


Form- A
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Implementation Petition No. 335/2023

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	25.05.2023	<p>The execution petition of Mr. Aman Ullah submitted today by Mr. Imtiaz Ali Khan Marwat Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before touring Single Bench at D.I.Khan on _____</p> <p>Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.</p> <p>By the order of Chairman</p> <p> REGISTRAR</p>

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR, CAMP AT D.I.KHAN.**

Implementation/Execution Petition No...³³⁵... of 2023.
In Judgment dated 21/03/2023 in Service appeal No. 509/2022.

Aman UllahPetitioner/Appellant
VERSUS
Inspector General of Police etcRespondents

INDEX

No.	Particulars	Annexure	Pages
1	Grounds of Implementation /Execution Petition along with affidavit.		1-3
2	Copy of service appeal	A	4-9
3	Copy of judgment dated 21/03/2023	B	10-17
4	Copy of application	C	18
5	WAKALAT NAMA		19

Your Humble Petitioner


Aman Ullah
Through Counsel

Dated: 24/05/2023


Imtiaz Ali Khan Marwat
Advocate District Bar, DIKhan,
Cell#0346-7847274.

1

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR, CAMP AT D.I.KHAN.**

Implementation/Execution Petition No. 335 of 2023.
In Judgment dated 21/03/2023 in Service appeal No. 509/2022.

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Diary No. 5613

Dated 25/5/2023

Aman Ullah son of Jamal Din Caste Marwat Resident
of Mouzam, Tehsil & District Dera Ismail Khan, Ex-
Head Constable No. 1017, DIKhan.

.....**Petitioner**

VERSUS

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.
2. Regional Police Officer, DIKhan.
3. District Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan.

.....**Respondents**

**IMPLEMENTATION PETITION/EXECUTION
PETITION OF JUDGMENT DATED
21/03/2023 IN SERVICE APPEAL NO.
509/2022 WHEREBY THE HONOURABLE
SERVICE TRIBUNAL SET-ASIDE THE
PUNISHMENT OF DISMISSAL AWARDED TO
PETITIONER / APPELLANT AND DIRECTED
RESPONDENTS TO REINSTATE APPELLANT
/ PETITIONER FROM THE DATE OF
DISMISSAL ALONG WITH ALL
CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS AND THE
INACTION ON THE PART OF RESPONDENTS
TO COMPLY THE JUDGMENT.**

Respectfully Sheweth:-

Brief facts of the case are:

1. That the present petitioner lodged a service appeal No. 509/222 against impugned order No. 2138/EC dated 17/05/2021 whereby present petitioner / appellant was dismissed from service.
2. That the Honourable Service Tribunal accepted the service appeal vide judgment dated 21/03/2023, set aside the impugned order of dismissal from service and directed to reinstate the petitioner / appellant from date of dismissal with all benefits / consequential benefits. Copy of service appeal is enclosed as **Annexure "A"** and judgment dated 21/03/2023 is enclosed as **Annexure "B"**.
3. That the petitioner / appellant submitted an application along with copy of judgment for implementation / compliance, but the respondents are reluctant to obey the directions so far. Copy of application is enclosed as **Annexure "C"**.
4. That the petitioner has no other remedy, but to file the instant implementation petition.

5. That counsel for the petitioner / appellant may kindly be allowed to raise additional grounds during the course of arguments.


In view of the above, it is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that on acceptance this petition, the judgment dated 21/03/2023 in Service Appeal No. 509/2022 may kindly be got implemented to ensure the justice.

Your Humble Petitioner


Aman Ullah

Through Counsel


Dated: 24/05/2023


Imtiaz Ali Khan Marwat
Advocate District Bar, DIKhan,
Cell#0346-7847274.

AFFIDAVIT:-

I, **Aman Ullah** son of Jamal Din Caste Marwat Resident of Mouzam, Tehsil & District Dera Ismail Khan, Ex-Head Constable No. 1017, DIKhan, the petitioner, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on OATH that the contents of the same are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been concealed from this honorable court.


Deponent



4

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR.**

Service Appeal No. 509 /2022

Annexure "A"

Aman Ullah s/o Jamal Din Caste Marwat r/o Moazam, Tehsil and District DIKhan
Ex-Head Constable No. 1017, DIKhan

...(Appellant)

Versus

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Regional Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan.
3. District Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan.

