

25.08.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Naheed Khan, Assistant alongwith Mr. Naseer-ud-Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned Member (Judicial) Ms. Rozina Rehman is on leave, therefore, arguments could not be heard. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 24.10.2022 before the D.B.




(Salah-Ud-Din)
Member (Judicial)

24.10.2022

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Imran Akbar, Assistant alongwith Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is not available today due to strike of lawyers. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 12.12.2022 before the D.B.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar



(Mian Muhammad)
Member (E)




(Salah-Ud-Din)
Member (J)

12.12.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present.

Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney alongwith Imran Akbar, Assistant for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment in order to further prepare the brief. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 01.03.2023 before the D.B.



(FAREEHA PAUL)
Member(E)



(ROZINA REHMAN)
Member (J)

1st Mar, 2023

Clerk of learned counsel for appellant present. Mr. Umair Azam, Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Counsel are on strike. The case is adjourned. To come up for arguments on 09.05.2023 before D.B. PP given to the parties.



(Rozina Rehman)
Member (J)



(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

09th May, 2023

1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney alongwith Riaz Khan, S.O for the respondents present.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the claim of the appellant was though clear to the extent of seeking ante-dation of promotion but he also sought such ante-dation of promotion with all consequential benefits including seniority. He says that such claim of the seniority was hidden in the relief of all back benefits, therefore, it is necessary that the officers who are presently senior to the appellant should be arrayed as party and when a respondent is added the appeal requires amendment accordingly. We allow the request of the appellant and directed him to file amended memo and grounds of appeal arraying all those officers as respondents from whom the appellant claims seniority. The amended appeal be filed within seven days, where-after, the private respondents shall be summoned through TCS, the expenses of which shall be deposited by the appellant alongwith the amended memo and grounds of appeal. The official and private respondents may file replies within seven days on or before 13.07.2023 before D.B. P.P given to the parties


(Fareeha Paut)
Member (E)


(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

Kaleem Ullah