was 2. appointed as constable in the police department in the year 1997 and there-after remained posted at various police stations and performing duties full devotion. That the appellant while posted at Guard Bangla of respondent No.1 was suspended on the allegation of illegal activities

and inefficiency vide order dated 06.08.2015 and there-after he was issued charge sheet alongwith statement of allegations. That the appellant replied to the charge sheet and statement of allegation by denying his involvement in illegal activities yet, an enquiry was conducted and the appellant was recommended for major punishment. That the competent authority vide impugned order dated 28.08.2015 awarded major punishment of dismissal from service to the appellant. The departmental appeal filed by the appellant against the impugned order was also rejected by the appellate authority vide order dated 28.10.2015, hence the instant appeal.

- 3. Learned counsel for the appellant argued before the court that a one sided enquiry was conducted against the appellant and the appellant was not provided any opportunity to rebut the allegations leveled against him. That the enquiry officer based his findings on the basis of secret information which was never disclosed to the appellant and thus recommended major punishment for the appellant. That the competent authority while relying on the defective enquiry report, awarded major penalty of dismissal from service vide impugned order dated 28.08.2015. That the appellant contention was not considered and the appellate authority also overlooks the claim of the appellant and relied upon the defective enquiry report and rejected departmental appeal of the appellant. That since the allegations were never proved against the appellant, therefore, by acceptance of the instant appeal the appellant be reinstated into service with all back benefits.
- 4. The learned Government Pleader, while rebutting the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant, argued before the Tribunal that since the appellant remained involved in illegal and immoral activities, therefore, the enquiry officer has rightly recommended major punishment for appellant. That the competent authority while considering the facts and circumstances of the case, has rightly awarded major punishment of dismissal from service to the appellant. That the instant appeal being devoid of merits may be dismissed.
- 5. We have heard arguments of learned counsel for the appellant and learned Government Pleader for the respondents and have gone through the record available on file.

8.1.2/s

Perusal of the case file reveals that the appellant while serving as constable was suspended on the allegations of his involvement in illegal activities and inefficiency vide order dated 06.08.2015. There-after, the appellant was issued charge sheet alongwith statements of allegations but no detail of illegal activities and inefficiency was mentioned therein. Though the appellant submitted reply to the charge sheet and statement of allegations, yet enquiry officer was appointed who enquir into the allegations leveled upon the appellant and recommended major punishment. Perusal of enquiry report available on file reveals that only a statement of the appellant was recorded and no other evidence was brought on record to substantiate involvement of the appellant in illegal activities and inefficiency. Enquiry officer based his findings on secret investigation/information but it was mandatory upon the enquiry officer that he should have brought a confidence inspiring evidence regarding involvement of the appellant in illegal activities and should have provide opportunity to the appellant to cross examine the evidence so brought on record. Since, the enquiry report is based on personal opinion of the investigating officer having no material evidence, therefore impugned dismissal order dated 28.8.2015 based on defective enquiry report is of no legal effect. Hence in this circumstances, we are constrained to set aside the impugned dismissal order dated 28.08.2015 and reinstate the appellant into service. The respondents are at liberty to conduct de-novo enquiry if they so desire within a period of sixty days(60) from the date of receipt of this judgment failing which appellant shall be deemed to have been reinstated into service with all back benefits. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED 07.11.2016

> (PIR BAKHSH SHAR) MEMBER

(MUHAMMAD AAMIR NAZIR) MEMBER 08.11.2016

Counsels for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP alongwith Mr. Muhammad Ghani, S.I for respondents present.

Vide our detailed judgment of today consists of three pages placed on file, in this circumstances, we are constrained to set aside the impugned dismissal order dated 28.08.2015 and reinstate the appellant into service. The respondents are at liberty to conduct de-novo enquiry if they so desire within a period of sixty days(60) from the date of receipt of this judgment failing which appellant shall be deemed to have been reinstated into service with all back benefits. Parties are, however, left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record.

Announced 08.11.2016

(MUHAMMAD AAMIR NAZIR) MEMBER

(PIR BAKHSH SHAH) MEMBER Appellant with his counsel and Mr. Muhammad Ghani, SI alongwith Assistant AG for respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted rejoinder which is placed on file. To come up for arguments on 13-7-16.

Member

Member

13.7.2016

Appellant in person and Mr. Muhammad Ghani, SI alongwith Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP for respondents present. Appellant requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for arguments on

8.9.16.

Member

Member

08.09.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Ghani, S.I alongwith Additional AG for respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for arguments on 8-11-16 before D.B.

- //

Member

2 Membe

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant was serving as Constable when subjected to inquiry on the allegations of involvement in illegal activities and due to inefficiency and dismissed from service vide impugned order dated 28.8.2015 where against he preferred departmental appeal on 1.9.2015 which was rejected on 28.10.2015 and hence the instant service appeal on 10.11.2015.

That no show cause notice was issued to the appellant and the inquiry was not conducted in the prescribed manners.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 11.2.2016 before S.B.

Chairmai

11.02.2016

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Muhammad Ghani, SI alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Written reply submitted. The appeal is assigned to D.B for rejoinder and final hearing for 9.5.2016.

Chairman

09.05.2016

Appellant in person Mr. Muhammad Ghani, S.I alongwith Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP for respondents present. Arguments could not be heard due to general strike of the Bar. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 01.07.2016.

Member

# Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of			
Case No	1261/2015		

	Case No	1261/2015
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	10.11.2015	The appeal of Mr. Shamsher Alam presented today by
		Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand Advocate may be entered in the Institution register and put up to the Worthy Chairman fo
	•	proper order.
	·	REGISTRAR
2		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary
		hearing to be put up thereon $23-11-15$ .
		CHALLMAN
٠,		
	,	

# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No 1261 /2015

Shamsher Alam Ex Constable No 273, District Police Mardan.

.....Appellant

#### VERSUS

Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan & others...Respondents

# INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents	Annexure	Pages
1.	Service appeal with affidavit		1-4
2.	Copy of Order, Charge Sheet & reply	A, B & C	5-89
3.	Copy of Order dated 28-08-2015	D	9/0
4.	Copy of Departmental appeal & Order dated 28-10-2015.	E&F	10-49
5.	Copy of FIR	G	101
6.	Wakalat Nama		42415

Dated-:06-11-2015

Appellant

Through

cont

Fazal Shah Mohmand Advocate Peshawar

OFFICE:- Cantonment Plaza Flat 3/B Khyber Bazar Peshawar Cell# 0301 8804841



# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No 1261 /2015

8.W.P. Province Bervice Tribunal Diary No.1332 Cated 10-11-2015

Shamsher Alam Ex Constable No 273, District Police Mardan.

.....Appellant

#### VERSUS

- 1. Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan Region-1 Mardan.
- 2. District Police Officer Mardan.
- 3. Provincial Police Officer KPK Peshawar.......Respondents

APPEAL U/S 4 0F THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 28-10-2015 PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO 1 WHERE BY DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APELLANT FILED AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 28-08-2015 OF RESPONDENT NO 2 HAS BEEN REJECTED/FILED

## **PRAYER:-**

On acceptance of this appeal the impugned Order dated 28-10-2015 of respondent No 1 and Order dated 28-08-2015 of respondent No 2 may kindly be set aside and the appellant may kindly be ordered to be reinstated in service with all back benefits.



Respectfully Submitted:-

# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

No 136 2015	Service Appeal I
-------------	------------------

Shamsher Alam Ex Constable No 270, District Police Mardan. ......Appellant

1. 1. 1. XI

#### VERSUS

- 1. Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan Region-1 Mardan
  - 2. District Police Officer Mardan
- 3. Provincial Police Officer KPK Peshawar .........Respondents

APPEAL US 4 OF THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 28-10-2015 PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO 1 WHERE BY DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APELLANT FILED AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 23-08-2015 OF RESPONDENT NO 2 HAS BEEN REJECTED/FILED

# PRAYER:-

On acceptance of this appeal the impugned Order dated 28-10-2015 of respondent No 1 and Order dated 28-08-2015 of respondent No 2 may kindly be set aside and the appellant may kindly be ordered to be reinstated in service with all back benefits.

Respectfully Submitted:-

- That the appellant joined the respondent Department as Constable on 28-12-1996, remained posted to various Police Stations and since then he performed his duties with honesty and full devotion.
- 2. That the appellant while lastly posted to at Guard Bangla of respondent No 1 was suspended on the allegations of illegal activities and inefficiency vide Order dated 06-08-2015 and was issued charge sheet with statement of allegations, which was replied in detail refuting the allegations and explaining the true position. (Copy of Suspension Order, Charge Sheet and reply are enclosed as Annexure A, B & C).
- 3. That thereafter an illegal inquiry was conducted wherein no one was examined in the presence of the appellant and the appellant was also not provided copy of the same.
- 4. That there after the appellant was awarded the penalty of dismissal from service under Police Rules 1975, by respondent No 2 vide order dated 28-08-2015. (Copy of the order is enclosed as Annexure D).
- 5. That the appellant filed Departmental appeal before respondent No 1on 01-09-2015, which was rejected/filed vide order dated 28-11-2015. (Copy of departmental appeal and order dated 11-03-2015 are enclosed as Annexure E & F).
- 6. That the impugned order dated 28-10-2015 of respondent No 1 and order dated 28-08-2015 of respondent No 2 are against the law, facts and principles of justice on grounds inter alia as follows:-

# GROUNDS:-

A. That the impugned orders are illegal and void abinitio.

1.

- The suppliant joined the respondent Department as Constable on 28-12-1996, remained posted to various Poince Stations and since then he performed his duties with honesty and full devotion.
- 2. That the adjusted while tastly posted to at Guard Bangla of respondent No 1 was suspended on the allegations of illegal activities, and metholegy vide Order dated C8-08-2016 and was isslad clarge sheet with statement of allegations, which was replied in detail rutuling the allegations and explaning the trial position (Copy of Suspension Order, Charge Shect and reply are enclosed as Annexure A. B.&.C)
- 3. That thereafter an illegal inquiry was conducted wherein no one was exclaimed in the presence of the appellant and the appellant, was also not provided copy of the same
- o. That there after the appellant was awarded the penalty of dismissal from service under Police Rules 1975 by respondent to 2 vide order dated 26-28-2015 (Copy of the order is cholosed as Annexure D).
- 5. That the appeliant filed Departmental appeal before respondent No. 1on 01-09-2015, which was rejected/filed vide order dated 23.11-2015. (Copy of departmental appeal and order dated 11-03-2015 are enclosed as Annexure E & F).
- 6. That the impugned order cated 28-10-2015 of respondent Nc 1 and order dated 26 18-20 to of respondent No 2 are against the law, facts and principles of justice on grounds inter alia as follows -

# CROUPDS:-

٠

A. That the impugned orders are illegal and void abunitio



- **B.** That mandatory provisions of law and rules have badly been violated by the respondents and the appellant has not been treated according to law and rules.
- C. That the appellant did nothing that would amount to misconduct and inefficiency.
- **D.** That no proper inquiry was conducted to find out the true facts and circumstances.
- **E.** That no show cause notice was communicated to the appellant nor copy of the inquiry report was provided to the appellant and as such the impugned order is not maintainable in the eyes of law.
- **F.** That the impugned orders are not speaking orders and thus not tenable in the eyes of law.
- **G.**That there is misapplication of law as the law mentioned in the order of respondent No I is not applicable in case of the appellant.
- **H.**That the appellant has been punished without any omission or commission on his part.
- I. That even the appellant is involved in enmity and living alone in quarter is not an offence. (Copy of FIR is enclosed as Annexure G).
- **J.** That even the charges are not clear and no evidence was collected in support of the allegations.
- **K.** That the appellant has about 19 years of service with unblemished service record and is jobless since his illegal dismissal from service.

- **B.** I hat mandatory previsions of law and rules have badly been violated by the respondents and the appellant has not been treated according to law and rules.
- C. That the appellant did nothing that would amount to misconduct and inefficiency.
- **D.** That no proper inquity was conducted to find cut the true facts and circumstances
- E. That no show cause notice was communicated to the appellant nor copy of the inquiry report was provided to the appellant and as such the impugned order is not maintainable in the eyes of law.
- F. That the impugned orders are not speaking orders and thus not tenable in the eyes of law
- **G.** That there is misapplication of law as the law mentioned in the order of respondent No Lis not applicable in case of the appellant
- H. That the appellant has been punished without any omission or commission on his part.
- That even the appellant is involved in enmity and living alone in quarter is not an offence (Copy of FIR is enclosed as Annexure G).
- That even the charges are not clear and no evidence was collected in support of the allegations.
- K. That the appellant has about 19 years of service with unblemished service record and is jobless since his illegal dismissal from service

4

L. That the appellant seeks the permission of this honorable tribunal for further/additional grounds at the time of arguments.

