02.01.2023

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant present.

Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 30.03.2023 before the D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

(Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)

30<sup>th</sup> Mar, 12023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand, Add: AG for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant seeks time to submit some additional documents. He may do so within seven days. To come up for arguments on 31.05.2023 before D.B. P.P given to the parties.

(Salah Ud Din) Member (Judicial)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

To the state of th

**一定的证明** 

31.08.2022

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Atta-ur-Rehman, Inspector (Legal) alongwith Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned Member (Judicial) Ms. Rozina Rehman is on leave, therefore, arguments could not be heard. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 11.11.2022 before the D.B.

(Salah-ud-Din) Member (Judicial)

11.11.2022

Junior to counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Naseerud Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Former requested for adjournment due to indisposition of learned senior counsel for the appellant. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 02.01.2023 before the D.B.

SCANNED KPST Poshawaa

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E) (Rozina Rehman) Member(J) Learned counsel for the appellant present.

Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. Khyal Roz Inspector (Legal) for respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment as he has not prepared the brief. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 16.03.2022 before D.B.

(Atiq ur Rehman Wazir) Member (E) (Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

16.03.2022

Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 20.6.2022. for the same as before.



Redect

20<sup>th</sup> June, 2022

Appellant present in person. Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Asstt. AG for the respondents present.

Appellant seeks adjournment as his counsel is not available today. Last chance is given, failing which the case will be decided on the basis of available record without the arguments. To come up for arguments on 31.08.2022 before the D.B.

(Fareeha Paul)

Member(E)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman Junior to counsel for the appellant present.

Addl: AG alongwith Mr. Khayal Roz, Inspector for respondents present.

Representative of the respondents has submitted written reply/comments which is placed on file.

Adjourned to 13.07.2021 for rejoinder and arguments before D.B.

(Atiq Ur Rehman Wazir) Member(E)

13.07.2021 None for the appellant present. Mr. Adeel Butt,
Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. Khayal Roz,
Inspector for respondents present.

Due to general strike of the Peshawar Bar Association, the case is adjourned to 24.11.2021 for the same before D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (Judicial) hairman

Counsel for the appellant present.

Contends that the appellant was proceeded against departmentally but as ex-parte. The legal requirements regarding charge sheet, statement of allegations and thorough probe has not been taken before passing of impugned order. Further, the order against the appellant was passed with retrospective effect which is not justifiable under the law.

In view of the available record and arguments, this appeal is admitted to regular hearing but subject to all legal and factual objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents. To come up for written reply/comments on 01.02.2021 before S.B.

Appell Toposited

Appell Toposited

Security a Poress Fee

01.02.2021

Appellant in person and Addl. Achal Roz, Inspector for the respondents present.

Representative of the respondents requests for time to furnish reply/comments. Adjourned to 31.03.2021 on which date the requisite reply/comments shall positively be furnished.

Chairman

#### Form- A

### FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court or			
•	01.00		
n No	8081	/2020	

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	24/07/2020	The appeal of Mr. Ibrar Ahmad presented today by Mr. Javed Iqba Gulbella Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to
		the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.  REGISTRAR
2-	Postawar	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be purup there on 10/09/2020.  CHAIRMAN
	10.09.2020	Counsel for the appellant present.
		Requests for time to further document the brief by placing on record the medical prescriptions etc. evidencing illness of the appellant. Adjourned to 17.11.2020 before S.B.
		Chairman
,		

### BEFORE THE HONBLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re S.A <u>8487</u>/2020

Ibrar Ahmad

#### **VERSUS**

Inspector General of Police and Others

#### **INDEX**

S#	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Grounds of Appeal with affidavit		1-6
2.	Affidavit.		7
3.	Addresses of Parties.		8
4.	Application Condonation of delay		9-10
5.	Copy of impugned Dismissal Order	"A"	11
6.	Copy of Departmental Appeal dated 27.01.2020 and office order 22/04/2020	"B & C"	12-14
7.	Other documents		
8.	Wakalatnama		1.6

Dated: 23/07/2020

Appellant

Through

JAVED IQBAL GULBELA

Advocate High Court

Peshawar.

Off Add: 9-10A Al-Nimrah Centre, Govt College Chowk Peshawar

#### BEFORE THE HONBLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re S.A SUST /2020

Khyber Pakhtukhwas Service Tribunal

Diary No. 7282

Ibrar Ahmad, Blet# 1734, District Police Mardan 24/7/202

----- (Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer Mardan
- 3. District Police Officer, Mardan.

····· (Respondents).

U/S OF THE PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER NO. 58/PA DATED 15/10/2019 OF THE OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER MARDAN. WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS DISMISSED HIS SERVICE & IMPUGNED ORDER NO. 3087/ES DATED 22/04/2020 OF THE OF REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER REGION, WHEREBY DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT **DOWNIN** TURNED CLASSICAL, CURSORY AND WHIMSICAL MANNER.

#### Respectfully Sheweth,

1. That the Appellant was inducted into service as Constable in Police Department of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa back in the year 2009.

- 2. That thereafter the Appellant took charge & with his most sincere and pragmatic way, performed his duties & never left any stone unturned in performance of his duties with utmost zest & devotion.
- 3. That on 01/07/2019 the appellant got serious ill & was unable to perform his duties. The appellant requested Lines Moharrir for leaves, but futile. Later on the appellant remained confined to bed because of his sever illness & there was no one in his house to inform his department about the severity of his illness & physical inability to perform his duties.
- 4. That in the meanwhile the Appellant was dismissed from service, without any due process of law & upon the sole ground of being remained absence from duties vide the impugned office order No.7656-58/PA dated 15-10-2019 of the office of District Police Officer Mardan. (Copy of impugned Dismissal Order is annexed as Annexure "A")
- 5. That feeling aggrieved from impugned Dismissal from Service Order, preferred Appellant a Departmental Appeal dated 27.01.2020 & the same was turned down vide order No. 3087/ES Dated 22/04/2020 by the office of Regional Police Officer Mardan Region. (Copy of Departmental Appeal dated 27.01.2020 and office order 22/04/2020 are

herewith as Annexure "B&C", respectively).

