BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 1559/2022

ħ,

ĩ

Khyber Pakhtukhw Ser 12029 Duted -12-16

Malik Tahir Awan.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others

.....Respondent

INDEX.

| S.No. | Description of documents | Annexure | Page |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| 01 Parawise Comments | | | 01 to 04 |
| 02 | Annexures | | 05 to 06 |
| 03 | Affidavit | | 07 |
| ر ر | Buthority Lelter | Δ | 08 |

5123 2

Section officer (Lit-II) Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department Section Officer (Lit-II) Health Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1559 OF 2022

Malik Tahir Awan.....Appellant

Versus

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others......Respondents

PARAWISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS NO. 1 TO 4

Respectfully Sheweth:

Preliminary Objections:-

- 1. That the appellant has got neither cause of action nor did locus standi to file the instant appeal.
- 2. That the appellant has filed the instant appeal just to pressurize the respondents.
- 3. That the instant appeal is against the prevailing Law and Rules.
- 4. That the appeal is not maintainable in its present form and also in the present circumstances of the issue.
- 5. That the appellant has filed the instant appeal with mala-fide intention hence liable to be dismissed.
- 6. That the appellant has not come to this Honorable Tribunal with clean hands.
- 7. That the appeal is barred by law and limitation.
- That there is no final order (original or appellate) hence the appeal is not maintainable under section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act 1974.Relaince is placed on 2006 SCMR 1630.
- 9. That the matter pertains to up gradation from BPS-09 to BPS 11 hence the Honorable Tribunal has no Jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the matter.
- 10.That the instant appeal is bad for mis-joinder of unnecessary and non-joinder of necessary parties.

ON FACTS:



- O1. Pertains to record. However it is worth mentioning that the appellant was appointed in LRH (now MTI) accepted the same post in BPS-09 and did not object the same till the instant appeal
 - 02. Pertains to record. It is to state that Mr Gulab shah was appointed by the KTH Peshawar against the post in the BPS 11 which is a separate entity.
 - 03. Pertains to record.
 - 04. Incorrect. However the departmental appeal mentioned in the para is pertains to upgrdation which is filed in the year 1998 and is badly time barred as the same has not been properly pursued before proper forum i.e High Court. The post of Florescence's Angiography Technician BS-09 has been amalgamated in the cadre of ECG Technician and also re-designated as JCT Cardiology BS-09 in light of the approved Service structure of Paramedics vides Government Notification No. SOH-III/8-60/05 (Paramedics) dated 25.08.2006 (*Annex-A*). The appellant (Malik Tahir Awan) was CT Ophthalmology and has been promoted to BS-12 on 20.04.2010 and subsequently promoted to BS-16 on 20.09.2012. He has been promoted as Clinical Technologist Ophthalmology BS-17, on 08.01.2020. On 16.11.2021, he has been promoted as Senior Clinical Technologist BS-18 and now placed in the Seniority list at his proper place however it is worth mentioning that none of the promotion order has been challenged by the appellant.
 - 05. Incorrect, as explained in Para 04 above.
 - 06. Incorrect, explained in Para 04 above.
 - 07. Incorrect. There is no second departmental appeal under the law.He was informed about the factual position through Medical Superintendent LRH Peshawar on 18.04.2014. (*Annex-B*).

- 08. Pertains to record however none of the order mentioned had been challenged by the appellant before the proper forum. He has already been promoted to BS12, 14, 16, 17 and BS-18 as per approved Service Rules in his own cadre which he availed.
 - 09. Incorrect, as explained in Para 07 above. It is worth to mention that the representation mentioned in the para is 3rd representation which is violation of section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act 1974.
 - 10. Incorrect, as explained in Para 08 and 09 above.
 - 11. Incorrect, as explained in Para 08 and 09 above.
 - 12. Incorrect, as explained in above Para 08 and 09 above.
 - 13. Correct to the extent of promotion Notification dated 21/07/2017, rest of the para is replied in 08 and 09 above.
 - 14. Incorrect, His name was placed at proper place in the Seniority list of Clinical/PHC Technologist BS-17. It is further to clarify that no seniority list has been challenged by the appellant till date hence denied.
 - 15. Correct to the extent of promotion Notification dated 11.08.2021. His name was included in the Seniority list of Senior Clinical Technologist BS-18 at his proper place.
 - 16. Incorrect, Already explained in in 08 and 09 above. The instant appeal is badly time barred secondly the prayers of the appellant is upgradation which is beyond the jurisdiction of the honorable Tribiunal
 - 17. Needs no comments being formal.