It is therefore prayed that appeal of the appellant may kindly be accepted as prayed for in the heading of the appeal.

Dated-:06-11-2015

Through

Appellant

Fazal Shah Mohmand Advocate, Peshawar.

# **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Shamsher Alam Ex Constable No 273, District Police Mardan, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of this **Appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this honorable Tribunal.

**Identified by** 

DEPONENT

Fazal Shah Mohmand Advocate Peshawar L. That the appellant seeks the permission of this honorable tribunal for further/additional grounds at the time of arguments.

It is therefore prayed that appeal of the appellant may kindly be accepted as prayed for in the heading of the appeal.

Dated-:06-11-2015

V.

Through

Appellant cult

Fazal Shah Mohmand Advocate, Peshawar.

# AFFIDAVIT

i, Shamsher Alam Ex Constable No 273 District Police Mardan do hereby solemnly aftirm and declare on oath that the contents of this **Appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this honorable Tribunal

Identified by

SE--SE DEPONENT

رهم المساحة

Fazal Shal: Mohmand Advocate Peshawar

# ORDER.

Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273 posted at Guard Bangla DIG Mardan is hereby placed under suspension and closed to Police Lines with immediate effect due to his illegal activities and in-efficiency.

OB No. 1315 Dated \_\_\_\_\_\_\_2015

> District Bolice Officer Mardan

# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN.

No 32 > 5/EC, dated Mardan the 70 S 2015. Copy to the:

- 1. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I.
  Mardan.
- 2. Superintendent of Police Operation & HQrs: Mardan.
- 3. DSP HQrs: Mardan: 🔞
- 4. Reader to DPO Mardan with the direction to issue charge sheet/summery of allegation to the defaulter official.
- 5. OHO

ATTESTED

to be true copy
Advocate

# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN

No. 10.30/R/D.A-P.R-1975.

Dated /0 -8 - /2015

# DISCIPLINARY ACTION UNDER KPK POLICE RULES - 1975

I, Gul Afzal Khan District Police Officer. Mardan as competent authority am of the opinion that Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273, rendered himself liable to be proceeded against as he committed the following acts/omission within the meaning of section-02 (iii) of KPK Police Rules 1975.

# STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

That Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273, while posted at Guard Bangla DIG Mardan, is hereby placed under suspension and closed to Police Lines with immediate effect due to his illegal activities and in-efficiency.

- 2. For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of the said official with reference to the above allegations Shamraiz Khan DSP/City Mardan is appointed as Enquiry Officer.
- 3. The enquiry officer shall conduct proceedings in accordance with provisions of Police Rules 1975 and shall provide reasonable opportunity of defense and hearing the accused official, record its findings and make within twenty five (25) days of the receipt of officer.
- 4. The accused officer shall join the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

(GUL AFZAL (HAN)
District Police Officer,
Mardan

# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN

No. 1030 /R, dated Mardan the 10-8- /2015.

Copy of above is forwarded to the:

- DSP/City Mardan for initiating proceedings against the accused official / Officer namely Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273, under Police Rules, 1975.
- 2. Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273, with the directions to appear before the Enquiry Officer on the date, time and place fixed by the enquiry officer for the purpose of enquiry proceedings.

ETED

10 DE

# CHARGE SHEET UNDER KPK POLICE RULES 1975



I, Gul Afzal Khan District Police Officer, Mardan as competent authority hereby charge you Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273, as follows.

That you constable, while posted at Guard Bangla DIG Mardan, is hereby placed under suspension and closed to Police Lines with immediate effect due to your illegal activities and in-efficiency

This amounts to grave misconduct on your part, warranting departmental action against you, as defined in section - 6 (1) (a) of the KPK Police Rules 1975.

- 1. By reason of the above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct under section.—02 (iii) of the KPK Police Rules 1975 and has rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties as specified in section 04 (i) a & b of the said Rules.
- 2. You are therefore, directed to submit your written defense within seven days of the receipt of this charge sheet to the enquiry officer.
- 3. Your written defence if any, should reach to the enquiry officer within the specified period, failing which, it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put-in and in that case, an ex-parte action shall follow against you.
- 4. Intimate whether you desired to be heard in person.

(GUL AFZAL (HAN)

District Police Officer,

Mardan.

ATTESTED

to be true cop

ىناپ عالى!

بحواله مشموله چارج شیٹ نمبر 1030/ مور ند 10.08.2015 مجار پیجناب DPO صاحب مردان معروض خدمت ہوں کہ سائل سال 1996 میں محکمہ پولیس میں بحثیت کنشیل کھرتی ہوکراختا م ٹریننگ مختلف تھانہ معروض خدمت ہوں کہ سائل سال 1996 میں محکمہ پولیس میں بحثیت کنشیل کھر تی ہوکراختا م ٹریننگ مختلف تھانہ جات سم دان میں ڈیوٹی سرانجام دے چاہوں۔ اپنی ڈیوٹی نہایت ایما نداری فرض شناسی کیسا تھ کر کے اپنے سکٹیر افران کو بھی بھی شکایت کا موقع نہیں بدا ہے۔ دوران تعیناتی تھانہ جات ہمراہ PP صاحب صوبہ خیبر مشیات فروشان، تمار بازان، کارلفٹر ان اور ڈیت گروپ گرفتار کیے ہیں۔ جسمیں جناب PP صاحب صوبہ خیبر کختو نخواہ نے بحوالہ مقدمہ علت 716 مور ند 26.08.2003 جرم 1714-1714 تھانہ تخت کو الکھروپ پر برائد ہونے پر برائح - 1000 روپ نقدانعام اور کھائی میں ملز مان گرفتار کر کے اور مال مسروقہ تم ملیخ 55 لاکھروپ پر برائد ہونے پر برائح - 1000 روپ نقدانعام اور کائی ون سر ٹھیکیٹ مردان نے اچھی ڈیوٹی کوئی کائیس ون سر ٹھیکیٹ جبکہ جناب PP صاحب مردان اور جناب PP صاحب انوسکیکیشن مردان نے اچھی ڈیوٹی کرنے پر اللہ OP ساخب بحوالہ OP مار خد 26.01.2009 اور OB نمبر 110 مور خد 2009.01.00 اور OB نمبر 110 مور خد 2009.00 اور نقد انعامات سے نوازا گیا ہوں۔

