



always at liberty to challenge the seniority list in accordance with law. Consign.

3. *Pronounced in open Court at Swat under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 5th of June, 2023.*

SCANNED
K-3T
Peshawar

Mutazem Shah


(Muhammad Akbar Khan)
Member (E)
Camp Court, Swat


(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman
Camp Court, Swat

**Service Appeal No.4280/2021 “Fazal Haqqani Vs. Government of Khyber
Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education at Civil
Secretariat Peshawar and others”.**

ORDER

05.06.2023

1. Learned counsel for appellant present. Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand, Additional Advocate General for respondents present.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant, at the very onset, started his arguments, attacking on the seniority list, annexed by the respondents. With the reply as “Annexure-A”, and submitted that a joint seniority list was prepared by the respondent/department for different cadres post in different grades, whereas, separate seniority list for different scales and cadres was to be prepared. He says that because of preparation of wrong seniority list, the appellant was not promoted and given seniority at the relevant point of time. When confronted with the situation that as long as the seniority, annexed with the reply, remains in the field, whether the grievance of the appellant could be redressed and the prayer made in the appeal could be granted, the learned counsel was very fair and frank enough to state that he had already advised his client to first get his seniority position corrected and then to agitate his promotion or antedation if he thinks that he was entitled for the same. As regards this appeal, he says that the appellant would not press it for the time being allowing him to agitate his matter of seniority first. The appeal is disposed of accordingly. Needless to say that the appellant is

