Form- A Consider the set of the set

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of

Implementation Petition No. 329/2023

Order or other proceedings with signature of judge

24.05.2023

2

Date of order

proceedings

S No.

·1,

1

The execution petition of Mr. Azhar Bilal Niazi submitted today by Mr. Junaid Anwar Khan Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before touring Single Bench at A.Abad on ______. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.

By the order of Chairman

the second s

REGISTRAR

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

E.P. No. <u>329</u> of 2023

Service Appeal No.1430 of 2019

Azhar Bilal NiaziPETITIONER

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary, Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar etc. ...**RESPONDENTS.**

EXECUTION PETITION

INDEX			
S#	Particulars of documents	Annexure	Pages
1	Memo of Execution petition alongwith order.		1-6
2	Correct addresses of the parties.		7
3	Attested copy of judgment of this Honourable Court.	"A"	8 - 14
[.] 4	Copy of application.	"В"	15
5	Wakalat Nama.		15
•			

Dated 20.05.2023

Azhar Bilal Niazi

Through

JUNAID ANWAR KHAN, Advocate Supreme Court, Of Pakistan.

MANSEHRA

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER KINN PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

)?

of 2023

IN

Service Appeal No.1430 of 2019

Azhar Bilal Niazi son of Ghulam Mustafa Niazi resident of Mohallah Nogari, Mansehra, Ex-PST Government Primary School Makarha Bala, Tehsil and District Mansehra**PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary, Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar.

2. Director, Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar.

> PETITION SEEKING EXECUTION OF THE JUDGMENT/ORDER DATED 24.02.2023 PASSED BY THIS HONOURABLE COURT IN THE TITLED SERVICE APPEAL DIRECTING RESPONDENTS TO ALLOW THE PETITIONER TO JOIN HIS SERVICE BY POSTING HIM ON THE RELEVANT POST.

PRAYER: -

On acceptance of the instant petition, the judgment dated 24.02.2023 passed by this Honourable Tribunal may be executed please and · the respondents may graciously be ordered to implement the judgment/ order 24.02.2023 dated passed by this Honourable Tribunal in its true letter. and spirit and also directions be issued to the respondents to adjust/post the petitioner against the impugned post or any other relief as this Honourable Tribunal deems fit and appropriate in the circumstances of the case, may also be issued/ passed.

Respectfully Sheweth!

1. That, petitioner filed the above-mentioned Service Appeal before this Honourable Tribunal challenging the order regarding seizing the service of the petitioner and the said service appeal was listed before this Honourable Tribunal on 24.02.2023 and this Honourable Tribunal while accepting the service appeal, directed the respondents to allow the petitioner to join his service by posting him on the relevant post. (Attested copy of the judgment dated 24.02.2023 is annexed as annexure "A").

That, the judgment passed was officially conveyed to the respondents and petitioner also handed over attested copy of the judgment and also submitted an application to the respondents for its execution and also for posting him as per the judgment/ order of this Honourable Tribunal.

2.

3.

5.

(Copy of application is annexed as annexure "B").

That, the said application of the petitioner is lying with the respondents till date but despite lapse of considerable time, the respondents have not acted upon the judgment/order of this Honourable Tribunal.

4. That, this Honourable Tribunal has clearly directed respondents to allow the petitioner for posting against the impugned post but after the lapse of considerable time, respondents have badly failed to implement the said judgment/ direction in its true letter and spirit.

That, due to non-implementation as well as non-acting upon the judgment/ order

of this Honourable Tribunal, the petitioner having no other remedy except to file the instant application for execution of the judgment/order dated 24.02.2023 passed by this Honourable Tribunal, inter alia, on the following grounds: -

GROUNDS

That, in view of the direction given by this Honourable Court, respondents were under constitutional and legal obligation to obey/implement the judgment in its true letter and spirit because this Honourable Court had passed the judgment in favour of the petitioner and ordered the respondents to allow the petitioner to join his service by posting him on the relevant post but by not considering the petitioner, respondents made himself liable to be proceeded under the law.

ii.

i.