6. That feeling aggrieved from the impugned Dismissal Order and impugned Appellate Order the Appellant approaches this Hon'ble Tribunal for his reinstatement into service with all back benefits upon the following grounds.

#### GROUNDS:

- A. That the Appellant is a naturally born bonafide citizen of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan and is fully and equally, on equality basis, entitled to all basic and fundamental rights as enshrined in the fundamental law of the land, interpreted, guaranteed and enforced by the laws of the land and discrimination along with unfettered exercise of discriminatory powers by an authority or office is always been deplored, deprecated and depreciated by superior Courts of the land.
- **B.** That the dismissal order of the Respondent is unwarranted, against the facts and law on the subject, therefore is not sustainable at all.
- C. That the act of the respondents in so called circumstances is purely baseless, unlawful,

void ab-initio, corrum non judice and is unwarranted to the core.

- D. That no proper Departmental inquiry against the Appellant was ever conducted, nor any inquiry dispensation order was ever passed & nor was given an opportunity to be heard, to defend, clear his position and deprived him of his right to be heard, which is against the law of natural justice.
- E. That neither the Appellant was ever served with any show cause notice, statement of allegation of charge sheet, nor ever any Final Show Cause Notice & thus mandatory instruments are glaringly missing, which renders both the impugned orders as illegal & void.
- F. That the Appellant has thoroughly been condemned unheard which under the law is not allowed.
- G.That the impugned dismissal order & impugned Appellate Authority order is unlawful, illegal and liable to be cancelled because the Respondent utterly violated the service law, rules, regulations and policy of

the Government for Civil servants while passing the impugned dismissal order.

- H. That the impugned dismissal order as well as impugned Appellate Authority Order is the violation of the fundamental rights of the appellant which is guaranteed and protected by the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- I. That from every angle the impugned dismissal Order & impugned Appellate Authority Order is null and void and not sustainable hence is liable to be set aside.
- J. That thus Appellant has thoroughly been condemned unheard, which on the law is not allowed.
- K. That any other ground not raised here may graciously be allowed to be raised at the time of arguments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant Service Appeal, the impugned office order NO. 7656-58/PA dated 15-10-2019 of District Police Officer Mardan & impugned office order dated 22/04/2020 of office of the Regional Police Officer Mardan region

6

may kindly be set aside and by doing so the Appellant be reinstated into service with all back benefits.

Any other relief not specifically asked for may also graciously be extended in favor of the Appellant in the circumstances of the case.

Dated: 23/07/2020

· Chin

Appellant

Through

JAVEDÁQBÁL GULBELA

SAGHIR IQBAL GULBELA

AHSAN SARDAR

Œ

TAHIR KHAN

Advocates High Court Peshawar.

#### NOTE:-

Earlier the Appellant filed Service Appeal which is subjudice before this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Advocate.

# BEFORE THE HONBLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR



In Re S.A \_\_\_\_\_/2020

Ibrar Ahmad

#### **VERSUS**

Inspector General of Police and Others

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Ibrar Ahmad Constable S/o Faqir Muhammad R/o Hassan kaly Mangah Tehsil and District Mardan, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the Instant application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

DEPONENT CNIC#16101-08999425-9

Identified By:

JAVED IQBALGULBELA

Advocate High Court Peshawar



### BEFORE THE HONBLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In I	Re S.	A	/2020

Ibrar Ahmad

#### **VERSUS**

Inspector General of Police and Others

#### ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

#### APPELLANT.

Ibrar Ahmad, Blet# 1734, District Police Mardan

#### RESPONDENTS:

- 1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer Mardan
- 3. District Police Officer, Mardan..

Dated: 23/07/2020

Appellant

Through

JAVED-ÌŒBÂL GULBELA

Advocate High Court

Peshawar.

## BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

In S.A No.	/2020

#### Ibrar Ahmad

#### **VERSUS**

Inspector General of Police and Others

### APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY

#### Respectfully Sheweth,

- 1. That the petitioner/applicant is filling the accompanying service appeal the contents of which may graciously be considered as integral part and parcel of the instant petition.
- 2. That the petitioner has got a good arguable and prima facia case, besides having balance of convenience in his favor.
- 3. That the delay occurred in filing the accompanying service appeal was due to the reason that firstly father of the petitioner passed away due to COVID-19 pandemic and Lock Down thereafter, and being the elder child of his parents, the petitioner had to manage all the family affairs, had to settle his pension matters with Education Department, and above all had to resolve family issues.

- 4. That the delay in approaching the Hon'ble Tribunal was never deliberate, but due to the aforementioned reasons which were certainly behind his control.
- 5. That if the instant application is not allowed the petitioner shall suffer irreparable loss.

It is, therefore, must humbly prayed that delay in filing the accompanying service appeal may graciously be condoned and the same be decided on its merits.

Petitioner

Through

Javed Iqbal Gulbela Advocate, High Court Peshawar.



## office of the DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN

Tel No. 0937-9230109 & Fax No. 0937-9230111 Email: dpo mardan@yahoo.com

Dated 1/10/2019

## ORDER ON ENQUIRY OF CONSTABLE IBRAR NO.1734 POLICE LINES

This order will dispose-off a Departmental Enquiry under Police Rules 1975, initiated against the subject official, under the allegations that while posted at Folice Lines-Mardan, Proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Gul Shed Khan SDPO Katlang vide this office Statement of Disciplinary Action/Charge Sheet No.338/PA dated 30-07-2019, on account of absence from duty without any leave/permission of the competent authority vide DD report. No.58 dated 01-07-2019 till-date, who (E.O) after fulfilling necessary process, submitted his Finding Report to this office vide his office letter No.1401/St dated 22-08-2019, recommending the alleged official for ex-parte action.

On 27-09-2019, he was served with a Final Show Cause Notice, under K.P. Police Rules-1975, issued vide this office No.253/PA dated 16-09-2019, to which; he was bound to submit his reply by 04-10-2019, but neither has he complied with, nor joined duty so far.

#### Final Order

Constable Ibrar No.1734 is hereby awarded a major punishment of dismissal from service with effect from 01-07-2019, with immediate effect, in exercise of the power vested in mc under Police Rules-1975.

OB No.

(SAJJAD KHAN) PSP District Police Officer Mardan (

Copy forwarded for information & n/action to:

- 1) The DSP/HQrs Mardan.
- 2) The E & PO (Police Office) Mardan.
- 3) The OSI (Police Office) Mardan with ( ) Sheets.

JAVED IQUAL Gul Belt Daudzai Law Chamber Advocate High Court Peshawar Mob: 0345-9405501

The Deputy 108p. dur General
of Police - Mandan Region-I

Subject: Appel apart- The order of DPO | Mandan 135 wed vide

DBNO 2225 | detect in x. 2019 where by The appealal

was arranded major punishment of dismiss of from

Rasguele Sir,

Osto submitted that the opportant was antisted in police department as constitute on 11.5.2009. The appealant Performed his duty work year and efficiently Durwing Performed his duty work year and efficiently Durwing The month of June 2014 the opportant remained the month of June 2014 the opportant remained portal at police lines Mandan.

That on 1.7 2019, the appelant become so simply it and was unable to perform in chity. The appealant of patient limbs for the grant of leave but his reduced of was not considered.

The appealant went to his village and started hims the appealant went to his village and started hims to do appealant went to his village and started hims.

The during the Period of absence from duty, a change shoel who served to the appealant but your net suformed served upon him. One appealant we not suformed regardent the illeged dispartment on Dung. the appealant was not giften opportunity to Produce ho defect as during the course of another and an ex-parts ashim was the course of another and an ex-parts ashim was teld and against him. Into light of ending this like appealant thinks to produce how the ending the superfield from I service vide of the 2225 delection in Some

ene liscal.

That the appealant was informed about the dismissal order some on weeks ago. Thurster the appealal visited the office of DPo/medala and applical form

Daudzął Law Chamber Advocate High Court Poshawar Mob: 0345-9485501

447

of natural Junice Pardialteram partem

No 901 2009 has further emphasizand

- Maj while deciding a ese Principles

charved which quarantee the right of the appealed that stitus Should not be Emdemned in Heard.

The order for all popolar dan has been possed in voil attend y universally accepted formaples of matural justice appealed was not hered nor reasons for his diverse apparently which were beyond his control when not take me to account and this control when not take me into account and this consent serious frequency has been prejudice to him.

Prayers

The appealant formand his about horistly dump his formal of

A. The appealant Pandomed his almy horrothy dump his Penice of Service.

5. The appealant is marriage with on kids and the family is depend upon the Pohice samice of the appealant to humb by read the dump of the many and circumstances into some bumb by read that on the acceptance of the instant appeal the order of DRO [Marlan may Kindy be solonide appeal the order of DRO [Marlan may Kindy be solonide

Datal: 27.1.2020

date of dismose of Pl

yours observedly

Ex. constable Brar Ahmal

NO 1734 District - Marlan

> JAVED IQBAL Gul Beh Daudzai Law Chamber Advocate High Court Peshawar Mob: 0345-9405591

#### ORDER.

This order will dispose-off the departmental appeal preferred by Ex-Constable Ibrar No. 1734 of Mardan District Police against the order of District Police Officer, Mardan, whereby he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service vide OB No. 2225 dated 14.10.2019. The appellant was proceeded against departmentally on the allegations that he while posted at Police Lines, Mardan absented himself from his lawful duty vide daily diary No. 58 dated 01.07.2019 till date of his dismissal.

Proper departmental enquiry proceedings were initiated against him. He was issued Charge Sheet alongwith Statement of Allegations and Deputy Superintendent of Police, Katlang, Mardan was nominated as Enquiry Officer. The Enquiry Officer after fulfilling codal formalities, submitted his findings wherein he recommended the delinquent Officials for ex-parte action.

He was issued Final Show Cause Notice with the directions to submit his reply within the stipulated time. But he did not submit his reply rather remained absent from his lawful duty.

Keeping in view the recommendations of enquiry officer and other material available on record, the appellant was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service from the date of absence vide OB: No. 2225 dated 14.10.2019 by the District Police Officer, Mardan.

. Feeling aggrieved from the order of District Police Officer, Mardan, the appellant preferred the instant appeal. He was summoned and heard in person in Orderly Room held in this office on 15.04.2020.

From the perusal of the enquiry file and service record of the appellant, it has been found that the appellant during the course of enquiry did not bother to join enquiry proceedings which clearly depicted that he had nothing to justify his absence. The appellant approached this forum at a belated stage without advancing any cogent reason regarding such delay. Hence, order passed by the competent authority does not warrant any interference.

Keeping in view the above, I, Sher Akbar, PSP S.St Regional Police Officer, Mardan, being the appellate authority, finds no substance in the appeal, therefore, the same is rejected and filed, being time barred.

Order Announced.

Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

Dated Mardan the

Copy forwarded to District Police Officer, Mardan for information and necessary w/r to his office Memo: No. 85/LB dated 19.02.2020. His Service Record is returned herewith. Daudzai Law Chamber

Advocate High Court Peshawar

Mob: 0345-9405501

و كالت نامه هـ المرار المرار

بر آنکے مقدرمه مندرجه بالاعنوان اپی طرف سے واسطے پیرولی کو جوابد ہی

Color No.

**حاویدا قبال کل بیل** ایڈوکیٹ هائی کورٹ *کویدن ڈاڈ* صاحب موصوف کواطلاع دے کرحاضرعدالت کرونگا، اگر پیثی پرمن مظهر حاضر نه ہوا اور مقدمه میری غیرحاضری کی وجہ سے سی طور برمیرے برخلاف ہوگیا توصاحب موصوف اس کے سی طرح ذمہ دارنہ ہو گئے۔ نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام کچبری کی کسی اورجگہ یا کچبری کے مقررہ اوقات سے پہلے یا پیچھے یا بروز تعطیل پیروی کرنے کے ذمہ دار نہ ہو نگے۔اگر مقد مه علاوہ صدر مقام کچہری کے سی اور جگہ ماعت ہونے یا بروز تعطیل یا کچہری کے اوقات کے آگے پیچھے پیش ہونے پر من مظہر کوکوئی نقصان بنجے تو اس کے ذمہ داریااس کے واسطے سی معاوضہ کے اداکر نے یا مختارانہ واپس کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمه دارنه ہو نگے ۔ مجھے کوکل ساختہ پر داختہ صاحب موصوف مثل کردہ ذات خو دمنظور وقبول ہوگا۔اور صاحب موصوف کوعرضی دعویٰ و جواب دعویٰ اور درخواست اجرائے ڈگری ونظر ثانی اپیل ونگرانی ہرتیم کی درخواست پر دستخطو تصدیق کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا اور کسی علم یا ڈگری کے اجراء کرانے اور ہوشم کے روپیدوصول کرنے اور رسید دینے اور داخل کرنے اور ہرقتم کے بیان دینے اورسپر و ثالثی وراضی نامہ فیصلہ برخلاف کرنے اقبال دعویٰ دینے کابھی اختیار ہوگا۔اور بصورت اپیل وبرآ مدگی مقدمه یامنسوخی ڈگری کیطرفه درخواست حکم امتناعی یا قرقی یا گرفتاری قبل از اجراء ڈگری بھی موصوف کوبشرطادا ئیگی علیحده مختارا نه پیروی کااختیار هوگا۔اوربصورت ضرورت صاحب موصوف کوبھی اختیار هوگا یا مقدمه مذکوره یا اس کے سی جزوکی کاروائی کے واسطے یا بصورت اپیل ، پیل کے واسطے دوسرے وکیل با بیرسٹرکو بجائے اپنے یا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں اورا پیے مشیر قانون کے ہرا مردہی اور ویسے ہی اختیارات حاصل ہونگے جیسے کے صاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں۔اوردوران مقدمہ میں جو پچھ ہر جانہ التواءیڑے گا۔اورصاحب موصوف کاحق ہوگا۔اگروکیل صاحب موصوف کو یوری فیس تاریخ پیشی سے پہلے ادانہ کرونگا تو صاحب موصوف کو یوراا ختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی پیروی نہ کریں اور ایس صورت میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ کسی قتم کا صاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔للبذا مختار نامہ لکھ دیا کہ سندر ہے۔ مضمون مختارنا مەس لىا بےاوراچىمى طرح سمجھاليا ہےا ورمنظور ہے۔

G31

## BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

#### Service Appeal No. 8487/2020

Ibrar Ahmad Ex-Constable No. 1734 District Mardan......Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Mardan
- 3. District Police Officer, Mardan

.Respondents

#### PARA-WISE COMMENTS BY RESPONDENTS:-

#### Respectfully Sheweth,

#### PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1. That the appellant has not approached this Hon'ble Tribunal with clean hands.
- 2. That the appellant has concealed the actual facts from this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 3. That the appellant has got no cause of action or locus standi to file the instant appeal.
- 4. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant Service Appeal.
- 5. That the appeal is unjustifiable, baseless, false, flawless and vexatious and the same is liable to be dismissed with special compensatory cost in favour of respondents.
- 6. That the Hon'ble Tribuna! has no jurisdiction to adjudicate the matter.
- 7. That the appeal is bad for miss joinder and non joinder of necessary parties.
- 8. That the appeal is barred by law and limitation.

#### REPLY ON FACTS

- 1. Correct to the extent that the appellant was initially appointed as constable in Police Department.
- 2. Correct to the extent that appellant reported arrival on duty but service record of the appellant is tainted with bad entries (Copy of list of bad entries and punishment enclosed as Annexure "A").
- 3. Incorrect. Stance taken by the appellant is totally devoid of merit because he failed to produce any medical documents regarding his illness neither he submitted an application for medical leave, to the competent authority. Moreover, during the course of enquiry proceedings, the appellant neither bother to join enquiry proceedings nor submitted reply to the Charge Sheet by annexing medical documents with the reply.
- 4. Incorrect. Stance taken by the appellant is totally false and baseless, because he has been properly proceeded against departmentally by issuing

him Charge Sheet with Statement of Allegations. The same were received by the appellant himself on 08.08.2019 and duly signed the photo copy as token of its receipt. During the course of enquiry, the appellant was contacted time and again to appear before the enquiry officer but neither he appeared before the enquiry officer nor submitted his reply. After fulfillment of all legal and codal formalities, Enquiry Officer recommended the delinquent official for ex-parte action and competent authority issued Final Show Cause Notice to the appellant which was received by the appellant himself on 27.09.2019 and duly signed the photo copy as token of its receipt. But this time too, his reply was not received, nor he appeared before the competent authority. Thus he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service, which does commensurate with the gravity of misconduct of the appellant (Copy of serving charge sheet & Final Show Cause Notice are Annexed as "B" & "C").

- 5. Correct to the extent that the appellant preferred departmental appeal which was also decided on merit because he was provided full-fledged opportunity of defending himself by the appellate authority but he bitterly failed to produce any cogent reasons in his defense. The same was rejected/filed being devoid of any merit and badly time barred (Copy of rejection order is attached as annexure "D").
- 6. That appeal of the appellant is liable to be dismissed on the following grounds amongst the others.

#### **REPLY ON GROUNDS:**

- A. Stance of the appellant is totally ill-based because no basic fundamental rights of the appellant has been violated rather he has treated in accordance with facts and rules.
- B. Incorrect. Order passed by the competent as well as appellate authorities are in accordance with law, facts and material proof available on record. Hence, tenable in the eye of law.
- C. Incorrect the appellant has been treated in accordance with law, rules, policy & norms of natural justice. Hence plea of the appellant is devoid of any merits.
- D. Incorrect. Stance taken by the appellant is totally devoid of merit because he has been properly proceeded against departmentally by issuing him Charge Sheet with Statement of Allegations and enquiry was entrusted to Mr. Gulshed Khan the then SDPO Katlang. During the course of enquiry the appellant was contacted time and again to appear before the enquiry officer but neither he appeared before the enquiry officer nor submitted his reply. However, after fulfillment of all legal and codal formalities, Enquiry Officer recommended the delinquent official for ex-parte action. Therefore,

- competent authority issued Final Show Cause Notice to the appellant, but this time too, he failed to submit his reply, nor he appeared before the competent authority. Hence, the appellant was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service, which does commensurate with the gravity of misconduct of the appellant (Copy of charge sheet with statement of allegations & Final Show Cause Notice are Annexed as "E" & "F").
- E. Incorrect. Stance taken by the appellant is totally false and baseless, charge sheet with statement of allegations & Final Show Cause Notice was received by the appellant himself on 08.08.2019 & 27.09.2019 and in this regard he duly signed the photo copies as token of its receipt.
- F. Incorrect. Stance taken by the appellant is totally devoid of merit hence denied.
- G. Incorrect. Both the orders passed by the competent as well as appellate authorities are legal and lawful. Hence, liable to be maintained.
- H. Incorrect. Para explained earlier needs no comments.
- I. Incorrect. Para explained earlier needs no comments.
- J. Incorrect. Para aiready explained needs no comments.
- K. The respondents also seek permission of this honorable tribunal to adduce additional grounds at the time of arguments.

#### PRAYER:-

Keeping in view the above narrated facts, it is humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant being badly time barred may kindly be dismissed with costs please.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 01)

Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

(Respondent No. 02)

District/Police/Officer,
Mardan.

(Respondent No. 03)

## BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

In Re S.A No. 8487/2020

Ibrar Ahmad

#### **VERSUS**

Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others

#### Reply to the application for condonation of delay:-

Respectfully Sheweth,

#### PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1. That applicant has no cause of action to file the instant application.
- 2. That the application is barred by law.

#### REPLY ON FACTS

- 1. That the appeal filed by the applicant before this Honorable Tribunal may kindly be dismissed being a badly time-barred.
- 2. Incorrect. Stance taken by the applicant is baseless because the very conduct of the applicant by neither joining the enquiry proceedings nor submitting his replies is prima-facie, is of unbecoming of disciplined police officer.
- 3. Incorrect. Plea taken by the applicant is not plausible, because he failed to submit his appeal within time and tailored the instant story just to cover the limitation issue.
- 4. Incorrect. Stance of the applicant is baseless and he has preferred departmental appeal to the appellate authority with a delay of 104 days after his dismissal. Moreover, plea taken by the applicant is whimsical / concocted rather fanciful hence, liable to be set at naught. As the apex court of Pakistan has held that the question of limitation cannot be considered a "technicality" simpliciter as it has got its own significance and would have substantial bearing on merits of the case.
- 5. That the application of the applicant being badly time barred may kindly be dismissed with costs.

Keeping in view the above submission, it is humbly prayed that application of the applicant regarding condonation of delay may very kindly be dismissed please.

> Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

> > (Respondent No. 01)

Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

(Respondent No. 02)

District Pólice Officer, Mardan

(Respondent No. 03)

## BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 8487/2020

Ibrar Ahmad Ex-Constable No. 1734 District Mardan......Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Mardan
- 3. District Police Officer, Mardan

.....Respondents

#### **COUNTER AFFIDAVIT.**

We, the respondents do hereby declare and solemnly affirm on oath that the contents of the Para-wise comments in the service appeal cited as subject are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 01)

Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

(Respondent No. 02)

District Police Officer, Mardan.

(Respondent No. 03)

America EA! 14. COMMEND TORY ENTRIES. ORDER Awarded Concure and with. warned to be Carefull in future (100) With Duting his absence 03 days leave wio pay J3510.1458 DPO[Mardan 流·3·7·13 DRDER He is hereby awarded miner punish most Garageore Forfieture of Oyear approved Service While his 30 days absence period is counted as Icare with at pay with minuchate offect. CB No. 472 dt 25/2/18 CCC /17 11019.

ion Shah ; Mardai i0) days

DD No cessary

ated 10

mself f

g leave

a Fig 5.09/P

Tht of w his

s co ı im

(Continued)

his Abscuse

HE OFFICE

937-9230111 o com

BLE IBRAR

at Police Stat DSP Inv: Wing account of (1: authority vide

r fulfilling no icr No.10/R d y absenting hi

s served with

019 & in the lig eceping in vie

ng a Minor P Rs: 200/= for his Absence specied iy released wit

MHE is hereby awarded 3 clays Extra d'aill with immediate effect.

R1: 200/2 for

CBNO. 1658 dt 5.8. 2013.

4-1-013

Absence.

POB No: 711

7-3-0/3

OB No: 778

15-3-013

counted as lon irter Guard.

this office N

district Police N Mardan

(ContinuRACTER ROLL OF ing days Extra drill fir his absance Kpo/n/K 15/3 No. 1721 11/19.8.013 days extradrill for his absence. 673 No 1378 DPS/12012 (B) days extra drill for his, absence.

(B) No. 14000 DAMME Below estra of will for his absence (1) days extra drill for his absence. 03NC 1919 Stopme ORDER 1 HER is awarded minor punishment of Cansure with counting (11) days 9 bsences period as degive without growing with promediat effection 0BN0.1427 6 DPO Mardon 01/8/018

#### 16. LEAVE, ABSENCE A

•	Air Penoi	us not counting as	approved service	to be entered in red ink,	
		2.	3.	4.	
ï					

:	1.					. :	2.	3.	4.
	Da	ete				Ext	ent '		•
From		the state of the s	То	- 1:	Years	Months	Days	No. Of District Order	Description of leave i.e privilege hospital, sick leave or of absence, or forfeiture of approved service.
		The state of the s					D.	13-5-10	Cenculo Par DROPIR
	•	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		: 3			27	2-163	det problem
	;						6	74-11-16	DEATING DEATING
								7-12-10	Mall
	٠,	4-742		,			(3)	4872	do pro/mr
			7:				9	620	-do- philimp
		4825					(3)	1812	_do _ pho/mx
					:		3)_	2171	- do - Delma
:	:	推 建二甲基甲基						0980	De lance
•				,			W.	8-8-11	
	,			1			2/	2980	- do - Dofolos
		,					6	33.	do - Diffine.
							(h).	358	-10 - 1/05/me

THE OFFICER

0937-9230111 hoo.<u>com</u>

Dated

ABLE IBRAR NO

Lat Police Station DSP Inv: Wing M m account of (150) nt authority vide D fter fulfilling nece etter No.10/R date by absenting hims e counted as long I narter Guard,

vas served with a this office No.0

2019 & in the light keeping in view ding a Minor Pun absence's period is pay released with i

(Sajjad Khan) P District Police Of Mardan

GS&PD/ N.W.F.P. 2238 FS. - 15,000 - 27-4-1987 - (98)

1096 - DO-DPAMS 8/5/017 2601 - DO-8/11/017 PPO/MR 10/0/117R. : \_do 56,817 (1373) \_\_\_ clo \_\_ 6-1400 d-ppdfulk \_d - profine (15) 2527 Elleave -(3 14) - Leave . / pay- A)





## OFFICE OF THE RICT POLICE OFFICER,

Annexive 8"

#### MARDAN

Tel No. 0537-9230109 & Fax No. 0937-9230111 Email: dpo\_mardan@yahoo.com

\_/PA

Dated 3.6 / 7 /2019

#### DISCIPBINARY ACTION

I. SALJAD KHAN (PSP). District Police Officer Mardan, as competent authority am of the opinion that Constable Ihrar No.1734, himself liable to be proceeded against, as he committed the following acts/omissions within the meaning of Police Rules 1975.

#### STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

Whereas, Constable Ibrar No.1734, while posted at Police Lines Mardan, remained absent from duty without any leave/permission of the competent authority vide DD No.58 dated 01-07-2019 till date, as intimated by DSP/HQrs Mardan vide his Office letter No.458/FIQrs dated 26-07-2019.

For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of the said accused Official with reference to the above allegations, Mr. Gulshed Khan SDPO/Katlang is nominated as Enquiry Officer.

The Enquiry Officer shall, in accordance with the provision of Police Rules 1975. provides reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused Police Officer, record/submit his findings and make within (30) days of the receipt of this order, recommendations as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused Official.

Constable Ibrar is directed to appear before the Enquiry Officer on the date + time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer,

> District Police Officer **M**ardan

DIST

OFFICE OF THE

P5 (7)

district police officer,

MARDAN

Tel No. 0937-9230109 & Fax No. 0937-9230111

Email: dpo\_mardan@vahoo.com

Dated / 109 /2019

### FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

Whereas, you <u>Constable Ibrar No.1734</u>, while posted at Police Lines Mardan, remained absent from duty without any leave/permission of the competent authority wide DD No.58 dated 01-07-2019 till date, as intimated by DSP/HQrs Mardan vide his Office letter No.458/HQrs dated 26-07-2019.

In this connection, during the course of Departmental Enquiry, conducted by Mr. Gulshed Khan SDPO Katlang vide his office letter No.1401/St dated 22-08-2019, in pursuance of this office Statement of Disciplinary Action/Charge Sheet No.338/PA dated 30-07-2019, recommending you for ex-parte action.

Therefore, it is proposed to impose Major/Minor penalty as envisaged under Rules 4 (b) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975.

Hence, I Sajjad Khan (PSP) District Police Officer Mardan, in exercise of the power vested in me under Rules 5 (3) (a) & (b) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975 call upon you to Show Cause Finally as to why the proposed punishment should not be awarded to you.

Your reply shall reach this office within 07 days of receipt of this Notice, failing which; it will be presumed that you have no explanation to offer.

You are liberty to appear for personal hearing before the undersigned.

Received by N/ Sour

Dated: 16 / 09 /2019

(13)

(SAJJAD KHAN) PSP
District Police Officer

Mardan

Copy to SHO PS Saddar (Attention Moharrar) to deliver this Notice upon Constable Ibrar No.1734 Son of Faqir Muhammd Resident of Hassan Killey Manga or any of his closed family member & the receipt thereof shall be returned to this office within (05) days positively for onward necessary action.

- G & DUNCOUNES Jed () 16 2 m 7 16 250 Curico 0 0000 1734 july 125 MM FOTOST 19 1314 -15-Sir, He was bound to submit 253/14 (pt ) 30 / pis In steply to FSCN by 04-X-The Somewall pro 15but meither has he complie STOBOOK 0000 Williams Shed by Self With mor goined duty sin Bry Der Co Mn 12 01-07-19. REPLE Marche o Din mino TOP I

#### ORDER.

This order will dispose-off the departmental appeal preferred by Ex-Constable Ibrar No. 1734 of Mardan District Police against the order of District Police Officer, Mardan, whereby he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service vide OB No. 2225 dated 14.10.2019. The appellant was proceeded against departmentally on the allegations that he while posted at Police Lines, Mardan absented himself from his lawful duty vide daily diary No. 58 dated 01.07.2019 till date of his dismissal.

Proper departmental enquiry proceedings were initiated against him. He was issued Charge Sheet alongwith Statement of Allegations and Deputy Superintendent of Police, Katlang, Mardan was nominated as Enquiry Officer. The Enquiry Officer after fulfilling codal formalities, submitted his findings wherein he recommended the delinquent Officials for ex-parte action.

He was issued Final Show Cause Notice with the directions to submit his reply within the stipulated time. But he did not submit his reply rather remained absent from his lawful duty.

Keeping in view the recommendations of enquiry officer and other material available on record, the appellant was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service from the date of absence vide OB; No. 2225 dated 14.10.2019 by the District Police Officer, Mardan.

. Feeling aggrieved from the order of District Police Officer, Mardan, the appeilant preferred the instant appeal. He was summoned and heard in person in Orderly Room held in this office on 15.04.2020.

From the perusal of the enquiry file and service record of the appellant, it has been found that the appellant during the course of enquiry did not bother to join enquiry proceedings which clearly depicted that he had nothing to justify his absence. The appellant approached this forum at a belated stage without advancing any cogent reason regarding such delay. Hence, order passed by the competent authority does not warrant any interference.

Keeping in view the above, I, Sher Akbar, PSP S.St Regional Police Officer, Mardan, being the appellate authority, finds no substance in the appeal, therefore, the same is rejected and filed, being time barred.

Order Announced.

Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

-04 - 12020.Dated Mardan the 20

Copy forwarded to District Police Officer, Mardan for information and necessary w/r to his office Memo; No. 85/LB dated 19.02.2020. His Service Record is returned herewith.



Annexure "E

# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, WARDAN

2019

Tel No. 0937-9230109 & Fax No. 0937-9230111 Email: dpo\_mardan@yahoo.com

No. 338

\_/PA 🔿

Dated 30 /7 /2019

#### **DISCIPLINARY ACTION**

I. <u>SALIAD KHAN (PSP)</u>, District Police Officer Mardan, as competent authority am of the opinion that Constable Ibrar No.1734, himself liable to be proceeded against, as he committed the following acts/omissions within the meaning of Police Rules 1975.

#### STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

Whereas, Constable Ibrar No.1734, while posted at Police Lines Mardan, remained absent from duty without any leave/permission of the competent authority vide DD No.58 dated 01-07-2019 fill date, as intimated by DSP/HQrs Mardan vide his Office letter No.458/HQrs dated 26-07-2019.

For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of the said accused Official with reference to the above allegations, Mr. Gulshed Khan SDPO/Katlang is nominated as Enquiry Officer.

The Enquiry Officer shall, in accordance with the provision of Police Rules 1975, provides reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused Police Officer, record/submit his findings and make within (30) days of the receipt of this order, recommendations as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused Official.

Constable Ibrar is directed to appear before the Enquiry Officer on the date + time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

(SAJJAD KHAN) PSP District Police Officer W Mardan



# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

#### MARDAN

Tel No. 0937-9230109 & Fax No. 0937-9230111 Email: dpo\_mardan@yahoo.com

#### CHARGE SHEET

while J. SALIAD KHAN (PSP), District Police Officer Mardan, as competent authority, hereby charge Constable Ibrar No.1734, while posted at Police Lines Mardan, as per attached Statement of Allegations.

By reasons of above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct under Police Rules.

1975 and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in Police Rules, 1975.

- You are, therefore, required to submit your written defense within <u>07 days</u> of the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the Enquiry Officer, as the case may be.
- 3. Your written defense, if any, should reach the Enquiry Officers within the specified period, failing which, it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put-in and in that case, ex-parte action shall follow against you.

Intimate whether you desired to be heard in person.

(SAJJAD KHAN) PSP District Police Officer Mardan

na jian Kuussaani pariimi

the root ayed (CU) middiv bofflo aids or barmoot by that homest square ads to record Less to sur to gue to again Welling of Hassan Killey Manga or any to have the Last ... Uddaeno Condu solidor (arrandom Meharman) to deliver this Molice upon Consubly I ...

acpange 4 wafito sallof loineid (STITYD KHYK) BZ5 The Control of the Same

Longistichm: off performal hearing before the undersigned

Hilling which; it will be presumed that you have no explanation to offer. Your reply shall reach this office within 07 days of receipt of this the ice.

той от рариты

the and the sheet Cause Finally as to why the proposed punishment should not be collect and in the peder finles for (b) of (b) of the Khyber Pakhunking belief it in to order that when (PSP) District Police Officer Murdan in translate

1975 July 4 (b) of the Shyber Pakhunkhna Police Rules 1975. because he thang reniMinolate asoque or becoperq at it conflored.

्या र र प्रमाण विकास विकास विकास वर्षावार

had ANSECOM roads agreed National Agreement to Institute and collice aids to state of a the Mind-Robert baneb 12/1041.0M raded boillo sid abiv gnabed CAGS naded badaling tile. It is real into a Chiupad International Departmental Enquiry, and principle connection is

(2100-10-90 Build argum is

to the table of the case of indiminated by DSP/HQua Mardan vide the table to the true projection of the cut personal developments of the competition of the competition of the contraction of the contractio spail boiled to bereeq olink ASTION TRIGIT offices not reserved

STANDER OF STREET

monopolevenrebiem out thems TAL 0. 0957 -7230109 & Fex Mo. 0537-9230111

MAURAM

DIBLEICL BOTICE OLLICEE'

OLEICE OL INE

Junamuuy



## OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE KATLANG

Phone & Fax No. 0937-575333 Email. sdpo.katlang@gmail.com

To

The Worthy District Police Officer, Mardan.

No.

401 JS1

dated 22/08/2019.

Subject: :

DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY AGAINST IBRAR NO 1734/FC.

Memo:

Kindly refer to your good office Diary No: 338 / PA, dated 30.07.2019.

In pursuance of your kind order, the undersigned completed enquiry in the above subject case. Its step-wise detail is given below.

#### STATEMENTS OF ALLEGATIONS:

Whereas, <u>Constable Ibrar No. 1734</u>, while posted at Police Lines, remained absent from duty without any leave / permission of the competent authority vide DD No. 58 dated 01.07.2019 till date.

#### PROCEEDINGS:

The defaulter constable Ibrar No.1734 was summoned and copy of Charge Sheet was served upon.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

He was contacted time and again to appear and produce his written statement and arrival report, but in vain, which reveals that he has no interest in official duty. It is therefore requested that he may be treated as Ex-parte action, if agreed, please.

Encl :(5)

Submitted please.

Deputy Superintendent of Police, Katlang Circle

Issue E SCH

DIETHION



## OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

#### MARDAN

Tel No. 0937-9230109 & Fax No. 0937-9230111 Email: dpo\_mardan@yahoo.com

10: 7656-38 MA

Dated 5/10/2019

#### ORDER ON ENQUIRY OF CONSTABLE IBRAR NO.1734 POLICE LINES

This order will dispose-off a Departmental Enquiry under Police Rules 975, initiated against the subject official, under the allegations that while posted at Police Lines Mardan, Proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Gul Shed Khan SDPO Katlang vide this office Statement of Disciplinary Action/Charge Sheet No.338/PA dated 30-07-2019, on account of absence from duty without any leave/permission of the competent authority vide DD report No.58 dated 01-07-2019 till-date, who (E.O) after fulfilling necessary process, submitted his Finding Report to this office vide his office letter No.1401/St dated 22-08-2019, recommending the alleged official for ex-parte action.

On 27-09-2019, he was served with a Final Show Cause Notice, under K.P. Police Rules-1975, issued vide this office No.253/PA dated 16-09-2019, to which, he was bound to submit his reply by 04-10-2019, but neither has he complied with, nor joined duty so far.

#### Final Order

Constable Ibrar No.1734 is hereby awarded a major punishment of dismissal from service with effect from 01-07-2019, with immediate effect, in exercise of the power vested in me under Police Rules-1975.

OB No. 2.2.2.5Dated 1/4/10/2019.

(SAJJAD KHAN) PSP District Police Officer

Copy forwarded for information & n/action to:-

1) The DSP/HOrs Mardan.

7) The E.C & PO (Police Office) Mardan

The OSI (Police Office) Mardan with ( ) Sheets.

### BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

#### Service Appeal No. 8487/,2020

Ibrar Ahmad Ex-Constable No. 1734 District Mardan......Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Mardan
- 3. District Police Officer, Mardan

.....Respondents

#### AUTHORITY LETTER.

Mr. Khyal Roz Khan Inspector Legal Branch, (Police) Mardan is hereby authorized to appear before the Honourable Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar in the above captioned service appeal on behalf of the respondents. He is also authorized to submit all required documents and replies etc. as representative of the respondents through the Addl: Advocate General/Govt. Pleader, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 01)

Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

(Respondent No. 02)

District Police Officer, Mardan.

(Respondent No. 03)

## BEFORE THE HONBLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

In S.A # 8487/2020

#### Ibrar Ahmad

#### Versus

Inspector General of Police and Others

#### **INDEX**

S#	Description of documents	Page No
1	Rejoinder and reply to the comments of	1-2
	Respondents	
2	Affidavit	3

Dated: 08/07/2021

Appellant

Through .

Javed Iqbal Gulbela Advocate, Supreme Court of Pakistan

### BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

In S.A # 8487/2020

#### ' Ibrar Ahmad

#### Versus

Inspector General of Police and Others

## REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT TO THE COMMENTS FILED BY THE RESPONDENTS.

#### Respectfully Sheweth,

#### Reply to Preliminary objections:

1 To 8: all the preliminary objections raised by the respondents are incorrect, false, frivolous, concocted, hence sternly denied. The Appellant has a good prima facie case and has rightly approached this Hon'ble Tribunal. The Appellant remained sincere & devoted fellow and has always performed his duties with full zest and devotion. The Appellant has never concealed material fact from the Hon'ble Tribunal, and this Hon'ble Tribunal has got ample jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the matter. As for as the limitation is concern during the action pack days due to COVID-19 pandemic and lock down world-wide the delay occurred in filing the Service Appeal and the Hon'ble Apex Court has held in plethora of judgments that technicalities must be avoided and the case, be decided upon its own merits.

#### On Facts:

- 1. Para No. 1 is correct.
- 2. Para No.2 is correct to the extent that appellant report an arrival to duty while rest of para is incorrect, false and fabricated, while that of the main Appeal is correct.
- 3. Para No.3 of comments is incorrect and fabricated, hence denied. While that of the main Appeal is correct.
- 4. Para No. 4 of comments is incorrect and denied. The Appellant was dismissed from service without following due process of law.

- 5. Para No.5 of comments is incorrect and denied, while that of main Appeal is correct.
- 6. No Comments.

#### On Grounds:

- A. Incorrect & denied.
- B. Incorrect & denied, while that of main Appeal is correct.
- C. Incorrect & denied.
- D. Incorrect & denied. No proper Departmental Inquiry against the Appellant was ever conducted, nor was ever served with any Charge Sheet, Statement of Allegation, Show-Cause or Final Show-Cause, hence main ingredients of law are missing.
- E. Incorrect & denied that neither the Appellant was ever served with any Show-cause notice, statement of allegation or final Show-Cause Notice.
- F. Incorrect & denied, while that of the main Appeal is correct.
- G. Incorrect & denied because both the impugned orders are unlawful, illegal and liable to be set aside.
- H. Incorrect & Denied.
- I. Incorrect & denied.
- J. Incorrect & denied.
- K. No Comments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant rejoinder, the Appeal of the Appellant may graciously be allowed, as prayed for therein.

Through

Appellant /

Javed Iqbal Gulbela

Advocate, Supreme Court of

Pakistan

Saghir Iqbal Gulbela

ÓΣ

Ahsan Sardar

Advocates High Court

Peshawar

Dated: 08/07/2021

## BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

In S.A # 8487/2020

Ibrar Ahmad

Versus

Inspector General of Police and Others

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Ibrar Ahmad, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that contents of the Rejoinder are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble court.

Deponent

CNIC:

Identified By:-

Javed Iqbal Gulbela

Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan

· ·

3-07-2021