...(Respondents)

INDEX

S.No.	Description of documents	Annexure	Pages
1.	Memo: of Appeal	-	1-4
2.	Affidavit	-	5
3.	Application for condonation of delay	-	6
4.	Copy of FIR	A	7
5.	Copy of DD No. 55 dated 12.4.21	B	8
6.	Copy of Charge Sheet & Summary of Allegation	C	9-10
7.	Copy of Bail/Superdari order dated 15.4.21	D	11-13
8.	Copy of DD no: 19, dated 15.4.21	E	14
9.	Copy of Enquiry Report	F	15-16
10.	Copy of Punishment order No. 2138/EC, dated 17.05.21	G	17
11.	Copy of departmental appeal and RPO order No. 3199/ES, dated 28.07.21	H	18-20
12.	Copy of application of appellant for transfer of enquiry	I	21-22
13.	Copy of DPO letter No. 4863/EC, dated 28.7.21 forwarding Denovo enquiry to RPO DIKhan	J	23-25
14.	Copy of RPO DIKhan order 5243/ES, dated 06.12.21 rejecting departmental appeal	K	26-27
15.	Copy of Revision Petition	L	28
16.	Wakalat Nama	-	29

*Admitted
in 2*

Your Humble Appellant

AMU
AMAN ULLAH

Through Counsel

Imtiaz
Imtiaz Ali Khan

**Advocate District BAR ASSOCIATION DIKhan
Mob: 03467847274**

Dated: /2022

5

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 509/2022

Aman Ullah s/o Jamal Din Caste Marwat r/o Moazam, Tehsil and District DIKhan
Ex-Head Constable No. 1017, DIKhan ... (Appellant)

Versus

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Regional Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan.
3. District Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan. ... (Respondents)

**APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974
AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER NO. 2138/EC, DATED
17.05.21 OF DPO DIKHAN WHEREBY APPELLANT WAS
DISMISSED FROM SERVICE AND AGAINST IMPUGNED
ORDER NO. 5243, DATED 06.12.21 WHEREBY THE
DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WAS REJECTED
FOR NO GOOD GROUNDS**

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the appellant is naturally bonafide citizen of Islamic Republic of Pakistan and hails from respectable family of DIKhan.
2. That the appellant was appointed as Constable in Police Department on 17.01.1995 and performed his duties with full zest and devotion and gained promotion to the rank of Head Constable in due course of service.
3. That during service appellant remained posted at various stations and checkposts and performed duties to the entire satisfaction of senior officers.
4. That while posted as I/C Check-post Hathala DIKhan appellant was confined in quarter guard Police Lines DIKhan vide DD Report No. 67 dated 03.04.2021 with the false allegations that a Truck carrying non-custom paid rice has passed the check post "Hathala" which was intercepted at "Chehkan" by SHO PS Saddar

*Attended
Im*

and case FIR No. 164, dated 03.04.2021 u/s 419/420/468/471/162 PPC was registered against Truck driver and a smuggler. Copy of FIRs is Annexure "A".

That appellant remained in quarter guard for 10 days and was released on 12.04.21 vide DD No. 55 dated 12.04.21 Copy of which is Annexure "B".

6. That in the meanwhile Charge Sheet along with Summary of allegations was issued vide No. 1593-94, dated 02.04.2021 on two allegations:

- i. That appellant allowed a Truck carrying NCP rice 875 bags.
- ii. That appellant was transferred from C.P. Hathala to P.S. Paharpur on 02.04.21 but did not proceed to new place of posting.

Copy of Charge Sheet & Summary of Allegations is Annexure "C".

7. That Appellant submitted comprehensive reply to the Charge Sheet that the Truck was not carrying NCP rice as the local police has failed to hand over the Truck to Custom Authorities and the Court of Addl: Session Judge has also allowed the case-property to the owner vide order dated 15.04.2021. Copy is Annexure "D" while DD report No. 19 dated 15.04.2021 is Annexure "E".

8. That the Enquiry Officer submitted enquiry report recommending punishment of reduction in Rank to the appellant. Copy Annexure "F".

9. That not agreeing with the recommendation of Enquiry Officer DPO DIKhan (Respondent No.3) awarded punishment of dismissal from service to the appellant. Copy is Annexure "G".

10. That appellant lodged departmental appeal to Respondent No. 2 RPO DIKhan who ordered Denovo Enquiry vide order No. 3199/ES, dated 28.07.2021. Copy Annexure "H".

11. That appellant submitted separate application for transfer of enquiry to another officer but no action was taken. Copy Annexure "I".

12. That Respondent No. 3 DPO DIKhan forwarded the denovo enquiry report to RPO DIKhan (Respondent No.2) for perusal and orders. Copy Annexure "J".

13. That RPO DIKhan vide order No. 5243, dated 06.12.2021 rejected the departmental appeal of appellant through Stereotype order, Copy is Annexure "K" Copy which was received to appellant on 22.12.2021.

