20.03.2023

Appellant present through counsel.

Fazal Shah Mohmand, learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Former made a request for adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 06.06.2023 before D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

(Muhammad Akbar Khan) Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)



Mr. Umer Farooq, Advocate, junior of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Naseer-ud-Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is busy in the august Peshawar High Court, Bannu Bench. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 21.12.2022 before the D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E) (Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

21st Dec, 2022

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for the respondents present.

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that learned senior counsel for the appellant is busy in the august Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. To come up for arguments on 20.03.2023 before the D.B.

(Salah Ud Din) Member (Judicial)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman





Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakheil, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment in order to assist the Tribunal. Last opportunity is granted. To come up for arguments before the D.B on 23.05.2022.

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E)

Chairman

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Naseer ud Din 23rd May, 2022 Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

> Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment in order to properly assist the court. Adjourned. To come up for argument on 01.08.2022 before the DB.

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E)

(Kalim Arshad Khan)

Chairman

1-8-2022 Proper DB not available the adjourned to 1-11-2022

29-9-21

DB is on Towo case to come up Por the same on Dated. 25-11-21

Kudis

25.11.2021

Counsel for the appellant present.

Mr. Kabirulah Khattak, Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. Sajid Superintendent for respondents present.

Learned Member Executive (Mr. Atiq-ur-Rehman Wazir), is on leave, therefore, case is adjourned. To come up for arguments on 17.03.2022 before D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

17.03.2022

Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 21.03.2022 for the same as before.

Reader.

21.03.2022

Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 10.05.2022 for the same as before.



Appellant present through counsel.

Muhammad Adeel Butt learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Zar Muhammad Assistant for respondents present.

Reply of the respondents No.1 to 3 has already been submitted. The appellant through the instant service appeal, has impugned the letters dated 03.02.2020 and 01.10.2020. According to copy of the letter available on file as "Annexure-E", the letters dated 14.01.2020 and 29.01.2020 on the subject of STI 30% Allowance addressed to the Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Establishment Department (respondent No.2) were withdrawn by the Finance Department (respondent No.3). Similarly, according to the copy of the letter dated 06.02.2020 available on file as "Annexure-F" addressed to the Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, it was required to stop forthwith the payment of 30% Special Allowance in pursuance to the letter dated 03.02.2020 and recovery of paid amount was also directed. The said letter was issued from the office of respondent No.2. In view of both the impugned letters, the respondents No.4 to 6 do not happen to be a proper or necessary party for the reason that even if the appellant succeeds in getting the relief as prayed for, they will no more be required for implementation of the judgment. According to Subsection-(2) of Section-7 of the Service Tribunal Act, 1974, the Tribunal has got the powers of a Civil Court as are vested in such under the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908. Sub Rule(2) of Rule-10 of order No.1 C.P.C confers enabling power upon the Civil Court that it may at any stage of the proceedings, either upon or without application of either party order that name of any party improperly rejoined, be struck out.

In view of the foregoing discussion related to the impugned letters that the respondents No.4 to 6 are neither necessary nor proper parties in the matter of adjudication of present appeal, it is deemed fit to direct for deletion of their names from the panel of respondents. Office is directed to do the needful accordingly. To come up for arguments on 29.09.2021 before D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

Chairman

04.05.2021

Due to demise of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is non-functional, therefore, case is adjourned to 22.06.2021 for the same as before.

Reader

22.06.2021

Reply in this appeal

alongwith other connected appeal has not been

submitted despite the

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Naheed Gul, Assistant for respondents No. 1 to 3 and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl. AG for the respondents present.

Respondents No. 1 to 3 have submitted reply/comments. Learned AAG requests for time to furnish reply/comments on behalf of the remaining respondents. Learned AAG is required to contact respondents for submission of written reply/comments in office within 10 days, positively. If the written reply/comments are not submitted within the stipulated time, the office shall submit the file with a report of non-compliance. File to come up for arguments on 27.07.2021 before the D.B.

Chairman

P.**§**

05.07.2021

Learned Addl: A.G be reminded about the omission and for submission of Reply/comments within extended time of 10 days.

Chairman

4-5

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of			
so No	495	/2021	,

	Case No	495 /2021
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
·1	2	3
1	21/01/2021	The appeal resubmitted today by Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattal Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the
•		Worthy Chairman for proper order please.
4		REGISTRAR "
2-	John J. C.	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on <u>OBJOHNON</u>
		CHAIRMAN
	08.02.2021	Appellant present through counsel. Preliminary arguments heard. File perused.
-		Points raised need consideration. Admitted to regular
App Sec	ellant Deposited	hearing subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to respondents for written
10	May m	reply/comments. To come up for written reply/comments on 04:05.2021 before S.B.
		In the meanwhile, operation of the impugned notification
		dated 01.10.2020 stands suspended till further orders.
		(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

The appeal presented today i.e. on 15/01/2021 by Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak Advocate is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Addresses of respondent no. 4 & 5 are incomplete which may be completed according to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal rules 1974.
- 2- Annexures-I & J of the appeal are illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.

Dt. 18/01 /2021

SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak Adv. Pesh.

objection No.1 and No.2 has been removed benne versusmitted today 21.01.2021

ALXD

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

AP	PEAL	NO.	/2021

MUHAMMAD YOUNIS VS CHIEF SECRETARY & OTHERS

INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal		1- 4
2.	Suspension application		5
3.	Copy of notification dated 01/11/2019	Α	6- 7
4.	Copy of Letter & pay slips	B&C	8- 10
5.	Copy of the minutes	D	11
6.	Copies of the impugned letters dated 03/02/2020 & 06/02/2020.	E&F	12- 13
7	Memo of writ petition & Judgment dated03/03/2020	G&H	14- 15
8	Copy of second impugned letter dated 01/10/2020	I	16
9	Departmental appeal	J	17
10.	Vakalat nama		18

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

NOOR MUHMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE

Flat no 4, Upper Floor, Juma khan plaza near FATA secretariat, Warsak road, Peshawar 0302-8578851

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunai

APPEAL NO. 495 /2021

Diary No. 1665

Mr. Muhammad Younas Assistant director-II (BPS-17), Establishment department, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.

..... APPELLANT

VERSUS

1 1- The Government Of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Through Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat Peshawar.

The Secretary Establishment & Administration Department Khyber pakhtunkhwa, civil secretariat peshawar.

The secretary finance department Khyber pakhtunkhwa civil secretariat Peshawar

The provincial cabinet & ministerial committee through its chairman, civil secretariat peshwar.

The grievances redressal committee through its chairman, civil secreteriate Peshawar.

6- Director STI Establishment & Administration Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

.....RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED LETTERS DATED 03/02/2020 and 01/10/2020 WHEREBY PAYMENT OF 30% (NOW INCEREASED TO 50%) SECRETERIAT ALLOWANCE ALREADY ADMISSIBLE TO APPELLANT WAS STOPPED AND RECOVERY OF THE SAME WAS ORDERED W.E FROM 30/07/2018 AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINTY DAYS.