جناب PPO صاحب صوبہ خیبر پختون خواہ کی عطا کردہ سرٹفیکیٹ کی فوٹو کا پی لف ہمراہ بیان ہذاہہ۔
اسکے علاوہ عرصہ قریب دوسال سے بنگلہ جناب DIG صاحب مردان میں بحثیت گارد کمانڈرڈیوٹی کررہا
ہوں ۔لیکن ابھی تک اپنی ڈیوٹی میں کوئی غفلت وکوتا ہی نہیں کی ہے۔اور ندا بنگ کسی غیرقانونی عوامل میں ملوث رہا
ہوں ۔اسکے علاوہ میرے بوری سروس میں کارکردگی قابل ستا کیش رہی ہے۔اس سلسلہ میں میراعمل نامہ اور سروس ریکارڈ

استدعاء ہے کہ مندرجہ بالاحقائق کو مدنظر رکھتے ہوئے میری جارج شیٹ بلا کاروائی داخل دفتر فرمائی جاوئے۔

العارض

كنسطيل شمشير عالم نمبر 273 متعينه بوليس لائن مردان

ATTESTED
to be true copy
Advocate

# NQUIRY REPORT OF LHC SHAMSHIR ALAM NO. 237.

The Undersigned was deputed to conduct Enquiry of Constable Shamsher Alam No.273, by the Worthy District Police Officer Mardan through office Letter No.1030/R/D.A.P.R/1975, Dated 10/08/2015.

## BRIEF FACTS.

That Constable Shamsher Alam No.273, while posted at Guard Bangla DIG Mardan, is hereby placed under suspension and closed to Police Line with immediate effect due to his illegal activities and in-efficiency.

## PROCEEDINGS.

The proceedings of the enquiry have been conducted strictly in accordance with the NWFP Police Rules 1975.

# STATEMENT OF LHC SHAMSHIR ALAM NO. 237.

The alleged LHC was summoned to appear before the undersigned and record his statement thus he appeared and heard in person wherein his statement was recorded, which is attached herewith for your kind perusal please.

# SECRET INVESTIGATION/ INFORMATION.

Besides this the undersigned conducted secret investigation, to get actual facts, where it was transpired that the alleged LHC has blood enmity, and it is out of sense that despite having enmity he is living alone in a quarter where families are residing in nearby quarters. It is also came into the notice that other women are visiting in his quarter which create doubt. This quarter is far only ten yards from PS city, but why the alleged LHC is not keeping residency in PS City where all facilities are available. The alleged LHC is Guard Commander in the office of Worthy Region Police Chief where also all facility available and safe place for him. Therefore he was found guilty.

# CONCLUSION.

The undersigned has reached to the conclusion, that if agreed, the alleged LHC may be given major punishment please.

- SD'SDPO city

to be true copy

#### POLICE DEPARTMENT



## MARDAN DISTRICT



### ORDER

My this order will dispose of the departmental inquiry, which is conducted against Constable Shamsher Alam No. 237 while posted at Guard Bangla DIG Mardan, is hereby placed under suspension and closed to Police Lines with immediate effect due to his illegal activities and in-efficiency. His this attitude adversely reflected on his performance, while discharge his official duty which is an indiscipline act and grass misconduct on his part as defined in rule 2(iii) of Police Rules 1975.

In this connection, Constable Shamsher Alam No. 237, were issued charge sheets vide this office Nos. 1030/R, dated 10.08.2015 and also proceeded against departmentally through the Shamzraiz Khan DSP/City Mardan, who after fulfilling necessary process, submitted his findings to the undersigned vide his office endorsements Nos. 1323/S dated 24.08.2015 as the allegations have been established against him and recommended him for major punishment.

The undersigned agreed with the findings of enquiry officer and the alleged Constable Shamsher Alam No. 23.7, is hereby dismissed from service, in exercise of the power vested in me under the above quoted rules.

Order announced

O.B No. 1493

Dated 28/8 /2015

(Gul Afzal Afridi)
District Police Officer,

Mardan.

No. 7669 R dated Mardan the 29/8/2015

Copy, for information and necessary action to:-

- 1. The Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan Region-1, Mardan.
- 2. The S.P Operations, Mardan.
- 3. The DSP/HQrs Mardan.
- 4. The Pay Officer (DPO) Marcan.
- 5. The E.C (DPO) Mardan.
- 6. The OASI (DPO) Mardan.

ATTESTED



Appeal apainst-the order of DPO | Marelan 15 sued vide OB NO 1493 dated 28.8.20150 vide is in appealant was awarded Punishing whom is in appealant was awarded Punishing of dismissal from Service.

Respected Sir,

The DPO/Mardan Issued the Change Sheet

out Summary of allegations no 1030-R dated

out Summary of allegations no 1030-R dated

10.8.2015 wien line of llowing allegations:

Posted at Guard Bongla Die mandan o here by Place and winder Suspension and closed to Police lins writer under Suspension and closed to Police lins writer and Immediate offerly due to his illegal activities and

An ine light of the above change Sheet a department of en amin who intrinsed a part to the efforment of the School of the charge submitted a comprenhability reglow to the charge sheet before the Eo but the School was mill considered on applical wood dismissed from the Service by DPO marelan viole of No 1493 debad 28.8.015 debad 28.8.015. (Copy of of No 1493 debad 28.8.015 is enclosed).

and months existed which his appellant his material shown Thronty and his whire Selling and honost by.

The appellant his been enlighed as constable in pilice a depart and on 28. 12. 1906 and honost by.

Police appealant his duty effected by and honost by.

The appealant his duty effected by and honost by.

The appealant has met dealt departmently.

The appealant has met dealt punished Through the prior to the service which is evident from the out his Service which is evident from the appealant Service is record.

ATTESTED
to be true copy
Advocate



Prayus:

O. The appealant is inno earl and he never been jorredned in Such live e Practices.

The ED has not bringin - any eviduce in Support of the allegaling lagarl- The appendant during me Proceeded of

3. Certais rirregularities have been made by me

Eo. dung The enough The gradual 2000 book given the opportunity

Personal heaving and the order of dismissel 200 Possed in absorbing which is again -

No Final Show Care notice was 1'88mind to the appealant and an illeged order was

-that - the ensuing is board on doubt, Suspenion,

One offendal- is married work of leids, when lem hand is totally deputs upon in

appedad Serienz.

coping in vais the drue fuels and Circumstance
which is mumbly reduct will open 1493 detat 18.8.015

who pro mandan reserved will open 1493 detat 18.8.015

and the appendant may be

and the appendant of the spendant of the server and the server a re- Instalcel in Service from the dole of dismissal Plesso.

Datel 1. 9. 2.15

yours obadieully.

Sham Show Alam . No 273 Ex. constalle. District Manelan

ATTESTED





#### ORDER.

This order will dispose-off the appeal preferred by Ex- Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273 of Mardan District Police against the order of District Police Officer, Mardan, wherein he was dismissed from service vide District Police Officer, Mardan OB No. 1493 dated 28.08.2015.

Brief facts of the case are that he while posted at Guard Bangla Worthy DIG/Mardan placed under suspension and closed to Police Lines due to his illegal activities and inefficiency. His this attitude adversely reflected on his performance, while discharging his official duty which is an indiscipline act and gross misconduct on his part, therefore he was recommended for departmental action. In this connection he was charge sheeted and also proceeded against departmentally through Deputy Superintendent of Police City, Mardan who after fulfilling necessary process submitted his findings to District Police Officer, Mardan as the allegation have been established against him and recommended for Major punishment. After perusal of service record District Police Officer, Mardan agreed with the findings of enquiry Officer and the alleged Constable was dismissed from service.

I have perused the record and also heard the appellant in Orderly Room held in this office on 16.09.2015. He failed to justify his innocence and could not advance any cogent reasons in his defence. Therefore, I, MUHAMMAD SAEED, Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan in exercise of the powers conferred upon me reject the appeal, not interfere in the order passed by the competent authority, thus the appeal is filed.

ORDER ANNOUNCED.

(MUHAMMAD SAEED)PSP

Deputy Inspector General of Police,

Mardan Region-I, Mardan

No. 63 / 7 /ES, Dated Mardan the  $\frac{28 - 10}{2015}$ 

Copy to District Police Officer, Mardan for information and necessary action w/r to his office Memo: No. 997/LB dated 04.09.2015. His service record is returned herewith for record in your office.

(\*\*\*\*\*)

ATTESTED
to be true copy

ابتدائي اطلاعي ربورت نادم بر۲۰-۱۵(۱) (فائيل) ابتدائي اطلاع نسبت جرم قابل دست اندازي يوليس ربورث شده زيرد فعد 154 محور ضابط وجداري تان براس المع حارسره ケ、ハンこのこの 14 11 0日 とあころいとい 497/ 34 ا عالم كى الرح الله الله وقعت 12:15 ط تاري دونت د يورث 14 وقت 11:45 4 و مين حان ولامترعام بعري على سال سكر لستر محقركينيت جرم (معدند) مال اگر کچوليا كيام <sub>1927 - 192</sub> 34 / 32 / 302 PC معدند) پرسره نوسی مرم رو د سرد س مائے وقوعہ فاصلہ تعانہ سے اور سیت كادواكى جونفيش كمتعلق كالمي الراطلاع ورج سرسیک تر بری مرا سله فقد در ح رحت کی جا کا۔ كرف من وقف مواتوود عيان كرو تفانه بردائلي كاريخ ووقت بطورسيتل دبورت

ابتدائی اطلاع یجودن کرو تعرفت صدر کم الک کر دری هرا مدا نظر الله عا ما Asiu كرا برخان 1347 فوهول بعد معون وال عد فرف ما ما الم تعان بران ، وروح موس جان ولرسرعالم بعر 35 سال ا ورمقتول سعير حان ولاضعاع 40 سالمان سس كو رستر داران ا مل نا يسحولني بسيسال جا رسره له كر موقين حاليا المدرسة جوش وخواص من بي و من خان ربورط كرماني . كرمس مع برادر أم سمير خان المدرسة عوش مع برادر أم سمير خان الم كا 5892 كا خار بنونك منسون فيمرولل عكر م ساكن د به آح كر رئي ثقا. د به فيسران على ساكنان ديهراح علم سراسلم أنث سے برارا دہ قتل ما انس کے جیکے ما سروں سے میں ملک رقمی ہوا - حقیر برا در آج سجيد خان مك كرفوقع برحان حق بنوا - وج عداوت بهارا ما بن عن مقامله كي دسين ارسى ع - واقع با والدام منعالم ولد صرفار البرار ولد عشر عالى أم اور فنق عمر ولد مكر عم ساكما ب ديم أم له بي محر و درد ملها على - مين برادوام سميردان كي متل الي فيروحيت ا وروالداع سمعالم-الداريما 13 - آور هنعن فير دراللورير برازاده مثل ما يزيل المدن كا مرخلا ف خورت معالم ، متمسّرعالم ، والمسعالم يرون تحامِعا لم مناكمان ديهمام ، دعولا مرسول الدانكونيا منعاع ولامير ما وسكم تست عي الورث مال كا تا تلاك . الله والله في الولال حسي لفتر الل ربورك درج مالا بوكر وه رساما و بحماما ألما - درست ترام كرك زير سان خدد الكوتما نبت كرا ماكما- اسى طرح أما مركستين سي الكوتما نبت كرك فيروح ما نفسه طرران کے کاعلات ورک عطابی رعات فرتب کرنے نرابرخوالات معزاللاندا الامان علاج اور اوستار

ATTESTED

to be true copy
Advocate

المن المراق على براك المراق المراق براك المراق براك المراق المرا

نوت: اطلاع کے نیچے دہندہ کا دستخط ہوگا۔ یا اس کی مہرنشان لگایا جائے گا اورافٹر تحریر کنندہ ابتدائی اطلاع کا دستخط بطور تصدیق ہوگا۔ حروف الف یاب رفشنائی سے بالمقابل نام ہرا کی ملزم یا مشتہر علی التر تیب واسطے باشندگان علاقہ یا وسط ایسیاء یا افغانستان جہاں موز دل ہولکھتا جا ہے۔

POWER OF ATTORNEY	
IN THE COURT OF SOUICE - tribune leps Perhon	noo
Shamsha Alam For	
Plaintiff Appellant Petitioner Complainant VERSUS	
Defendant Respondent Accused	<u>:</u>
Appeal/Revision/Suit/Application/Petition/Case No	
FAZAL SHAH MOHMAND, ADVOCATE HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR	
My/our true and lawful attorney, for me/our in my/our name and on my/our behalf to appear at	
AND to do at acts legally necessary to manage and conduct the said case in all respects whether herein specified or not, as may be proper and expedient.  AND I/we here by agree to ratify and confirm all lawful acts done on my/our behalf under or by virtue of this power or of the usual practice in such matter.	
PROVIDED always, that I/we under take at time of calling of the case by the Court my/our authorized agent shall inform the Advocate and make him appear in Court, if the case may be dismissed in default if it be proceeded ex-parte, the said Counsel shall not be held responsible	

authorized agent shall inform the Advocate and make him appear in Court, if the case may be dismissed in default, if it be proceeded ex-parte, the said Counsel shall not be held responsible for the same. All costs awarded in favor shall be the right of the Counsel or his nominee, and if awarded against shall be payable by me/us.