14. That feeling aggrieved appellant lodged a Revision Petition to the IGP, Respondent No.1 for setting aside impugned dismissal order and appellate order but no action yet taken within the prescribed period. Copy is Annexure "L".

15. That appellant has no other adequate remedy except to file the instant Service Appeal in this Honourable Tribunal on the following grounds, inter-alia.

GROUND:

Attended
Imb.

a. That impugned dismissal order dated 17.05.2021 and departmental appeal order dated 06.12.2021 are against the law, facts, norms of justice and material on record, therefore, not tenable and liable to be set-aside.

b. That the impugned dismissal order is unwarranted, illogical and against the rules, therefore not tenable in the eye of law.

- c. That the appellant has been condemned unheard and as such the impugned orders are having no legal sanctity, therefore, liable to be set-aside.
- d. That the Enquiry Officer conducted enquiry in slipshod manner and failed to appreciate as to how it was made possible to smuggle the rice from Afghanistan passing the Truck through Check-posts of Army +Police of South-Waziristan Tribal District and District Tanf unchecked. The enquiry report is silent.
- e. That the enquiry officer also failed to appreciate the order dated 15.04.2021 passed by Addl: Session Judge DIKhan for return of case-property to accused, which negate the element of smuggling.
- f. That the appellant has been punished on basis of hearsay evidence. There is not an iota of proof during enquiry about the so-called smuggler.
- g. That when the case-property rice is not established as NCP, the allegations of links with smugglers is void ab-initio.
- h. That the 2nd allegations of not obeying the transfer order is also after-thought.
- i. That Respondent No.3, DPO DIKhan failed to pass any order upon denovo enquiry, hence whole proceedings are null and void.
- j. That no proper procedure has been adopted during departmental proceedings, hence on this sole ground denovo enquiry may be declared illegal and ineffective over the rights of appellant.
- k. That it is a cherished principle of law that where a law requires a thing to be done in a particular manner, the same is to be done in that manner and not otherwise.
- l. That appellant has 25 years service and that too unblemished, therefore the impugned punishment is too harsh.
- m. That from every angle the appellant is liable to be reinstated into service with all back benefits.
- n. That any ground not raised here may graciously be allowed at the time of arguments.

Attested
Imz

PRAYERS

8

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that acceptance of instant service appeal, the impugned order No. 2138/EC, dated 17.05.2021 of office of DPO DIKhan and impugned order No. 5243, dated 06.12.2021 of the office of RPO DIKhan whereby appellant has been dismissed from service may graciously be set-aside and appellant may very graciously be reinstated into service with all back benefits.

Dated: / /2022

Your Humble Appellant

Amans
AMAN ULLAH
Through Counsel

Imtiaz
Imtiaz Ali Khan
Advocate District BAR ASSOCIATION DIKhan
Mob: 03467847274

Note:-

No such like appeal for the same appellant upon the same subject matter has earlier been filed by me, prior to the instant one, before this Honourable Tribunal.

Attested
Imtiaz

Imtiaz
Imtiaz Ali Khan
Advocate District BAR ASSOCIATION DIKhan
Mob: 03467847274

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER
PAKHTUNKHWA, CAMP COURT DERA ISMAIL KHAN.**

CM No. _____ of 2022

In Service Appeal No. _____/2022

Aman Ullah(Appellant)

VERSUS

Inspector General of Police KPK etc ..(Respondents)

**APPLICATION U/S-5 OF LIMITATION ACT FOR
CONDONATION OF DELAY.**

Respectfully Sheweth:-

- 1- That the above titled Service appeal is being filed before this Honourable Tribunal and the contents of service appeal may kindly be considered as part of main appeal.
- 2- That the appellant remained ill due to which the impugned orders were not communicated to the appellant well in time. Hence, the appellant appeal is well within time.
- 3- That there is no delay in filing the above mentioned appeal before the Honourable Tribunal as the appellant filed departmental appeal well within time, but result / order was communicated after considerable delay.
- 4- That valuable rights of the appellant are involved in the main appeal. Hence the appeal of the appellant may graciously be disposed on merits, rather than on technicalities.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of the above mentioned application, the delay of appeal may kindly be condoned in the light of above submissions.

Your Humble Appellant

Aman Ullah

Aman Ullah

Through Counsel

Mt 2

AMTIAZ ALI KHAN

Advocate, District Bar Association, DIK

Cell No. 0346-7847274

Dated: /04/2022

*Attested
Im 2*

AFFIDAVIT:-

I, **Aman Ullah** son of Jamal Ud Din Caste Marwat R/o Moazam District Dera Ismail Khan, the appellant, do hereby solemnly affirm declared on oath that contents of the above **application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Aman Ullah
DEPONENT

Service Appeals No. 509/2022 titled "Amanullah vs. Inspector General of Police & others" and No. 536/2022 titled "Abdul Hameed vs. Inspector General of Police and others", decided on 21.03.2023 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Salah Ud Din, Member, Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Dera Ismail Khan.



**KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
CAMP COURT DERA ISMAIL KHAN.**

BEFORE: **KALIM ARSHAD KHAN ... CHAIRMAN**
SALAH UD DIN ... MEMBER (Judicial)

Service Appeal No. 509/2022

Amixture B

Date of presentation of appeal.....01.04.2022
Dates of Hearing.....21.03.2023
Date of Decision.....21.03.2023

Amanullah son of Jamal Din caste Marwat resident of Moazam, Tehsil & District Dera Ismail Khan, Ex-Head Constable No.1017, D.I.Khan.
.....Appellant

Versus

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
 2. Regional Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan.
 3. District Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan
-(Respondents)

Present:

Mr. Imtiaz Ali Khan,
Advocate.....For the appellant.

Mr. Muhammad Jan,
District Attorney.....For the respondents


Service Appeal No. 536/2022

Date of presentation of appeal.....01.04.2022
Dates of Hearing.....21.03.2023
Date of Decision.....21.03.2023

Abdul Hameed son of Abdul Majeed resident of Thafal, Tehsil Paharpur District Dera Ismail Khan.
.....Appellant

Versus

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
 2. Regional Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan.
 3. District Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan.
-(Respondents)

ATTESTED

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal
Peshawar

*Attested
Imr*

11
Service Appeals No. 509/2022 titled "Amanullah vs Inspector General of Police & others" and No. 536/2022 titled "Abdul Hameed versus Inspector General of Police and others", decided on 21.03.2023 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Salah Ud Din, Member, Judicial Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Dera Ismail Khan.

Present:

Mr. Imtiaz Ali Khan, Advocate.....For the appellant.

Mr. Muhammad Jan,
District AttorneyFor the respondent.


**APPEALS UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER
PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974
AGAINST**

1. THE IMPUGNED ORDERS DATED 17.05.2021, AND 18.05.2021 WHEREBY THE APPELLANTS WERE DISMISSED FROM SERVICE
2. ORDERS NO.5243 & 5241 BOTH DATED 06.12.2021 WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEALS OF THE APPELLANTS WERE REJECTED AND
3. ORDER DATED 02.06.2022 WHEREBY THE REVISION PETITION FILED BY THE APPELLANT AMANULLAH WAS ALLOWED AND HE WAS REINSTATED IN SERVICE WHILE PUNISHMENT OF DISMISSAL OF SERVICE WAS CONVERTED INTO FORFEITURE OF TWO YEARS APPROVED SERVICE AND THE INTERVENING PERIOD WAS TREATED AS LEAVE WITHOUT PAY

CONSOLIDATED JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: Through this single judgment this and connected appeal No.536/2022 titled "Abdul Hameed versus Inspector General of Police and others" are going to be decided as both are regarding almost the same facts with slight difference that the revision petition of the appellant of connected appeal had not yet allegedly been decided while that of the appellant of this appeal has been allowed, therefore, both the appeals can be conveniently decided together,

ATTESTED


EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Dera Ismail Khan

2. According to the appeal of Amanullah, he was performing the duties of Head Constable at the Hathala Check post of Police Station Kulachi

Attested
Im 2

Service Appeals No. 509/2022 titled "Amamullah vs Inspector General of Police & others" and No. 536/2022 titled "Abdul Hameed versus Inspector General of Police and others", decided on 21.03.2023 by Division Bench comprising Kalbu Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Salah Ud Din, Member, Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Dera Ismail Khan.

District Dera Ismail Khan, when a truck was intercepted at Chehkan by the Station House Officer (SHO) Police Station Saddar and an FIR No. 164 dated 03.04.2021 under sections 419/420/468/471/162 of the Pakistan Penal Code against the truck driver and a smuggler; that the appellant remained in quarter guard for 10 days and was released on 12.04.2021 vide DD No. 55; that in the meanwhile charge sheet along with summary of allegations was issued vide No. 1593-94 dated 02.04.2021 on two allegations first that the appellant allowed a truck carrying Non-Customs Paid (NCP) rice 875 bags and second that the appellant was transferred from check post Hathala to Police Station Paharpur on 02.04.2021 but he did not proceed to the new place of posting; that the appellant submitted reply to the charge sheet that the truck was not carrying NCP rice as the local police failed to hand over the truck to Customs Authorities and the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge had also released to the owner vide order dated 15.04.2021 which was handed over vide DD No. 19 dated 15.04.2021 (Annexure-E); that the enquiry officer submitted report recommending reduction in rank to the appellant; that the District Police Officer D.I. Khan did not agree to the report of the enquiry officer and awarded punishment of dismissal to the appellant; that the appellant filed departmental appeal to respondent No. 2, who ordered de novo enquiry vide order No. 3199/ES dated 28.07.2021; that after the de novo enquiry, the Regional Police Officer D.I. Khan rejected the appeal vide order No. 5243 dated 06.12.2021; that the appellant lodged a revision petition to the Inspector General of Police/respondent No. 1 for setting aside the impugned dismissal and appellate orders but till filing of the