That, the petitioner duly submitted application for implementation of the judgment/order of this Honourable Tribunal but the said application has also not been taken into consideration by the respondents nor any legal, lawful or legitimate reasons have been given by the respondents in this regard hence the judgment of this Honourable Tribunal has not been acted upon by this Honourable Tribunal hence the instant application is being filed.

iii.

That, respondents was left with no other legal option except to implement the judgment

)

passed by the Honourable Tribunal but he has badly failed to comply with the judgment so passed.

iv.

That, as clear cut judgment has been passed by this Honourable Tribunal to allow the petitioner to join his service by posting him on the relevant post and there was no legal bar in posting the petitioner against the impugned post but despite that fact, nonposting the petitioner against the impugned post by the respondents does not appeal to a prudent mind.

- v. That, as per law as well as the constitution, the respondents is bound under the law regarding the judgments of the apex court but the respondents have considered himself above the law while refusing to implement the judgment/order of this Honourable Tribunal.
- vi. That, once an order has been passed and once the court of law has ordered any department to do anything in specific manner then the concerned department cannot refused to act upon the order of the court.
- vii. That, the judgment of this Honourable Tribunal is liable to be executed and there is no legal bar in execution of the same as the respondents are reluctant to obey the judgment of this Honourable Tribunal and this is the reason that the respondents have not allowed nor posted the petitioner at any post despite clear cut judgment of this Honourable Tribunal.

.....PRAYER

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed

that on acceptance of the instant petition, the judgment dated 24.02.2023 passed by this Honourable Tribunal may please be executed and the respondents may graciously be ordered to implement the judgment/ order dated 24.02.2023 passed by this Honourable Tribunal in its true letter and spirit and also directions be issued to the respondents to adjust/post petitioner the against the impugned post or any other relief as this Honourable Tribunal deems fit and appropriate in the circumstances of the case, may also be issued/ passed.

Dated 20.05.2023

Azhar Bilal Niazi

Through

JUNAID ANWAR KHAN, Advocate Supreme Court, Of Pakistan.

AFFIDAVIT.

I, Azhar Bilal Niazi son of Ghulam Mustafa Niazi resident of Mohallah Nogari, Mansehra, Ex-PST Government Primary School Makarha Bala, Tehsil and District Mansehra, Petitioner, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the foregoing petition for contempt of court are true and correct and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Court.

Dated 20.05.2023

Azhar Bilal Niazi (DEPONENT)

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

E.P. No._____ of 2023

Service Appeal No.1430 of 2019

Azhar Bilal NiaziPETITIONER

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary, Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar etc. ...RESPONDENTS.

EXECUTION PETITION

CORRECT ADDRESSES OF THE PARTIES

PETITIONER

Azhar Bilal Niazi son of Ghulam Mustafa Niazi resident of Mohallah Nogari, Mansehra, Ex-PST Government Primary School Makarha Bala, Tehsil and District Mansehra.

RESPONDENTS

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary, Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 2. Director, Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer (Male), Elementary and Secondary Education, Mansehra.

Dated 20.05.2023

Azhar Bilal Niazi

Through

JUNAID ANWAR KHAN, Advocate Supreme Court, Of Pakistan.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1430 of 2019

ANNexul

lenna

SCANNED KPST Peshawar

ervice

Azhar Bilal Niazi son of Ghulam Mustafa Niazi resident of Mohallah Nogazi, Mansehra, Ex-PST Government Primary School Makarha Bala, Tehsil and District MansehraAppellant

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar.

Director, Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar.



1.

. 2.

3.

Registrar

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 **OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE** TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED **NOTIFICATION/ORDER** BEARING NO. 11660-64 DATED 12.07.2019 WHEREBY SERVICES OF THE APPELLANT WERE CEASED WITH EFFECT FROM 28.03.2009 WHILE UPHOLDING THE ORDER NO.2543-50 DATED 27.10.2011. THE APPELLANT FILED SERVICE APPEAL NO.297/2012 **BEFORE THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL** WHICH WAS DECIDED ON 29.06.2012



Kinyber Takhtu Scevice Kintu

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR AT CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD.