Attested and Accepted by:

Fazal Shah Mohmand Advocate High Court

OFFICE: - Cantonment Plaza, Flat, 3/B Khyber Bazar Peshawar. Cell # 0301 8804841

Fazal Shah Mohmand Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan

# BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

#### Service Appeal No. 1261/2015.

Parawise Comments on behalf of respondents No. 01, 02 & 03 are submitted as under:-

#### Respectfully Sheweth:

#### PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:-

- 1. That the appellant has not come to this Honourable Tribunal with clean hands.
- 2. That the appellant has got no cause of action.
- 3. That the appellant has concealed material facts from this Honourable Tribunal.
- 4. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct, by law to bring the instant appeal.
- 5. That the present appeal is bad in its present form hence not maintainable and liable to be dismissed.
- 6. That the appeal is bad due to non-joinder of necessary party and mis-joinder of unnecessary parties.
- 7. That the instant appeal is barred by law.

#### **REPLY ON FACTS:-**

- 1. Pertains to record, hence, no comments.
- 2. Correct to the extent of suspension and issuance of charge sheet, however, the reply submitted was not satisfactory.
- 3. Incorrect. Proper departmental enquiry was conducted and all codal formalities were complied with. Besides, enquiry is a secret proceeding and is kept secret till its conclusion, so, the copy thereof cannot be provided to the appellant before its completion.
- 4. Correct, hence, no comments.
- 5. Correct, hence, no comments.
- 6. Incorrect. Both the impugned orders are in accordance with law, facts and principles of justice.

#### **REPLY ON GROUNDS:-**

- A. Incorrect. Both orders are legal & in accordance with law.
- B. Incorrect. There is no violation of any rules/law.
- C. Incorrect. The appellant was found inefficient & guilty of misconduct as described by the Inquiry Officer.
- D. Incorrect. Proper Departmental Enquiry was conducted & the appellant was found guilty there upon.
- E. Incorrect. All codal formalities have been complied with.
- F. Incorrect. The impugned orders are speaking one and are passed after Proper Departmental Enquiry.
- G. Incorrect. There is no mis-application of any law & the appellant was treated under relevant Rules/Law.
- H. Incorrect. The appellant was awarded punishment as he deserved.

- I. Incorrect. The appellant, being constable, was not supposed to leave alone in the quarter.

  Besides, the Inquiry Officer also reported his reputation of no good remarks.
- J. Incorrect. The Inquiry Officer has fairly and justly inquired into the matter.
- K. Para-1 pertains to appellant's service record, however, rest of the Para need no reply.
- L. The respondents also seek permission of presenting further documents etc, if any, at the time of arguments.

## PRAYER:-

It is, therefore, prayed that the appeal of the appellant, being devoid of merits, is liable to be dismissed with costs.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhturkhwa, Peshawar. (Respondent No. 03)

Dy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan. (Respondent No. 01)

District Police Officer, Mardan.

(Respondent No. 02)

# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN

No. 1030/R/D.A-P.R-1975.

Dated 10 -8 - /2015

# DISCIPLINARY ACTION UNDER KPK POLICE RULES - 1975

1, Gul Afzal Khan District Police Officer, Mardan as competent authority am of the opinion that Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273, rendered himself liable to be proceeded against as he committed the following acts/omission within the meaning of section-02;(iii) of KPK Police Rules 1975.

# STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

That Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273, while posted at Guard Bangla DIG Mardan, is hereby placed under suspension and closed to Police Lines with immediate effect due to his illegal activities and in-efficiency.

- 2. For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of the said official with reference to the above allegations Shamraiz Khan DSP/City Mardan is appointed as Enquiry Officer.
- 3. The enquiry officer shall conduct proceedings in accordance with provisions of Police Rules 1975 and shall provide reasonable opportunity of defense and hearing to the accused official, record its findings and make within twenty five (25) days of the receipt of this order, recommendation as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused officer.

4. The accused officer shall join the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

(GUL AFZAL MAN)

District Police Officer,

Mardan

# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN

No. 1030 /R, dated Mardan the 10 - 8 - 2015.

## Copy of above is forwarded to the:

- 1. DSP/City Mardan for initiating proceedings against the accused official / Officer namely Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273, under Police Rules. 1975.
- 2. Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273, with the directions to appear before the Enquiry Officer on the date, time and place fixed by the enquiry officer for the purpose of enquiry proceedings.

\*\*\*\*\* 111 \*\*\*\*

Mary 2003

# CHARGE SHEET UNDER KPK-POLICE RULES 1975

I, Gul Afzal Khan District Police Officer, Mardan as competent authority hereby charge you Constable Shamsher Aiam No. 273, as follows.

That you constable, while posted at Guard Bangla DIG Mardan, is hereby placed under suspension and closed to Police Lines with immediate effect due to your illegal

This amounts to grave misconduct on your part, warranting departmental activities and in-efficiency action against you, as defined in section - 6 (1) (a) of the KPK Police Rules 1975.

- By reason of the above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct under section. 02 (iii) of the KPK Police Rules 1975 and has rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties as specified in section - 04 (i) a & b of the said Rules.
- You are therefore, directed to submit your written defense within seven days of the receipt of this charge sheet to the enquiry officer. 2.
- Your written defence if any, should reach to the enquiry officer within the specified period, failing which, it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put-in and in that case, an ex-parte action shall follow against you.
  - Intimate whether you desired to be heard in person.

District Police Officer,

) Mardan.