Attested

Im 2

(C)
01
02
03
04

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

[Handwritten signature]

Service Appeals No.536/2022 titled "Amirullah vs. Inspector General of Police & others" and No.536/2022 titled "Abdul Hameed versus Inspector General of Police and others", decided on 21.03.2023 by Division Bench comprising Kalam Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Salah Ud Din, Member, Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Dera Ismail Khan.

appeal that was not decided, which was, however, received during the pendency of the appeal and the appellant filed an application for filing amended memorandum and grounds of appeal, which is allowed today and instead of filing amended appeal, the order passed in revision petition was directed to be considered as part of the appeal as the appellant is also challenging the same.

3. The facts of the connected appeal No.536/2022 are that he was posted as Constable at Police Check Post Tariq Shaheed of Police Station Sadda, where a truck, allegedly carrying non-customs paid items, was intercepted at Chahkan by the SHO PS Saddar and FIR No.164 dated 03.04.2021 under sections 419/420/468/471/162 of the Pakistan Penal Code was registered; that the appellant remained in quarter guard for ten days and was released on 12.04.2021 vide DD No.55; that in the meanwhile a charge sheet along with summary of allegations was issued vide No.1599-1600/FC dated 03.04.2021 charging the appellant with the allegation that checking the call phone of smuggler/accused Jamal Wazir had revealed that he was in communication with appellant to pass truck loaded with NCP items through CP Tariq Shaheed unchecked; that the appellant was transferred to Police Station Kirri Khaisore vide OB No.676 dated 02.04.2021 but he failed to obey the orders deliberately; that the appellant submitted reply; that after an enquiry, the District Police Officer, D.I.Khan, awarded punishment of dismissal from service to the appellant; that the appellant filed departmental appeal to the Regional Police Officer (RPO) D.I.Khan, who ordered de novo enquiry vide order No.3200/ES dated 28.07.2021; that after the de novo enquiry, the RPO

Attested
In 2

Page 2

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Dera Ismail Khan

Service Appeals No. 509/2022 titled "Amamullah vs Inspector General of Police & others" and No. 536/2022 titled "Abdul Hameed versus Inspector General of Police and others", decided on 21.03.2023, by Division Bench comprising Kallin Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Salah Ud Din, Member, Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Dera Ismail Khan.

D.I.Khan, vide order bearing Endst No.5241 dated 06.12.2021 rejected the appeal; that aggrieved of the same, the appellant filed revision petition to the Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa but no action was taken, compelling the appellant to file the connected appeal.

4. On receipt of the appeals and their admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned. Respondents put appearance and contested the appeals by filing written replies raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defence setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant, however, no specific denial was made in the replies of the two appeals. It was mainly contended that the appeals were badly barred by time; that that the links of the appellants were established through cell phone data; that the recommendation of the enquiry officer was not binding upon the competent authority.

5. We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned District Attorney for the respondents.

6. The Learned counsel for the appellants reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeals while the learned District Attorney controverted the same by supporting the impugned orders.

7. There are two same allegations on both the appellants. One is that a truck carrying non-custom paid items was intercepted at Chehkan by SHO Saddar; that upon enquiry, it came to surface that the said NCP items were owned by a renowned smuggler Jamal Wazir; that upon checking cellphone

Attested
m2

ATTESTED

CHIEF CLERK
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Dera Ismail Khan

03/03/23

Service Appeals No. 5092/2022 titled "Amanullah vs Inspector General of Police & others" and No. 536/2022 titled "Abdul Hameed versus Inspector General of Police and others", decided on 21.03.2023 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad-Khan, Chairman, and Salah Ud Din, Member, Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Dera Ismail Khan.

of said smuggler, it revealed that he was in communication with the appellants and both of the appellant let the truck go unchecked through their respective check posts Hathala and Tariq Shaheed. The second allegation was that the appellant Amanullah was transferred to Police Station Paharpur and the appellant Abdul Hameed was transferred to Police Station Kirri Khaisore vide OB No.676 with directions to report at new place of posting immediately but they deliberately did not obey the order with malafide intention. During the initial enquiry conducted by Alamgir Khan SDPO Paharpur, the appellant Amanullah the allegations against him were proved and he was awarded major penalty of dismissal from service but during the de novo enquiry by Fazal Rahim Khan SDPO City Circle conducted on the order of RPO D.I.Khan, the appellant Amanullah was though found guilty of relations with the smugglers and the reduction in the punishment was recommended but even then his appeal was rejected by the RPO D.I.Khan. The IGP KP, however, reinstated the appellant in service and his punishment of dismissal from service was converted into forfeiture of two years approved service with treatment of intervening period as without pay. Similarly, the same proceedings were held in the case of the appellant Abdul Hameed but the enquiry officer of the de novo enquiry Fazal Rahim Khan SDPO City Circle, though held the appellant Abdul Hameed responsible for having contacts established with the smugglers yet recommended permanent stoppage of two increments. However, his appeal was also rejected and by the time appeal was filed his revision petition preferred to the IGP KP was not responded. In the statement record during enquiry, the appellant

*Attested
Im2*

[Handwritten signature]

ATTESTED
[Signature]
EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

Service Appeals No. 509/2022 titled "Amanullah vs-Inspector General of Police & others" and No. 336/2022 titled "Abdul Hameed versus Inspector-General of Police and others", decided on 21.03.2023 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Salah Ud Din, Member, Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Dera Ismail Khan.

Amanullah alleged that because of polio duty there was only one constable for checking at the check post and that was why the thorough checking could not have been made. As to his transfer to Police Station Paharpur it was contended by the appellant that the officer who had to replace him had not arrived at the check post, therefore, he could not leave the check post without proper handing-taking over the charge.

8. It is found that the appellant Amanullah was posted at Police Post Hathala from where allegedly the truck containing non-customs paid items had passed unchecked and the appellant Abdul Hameed was posted at Police Check Post Tariq Shaheed. The appellant Abdul Hameed in his statement recorded during the enquiry stated that on the day of occurrence i.e. on 03.04.2021, he was on polio duty and after polio duty at about 1330 hours he came back to perform duty at the check post from 1400 hours to 1600 hours and during this time no truck or vehicle had passed unchecked and as regards truck No. PKJ-438 that had not passed during his duty hours. As regards his transfer order, he alleged that the Moharrar Staff had not informed him about the same.

9. Main allegation against the two appellants is allowing the truck carrying non-customs paid items. This allegation is not tenable for manifold reasons. Firstly except the non-custom paid rice no other item was alleged to be in the truck. Leaving aside the question whether or not the rice was an import item on which custom duty is levied as that is quite irrelevant for decision of these appeals, the undisputed fact is that the alleged non-custom paid rice, allegedly carried by the truck No. PKJ-438, was never handed over

Attested
Im 2

ATTESTED

MEMBER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

Service Appellate No. 509/2022 titled "Amamifiah vs. Inspector General of Police & others" and No. 536/2022 titled "Abdul Hameed versus Inspector General of Police and others", decided on 21.03.2023 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Salah Ud Din, Member, Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Dera Ismail Khan.

to the Customs Authorities rather than that was released to the owner by ordinary court, which undisputed fact belies the allegations of allowing a truck carrying non-custom paid items to pass through the check posts unchecked; where the appellants were posted. When the items along with truck were returned to the owner, then it can safely be held that those were not being carried by unlawful means holding the appellants liable for the same. As to the non-relinquishment of charge and non-joining the new places of postings of the appellants, they had sufficiently explained the same and their stances taken in their statements could not be rebutted by the official respondents.

10. Therefore, the punishment awarded to both the appellants were not justified hence not sustainable. As a resultant consequence, we allow both the appeals and set aside the punishments awarded to both the appellants by directing the respondents to reinstate the appellants from the date of dismissal along with all consequential benefits. We direct that the costs of the appeal shall follow the result. Consign.

11. *Pronounced in open Court at Dera Ismail Khan and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 21st day of March, 2023.*

Attested
Im 2

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN
Chairman
Camp Court D.I.Khan

Certified to be true copy
KALIM ARSHAD KHAN
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

SALAH UD DIN
Member (Judicial)
Camp Court D.I.Khan

خدمت صاحب ڈسٹرکٹ لوئیس انسپر ضلع ڈیرہ اسماعیل خان

ضابطہ عالی Amexure C

یہ کہ من مسائل مورخہ 03 1/2 کو ہتھیالہ پولیس چیک پوسٹ پر محبت صدیق کھٹیل
تعمیرات تھا کہ S.Ho تعاون صدر ڈیرہ نے ایک مدد حاصل لبرائٹرز بحوالہ
مقدمہ عدالت نمبر 164 مورخہ 05 1/2 بجائے 471-468-420-419 بکڑا اور
ڈرائیور اور سٹیکر جمال وزیر کے خلاف کیسین رجسٹرڈ کیا۔
یہ کہ من مسائل بعد گزارنے 10 دن کو انٹر کالڈ بحوالہ صدر نمبر 55
مورخہ 12 1/2 خلاصی ملی۔

یہ کہ من مسائل کو دو الزامات کے تحت حازہ شدت کیا گیا
ذہن بیکور من مسائل کا سٹیکر جمال وزیر سے رابطہ تھا اور NCP حاصل کر چکے پوسٹ
سے گزارنے دیا۔
ذہن بیکور مورخہ 02 1/2 کو فروری پور پر چیک پوسٹ حازہ صورت نے ماحکم عدلیہ مگر حکم عدالت کی

یہ کہ من مسائل نے شروع ہی سے التجائی اور جواب حازہ شدت دیا کہ حیدر NCP
ہنسیں تھا کیوں کہ حیدر اگر NCP صورت کر کے حکام کے حوالے جوتا۔ نیز عدالت نے
فوری طور پر سپرداری سرکار مان کے والے لائے۔

جسٹس تک تبادلہ حکم عدالتی مال الزام تھا من مسائل چیک پوسٹ پر ایسٹڈ تھا۔ لہذا
ڈیوٹی جاری تھی جس کی وجہ سے متبادل کے آنے کا مجبوراً انتظار کرنا پڑا۔

انٹوائسری انسپرنے درج بالا معروضات تسلیم کیے مگر تنزیل سزا کی سفارش کی
صاحب RPo صاحب نے انٹوائسری انسپرنے سے اتفاق نہ کرتے ہوئے سائل کو
بحوالہ حکم نمبر EC/2138 مورخہ 17 1/2 ملازمت سے سرفراست کر دیا۔

یہ کہ حکم نامہ اپیل پر صاحب RPo صاحب نے بحوالہ حکم نمبر EC/3199

Denovo enquiry کا حکم دیا۔

یہ کہ دوبارہ انٹوائسری میں انٹوائسری انسپرنے تسلیم کیا کہ حیدر NCP نہیں تھا
اور یہ کہ لویو ڈیوٹی کی وجہ سے تبادلہ حازہ نہیں ہو سکا تھا۔ مگر ملزم سے
سوالیہ رابطہ کی بنا پر محولی سزا تجویز کی۔

Attested
tn2

یہ کہ بعد Denovo enquiry جناب RPO صاحب ڈیڑھ نے حکمانہ اپیل دائر کر دی۔
 مگر سائل نے حکم RPO صاحب کے خلاف حیات الیکٹریکل انڈسٹریز کو درخواست
 لکھا اور کو Review درخواست دی جو ۹۵ مورچہ ریاکٹس منسلک ہوئی
 جس پر سائل نے معزز سربراہ ٹریبونل لٹریچر سے سرکس اپیل پر 22/09/2009 درج کی
 یہ کہ جناب الیکٹریکل آف ایس لٹریچر نے جنوری طور پر Review درخواست منسلک
 اور بحوالہ حکم نمبر 75/22-11/69-51 مورچہ 6/22 من سائل کو علحدت پر بحال کر دیا
 اور سربراہ فاسٹنگی کو دو سال ضمنی سرکس اور درمیانہ پیرڈ بلڈ تنخواہ میں تبدیل کیا۔
 یہ کہ سائل نے جوڑہ حکم ضمنی سرکس 2 سال بلڈ تنخواہ کو جس سرکس ٹریبونل میں
 درخواست دے کر چیلنج کیا۔

یہ کہ اب معزز سرکس ٹریبونل نے بحوالہ حکم 23/9/21 سرکس اپیل مکمل طور پر منظور کر لی ہے۔
 اور من سائل کو تاریخ بر فاسٹنگی سے جملہ مرلات (Consequential Benefits)
 کے ساتھ بحال کیا ہے۔ نقل حکم ٹریبونل ہمراہ لف ہے۔

لکھنؤ زمانہ استدعا ہے کہ جملہ احکم سرکس ٹریبونل پر عمل درآمد کا
 حکم فرمایا جاوے اور سائل کو تاریخ بر فاسٹنگی سے حکم جاری تک جملہ تنخواہیں
 ادا کرنے کا حکم صادر فرمائیں۔

العمران اللہ تعالیٰ تعالیٰ
 مورچہ 4/18

سائل عمران اللہ حال A-11 انورس ٹین سٹاف تعانہ پٹا پور ضلع ڈیڑھ ایس ایس ایل خاں
 B.No. 1017

63459837896

A. Usaid
 Jmz



ADVOCATE

IMTIAZ ALI KHAN


Advocate

bc-11-2641

Date of issue: October 2021

Valid upto: October 2024

Secretary
KP Bar Council



19

Rs:50/-

سروس ٹریڈ مارک و دیگر رجسٹرڈ نمونوں اور لیٹراچر کی کاپیوں کی تصدیق اور اسکاٹنگ

منجانب بلقیسر افسانہ
نام AP افسر

دعویٰ یا جرم Execution Petition in SA No. 509/2022

تفصیل دعویٰ یا جرم

باعضویت تحریر آنکہ

مقدمہ مندرجہ بالا عنوان میں اپنی طرف واسطے بیرو اور جواب دہی برائے پیشی یا تصفیہ مقدمہ بنام

امتیاز علی ظفر مروت ایڈووکیٹ ڈیسٹرکٹ بار ایسوسی ایشن

کو سب ذیلیں ٹریڈ مارک پر وکیل مقرر کیا ہے کہ میں پیشی پر خود یا بذریعہ رو برو عدالت حاضر ہوتا رہوں گا اور ہر وقت پکارے جانے مقدمہ وکیل صاحب موصوف کو اطلاع دے کر حاضر عدالت کروں گا اگر پیشی پر منظر حاضر نہ ہو اور مقدمہ میری غیر حاضری کی وجہ سے کسی طور میرے خلاف ہو گیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام پکھری کے علاوہ یا پکھری کے اوقات سے پہلے یا پیچھے یا بروز تعطیل بیروئی کرنے کے ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے اور مقدمہ صدر پکھری کے علاوہ ہر جگہ سماعت ہونے یا بروز تعطیل یا پکھری کے اوقات کے آگے یا پیچھے پیش ہونے پر منظر کوئی نقصان پہنچے تو اس کے ذمہ دار یا اسکے واسطے کسی معاوضہ نہ ادا کرنے یا سخت نہ داپس کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے مجھ کو کل ساختہ پر داخست صاحب موصوف مثل کردہ ذات خود منظور قبول : گا اور صاحب موصوف کو عرض دعویٰ یا جواب دعویٰ یا درخواست اجراء اسمائے ذگری نظر ثانی اپیل گرانی و ہر قسم درخواست ہر قسم کے بیان دینے اور پر ثانی : راضی نامہ و فیصلہ برحلف کرنے اقبال ذمہ کی کا بھی اختیار ہو گا اور بصورت مقرر ہونے تاریخ پیشی مقدمہ مقرر بیرون ا : پکھری صدر بیروئی مقدمہ مقرر نظر ثانی پیل و گرانی و برآمدگی مقدمہ یا منسوخی ذمہ کی طرف یا درخواست حکم امتناعی یا قرتی یا گرفتاری قبل از فیصلہ اجراء ذگری بھی صاحب موصوف کو بشرط ادا کی علیحدہ ضمانت بیروئی کا اختیار ہو گا اور تمام ساختہ پر داخست صاحب موصوف مثل کردہ از خود منظور و قبول ہو گا اور یہ دقت ضرورت صاحب موصوف کو یہ بھی اختیار ہو کہ مقدمہ مذکورہ یا اس کے کسی جزو کی کاروائی یا بصورت درخواست نظر ثانی اپیل گرانی یا دیگر معاملہ و قدم مذکورہ کسی دوسرے وکیل یا بیروئی کو ا : بجائے یا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں اور ایسے مشیر قانون کو بھی ہر امر میں وہی اور ویسے اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے جیسے صاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں اور وہ ان مقدمہ میں جو کچھ ہر جانہ التواء برے گا وہ صاحب موصوف کا حق ہو گا مگر صاحب موصوف کو پوری فیس تاریخ پیشی سے پہلے ادا نہ کروں گا : صاحب موصوف کو پورا اختیار ہو گا کہ مقدمہ کی پردی نہ کریں اور ایسی صورت میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ کسی قسم کا صاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا

لہذا وکالت نامہ لکھ دیا ہے تاکہ سند رہے

سورج 24 مئی 2023 ماہ

مضمون وکالت نامہ سن لیا ہے اور اچھی طرح سمجھ لیا ہے اور منظور ہے

Attested & accepted
Int 2
Advocate

Rems
Petitioner