ارزه PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the impugned letters dated O3/02/2020 and O1/10/2020 may kindly be set aside and the respondents may please be directed to restore 30% (now 50%) secretariat allowance to appellant with all back benefits being secretariat employee. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

b-day 21/01/2021

Brief facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:

peleted 27072

- 1- That appellant was initially appointed in the project "office automation system pilots for 5 department" in the establishment department STI civil secretariat under DDO8089 Peshawar against the post Assistant director-II BPS-17.
- 3- That during service the appellant was granted 30% (now 50%) special/secretariat allowance duly approved on 14/01/2020 which is also a proof that the appellant was secretariat employee for all intents and purpose, copies of the letters and pay slips are attached as annexure.

7- That instead of deciding the representation of the appellant in light of aforementioned high court judgment the respondents astonishingly issued the impugned letter dated 01/10/2020 whereby recovery was ordered of 30% secretariat allowance from appellant. Copy of second impugned letter dated 1/10/2020 is attached as annexure.

ميولد

- 8- That feeling aggrieved the appellant filed departmental appeal before respondents but the same has not been responded within statutory period of ninety days. Copy of departmental appeal is attached as annexure.
- 9- That feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy the appellant filed the instant appeal on following grounds.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the cabinet decision communicated vide memo dated 15/05/2019 and impugned letters dated 03/02/2020 and 01/10/2020 are against the law, facts, material on record and violation of service rights of appellant, therefore not tenable and liable to be set aside.
- B- That the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and rules by the respondent Department on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the appellant along with other colleagues were already fully merged in the secretariat, their DDO code was entrusted to the secretary establishment department and finance department and even they were regularized in the STI establishment Deptt: civil secretariat which was fully acted upon, therefore now the status of the appellant can,t be changed upon the whims of union of secretariat employees under the principle of locus ponitentiae.
- D- That the impugned decision and impugned letters dated 03/02/2020 and 01/10/2020 are discriminatory because the planning cell employees have been excluded from the decision and only the rights of the petitioners are affected.
- E- That it was the demand of fair play to at least give hearing or prior notice or option to appellant before making any decision adversely affecting the service rights of the appellant. But in present case the appellant has been unheard through out.

- F- That no regular inquiry has been conducted in the matter before imposing major penalty which is mandatory under the rules.
- G- That the posts of the appellant is still available on the secretariat side and have not been abolished therefore the appellant without his option can, t be given a different status or varied their status unilaterally.
- H- That the impugned decision of the cabinet is not free, fair and transparent decision, rather made to happy the union, therefore the same is liable to be set aside.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

Dated: 09.01.2021

APPELLANT

MUHAMMAD YOUNAS

THROUGH:

NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK

SHAHZULLAH YOUSAFZAI

&(

KAMRAN KHAN ADVOCATES

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

SERVICE	APPEAL	No.	/2021
----------------	---------------	-----	-------

MUHAMMAD YOUNIS VS CHIEF SECRETARY & OTHERS

APPLICATION FOR SUSPENSION OF OPERATION OF THE IMPUGNED NOTIFICATION DATED 01.10.2020 TO THE EXTENT OF THE APPLICANT TILL THE DISPOSAL OF THE ABOVE MENTIONED APPEAL

R/SHEWETH:

- 1- That the above mentioned appeal along with this application has been filed the appellant before this august service Tribunal in which no date has been fixed so far.
- 2- That appellant filed the above mentioned appeal against the impugned letters/notifications dated 03.02.2020 and 01/10/2020 whereby 30% (now 50%) secretariat allowance already admissible to appellant was stopped and recovery of the already paid 30% secretariat allowance was ordered w.e.f 30/07/2018.
- 3- That all the three ingredients necessary for the stay is in favor of the appellant.
- 4- That the impugned letters/notifications dated 03.02.2020 and 01/10/2020 had been issued by the respondents in utter disregard of law and prevailing Rules.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application the operation of the impugned Letter/Notification dated 01.10.2020 to the extent of appellant may very kindly be suspended till the disposal of the above mentioned service appeal.

Dated: 12.01.2021

APPLICANT

Muhammad Younis

THROUGH:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTÜÜKHWA ESTABLISHMENT DEPARTMENT (Establishment Wing)



(6)

NOTIFICATION

Dated Peshawar, the NOVEMBER 01, 2019

No. SOE-V(E&AD)/5-09/2009: In light of the decision of Provincial Cabinet in its meeting held on 09-05-2019 as well as recommendations of Ministerial Committee, the following employees of the project "Office Automation System Pilots for 05 Departments (Reg Act)" temporarily regularized in Staff Training Institute vide this Department Notification of even No. dated 30-04-2019, are hereby regularized permanently in Staff Training Institute under DDO code PR-8089 w.e.f the date of promulgation of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization of Services) Act, 2018 i.e 07-03-2018:-

S#	Name	Designation with BPS
1.	Muhammad Bilal Khattak	Deputy Director IT/ Manager IT (BS-18)
2.	Syed Rehman Mashwani	Programmer / Assistant Director IT (BS-17)
3.	Mian Haseeb Uddin	Trainer (BS-16)
4.	Muhammad Hamid	Assistant Manager IT (BS-16)
5.	Khalid Khan	Assistant Manager IT (BS-16)
6.	Tariq Kamal	Assistant Manager IT (BS-16)
7.	Muhammad Usman Khan	Assistant Manager IT (BS-16)
8.	Muhammad Younas	Assistant Manager IT (BS-16)
9.	Syed Muhammad Abdullah	Network Engineer (BS-16)
10.	Muhammad Adil	Network Engineer (BS-16)
11.	Muhammad Asim Ali	Assistant Programmer (BS-16)
12.	Faizan Abbas	Assistant (BS-16)
13.	Noman	Computer Operator (BS-16)
14.	Mushtaq Hussain	Computer Operator (BS-16)
15.	Rehmat Hadi	Computer Operator (BS-16)
16.	Jamal Ahmad	Computer Operator (BS-16)
17.	Fawad Nazir	Computer Operator (BS-16)
18.	Shakir Ullah	Computer Operator (BS-16)
.19.	Ijaz Hussain	Computer Operator (BS-16)
20.	Muhammad Junaid Khan	Computer Operator (BS-16)
21-	Sajjad Hussain	Computer Operator (BS-16)
22.	Aman Gul	Computer Operator (BS-16)
23.	Muhammad Ramzan	Computer Operator (BS-16)
24.	Faran Kamal	Computer Operator (BS-16)
25.	Usman Khan	Computer Operator (BS-16)
26.	Muhammad Asad Khan	Computer Operator (BS-16)

ATPESTED

P.T.O





27.	Fawad Ahmad	Computer Operator (BS-16)
-28.	Abdul Wali Khan	Driver (BS-06)
29.	Muhammad Taifullah	Naib Qasid (BS-03)

SECRETARY ESTABLISHMENT GOVT: OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Endstt: No. & Date Even.

Copy forwarded to the following for information:-

- 1. Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Director, Staff Training Institute, Administration Department.
- 4. PS to Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. Section Officer (Budget & Dev), Administration Department.
- 6. Section Officer (O&M), Establishment Department.
- 7. PS to Secretary, Finance Department.
- 8. PS to Secretary, Establishment Department.
- 9. PS to Secretary, Administration Department.
- 10. PS to Secretary, ST&IT Department.
- 11. PA to Deputy Secretary (Estt), Establishment Department.


12. Officers / Officials concerned.

SECTION OFFICER (E-V)

ATTERSTED



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)



To

The Section Officer (III) Chief Minister Secretariat Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject:

STI 30% ALLOWANCE.

P-31C

NO.FD/SOSR-II)/8-7/2019/41 Dated Peshawar the 14.01.2020

I am directed to refer to your Letter No. SO.III/CMS/2-1/2018/Finance Department dated 01-01-2020 on the subject noted above and to state that Special Allowance @ 30% is admissible to the staff of E-Office, employees of staff training institutes, Establishment Department.

SECTION OFFICER (SR.II)

Copy forwarded for information:-

PS to Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Establishment Department, for further necessary action.

PS to Director Staff Training Institute Peshawar, for further necessary action.

Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, for further necessary action.

Master File.

SECTION OFFICER (SR.II)

July 2020





CHIEF MINISTER'S SECRETARIAT KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

No. SO-III/CMS/6-1/2018/General /4/6 Dated Peshawar the January 16, 2020

To

The Secretary to, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Establishment Department.

Subject:-

STI 30% ALLOWANCE

Dear Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No.FD/SOSR-II/ 8-7/2019/41 dated 14.01.2020 on the subject noted above, received from Finance Department for further necessary action as per rules/policy, please.

Yours faithfully,

Section Officer-III

Endst: Even No. & Date

Copy for information is forwarded to the

- 1. PS to Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. PS to Special Secretary, Chief Minister's Secretariat.
- Assistant Director (IT), Chief Minister's Secretariat.

Section Officer-III

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa; Peshawar, Monthly Salary Statement (December-2020)



Personal Information of Mr MUHAMMAD YOUNAS d/w/s of MUHAMMAD IDREES

Personnel Number: 00454008

CNIC: 1730196407029

Date of Birth: 20.04.1985

Entry into Govt. Service: 07.08.2009

Length of Service: 11 Years 04 Months 026 Days

Employment Category: Active Temporary

Designation: ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

80851670-GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKH

DDO Code: PR8089-Office Automation System, Staff Training Institute, E & A Department Govt of KP.

Payroll Section: 005

GPF Section: 002

Interest Applied: No

Cash Center:

126,580.00

GPF A/C No:

Vendor Number: -Pay and Allowances:

Pay scale: BPS For - 2017

Pay Scale Type: Civil BPS: 17

GPF Balance:

- Pay Stage: 3

	Wage type	Amount	Wage type	Amount
0001	Basic Pay	37,270.00	1210 Convey Allowance 2005	5,000.00
1500	Computer Allowance	1,500.00	1897 Housing Subsidy Allowance	18,465.00
1947	Medical Allow 15% (16-22)	1,500.00	2148 15% Adhoc Relief All-2013	700.00
2199	Adhoc Relief Allow @10%	478.00	2211 Adhoc Relief All 2016 10%	2,484.00
2224	Adhoc Relief All 2017 10%	3,727.00	2247 Adhoc Relief All 2018 10%	3,727.00
2265	Adhoc Relief All 2019 05%	1,863.00	2283 Secretariat Perform Allow	18,635.00

Deductions - General

Wage type		Amount		Wage type	,	Amount
3017	GPF Subscription	-4,270.00	3501	Benevolent Fund		-800.00
3609	Income Tax	-2,153.00	3610	Other Recoveries	اسسنا	7-7,000.00
4004	R. Benefits & Death Comp:	-900.00				0.00

Deductions - Loans and Advances

		T		
Loan	Description	Principal amount	Deduction	Balance

Deductions - Income Tax

Payable:

23,092.55

Recovered till DEC-2020:

10,181.00

Exempted: 0.93-

Recoverable:

12,912.48

Gross Pay (Rs.):

95,349.00

Deductions: (Rs.):

-15,123.00

Net Pay: (Rs.):

80,226.00

Payee Name: MUHAMMAD YOUNAS

Account Number: 21-0-24871-1001097

Bank Details: MCB BANK LIMITED, 240957 UNIVERSITY TOWN UNIVERSITY TOWN,

Leaves:

Opening Balance:

Availed:

Earned:

Balance:

Permanent Address:

City: PESHAWAR

Domicile: -

Housing Status: No Official

Temp. Address:

City:

Email: khanyounas508@gmail.com

ATTERIET



Government of khyber pake establishment and admn: De (cabinet wing)

No.SOC(E&AD)9-15/2010

10, 2019. (mast /c.

115 16011 -

To,

The Secretary to Govt, of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Establishment Department.

SUBJECT: DECISION OF MEETING OF THE PROVINCIAL CABINET DATED 09.05.2019.

Dear Sir,

ham directed to forward herewith the following decision of the meeting of the Provincial Cabinet held on 09.05.2019 under the chairmanship of Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for implementation.

ADDITIONAL ITEM NO. 08
SETTLEMENT OF ANOMALIES ARISING OUT IN THE WAKE OF PROMULGATION OF THE KNYBER PAKHTUNKHWA EMPLOYEES (REGULARIZATION OF SERVICES). ACT, 2018

Decision of the Cabinet:

The Cabinet approved recommendations of the Ministerial Committee

as unden-

With regard to contingent/lixed pay/daily wages staff appointed/recruited by the departments prior to promulgation of the Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization of Services) Act, 2018 without adopting codal formalities under Project Policy, it was agreed that those contingent employees, 35 in number, (31 in P&D and 4 in Home Department) may be given one time relaxation for regularization of their services subject to certification of their credentials and suitability by the concerned Government Departments provided that is should not be quoted as precedent to follow in other cases, rather a special dispensation to the contingent paid employees vide order of Peshawar High Court decision given in the Writ Petition No. 6553-P/2018 dated 12,03,2019.

ii) A Grevances Redressal Committee headed by Establishment Department consisting of representatives from Finance, Law and concerned administrative departments may be constituted. The Grevances Redressal Committee would.

report to the same manistenal committee

ni) All the positions created by Finance Department for all the regularized projects under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization of Services) Act, 2018 shall be created/placed at the strength of attached formations of respective administrative departments except the positions of Planning Cells.

Implementing Department: Establishment

I am to request that an applementation report of the Cabinet decision as required under Kale Zh [A] of the Elyper Polantingham Government Rules of Business, 1985 may kindly be formshed on top priority basis to the Cabinet Section, Administration Department.

Your Taxhfully,

SECTION OFFICER (CABINET)

ENDST.NO. & DATE EVEN.

Copy to:-

1. P.S to Secretary Administration Department.

2. PA to Deputy Secretary (Cabinet) Administration Department.

SECTION OFFICER (CABINET)

SO. (OM)

PS 1575

14

ATTESTED

BETTER COPY OF PAGE NO:



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
ESTABLISHMENT & ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT
(CABINET WING)
NO. SOC (E&AD)9-15/2019
Dated Peshawar the 14th MAY 2019

то

The Secretary Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Establishment Department.

Subject:

DECISION OF MEETING OF THE PROVINCIAL CABINET

DATED 09-05-2019

Dear Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith the following decision of the meeting of the Provincial Cabinet held on 09-05-2019 under the Chairmanship of Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for implementation.

ADDITIONAL ITEM NO. 08

SETTLEMENT OF ANOMALIES AMOUNT ARISING OUT IN THE WAKE OF PROMULGATION OF EH KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA EMPOLOYEES (REGULARIZATION OF SERVICES), ACT 2018

Decision of the Cabinet:

The Cabinet approved recommendation of the Ministerial Committee as under:

- i) With regard contingent/fixed pay/daily to wage appointed/recruited by the departments prior to promulgation of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization of Services) Act 2018 without adopting codal formalities under Project Policy, it was agreed that those contingent employees 35 in number, 31 in P&D and 4 in Home Department) may be given one time relaxation for regularization of their services subject to certification of their suitability credentials and by the concerned Government Departments provided that it should not be quoted as precedent to follow in other cases, rather a special dispensation to the contingent paid employees vide order of Peshawar High Court decision given in the writ petition no. 6553-P/2018 dated 12.03.2019.
- ii) A Grievances Redressal Committee headed by Establishment Department consisting of representatives from Finance, Law and concerned administrative departments may be constituted. The Grievance Redressal Committee would report to the same ministerial committee.
- iii) All the position created by Finance Department for all the regularized projects under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization of Services) Act 2018 shall be created/placed at the strength of attached formations of respective administrative Departments except the positions of Planning Cells.

Implementing Department: Establishment

2. I am to request that an implementation report of the Cabinet decision as required under Rule 25 (2) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Rules of Business 1985 may kindly be furnished on top priority basis to the Cabinet Section,

Administration Department.


Yours Faithfully, (Taj Muhammad) Section Officer (Cabinet) to be the copy

4



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)





.

NO.FD/SOSR-II)/8-7/2019/41 Dated Peshawar the 03.02.2020

To

The Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Establishment Department.

Subject:-

STI 30% ALLOWANCE.

I am directed to refer to the subject noted above and to state that the subject letters of even number dated 14.01.2020 & 29.01.2020 (Copy enclosed for ready reference) may be treated as withdrawn.

Yours faithfully,

Encl: As above

SECTION OFFICER (SR.II)

Copy forwarded to:-

1. Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

- 2. Director (STI), Establishment Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. PS to Secretary, Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 4. PS to Special Secretary, Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. Section Officer (Admn), Staff Training Institute, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa with reference to your letter No. DD(STI)/E&AD/2019-20/112 dated 24.01.2020.
- 6. Section Officer-III, Chief Minister's Secretariat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa with reference to your letter No. SO-III/CMS/2-1/2018/Finance Department dated 01.01.2020.
- 7. Section Officer O&M, Establishment Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 8. Budget Officer-IV, Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 19. PA to Additional Secretary (Reg.), Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
 - 10. Master File:

SECTION OFFICER (SR.II)

ATTESTED

ATTESTED



Goyt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Establishment & Administration Department Staff Training Institute Start Framing Institute Benovolent Fund Building in it John Rakers, Peshiwar Gantt, Property of the Control of the Property of

N. STADIO STOLENATION OF THE DI /76

Ė ()

Accommun General, Loybor Pakhtunkiwa

Subject:

STOPPAGE OF 30% SPECIALALLONANCE LNDER PR CODE 8089.

Dear Sir.

I one directed to refer to the sounce Department letter No FD SOSR-ILS-7 2019 II based 03-02-2020 roopy enclosed for ready references and to state that the 30% Special Allowance paid to the Employees of PR-8089 may kindly be stopped forthwith and the paid out amount any also be recovered in lump-sum with immediate effect.

ER)ADMN:: STAFF TRAINING INSTITUTE

1. PS to Secretary, Establishment Department, Klisher Puklitunkhwa

2. PS to Secretary, Hinance Departments Kryber Paklatorikinya with reference to Finance Department letter of even number as quoted ala ve.

3 Section Officer-III, Chief Minister's Secretariat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

1. Section Officer Ocal, Establishment Department, Khyber Pakhunkhya.


5. Budget Officer-IV, I inance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

5. Budget Officer.
6. PA to Additional Secretary (Reg., Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Musice File

(SECTION OFFICER) ADMN: STAFF TRAINING INSTITUTE

BETTER COPY OF PAGE NO:



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
ESTABLISHMENT & ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT
Staff Training Institute,
Benevolent Fund Building near Jans Bakers, Peshawar Cantt:

No. SO (AD) (STI)/E&AD 2 (i) 2019-20/76

Dated: February 06, 2020

TO

Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Subject:

STOPPAGE OF 30% SPECIAL ALLOWANCE UNDER PR

CODE 8089.

Dear Sir,

I am directed to refer to the Finance Department letter no. FD/SOSR-II/8-9/2019-41 dated 03-02-2020 (Copy enclosed for ready reference) and to state that the 30% Special Alllowance paid to the Employees of PR-8089 may kindly be stopped forthwith and the paid out amount may also be recovered in lump-sum with immediate effect.

YOURS FAITHFULLY

SECTION OFFICER ADMIN STAFF TRAINING INSTITUTE

- 1. PS TO Secretary Establishment & Administration Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
- 2. PS to Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa with reference to the Finance Department letter of even number as quoted above.
- 3. Section Officer-III, Chief Minister's Secretariat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 4. Section Officer, O&M, Establishment Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
- 5. Budget Officer-IV Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. PA to Additional Secretary (Reg: Finance Department), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
- 7. Master File

to be true copy

80 C-

IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT PESHAWAR

WRIT PETITION No. -P/2020 with Indian

- 1. Mr. Bilal Khattak, Deputy Director-II (E-Office) BPS
- 2. Mr. Rehman Mashwani, Assistant Director-I T, (BPS-
- 3. Mr. Muhammad Usman Khan, Assistant Director (BPS)
- 4. -- Mr. Muhammad Younas, Assistant Director (BPS-17)
- 5. Mr. Khalid Khan, Assistant Director (BPS-17)
- **6.** Mr. Muhammad Hamid, Assistant Director (BPS-17)
- 7. Mr. Tariq Kamal, Assistant Director (BPS-17)
- 8. Mr. Muhammad Adil, Assistant Director (BPS-17)
- 9. Mr. Muhammad Asim, Assistant Programmer
- 10. Mr. Ijaz Hussain, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- 11. Mr. Mushtaq Hussain, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- 12. Mr. Faizan Abbas, Administrative Officer (BPS-16)
- 13. Mr. Shakir Ullah, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- 14. Mr. Usman Khan, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- 15. Mr. Aman Gul, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- 16. Mr. Ramzan, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- 17. Mr. Taifullah, Naib Qasid (BPS-04)
- 18. Mr. Abdul Wali, Driver (BPS-06)

All employees of staff training institute, Establishment Department, Civil secretariat, Peshawar.

..... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

- 1- GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, Through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2-The Secretary Establishment Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 4-The Provincial Cabinet & Ministerial Committee through its Chairman, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 5- The Grievances Redressal Committee through its Chairman, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

..... RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN 1973 AS AMENDED UP TO DATE

Respectfully Sheweth:, FACTS:

EXAMINER Peshawar High Court

Brief facts giving raise to the instant writ petition are as under:

PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR ORDER SHEET



Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or others Proceedings with Signature of Judge
1	2
	The state of the s
03.03.2020	W.P No.1692-P/2020 with I.R.
	Present: Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak
	Advocate, for the petitioners.

	LAL JAN KHATTAK, J On second thought,
	learned counsel for the petitioners stated at the bar
	that he would not press this writ petition anymore
	and would be satisfied, if this petition is treated as
	representation and sent to the respondent No.1 for
	its decision in accordance with law, to which, Mr.
	Arshad Ahmad, the learned Addl. A.G, who was
	present in Court in some other cases got no
	objection .
	2. In view of the above, this writ petition stands
	disposed of in terms that the respondent No.1 shall
	treat it as the petitioners' representation and
	decide the same at his end in accordance with law
	and rules on the subject within a period of one
	month positively.
	CERTIFIED TO SET TOUR COPY LLD SE
·	Poshawar High Court, Peshawar Arrhunisad Under Article 8.7 of The Qanun-schahadat Order 1984
	6 4 MAR 2020
3	JUDGE

No.	28129
Date of	Prosentation of Application 9/3/10%
No of I	ages /
Ġору і п	# fee-
logi.	
Date of	Preparation of Copy 73/2-12
Date of	Delivery of copy 69/3/250
	d By
	/



Page No. 16

ESTABLISHMENT & ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT BENEVOLENT FUND BUILDING NEAR JAN BAKERS, PESHAWAR CANTT Ph: 091-9211529 & 091-9213716

No. DO(ST)E&AD/1(8)(2)2013-21

Date: 01st October 2020

To

The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

Subject: -

STOPPAGE OF 30% SECRETARIAT PERFORMANCE ALLOWANCE.

R/Sir,

I am directed to refer to Finance Department letter No. FD/BO.IV/2-14/2020-21 dated 14.09.2020 on the subject noted above and to state that as per Finance Department instructions 30% special allowance is admissible to only Secretariat Departments.

The Employees of office Automation System Plots for 05 Departments (E-Office) are withdrawing the 30% allowance are not entitled, therefore it is requested that payment to the staff of E-Office PR8089 on account of 30% Secretariat Allowance may kindly be stopped forthwith and recovery in the regard may be made from below listed employees.

Name	Designation	Personal	Recovery of	Recovery of	Total
	•	No	July & August	w.e.f 03-07-	Total
			September 2020 50%	2018to 30-08-	
Muhammad Younas	Assistant Director	454008	52455	2020 @ 30% 255108	307563
Muhammad Hamid	Assistant Director	779182	45555	255108	307563
Tariq Kamal	Assistant Director	77998	45555	255108	307563
Muhammad Adil	Assistant Director	77.9237	45555	255108	307563
Khalid Khan	Assistant Director	779292	45555	255108	307563
Muhammad Usman	Assistant Director	835848	45555	255108	307563
Muhammad Ramzan	Comp Operator	829373	32925	1:58844	191769
Muhammad Asim Ali	Assistant Programer	829375	37485	171612	209097
Usman Khan	Comp Operator	715183	32926	158844	101760
Faizan Abbass	Admn Officer	797728	32926	158844	191769
Asad Khan	Comp Officer	587104	32926	158844	191769
Taif Ullah	Driver	741291	15585	87276	191769
			1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	0/2/0	102861



SHOW Part Bullions Hoor Jane Babers Postulated Gen

No DO (51), FRADMENTOSO 21 / C

24 /20/20

The Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pashawar

STOPPAGE OF JUN SECRETARIAT PERFORMANCE ALLOWANCE.

RIDA

1 am intected to refer to Finance Department latter No FD/BO IV/2-14/2020 21 dated 14-09-2020 on the subject noted above and to state that as per Finance Department instructions 80% Special Allowance is admissible to only Secretarial Departments.

The employees of office Automation System Pilots for 05 Departments(E-Office), are withdrawing the 30% allowence are not entitled, therefore it is requested that payment to the staff of E-Office PR8089 on account of 30% Secretariat Allowance may kindly be stopped forthwith and recovery in this regard may be made from below listed examployees.

	Designation	Personal No	Recovery of Ady & August & September 2020 # 50%	Recovery w.e.f 03- 07-2018 To 30-06- 2020 @30%	Total Amount of Recovery
Muhammad Yourse	Assistant Director	454008	52455	255108	307563
Muhammad Hamid	Assument Descript	779182	45555	255108	300663
Tang Kamai	Assistant Director	779198	45555	255108	30066.3
Muhammad Ad1	Assistant Director	779237	45555	255108	300663
Khatid Khan	Assistant Director	779292	45565	255108	30066
Muhammad Usman	Assistant Director	835848	45555	255103	30066
Muhammod Remzan	Comp Operator	829373	32925	158844	19176
Muhammad Asim Al	Assistant Programer	229375	37485	171612	20909
turne Khan	Comp Operator	715183	32925	158844	the Park State Sta
Jeman Khan	Adres Officer	797726	32925	158844	
aizan Abbas	Corry Operator	59710 4	32925	158844	
sad then	Driver	741291	15585	87276	~

1 / / C

Milie

Yours Faithfully,

14152

Page No. 17

The Secretary to Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Administration Department

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE ADMISSIBILITY OF 30% OR 50% ALLOWANCE TO E-OFFICE EMPLOYEE.

Dear Sir,

Reference to you letter No. SOB (AD) / 15(88)/STI/2019 on dated 24.09.2020 (Attached) the subject noted above, it is stated that office Automation System pilot for 5 Departments (E-office) regularized in 2018 in STI Establishment Department. The nature of work of e-office is one of the important component of digital Pakistan.

Finance department was admissible 30% or 50% special allowance / secretariat allowance to the undersign appellants, on dated 14.01.2020 e-Office, PR (8089) notification No. FD/SOSR-II/8-7/2019/41 but after few days on dated 03.02.2020 No. FD/SOSR-II/8-7/2019/41 treated as withdrawn without any justified reason. After withdraw notification Peshawar High Court decide the same case in favor of e-office employee (PR-8089) but finance department still pending the same decision about 50% special allowance / secretariat allowance.

Now in the light of above discussion kindly set aside and extended 50% Secretariat Performance allowance to the below mention appellant with back benefits or forwarded the application to the finance department for further necessary action as per decision.

Sd/-

M. Muhammal Younis
Assistant Director (E-OFFICE)
PR. 8089, NO 454008
STI, Establishment Department

All Did

Sulley Warrance

Pepartmental Appeal against the admissibility of 30% or 50% allowance to e

J-(17)

Reference to you letter no SOB (AD) 15(bbs alli2019 on damp 44(b) and a partial the subject noted above, it is attached that Office Automation by the substitute of the control (e-Office) regularized in 2018 in S111 habitalism on Department. Then has of the paperles and initiative towards the paperless and comment in substitute and days to the paperless and of the important charge sound of days all Pake to a paperless e-Office is one of the important charge sound of days all Pake to a paperless e-Office is one of the important charge sound of days all Pake to a paperless.

Finance department was admissible 30°, or 30% pacificallioned services and respect to the undersign appellants, on dated 14.1.2020 e-Office, PP (8089) pendicularly services as SUSR-11/8-7.2019/41 but after few days on dated 03°02.2020 No. FD/SOSP-41.8-7.2039, to support as withdrawn without any justified reason. After variation is infication Ps haster High and decide the same case in favor of e-Office employee (PR-8089) but finance departs of the language decision about 50 % special allowance secretarial allowance.

Now in the light of above discussion kindly at aside and extended Siris Scoriairance foremance allowance to the below mention appellant with back benefits or tork and at the agricultant to the finance department for further necessary action as per decision.

Mr Munamental Course

Assistant Director (12 4 7) 1 PR,8089,P,NO 454008

S11. F-tablishment Department

COKUS)

MASLA

VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OF 2021
M, Younis	(APPELLANT) (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)
<u>VERSUS</u>	
Thie Secretary 10th	
Do hereby appoint and constitute New KHATTAK, Advocate, Peshawar to compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitrary/our Counsel/Advocate in the above without any liability for his default and engage/appoint any other Advocate Could I/we authorize the said Advocate to defective on my/our behalf all sums and deposited on my/our account in the above the said account in the account in th	appear, plead, act, tration for me/us as ove noted matter, with the authority to insel on my/our cost. eposit, withdraw and
Dated/2021	Moult
NOOR M	ACCEPTED OHAMMAD KHATTAK AMAN SAFI
AFRAS	IAB KHAN WAZIR &
· ·	UATRED ALT

ADVOCATES

OFFICE:

Flat No.4, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, near FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road, Peshawar. Mobile No.0345-9383141

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR


Service Appeal No. 495/2021

INDEX

Sr. No:	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1	Joint Parawise Comments		2-3
2.	Writ Petition filed in the PHC, Peshawar.	1	4-8
3.	Order Sheet dated 03.03.2020	II	9
4.	This department letter wherein representation of the petitioners of the above mentioned writ petition is regretted being not covered under the rules.	III	10

Dated: <u>15.06.2021</u>

CNIC No: 17301-6272682-3 Mobile: 0345-5285465



Muhammad Younas, Assistant Director, Staff Training Institute	Appellant
VS	
Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & Others	Respondents

JOINT PARAWISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS NO. 1-3

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:

- 1. That the Appellant has got no locus standi / cause of action to file the instant Appeal.
- 2. That the Appellant has not come to this Hon'ble Tribunal with clean hands. Hence, disentitled to any relief whatsoever.
- 3. That no discrimination / injustice has been done to anyone.
- 4. That the Appeal is not based on facts and is unjustified.
- 5. That the Appellant is estopped by his conduct to file the instant Appeal.
- 6. That the writ petition is already adjudicated upon Peshawar High Court vide Judgment dated 03.03.2020 and is thus hit by the principle of resjudicata.

ON FACTS.

- 1. Pertains to record, hence, no comments.
- 2. Pertains to record, hence, no comments.
- 3. Pertains to record, hence, no comments.
- 4. Pertains to record, hence, no comments.
- 5. Pertains to record, hence, no comments.
- 6. Correct. Earlier Eighteen (18) employees of Staff Training Institute filed a Writ Petition No. 1692-P/2020 titled Mr. Bilal Khattak etc Vs Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in Peshawar High Court, Peshawar with the prayer to declare the decision of the Cabinet communicated vide memo dated 14.05.2019 and letter of Finance Department dated 03.02.2020 regarding Secretariat Allowance as illegal, unlawful and direct the respondents to restore the status of the petitioners as of Secretariat level (Annex-I). The august court disposed of the petition and directed the Provincial Govt. to treat the petition as petitioners' representation and decided the same at its end in accordance with law and rules within a period of one month positively (Annex-II). Consequently, the same was thoroughly examined and regretted on the grounds being not covered under the rules (Annex-III). It is pertinent to mention that services of the appellant and others were regularized in Staff Training Institute against the newly created posts under a separate DDO Code PR-8028 titled "IT Professionals Training Centre (Reg. Act) 2018." As the appellant has already agitated the matter in Peshawar High Court, where, relief was not granted, they have no right to file the appeal in Services Tribunal.
- 7. Pertains to record, hence no comments.
- 8. Pertains to record, hence no comments.
- 9. No comments.

ON GROUNDS:

A. Incorrect, hence denied. All the recruitments in Civil Secretariat are made through Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission after observing the prescribed recruitment procedure / policy and no contract employee can be adjusted in the Civil Secretariat for the purpose of regularization. Consequently in order to avoid future legal complications and save the rights of the employees of Civil Secretariat,

Provincial Cabinet in its meeting held on 09.05.2019 decided that all the positions created by Finance Department for all the regularized projects under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization of Services) Act, 2018 shall be created / placed at the strength of attached formations of respective administrative departments except the positions of planning cadre. This decision of the competent forum is neither against the law nor violates any service right of the appellant. The appellant and others are using their regularization in STI as an attempt to enter into the service of Civil Secretariat without any competition.

- B. As explained at "A" above.
- C. Incorrect. Appellant and others were project employees and their services were regularized in Staff Training Institute, an attached formation of Establishment & Administration Department not an administrative unit of Civil Secretariat. Therefore, they are employees of Staff Training Institute having no relation whatsoever with the centralized I.T cadre of Civil Secretariat, supervised by Establishment Department but they are using their regularization in STI as an attempt to enter into the service of Civil Secretariat without any competition.
- D. Pertains to record, hence, no comments.
- E. As explained at "C" above.
- F. Incorrect. No penalty whatsoever was imposed upon the appellant.
- G. As explained at "C" above.
- H. As explained at "A" above.

In view of the above submissions, the instant appeal, being devoid of any merit, may please be dismissed with cost.

Finance Department, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

(Respondent No. 3)

SECRETARY,

Establishment Department, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

(Respondent No. 2)

CHIEF SECRETARY, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Respondent No. 1)

IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT PESHAWAR

WRIT PETITION No.

-P/2020 with Interim Relief

- 1. Mr. Bilal Khattak, Deputy Director-II (E-Office) BPS-18
- 2. Mr. Rehman Mashwani, Assistant Director-I T, (BPS-17).
- **3.** Mr. Muhammad Usman Khan, Assistant Director (BPS-17)
- **4.** Mr. Muhammad Younas, Assistant Director (BPS-17)
- **5.** Mr. Khalid Khan, Assistant Director (BPS-17)
- **6.** Mr. Muhammad Hamid, Assistant Director (BPS-17)
- **7.** Mr. Tariq Kamal, Assistant Director (BPS-17)
- **8.** Mr. Muhammad Adil, Assistant Director (BPS-17)
- **9.** Mr. Muhammad Asim, Assistant Programmer
- **10.** Mr. Ijaz Hussain, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- **11.** Mr. Mushtaq Hussain, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- **12.** Mr. Faizan Abbas, Administrative Officer (BPS-16)
- 13. Mr. Shakir Wilah, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- **14.** Mr. Usman Khan, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- **15.** Mr. Aman Gul, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- **16.** Mr. Ramzan, Computer Operator (BPS-16)
- **17.** Mr. Taifullah, Naib Qasid (BPS-04)
- **18.** Mr. Abdul Wåli, Driver (BPS-06)

All employees of staff training institute, Establishment Department, Civil secretariat, Peshawar.

..... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

- 1- GOVERNMENT ÖF KHYBER PAKHTÜNKHWA, Through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary Establishment Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- **3-** The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- **4-** The Provincial Cabinet & Ministerial Committee through its Chairman, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- **5-** The Grievances Redressal Committee through its Chairman, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

.....RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF WISLAMIC WEREPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN 1973 AS AMENDED UP TO DATE

Respectfully Sheweth:, FACTS:

1

Brief facts giving raise to the instant writ petition are as under:

- 1- That, petitioners are the Law abiding Citizen of Pakistan and have every legal and constitutional Right duly protected under the Law of the land.
- 2- That the petitioners were initially appointed in a project "Office automation system pilots for 5 Departments" in the Establishment Department Civil Secretariat Peshawar against the posts noted against their names in the heading of writ petition.

- 6- That in light of the said minutes dated 15.5.2019 the respondents vide impugned letter dated 3.2.2020 withdrawn the letter dated 14.1.2020 whereby 30% Secretariat allowance has been allowed to the petitioners. Copies of the impugned letter dated 3.2.2020 and letter dated 6.2.2020 are attached as annexure
- 7- That, against the illegal and unwarranted abrupt decision against the secured rights of the petitioners, the petitioners have no other remedy, therefore, come in writ jurisdiction on the following grounds amongst the others:

GROUNDS:

A- That, the cabinet decision communicated vide memo dated 15.05.2019 and impugned letter dated 3.2.2020 are against the Law; facts material on record, and in violation of service

 $v({\bf i}{\bf r})$

'बाले क्षेट अ'

, "

rights of the petitioners, therefore, not tenable and liable to be set-aside.

- B- That the petitioners were already fully merged in the Secretariat, their DDO Code was entrusted to the Secretary Establishment Department and Finance Department and even they, were regularized in the STI Establishment Deptt: Civil Secretariat which was fully acted upon, therefore, now the status of the petitioners cannot be changed upon the whims of union of secretariat employees under the Principal of Locus Poenitentiae.
- C- That the petitioners were happy with merged in Secretariat and they never made any grievance to the concerned committee, but despite that the whole action attributed to the committees concerned and without any notice to the petitioners. This proves malafide on the part of the Cabinet and concerned deptts: who acted as per whims of the union of Secretariat employees and it was not an independent mind used decision.
- **D-** That the impugned decision and withdrawal letter dated 3.2.2020 are also a discriminatory because the Planning Cell employees have been excluded from the decision and only the rights of the petitioners are affected.
- E- That it was the demand of fair play to at least give hearing or prior notice or option to the petitioners before making any decision adversely affecting the service rights of the petitioners. But in present case the petitioners have been condemned unheard through out:
- F- That as the other legal for cannot legally issue writs, therefore the present writ petition is fully entertain able in this august. Court
- G- That the posts of the petitioners are still available on the secretariat side and have not been abolished, therefore, the petitioners without their option cannot be given a different status or varied their status unilaterally.
- H- That the impugned decision of the Cabinet is not free, fair and transparent decision, rather made to happy the union, therefore the same is liable to be set aside.

· ricle

المراجين المرابع المراجات

in the fact

Dep.

J- That the petitioners seeks permission to advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this writ petition the august court may be please to:

- 1. DECLARE, the impugned decision of the Cabinet communicated vide memo dated 14.05.2019 and impugned letter dated 3.2.2020 as illegal, unlawful, against the principal of Locus Poenitentiae, fair play, and not made with independent mind being based on the wish and whims of the Union of Secretariat employees as well as against the spirit of Article-4, 9, 25 and 38 (e) of the Constitution of Pakistan, 1973, therefore, liable to be set-aside.
- **2.** DECLARE, all the resultant actions of changing the status of petitioners from Secretariat level as ineffective upon the accrued rights of the petitioners.
- 3. DIRECT, the respondents to restore the status of petitioners as of Secretariat level employees as merged in Secretariat level for all intents and purpose in the year 2018 after the promulgation of Regularization Act No. X, 2018.
 - **4.** Any other remedy deems appropriate that may also be awarded in favour of petitioners.

INTERIM RELIEF:

That, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the operations of the impugned decision of the cabinet communicated vide memo dated 14.05.2019 and withdrawal of 30% Special pay allowance, through impugned letter dated 3.2.2020 may be suspended till the disposal of the main writ petition, otherwise, the writ petition of the petitioner would become anfractuous.

Dated: 20.2.2020

'n.

8

Petitioners

BILAL KHATTAK & OTHERS

. Through:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK

ADVOCATE, HIGH COURT PESHAWAR

VERIFICATION

It is verified that no other such like writ petition has earlier been filed between the parties.

DEPONENT

LIST OF BOOKS:


- 1- Constitution of Pakistan.
- **2-** Services Law Books
- 3- Any other Case law as per need.

DEPOWENT

PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR

ORDER SHEET

Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or others Proceedings with Signature of Judge
1	2
03.03.2020	W.P No.1692-P/2020 with I.R.
Something this	Present: Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak, Advocate, for the petitioners.
n ·	*******
Elia .	LAL JAN KHATTAK, J On second thought, learned counsel for the petitioners stated at the bar
:	that he would not press this writ petition anymore
* 4,4 *	and would be satisfied, if this petition is treated as
i	representation and sent to the respondent No.1 for
Action of the Control	its decision in accordance with law, to which, Mr
	Arshad Ahmad, the learned Addl. A.G. who was
. ·	present in Court in some other cases got no
	objection.
	2. In view of the above, this writ petition stand
	disposed of in terms that the respondent No.1 sha
1	treat it as the petitioners representation an
_	decide the same at his end in accordance with la
. 35	and rules on the subject within a period of ol
i.i.	month positively
,	
	JUDGE
1	
	(port)





GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA ESTABLISHMENT DEPARTMENT

(Establishment Wing)

PH# 091-9213457 FAX# 091-9210447 Email:sectionofficerv@gmail.com

No. SOE-V(E&AD)/5-09/2007

. . . Wat the

2-5478

Dated Peshawar, the APRIL 22, 2020

To

The Section Officer (Litigation-I),
Establishment Department.

SUBJECT: W.P NO 1692-P/2020 MR. BILAL KHATTAK ETC VS GOVT. OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA AND OTHERS.

I am directed to refer to your letter No. SO(Lit-I)E&AD/2-5478/2020, dated 11.03.2020 on the subject noted above and to state that representation of the petitioners of the subject writ petition is regretted being not covered under the rules.

Encls: As above.

SECTION OFFICER (E-V)

Endstt: No..& Date.Even.

Copy forwarded to the:-

1. PA to Deputy Secretary (Estt), Establishment Department.

2. Master file.

SECTION OFFICER (E-V)

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

CMA I	No.	/	2	0	2	2
-------	-----	---	---	---	---	---

ln

Service Appeal No. 495-507/2021

Muhammad Younas and Others-.....Appellants

Versus

- 1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa though Chief Secretary ,Civil Secretariat , Peshawar
- 2. The Secretary Establishment & Administration Department , Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary Finance Department, Civil Secertariat, Peshawar.
- 4. The Provincial Cabinet & Ministerial Committee through its Chairman, Civil Secretariat.
- 5. The Grievances Redresssal Committee through its Chairman Civil Secretariat , Peshawar.

INDEX

S.No	Particular	Annex	Page No.
	Grounds of Application		1-2
1	Orders dated 8-2-2021 of the Hon'ble KP Service Tribunal, Peshawar.	. 1	3
2	Peshawar High Court Instructions bearing No. 3647- 3846/Admn dated 4-7-2001	11	4
3	Peshawar High Court, Instrucitons bearing No. 6295- 6316/Admn dated 19-9-2001		5
4	Affidavit		6

Deponent

Section Officer (Admn)
STI, E&AD
Govt: OF KPK PESHAWAR



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Versus

- 1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. The Secretary Establishment & Administration Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary Finance Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 4. The Provincial Cabinet & Ministerial Committee through its Chairman, Civil Secretariat.
- 5. The Grievances Redressal Committee through its Chairman, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 6. Director STI. Establishment & Administration Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

SUBJECT : APPLICATION FOR VACATION OF TEMPORARY INJUNCTION GRANTED VIDE ORDER DATED 8-02-2021 IN SERVICE APPEAL 495-507/2021 MUHAMMAD YOUNAS AND OTHERS VS CHIEF SECRETARY, KHYBER PAKHTUNHWA ETC

Respectfully Svewetr:

It is humbly submitted that the instant service appeal is pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Tribunal which is fixed for hearing on 01-08-2022. It is further submitted that the following points are placed before this Hon'ble Court for consideration:

- That this Hon'ble Court was gracious enough to pass orders on 8-2-2021 (Annex-I) restraining the respondents from making recovery from the appellants on account of Secretariat Allowance which was wrongfully made to them and to which they were not entitled to .
- That the restraining orders/temporary injunction dated 08-02-2021 was unilaterally /arbitrarily passed without giving the respondents any opportunity of hearing; which is against the spirit of Rule 2(3) Order XXXIX of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908. It provides that the court shall in all cases, before granting an injunction, direct notice of the application for the same to be given to the opposite party.
- iii) That neither the law Officer representing the Government nor respondents; were put on notice before the restraining orders were passed on 8-2-2021.
- iv) That such a restraining order /temporary injunction passed has caused financial loss to the provincial exchequer.
- v) That the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court has time and again instructed the courts not to grant indiscriminate stay orders and un-necessary adjournments in stay matters. Reliance is placed on Peshawar High Instructions dated 4-7-2001 and 19-9-2001 (Annex –II and III).

0 (3)

- more than 01 year and 05 months has passed; ever since the restraining order /temporary injunction was passed on 8-2-2021 which is still intact and has not been withdrawn which has caused huge financial loss to the provincial exchequer; and is also violation of the aforementioned instructions of the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court.
- vii) Other grounds shall be submitted during the course of arguments.

It is therefore, humbly prayed on acceptance of this Application, the restraining order passed on 8-2-2021 against the respondents may please be vacated in the interest of justice.-

SECRETARY FINANCE DEPARTMENT (RESPONDENT NO. 3)

SECRETARY ESTABLISHMENT DEPARTMENT (RESPONDENT NO. 2)

CHIEF SECRETARY, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
(RESPONDENT NO.1)

Section Officer (Admin)
STI, E&AD
GOVI: OF KPK PESHAWAR

Court of_____

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2.	3
1-	21/01/2021	The appeal resubmitted today by Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please. REGISTRAR

This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on <u>で名がライス</u>キント

CHAIRMAN

JE.02.2821

Care at this ture cop)

niveR nunkhwa e iribunal. Peshawar

Section Officer (Panner)
STI, EAD
AOUT: OF KPK PESHAWAR

Appellant present through counsel. Preliminary arguments heard. File perused.

Points raised need consideration. Admitted to regular hearing subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to respondents for written reply/comments on 04.05.2021 before S.B.

In the meanwhile, operation of the impugned notifical

dated 01.10.2020 stands suspended till further orders

Number of Words

Ving Fee

(Rozin Retin un)

Section Officer (Admn)
STI, EAD
GOVE OF KPK HESHAWAR

without of Copy 8/2/27

total disregard of the legal provisions on the subject. It may be emphasized once again that grant and continuation of stay Orders in the above matters in a thoughtless fashion is exposing judiciary to unsavory criticism.

2)- Hon'ble the Chief Justice has taken a serious view of this unwarranted practice and has been pleased to direct that it should be reiterated that whenever an interim relief is granted in regard to the aforementioned matters, it shall be the duty of the Presiding Officer to dispose of the case on priority basis, failing which he will make himself liable to appropriate disciplinary action.

(PHC letter No. 10221-10370 Admn Dated Pesh: the 27th October, 1999)

C.No. 8(4-8)

- 432

INDISCRIMINATE GRANT OF INJUCTION AND STAY ORDERS BY THE SUBORDINATE COURTS

I am directed to say that indiscriminate grant of injunctions and stay orders by the subordinate Courts is not only causing delay in the disposal of cases but also hardships to the litigant parties.

- 2. It may be observed that Order 39 Rule 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure enjoins that the Court shall, in all cases, before granting injunction, direct notice of the stay application to be given to the opposite party and this Rule further provides the period of notice in the case of Government. Rule 4-A of the aforesaid order also limits the effect of injunction in matters connected with public revenues to a period of six months.
- 3. Consequently, the above provision of law must be strictly adhered to so as to alleviate the sufferings of the litigant parties and effort should be made to dispose of such cases as early as possible by giving short dates.
- 4. I am accordingly to urge the Judicial Officers in the Province to implement the above directive in letter and spirit as any deviation from the relevant provisions of law, judgments of the superior Courts and instructions of this Court will be seriously viewed, and the defaulting officers may expose themselves to appropriate disciplinary action under the relevant rules.

(PHC letter No.3647-3846/ Admn: Dated Peshawar the 4th July, /2001)

Judicial Estacode 2011

Section Officer (Admn)

STI, E&AD STI, E&AD Govi: OF KPK PESHAWAR



C.No. 9(4-8)

UN-NECESSARY ADJOURNMENTS IN STAY MATTERS

I am directed by the Hon'ble Chief Justice to say that in spite of repeated directions to curb the tendency of granting unnecessary adjournments in those cases in which stay has been granted in favor of a party, complaints are still pouring in from different quarters suggesting mechanical adjournments and extension of the stay orders even beyond the period permissible under the law. This practice on the part of some Judicial Officer is not only exposing the judiciary to unsavoury criticism but also eroding its credibility in the eyes of general public.

- 2. In order to check the uncalled for tendency and taking necessary action against those Judicial Officers who are responsible for flouting clear legal provisions, the following information be supplied to this Court at an early date:
 - i- How many adjournments in cases in which stay has been granted have been given in the last six months?
 - ii- How much cost in imposed in such like cases, particularly for the second adjournment and what are the reasons for not imposing heavy costs?
 - iii- In how many cases stay orders have been extended beyond six months and what reasons have been recorded in writing for such extension?
- 3. I am further directed to say that in future copies of stay Orders extended beyond six months be invariably sent by Civil Courts in the District, through District and Sessions Judge to this Court for further necessary action.

(PHC letter No.6295-6316 / Admn: Dated Pesh; the 19th September, 2001)

C.No. 10(4-8)

CHIEF JUSTICE DIRECTIVE # 9 (BAIL BONDS IN QUADRUPLICATE)

I am directed to communicate the following directive issued by the Hon'ble Chief Justice.

Judicial Estacode 2011 ______ 43

Section Officer (Admn)
STI, E&AD
GOVE OF KPK PESHAWAR

6

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL No: 494-507/2021

Muhammad Younas and Others	Apellants -
Versus	
Govt Of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Etc	Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Siyar Ahmad Khan, Section Officer (Admn) (BPS-17), Staff Training Institute, Establishment and Administration Department, Govt. Of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa do hereby solemnly declare that contents of the accompanying Joint Stay Application on behalf of Respondents No.1-3 are correct to the best of knowledge and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

DEPONENT

CNIC No.17301-0368526-9 Contact No. 091-9214060

Section Officer (Admn) STI, E&AD Govt: of KPK PESHAWAR

17/H022