بحواله مشموله چارج شیت نمبر 1030/ مورخد 10.08.2015 مجارید جناب DPO صاحب مردان مروض خدمت بول کرمائل سال 1996 میں محکمہ پولیس میں بحثیت کنشیل بھرتی بوکرانفتا م ٹرینگ مختلف تھانہ بھات ضلع مردان میں ڈیوٹی سرانجام دے چکا بول۔ اپئی ڈیوٹی نہایت ایمانداری فرض شنای کیساتھ کر کے اپنے سئیر افسران کو بھی بھی شکایت کا موقع نہیں بدائے۔ دوران تعیناتی تھانہ جارہ SHO صاحبان کافی بحرمان اشہتاری، افسران کو بھی بھی شکایت کا موقع نہیں بدائے۔ دوران تعیناتی تھانہ جارہ اور جارہ باز ان ، کارلفز ان اور ڈیٹ گروپ گرفتار کے ہیں۔ جسمیں جناب PPO صاحب صوبہ خیبر بھی کی میں ماز باز ان ، کارلفز ان اور ڈیٹ گروپ گرفتار کے ہیں۔ جسمیں جناب 1000 دو تہ ملغ 55 لا کھرد پے برالم ہونے پرمنٹ - 1000 دو پے نقدانعام اور کھائی میں ملز بان گرفتار کے اور مال مروقہ رقم ملنغ 55 لا کھرد پے برالم ہونے پرمنٹ - 1000 دو پے نقدانعام اور کھائی ون سرٹھیکیٹ مردان اور جناب SP صاحب انوسٹیکیٹن مردان نے اچھی ڈیوٹی کی دوئی دوئی 26.01.2009 دو کے 1000 دونے 1000

جناب PPO صاحب صوبہ خیبر پختون خواہ کی عطا کردہ سرٹفیکیٹ کی فوٹو کا پی لف ہمراہ بیان ہذا ہے۔
اسکے علاوہ عرصہ قریب دوسال سے بنگلہ جناب DIG صاحب مردان میں بحیثیت گارد کمانڈرڈیوٹی کررہا

ہوں ۔لیکن ابھی تک اپنی ڈیوٹی میں کوئی غفلت وکوتا ہی نہیں کی ہے۔اور نہ ابتک کسی غیر قانونی عوامل میں ملوث رہا

ہوں ۔اسکے علاوہ میرے پوری سروس میں کارکردگی قابل ستائیش رہی ہے۔اس سلسلہ میں میراعمل نامہ اور سروس ریکارڈ

استدعاء ہے کہ مندرجہ بالاحقائق کو مدنظر رکھتے ہوئے میری چارج شیٹ بلا کاروائی داخل دفتر فر مائی جاوئے۔

العارض

كنسليل شمشيرعا لم نمبر 273 متعينه يوليس لاكن مردان

# NQUIRY REPORT OF LHC SHAMSHIP ALAM NO. 237.

The Undersigned was deputed to conduct Enquiry of Constable Shamsher Alam No.273, by the Worthy District Police Officer Mardan through office Letter No.1030/R/D.A.P.R/1975, Dated 10/08/2015.

## BRIEF FACTS.

f That Constable Shamsher Alam No.273, while posted at Guard Bangla DIG Mardan, is hereby placed under suspension and closed to Police Line with immediate effect due to his illegal activities and in-efficiency.

## PROCEEDINGS.

The proceedings of the enquiry have been conducted strictly in accordance with the NWFP Police Rules 1975.

# STATEMENT OF LHC SHAMSHIR ALAM NO. 237.

The alleged LHC was summoned to appear before the undersigned and record his statement thus he appeared and heard in person wherein his statement was recorded, which is attached herewith for your kind perusal please.

# SECRET INVESTIGATION/ INFORMATION.

Besides this the undersigned conducted secret investigation, to get actual facts, where it was transpired that the alleged LHC has blood enmity, and it is out of sense that despite having enmity he is living alone in a quarter where families are residing in nearby quarters. It is also came into the notice that other women are visiting in his quarter which create doubt. This quarter is far only ten yards from PS city, but why the alleged LHC is not keeping residency in PS City where all facilities are available. The alleged LHC is Guard Commander in the office of Worthy Region Police Chief where also all facility available and safe place for him. Therefore he was found guilty.

# CONCLUSION.

The undersigned has reached to the conclusion, that if agreed, the alleged LHC may be given major punishment please.

Dreseniscod In

Deputy Superintendent of Police,

City Circle, Mardan

# ORDER

My this order will dispose of the departmental inquiry, which is conducted against Constable Shamsher Alam No. while posted at Guard Bangla DIG Mardan, is hereby placed under suspension and closed to Police Lines with immediate effect due to his illegal activities and in-efficiency. His this attitude adversely reflected on his performance, while discharge his official duty which is an indiscipline act and grass misconduct on his part as defined in rule 2(iii) of Police Rules 1975.

In this connection, Constable Shamsher Alam No. 237, were issued charge sheets vide this office Nos. 1030/R, dated 10.08.2015 and also proceeded against departmentally through the Shamzraiz Khan DSP/City Mardan, who after fulfilling necessary process, submitted his findings to the undersigned vide his office endorsements Nos. 1323/S dated 24.08.2015 as the allegations have been established against him and recommended him for major punishment.

The undersigned agreed with the findings of enquiry officer and the alleged Constable Shamsher Alam No. 25°, is hereby dismissed from service, in exercise of the power vested in me under the above quote I rules.

Order announced

O.B No. 1493

Dated 28/8 /2015

(Gul Afzal Affidi)
District Police Officer,

Mardan.

No. 7669 R

dated Mardan the 22/2/18 /2015

Copy for information and necessary action to:-

- 1. The Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan Region-1, Mardan.
- 2. The S.P Operations, Mardan
- 3. The DSP/HQrs Mardan.
- 4. The Pay Officer (DPO) Maddin.
- 5. The E.C (DPO) Mardan.
- 6. The OASI (DPO) Mardan.

#### ORDER.

This order will dispose-off the appear preferred by Ex- Constable Shamsher Alam No. 273 of Mardan District Police against the order of District Police Officer, Mardan, wherein he was dismissed from service vide District Police Officer, Mardan OB No. 1493 dated 28.08.2015.

Brief facts of the case are that he while posted at Guard Bangla Worthy DIG/Mardan placed under suspension and closed to Police Lines due to his illegal activities and inefficiency. His this a titude adversely reflected on his performance, while discharging his official duty which i an indiscipline act and gross misconduct on his part, therefore he was recommended for departmental action. In this connection he was charge sheeted and also proceeded against departmentally through Deputy Superintendent of Police City, Mardan who after fulfilling necessary process submitted his findings to District Police Officer, Mardan as the allegation have been established against him and recommended for Major punishr ent. After perusal of service record District Police Officer, Mardan agreed with the Indings of enquiry Officer and the alleged Constable was dismissed from service.

I have perused the record and also heard the appellant in Orderly Room held in this office on 16.09.2015. He ailed to justify his innocence and could not dvance any cogent reasons in his defence. I herefore, I, WUHAMMAD SAEED, Deputy aspector General of Police, Mardan Region- Mardan in exercise of the powers conferred por me reject the appeal, not interfere in the order passed by the competent authority, nus the appeal is filed.

RDER: ANNOUNCED.

Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan

Dated Mardan the

Copy to District Police Of icer, Mardage for information and necessary tion w/r to his office Memo: No. 997/LB da ad 04.09.2015. His service record is returned

rewith for record in your office.

Par refaction.
3-18 Apolladan.
air8/10/15.

# $\frac{\text{BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA,}}{\text{PESHAWAR.}}$

Ex-Constable Shamsher Alam No. 2	73, District Police.	, Mardan	
			Appellant
District Police officer, Mardan & ot	VERSUS.		Respondents.

Service Appeal No. 1261/2015.

#### **COUNTER AFFIDAVIT.**

We, the respondents do hereby declare and solemnly affirm on oath that the contents of the Para-wise comments in the service appeal cited as subject are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. (Respondent No. 03)

Dy Inspector General of Police, Mandan Region-I, Mardan. (Respondent No. 01)

District Police Officer, Mardan.

(Respondent No. 02)

#### BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 1261/2015.

Ex-Constable Shamsher Alam No.	273, District Police, Mar	rdan
	***************************************	Appellant.
a with the	VERSUS.	Appellant.
		Respondents.

#### AUTHORITY LETTER.

Mr. Muhammad Shafiq Inspector Legal, (Police) Mardan is hereby authorized to appear before the Honourable Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar in the above captioned service appeal on behalf of the respondents. He is also authorized to submit all required documents and replies etc. as representative of the respondents through the Addl: Advocate General/Govt. Pleader, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhturkhwa, Peshawar. (Respondent No. 03)

Dy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan. (Respondent No. 01)

District Police Officer, Mardan.

(Respondent No. 02)

## BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No 1261/2015.	
Shamsher Alam	Appellant.
VERSUS	
DRO & Others	Posnandants

#### REPLICATION ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT.

#### REPLY TO PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.

لتسفر مسم

Ĭ .

All the preliminary objections raised by the respondents are incorrect and as such denied. Instant appeal is not bad in law and its present form, appellant has come to this honorable Tribunal with clean hands, he has got a valid cause of action and he has concealed nothing from this honorable Tribunal. Instant appeal is not barred by law, in which all necessary parties have been imp leaded, and the appellant is not stopped by his conduct to bring instant appeal.

## REPLY TO FACTS/GROUNDS.

Comments of the respondents are full of contradictions and are based on malafide. Respondents have failed to show that the appellant did anything that would amount to misconduct. The comments amount to admissions on part of the respondents, as they have failed to deny the plea of the appellant. Respondents have failed to prove that proper inquiry/procedure has been conducted and that show cause notice was communicated to the appellant. The appellant is involved in blood enmity as has not denied by the respondents. The action against the appellant has been taken on the basis of secret information having no source to be proved. Even during entire service career of nineteen years, no complaint of involvement in immoral and illegal activities was ever alleged, as such the action in unfounded and unsubstantiated. The punishment awarded to the appellant is thus maintainable in the eyes of law and liable to be set aside on this score alone. Respondents have also admitted that the appellant

was not provided the opportunity of personal hearing and the orders are as such void and unfounded.

In the circumstances the appellant has been punished without any omission or commission on his part and he has not committed any misconduct. Even living alone in the quarter is not an offence/misconduct and thus the appellant has been punished for no fault. Respondents have failed to substantiate their version and bring anything on record in support of their version; as such the impugned orders are not maintainable in the eyes of law and liable to be set aside.

It is therefore prayed that appeal of the appellant may kindly be accepted as prayed for in the heading of the appeal.

Dated:-

-07-2016

Through

Fazal Shah Mohmand

Advocate Peshawar

# AFFIDAVIT

I, Shamsher Alam Ex Constable No 273 District Police Mardan (The Appellant), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of this **Replication** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this honorable Tribunal.

Identified by

Fazal Shah Mohmand

Advocate Peshawar.

**DEPONENT** 

Janost

# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No 1261/2015.			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	:		1 - 1	1
Shanisher Alam			*******	******************

## **VERSUS**

DPO & Others.....Respondent

# REPLICATION ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT.

## REPLY TO PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.

All the preliminary objections raised by the respondents are incorrect and as such denied. Instant appeal is not bad in law and its present form, appellant has come to this honorable Tribunal with clean hands, he has got a valid cause of action and he has concealed nothing from this honorable Tribunal. Instant appeal is not barred by law, in which all necessary parties have been impleaded, and the appellant is not stopped by his conduct to bring instant appeal.

# REPLY TO FACTS/GROUNDS.

Comments of the respondents are full of contradictions and are based on malafide. Respondents have failed to show that the appellant did anything that would amount to misconduct. The comments amount to admissions on part of the respondents, as they have failed to deny the plea of the appellant. Respondents have failed to prove that proper inquiry/procedure has been conducted and that show cause notice was communicated to the appellant. The appellant is involved in blood enmity as has not denied by the respondents. The action against the appellant has been taken on the basis of secret information having no source to be proved. Even during entire service career of nineteen years, no complaint of involvement in immoral and illegal activities was ever alleged, as such the action in unfounded and unsubstantiated. The punishment awarded to the appellant is thus maintainable in the eyes of law and liable to be set aside on this score alone. Respondents have also admitted that the appellant

was not provided the opportunity of personal hearing and the orders are as such void and unfounded.

In the circumstances the appellant has been punished without any omission or commission on his part and he has not committed any misconduct. Even living alone in the quarter is not an offence/misconduct and thus the appellant has been punished for no fault. Respondents have failed to substantiate their version and bring anything on record in support of their version; as such the impugned orders are not maintainable in the eyes of law and liable to be set aside.

It is therefore prayed that appeal of the appellant may kindly be accepted as prayed for in the heading of the appeal.

Dated:-

-07-2016

**Appellant** 

**Through** 

**Fazal Shah Mohmand** 

Advocate Peshawar

# AFFIDAVIT

I, Shamsher Alam Ex Constable No 273 District Police Mardan (The Appellant), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of this **Replication** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this honorable Tribunal.

Identified by

**DEPONENT** 

Fazal Shah Mohmand

Advocate Peshawar.

# KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

No. <u>1895</u> /ST

Dated 14 / 11 / 2016

To

The D.P.O, Mardan.

Subject: -

**JUDGMENT** 

I am directed to forward herewitlh a certified copy of Judgement dated 7.11 .2016 passed by this Tribunal on the above subject for strict compliance.

Encl: As above

REGISTRAR KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR.