18<sup>th</sup> April, 2023

- 1. Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for the official respondents and counsel for private respondent No. 6 present.
- 2. Both the parties request that this appeal may be heard at Peshawar. So for the convenience of the parties it is fixed for Peshawar, however, the appellant is given last chance to argue this appeal failing which the interim injunction of suspension of operation of impugned order dated 31.10.2022 shall be deemed to have been vacated. To come up for arguments on 03.05.2023 before the D.B. P.P given to the parties.

(Farceha Paul) Member (E) (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

\*Fazle Subhan P.S\*



## **ORDER**

3<sup>rd</sup> May. 2023

- 1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the official respondents and counsel for private respondent No.6 present.
- 2. Vide our detailed judgement of today placed on file, this appeal is groundless and is dismissed. However, the appellant may make an application to the competent authority giving an option in line with the transfer/posting policy, which, if moved, may be considered sympathetically and in accordance with policy of the government. Costs shall follow the events. Consign.
- 3. Pronounced in open court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2023.

(Salah Ud Din) Member(Judicial) (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

\*Adnan Shah, P.A\*