Service Appeal No. 1430/2019

Date of Institution ... 31.07.2019

Date of Decision ... 24.02.2023

Azhar Bilal Niazi S/O Ghulam Mustafa Niazi. R/O Mohallah Nogazi, Mansehra, Ex-PST Government Primary School Makarha Bala, Tehsil and District Mansehra.

(Appellant)

(Respondents)

For appellant.

For respondents.

CHAIRMAN

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

facts

TED

ih in the

State and the second

<u>VERSUS</u>

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar and 02 others.

MR: MUHAMMAD ARSHAD KHAN TANOLI, Advocate ----

MR. MUHAMMAD ADEEL BUTT, Additional Advocate General

MR. KALIM ARSHAD KHAN MR. SALAH-UD-DIN

JUDGMENT:

SALAH-UD-DIN, MEMBER:-

Precisely stated the

surrounding the instant service appeal are that the appellant was appointed as PST on 13.11.1994. He remained absent from duty with effect from 28.03.2009 till 23.08.2011 and was entitled for five years leave without pay, while he availed six years and nine months leave. He was called on for personal hearing on 23.08.2011 by the then Executive District Officer Elementary & Secondary Education



Mansehra and vide order dated 27.10.2011, the services of the appellant were notified as ceased with effect from 28.03.2009. The said order was challenged by the appellant through departmental within the statutory appeal. which was not responded period. constraining the appellant to file Service Appeal No. 297/2012 before this Tribunal. The afore-mentioned service appeal of the appellant was accepted by this Tribunal vide judgment dated 29.06.2018 and the respondents were directed to conduct fresh full-fledged inquiry in the matter and also give opportunity of hearing to the appellant. The competent Authority conducted fresh inquiry in the matter and vide impugned order dated 12.07.2019, the competent Authority has held that the appellant cannot be reinstated in service. The appellant challenged the same by way of filing departmental appeal, however the same was not responded, hence the instant service appeal.

2. On admission of the appeal for full hearing, notices were issued to the respondents to submit their reply/comments, however they failed to submit the same, therefore, their right of submission of reply/comments was struck of vide order dated 19.07.2022 and the appeal in hand was fixed for arguments.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant addressed his arguments supporting the grounds agitated by the appellant in his service appeal. On the other hand, learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents controverted the arguments of learned counsel for

TESTED

2

the appellant and contended that the impugned order has been passed in accordance with relevant rules.

4. Arguments have already been heard and record perused.

5. A perusal of the record would show that vide Notification dated 27.10.2011, issued by the then Executive District Officer Elementary & Secondary Education Mansehra, the services of the appellant were notified as ceased with effect from 28.03.2009 on the ground that the appellant had remained absent with effect from 28.03.2009 till 23.08.2011. The afore-mentioned Notification dated 27.10.2011 was previously challenged by the appellant through filing of Service Appeal No. 297/2012 before this Tribunal, which was accepted vide judgment dated 29.06.2018, whereby the Notification dated 27.10.2011 was set-aside and respondents were directed to conduct fresh full-fledged inquiry in the matter. The relevant para-06 and 07 of the judgment dated 29.06.2018 are reproduced as below:-

"6. The service of the appellant has been ceased w.e.f 28.03.2009 whereas order has been passed on 27.10.2011 and so this very order itself is defective in the eyes of law. Apart from this, this Tribunal did not find any inquiry, charge sheet or show-cause notice given by the respondents to the appellant but even then imposed major penalty of ceasing his service and in this way, the respondents committed serious blunder by not following the legal procedure prescribed under the law.

7. The crux of the above discussion is that after taking into consideration the above-mentioned factual and legal position of the case, this Tribunal reached the conclusion

TEGTED 10.13 (0.1

that it is a fit case to be interfered by this Tribunal under its appellate jurisdiction. As such, on acceptance of the appeal, the impugned order dated 27.10.2011 stands set-aside and the respondents are directed to conduct fresh full-fledged inquiry and also give opportunity of hearing to the appellant. With the above observations, the appeal stands disposed off. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room."

We have carefully gone through the available record to 6. ascertain as to whether the fresh inquiry in the matter had been conducted in accordance with the directions issued by this Tribunal in its judgment dated 29.06.2018 or not. Available on the record is copy of letter dated 10.09.2018, whereby a committee comprising of Mr. Luqman Sahib Principal GHSS No. 1 Mansehra, Mr. Muhammad Nazir Principal GHSS Pairan Mansehra and Mr. Muhammad Aslam Vice-Principal GHSS Parhenna Mansehra was constituted to dig out the facts. The afore-mentioned inquiry committee carried out proceedings and submitted its report to the District Education Officer (Male) Mansehra vide letter dated 20.03.2019. The available record would show that on receipt of the inquiry report, the District Education Officer (Male) Mansehra issued show-cause notice to the appellant on 06.05.2019. In view of the judgment dated 29.06.2018, passed by this Tribunal, the competent Authority was required to have issued charge sheet as well as statement of allegations to the appellant and to have conducted a regular inquiry in the matter. It is, however astonishing its show-cause notice issued to the appellant that in on

TESTED Tribung

06.05.2019, the competent Authority has dispensed with conducting of formal inquiry in the matter and passed impugned Notification dated 12.07.2019, whereby the competent Authority has held that the appellant cannot be reinstated. The previous order dated 27.10.2011 passed by the competent Authority regarding ceasing of services of the appellant with effect from 28.03.2019 has already been set-aside by this Tribunal in the previous round of litigation, while in the fresh inquiry proceedings, the appellant has not been provided any penalty prescribed in the relevant rules.

7. The allegations as leveled against the appellant were that he had remained absent with effect from 02.12.2008 to 12.10.2011 and had joined SRSP as Social Organizer without obtaining any NOC from the competent Authority. The respondents were required to have proceeded against the appellant in accordance with the relevant rules by complying the directions issued by this Tribunal in its judgment dated 29.06.2018. However, while going through the record, we have observed that the competent Authority did not bother to comply the directions issued by this Tribunal in its previous judgment dated 29.06.2018. The allegations against the appellant were serious in nature but the competent Authority conducted the fresh proceedings against the appellant in a whimsical manner and no legal sanctity could be attached to the same.

8. In view of the above discussion, the instant appéal is accepted and respondents are directed to allow the appellant to join his service by posting him on the relevant post. The absence period with effect



from 02.12.2008 as well as the intervening period shall be treated as leave without pay. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 24.02.2023

(KALIM ARSHAD KHAN) CHAIRMAN CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

Certif to he ture copy ER er Pashtunkburg Service Tribunal Pashenay

Date of Presentation of Application 06-04-2 Number of Words Roge Copying Fee Urgent Total-Name of 2 06 Date of Complection of Copy. Date of Delivery of Copy.

]./.

(SALAH-UD¹DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL) CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

ANNEXUNE

36

12/4/2023

10: 03/04/2023

46 1,23

بخدمت جناب دُسٹر كم ايجويشن آفيسر (مردانه) صلح مانسمره

عنوان: درخواست برادتعيناتى بوسننگ سائل برطابق عم سردس ثر بيزل محرده 24.02.2023-

جناب عالی! درخواست ذیل پیش ہے۔ به که سائل قبل از ین محکمه تعلیم میں بطور PST مورخه 13.11.1994 کوتعینات ہواادر () بعدازاں سائل کو بروئے تھم مورجہ 27.10.2011 ملازمت ہے برخاست گیا جو مذکورہ پیل م کے خلاف سائل نے با قائدہ سروس ایپل نمبری 1430 سال <u>201</u>9ءرد برو عدالت سروس ٹر بیون خیبر پختونخواہ دائر کی اور مذکورہ اپل بروئے حکم مصدرہ 24.02.2023 منظور ، وكرسائل كوما ازمت يربحال كياجا كرآب جناب كومدايت ، وئى کہ سائل کواس کی متعلقہ یوسٹ پر جارج حوالہ کرتے ہوئے ملازمت میں شمولیت کی اجازت دی جائے۔(مصر فیفل حکم لف ہے)۔ ۲) پیکہ چونکہ عدالت مجاز کی جانب ہے سائل کی ملازمت بحال ہو چکی ہے بدیں دجہ سائل کو متعاقد يوسب كاحيارج حسب ضابط حواله كمياجا ناضروري اورقرين انصاف ي---لہذااستد عاہے کہ بمنظوری درخواست بذا سائل کواس کی متعاقبہ بوسٹ کا جارج حسب ضابطه حواله كخ جاني كأتحكم صادرفر ماياجائے ۔ المرتوم 08.04.2023 انظهر بلال نیازی دلدغلام صنفنی نیازی ساکن محلّه نوگزی بخصیل دسلع مانسهره سابقنه PST گورنمنې په ائمري کول کر پابال خصيل د شام ه موبائل نبير 0336-9005132 Bece week

208/2023

وكالمشانا مردس تربيل تادر فيم جمر قراه بر لا لم ل لما زى مكري فيرجر فراه والم در ورس اجراع در م دعوى باجرم باعث تحريراً نكه ن میں اپنی طرف ۔۔۔ پیروی دجوابد ہی بہقام به مربح کورت اخ بارتن شرال بار الردر ر کمپا ہے کہ میں ہر پیشی برخود یابذ ریپر تخذیار خاص رو ہر وعدالت حاضر ، وتار ہوں گا۔اور بوقت بکارے جانے وكيل صاحب موصوف كواطلاع دي أرماضر كردن كا-أكركني بيشي برمظهر حاضرت واادر غير حاضري كي اجته يحكى طور برمقدمه میرے خلاف ہوگیا تو صاحب موصرف اس کے کسی طرح ذمہ دارنہ وں گے۔ نیز وکیل صاحب میں وف صدر مغام کچ ہری کے بلادہ کمی ادر جگہ یا بچہری کے مقررہ ادفات سے پہلے یا بروز تعطیل پیردی کرنے کے مجاز نہ ہوائی گے۔ اگر مقدمہ مقام تجمري بر محمى ادرجكة ساعت، وفي بريابروز بجمري بحادقات بحاقي باليجيع، وفي برمظهركون المسان بيني في أسداريا اس کے داسطے کمی مجادضہ ادا کرنے ، مختبار نامہ دالجن کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمہ دار کہ اول کے مسجھے کل ساختہ برداخته صاجب مثل کرده ذابت خود منظور دقبدل ،وگا. اورصاحب موصوف کو عرضی دعوی اور درخواست اجرائے ڈگری دنظر ثانی ا بیل گرانی دائر کرنے، نیز ہوشم کی درخواست پر دستخطانصدین کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا ادکسی عظم یاڈ گرکی کے اہراء کرانے اور ہر فتم کاروپہ وصول کرنے اور سید دینے ادر داخل کرانے کا ہوشم بیان دینے اور سرد ثالثی درامنی نامہ د فیصلہ برخلاف کرنے د ا قبال دموی کا اختیار ہوگا ادر بصورت ا تبل و برآ مدگی مقدمہ یا منسوخی ڈ گری کیطرفہ درخوا ت عکم امتناعی ما ڈگری قبل از فیصلہ ر اجرائ د گری بھی صاحب موسود ف کوبشرطادا تیکی علیمدہ پیروی مختیار نام کرنے کا مجاز ہوگادر بصورت ضرورت اپیل ادرا پیل یک داسطے سی دوسر بے وکیل پاہر سرکو بنجائے اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں ادرا یے مشیر فانونی کو بھی اس امریں دہی اختیا رات حاصل ، ون ب جیسے میا حب موصوف کد، بوری فیس ناریخ بن شی ہے پہلے ادا نہ کر دن گا تو صاحب موصوف کو پورااختد پار ، دگا کہ مقد مہ ک پیردی نہ کریں ادرایسی جالب نہ بس میرا مطالبہ صاحب موصوف کیے برخلافہ نہیں ہو گا۔ لہذا مختار نامہ ککھویا ہے کم سندر ہے۔ الرق 20 مى 25 00 مىتمون تخذيار بامة ن ليأب اوراحيتى طرت جمد لياب اور منظور ب-الد مارى ولد عمرم وهد في مارى 0336. 9005132