08th May, 2023

- 16

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand, Additional Advocate General alongwith Munawar Khan, ADO for the respondents present.

ADO for the respondents present

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the earlier 2. implementation petition of the petitioner was filed on production of office order No. 117-25 dated 03.01.2023, whereby the judgment of the Tribunal was shown to have been complied with while the order dated 03.01.2023 shows that in compliance of the judgment of the Tribunal the petitioners were reinstated in service with all back benefits, but it is alleged that the petitioners were not actually given any back benefits and the order dated 03.01.2023 was just to get the execution application filed. Before proceeding ahead, in view of the judgments produced by the learned counsel for the petitioner reported as 1996 SCMR 284, PLD 2013 Supreme Court 501, 2012 PLC (C.S) 1025 & PLD 2012 Supreme Court 923, let us provide a last chance to the respondents to actually implement the judgment, failing which coercive measures would be taken against them in view of the above judgments. To come up for implementation report on 15.05.2023 before S.B. P.P given to the parties

> (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman