Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

- Court of

Implementation Petition No. 293/2023

Date of order Order or other proceedings with signature of judge S.No. proceedings 2 1 The execution petition of Mr. Junaid Khan 11.05.2023 -1 submitted today by Mr. Muhammad Yousaf Orakzai Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar on . Originalfile be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. By the order of Chairman REGISTRAR



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution PetitionNo. 2927/2023 In Service Appeal No. 1028/2017

Khyber Pakhtukhwa orvice Tribu Binry I

Junaid Khan

Versus

District Police Officer, Hangu & Other

Pages Serial Particulars Nø Miscellaneous Application alongwith affidavit 1 1-3 "A" Copy of CNIC of the petitioner 2 4 "B" Copy of order & Judgment dated 15-02-2023 3 5-12 Wakalatnama 4 13

Junaid Khan(Petitioner)

Through

Mohammad Yousaf Orakzai Advocate High Court Office FF12 Bilour Plaza Peshawar Cantt 0301-8808685

Dated 11-05-2023

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. 293 /2023 In Service Appeal No. 1028/2017

Junaid Khan S/O Khial Gul

ġ.

R/O Kacha Banda Tehsil and District Hangu

Pesnawar

01207 for Enflyeress Diary No. 5278

Petitioner

Versus

1. District Police Officer, Hangu;

2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region Kohat;

3. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

EXECUTION PETITION FOR EXECUTION OF ORDER/JUDGEMENT PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE TRIBUNAL IN SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1028/2017 DATED 15-02-2023

RESPECTIVELY SHEWETH:-

1) That the petitioner is indeed a law-abiding citizen of Pakistan is the permanent and bona fide resident of district, Peshawar.

The Copy of CNIC is annexed as "A"

2) That the petitioner has approached before this learned tribunal vide Service Appeal No. 1028/2017, which has been honored, vide order dated 15-02-2023.

The Copy of the order/judgment dated 15-02-2023 is annexed as "B"

3) That non-compliance of the aforesaid judgment of this august Court on the part of the respondents is illegal, and beyond the jurisdiction.

- 4) That the respondents were duty bound to give effect to the said judgment in letter and spirit.
- 5) That ignorance of the law is no excuse, hence, the respondents may kindly be booked for the willful disobedience of the order this august Court.
- 6) That this august Court is empowered to proceed against the respondents.
- 7) That other ground will be urged at the time of arguments

PRAYER:-

It is therefore, humbly prayed on acceptance of this application, the judgment and order dated 15-02-2023 may kindly be implemented in the letter and spirit.

Junaid Khan (Petitioner)

Through

1. Mohammad Yousaf Orakzai

Mohammad Yaseen Orakzai
Advocates High Court

Certificate:-

It is hereby certified that no such like application has been filed on the subject as per information conveyed to us, and nothing has been concealed therein.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No.

_____/2023 alongwith interim relief

In Service Appeal No. 1028/2017

Junaid Khan

Versus

Asif Bahadur Khan District Police Officer, Hangu & Other

AFFIDAVIT

I,Junaid Khan S/O Khial GulR/O Kacha Banda Tehsil and District Hangu (petitioner) do hereby affirm on oath that the content of miscellaneous application are true and correct and nothing has been concealed therein.

sha

Deponent Junaid Khan CNIC No. 1461 - 42/1938 - 3 Mobile No. 0333 - 9285 307

Identified by:-

Mohammad Yousaf Orakzai Advocate High Court

BEFORE THE HON'ABLE KHYBER PAKHTU	<u>NKI</u>
SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.	•

Versus

Appeal no 1028/2017

Junaid Khan Appellant R/O Banda Dak Ikhanan Hango, Near PTC Rai/way Pattak wangs

2.

1. District Police Officer, Hangu.

ervice Tribunal Diary No. 1067

Khyber Pakhtakhwa

Pakh

1849

Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region, Kohat.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 3.

Respondents

SERVICE APPEAL U/S 4 OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974; AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 29-03-2017 OF RESPONDENT NO.1, WHEREIN THE APPELLANT WAS COMPULSORY RETIRED FROM SERVICE.

PRAYER-IN-APPEAL:

By accepting this appeal, the impugned order of the Respondent No.1 may graciously be set-aside and the appellant may kindly be re-instated in his service with all back benefits alongwith grant of any other remedy deemed fit by this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Respectively Sheweth:

Facts leading the institution of the instant appeal are;

FESTED

BRIEF FACTS:

a) That the appellant joined Police Department as Constable on 18-06-2002 and was

 \mathcal{I} promoted to the rank of HC and then was shoulder promoted as ASI on the basis nd a vortise of the high ups.

Registran

82 montes terms

b) That the appellant indeed is an illiterate official but remained posted at different points/posts of Police Stations' of District Hangu during the days of militancy Re-submitted to -day and filed.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 1028/2017

Date of Institution ... 12.09.2017

Date of Decision... 15.02.2023

Junaid Khan, R/O Banda Dak Khana Hangu, Near PTC Railway pattak Hangu. ... (Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

District Police Officer, Hangu and 02 others.

MR. MOHAMMAD YOUSAF ORAKZAI, Advocate

MR. MUHAMMAD ADEEL BUTT, Additional Advocate General

SALAH-UD-DIN FAREEHA PAUL

•

For appellant.

(Respondents)

Pakhtun

IEUT

For respondents.

MEMBER (JUDICIAL) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGMENT:

SALAH-UD-DIN, MEMBER:- Precise facts forming the background of the instant service appeal are that the appellant joined Police Service as Constable on 18.06.2002. During the course of his service, disciplinary action was taken against him on the allegations that complaints were received that the appellant was in the habit of conducting raids upon houses of innocent people for illegal financial gains. On conclusion of departmental proceedings, he was dismissed from service vide O.B No. 425 dated 09.08.2010, however his service appeal was disposed of by the patcheter patcheter this Tribunal with the directions to DIG Kohat Region Kohat to decide the departmental appeal of the appellant in accordance with law. The DIG Kohat Region Kohat dismissed the departmental appeal of the appellant on 28.05.2013 with the findings that departmental appeal filed by the appellant before DIG Kohat Region Kohat had already been disposed of vide order dated 29.09.2010 resulting into de-novo inquiry against the appellant, followed by order dated 27.12.2010, whereby the appellant was dismissed from service. The afore-mentioned order of dismissal of the appellant was again challenged by him through filing of another service appeal No. 569/2013 before this Tribunal, which was disposed of vide order dated 13.05.2012 with the directions to the respondents to treat the service appeal of the appellant as departmental appeal and to decide the same within a period of one month failing which the service appeal of the appellant was deemed to have been accepted. The DIG Kohat Region Kohat rejected the departmental appeal of the appellant vide order dated 10.06.2015, whereby the dismissal order of the appellant dated 27.12.2010 was maintained, constraining the appellant to file another service appeal No. 712/2015 before this Tribunal, which was allowed vide judgment dated 16.01.2017 and the matter was remitted to the competent Authority for de-novo inquiry. On conclusion of the de-novo inquiry proceedings, the appellant was awarded major penalty of compulsory retirement from service the impugned order bearing O.B No. vide 200 dated 29.03.2017, which was challenged through filing of departmental

ESTED

appeal, however the same was not responded within the statutory period, hence the instant service appeal.

2. Notices were issued to the respondents, who submitted their comments, wherein they refuted the assertions raised by the appellant in his appeal.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has addressed his arguments supporting the grounds agitated by the appellant in his service appeal. On the other hand, learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents has controverted the arguments of learned counsel for the appellant and has supported the comments submitted by the respondents.

4. Arguments have already been heard and record perused.

5. The appellant's pervious service appeal bearing No. 712/2015 was allowed by this Tribunal vide judgment dated 16.01.2017. Para-7 of the said judgment is reproduced as below:-

"7. The crux of the aforementioned discussion is that appellant was initially removed from service on the ground that he used to conduct illegal raids for ulterior motives, that the said charge was moulded in last order of R.P.O dated 10.06.2015 to the effect that criminal cases were registered against him. That he had ill reputation and that he had quarreled with his colleagues and aimed official weapon on them. The record is utterly silent to the effect that proper show-cause notice and statement of <u>allegations have ever been served</u> bν the respondents upon the appellant with all aforesaid charges. (Emphasis provided) Appellant was never associated with any inquiry proceedings and all the alleged departmental inquires from the record is evident were conducted in his absentia. We are of the affirm opinion that it is the bounden duty of the competent authority to proceed in accordance with

AHTESTED ANAMINER Mylic Poentukhws Selector Tribunes law and procedure despite the fact that there were heinous charges against the appellant. We deem it as important that proper inquiry is must in the instant case so as to provide complete opportunity to appellant to make his defence. Therefore, the appeal in hand is hereby accepted. The appellant is reinstated into service, however he shall remain suspended. The respondents are directed to conduct proper inquiry by providing proper statement of allegation, charge sheet and full opportunity to appellant not only to be associated with the inquiry but also with opportunity of cross-examination and defence. The de-novo inquiry shall be concluded within the span of sixty days failing which the suspension order will stand vacated. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room."

6. Despite clear directions being given in the above reproduced para-7 of the judgment dated 16.01.2017, the competent Authority conducted the de-novo inquiry proceedings in a slipshod manner and it appears that the competent Authority and the inquiry officer did not bother to follow the directions of this Tribunal in letter and spirit. A perusal of the record would show that during the de-novo inquiry proceedings, charge sheet as well as statement of allegations were issued to the appellant on 08.03.2017. The relevant para-a & para-b of charge sheet/statement of allegations as issued to the appellant are reproduced as below:-

> " a). In the light of judgment of service tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar dated 16.01.2017 & Now the Honourable court of Service Tribunal issued direction about your reinstatement/Denovo departmental inquiry vide Registrar Service Tribunal Khyber, Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar letter No. 253/ST dated 01.02.2017 & Order of your reinstatement issued by the undersigned vide this office OB No. 147 dated 07.03.2017. You while posted Naryab Dam PS Doaba was found involved in illegal/extra departmental activities

ATTESTED (Secu Tribuna Bestewes

departmentally proceeding against your was initiated on the above charges and was dismissed from service by the then DPO Hangu Order bearing OB No. 425 dated 09.08.2010.

10

b). Your above act shows criminal gross misconduct on your part which cannot be ignored."

7. While going through the charge sheet as well as statement of allegations, it can be observed that the same are quite weird in nature and do not show any particular allegation on the basis of which, disciplinary action was taken. Although, the appellant was not proceeded against on the allegations of criminal cases registered against him, however the inquiry officer has categorically mentioned in his report that the appellant has been acquitted in all the criminal cases due to non-availability of any evidence against him. Moreover, such findings of the inquiry officer are in support of the appellant, the inquiry officer has not given any findings that the appellant was guilty of the charge leveled against him. The competent Authority was thus not legally justified in awarding major penalty to the appellant.

8. The appellant was awarded major penalty of compulsory retirement from service vide the impugned order bearing O.B No. 200 dated 29.03.2017 and on its communication, he challenged the same through filing of application/appeal before the Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar on 15.05.2017, which remained un-responded. The appellant was though required to have filed departmental appeal before the D.I.G Kohat Region Kohat, however filing of application/appeal before the Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar



would not make the appeal in hand as not maintainable. In view of Rule-6 (d) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Appeal Rules, 1986, it was required that the departmental appeal of the appellant should have been withheld and he should have been informed of the fact that the same has been addressed to an officer, to whom the appeal was not lying, however the same has not been done. Second proviso to Rule-6 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Appeal Rules, 1986, provides that an appeal withheld for failure to comply with requirement of rule-4 or clause-d of rule-6 may be resubmitted within thirty days of the date on which the appellant is informed of the withholding of the appeal and, if resubmitted properly in accordance with the requirements of these rules, the same shall be deemed to be an appeal under rule-3 and shall be dealt with in accordance with the provision of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Appeal Rules, 1986.

9. Consequently, the appeal in hand is allowed by setting-aside the impugned orders and the appellant is reinstated in service with all back benefits. Obviously, the appellant has received pensionary benefits and on his reinstatement in service, he is required to return back the same. On the other hand, the appellant on account of his compulsory retirement has not received his salary from the date of his compulsory retirement, therefore, it is deemed appropriate to direct that the back financial benefits of the **ATTESTEE** appellant from the date of his compulsory retirement till the date of his reinstatement shall be adjusted towards the pensionary benefits received by the appellant. In case the said amount is less

6

than the amount of pensionary benefits already received by the appellant then the remaining outstanding amount of pensionary benefits may be recovered from the ensuing salaries of the appellant in appropriate monthly installment and if still there exist certain outstanding amount, then the same may be recovered from the pensionary benefits which the appellant would receive on his retirement. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

12

ANNOUNCED 15.02.2023

(FAREEHA P) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

Certified to be ture copy hwa Tribunal Pashawan

Date of Precentation of Application 10 CI III Number nving Fee -4<u>0</u> TOWN 05 Net and a 1.0 Date of Carry Justician. 10 Date of Delivery of Copy

(SALAH-UD-DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

73609 ابثروكيث باركونس/ ايسوى ايش نمبر: <u>7 4 ك 5 - 1 م) الح</u> يشاور بارايسوسى ايشن، خيبر يختو تخواه دابط نمبر: <u>(8 86 878 - 1080</u> منجانب Execution Patition 1893 :*r*7: تحانه عث تحرير آنكه مقدمه مند دچې عنوان بالاميں اپنی طرف سے داسطے پيروی وجواب د ہی کار دائي متعلقہ 8. mil 1. Oveksai famil & Momil. Com The sy level and a stranger berge and آن مقام بر کے اور ایک جاتا ہے کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا_ی نیز دکیل صاحب کو ن و المراح و المراحة و المراحة و المراحة و المراح و المراح و المراحة و المراحة المراحة المراحة المراحة المراحة ا راضي نامديلا زریں پر دستخط کزنے کا اختیار ہوگا، نیز بصورت عدم پیردی یا ڈگری یکطرفہ یا اپل کی برآ مدگی اور مُنْلُوخی، دائر كرافي الجيل عمراني دنظرتاني وبيردى كربني كامتار بوكاادر بصورت منرورت مقده مذكوره بي كل ياجزوي كاروائي ليجردا سلط اوروكيل بامتيار قالغوني كواسية تهمراه باالمية بيجائج تقرر كااختبار بهوكا أورصاحب مقرر شده كؤوبهي جمله فيكوره بااختيارات حاصل موب كاوراس كاساخته برداخته منظور وقبول موكا دوران مقدمہ میں جوجرچہ ہرجانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے ہوگا۔ کوئی تاریخ پیش مقام دورہ یا حد سے باہر ہوتو ویل صاحب یا بند نہ ہوئے کے کیہ پیروی مذکورہ کریں،البذا وکالت نامہ کھودیا تا کہ سندر المرقوم: ك اس د كالت ما مركى فو نو كابي ما قاتل قبول :