ON GROUNDS:

- A. Incorrect, the replying respondents acted as per law and rules.
- B. Incorrect, as explained in Para A.



C. Incorrect, as explained in Para A.

- D. Incorrect, as as explained in Para A.
- E. The Answering respondents also seek prior permission of this Honorable Court to adduce additional grounds at the time of arguments

PRAYER:

It is therefore humbly prayed that on acceptance of the comments, the instant appeal of the appellant may very graciously be dismissed with costs.

Secretary to Govt. of Khyber

Pakhtunkhwa Health Department Respondent No. 01 & 02

Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Department

Respondent No. 03

Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa **Respondent No. 04** ÐŊ M

GOVERNMENT OF NWFP HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Dated Peshawar the 25th August, 2006

NOTIFICATION

(2)

<u>NO SOH-III /8-60/05 (Paramedics)</u>. In supersession of this Department's Notification of even number dated 10th May 2006 and in pursuance of the decision taken by the Provincial Cabinet in its meeting held on 27th December 2005, the Competent Authority is pleased to approve eight stage Paramedics Services Structure of NWFP as follows:-

(1) The exiting 57 different categories of Paramedics at annex A are restrictured into 14 cadres as annexure B.

In all the aforesaid cadres/specialties, the posts in various pay scales shall be integrated/categorized and re-designated as under:-

| | | Re-designation | •• |
|------|---------------------------------------|--|----|
| • | · | Existing Posts | |
| - Ç | SINO | in the second se | |
| - (| 2016 | (0.20.00) | |
| - 1 | . 1 | Posts in BPS-5 to BPS-9 in all. Junior Technicians (BPS-9) | |
| | | The in BPS-S to BPS-9 in and summer | |
| | 1.13 | 1.0315 m 2.1 C | |
| • | | specialtics | |
| | <u> </u> | DBS 10 to BPS-12 in all 1 Identification (- | |
| •• | [13] | specialtics Fosts in BPS-10 to BPS-12 in all Technicians (BPS-12). | l |
| | 1 | and the second | ŧ |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 shoot ppc 15 to BPS-14 Senior real | l |
| | [iii] | Posts in BPS-13 to BPS-14 Schor Technicians (C- | 1 |
| | | Levell'soperallies | 1 |
| | | In an opposite to BPS-16 . United Technisting | 1 |
| - 15 | . [iv] . | Posis in illo-12 to the case | - |
| | 1.1.19 | The difference in the second sec | |
| | · • • . | a un apcontinta in all contrialities Technologist (Dro 19) | 1 |
| | 1.5. | Litesis in Brott management Comport Technologius (1) | |
| ٠. | · [V]. | The second secon | |
| | - I vil | Posts if: BPS-19 in all specialties Chief Technologist (0.0 BPS-20) | ς. |
| ÷., | . 1.6 | | L |
| | (vii) | TIDE 20 in all speciallies Principal reason | |
| | - Eviii) | Posts in BPS-19 in all specialties Principal Technologists (Dro-22) | |
| | | | |

The words "clinical" shall be mentioned with the categorics of posts meant for Paramedics working in the hospitals and "Primary Health Care" with the paramedics working in the field alongwith mention of specific specialty (e.g. funior Clinical Technician (Radiology) and Junior Primary Health Care Technician (Multi purpose).

The number of Posts in BPS-9, BPS-12, BPS-14, BPS-16, BPS-17, 9PS-18, BPS-19 and BPS-20, in the service shall be worked cut according to the following proportionate ratio:-

| • | | · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|----------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| • • • | · | | Perceniage |
| S. | No. | BPS | |
| 1- | | | 20% |
| | 2). | P(st in D) 5-9 | 12% |
| · } | 5) | Feat in BES-17. | 3.5% |
| | c) | Port in BPS-14 Port in UPS-16 | 2.5% |
| | | Post in 00-3-17 | 1.65% |
| | <u></u> | Fost in DrS-18 | 0.093% |
| L. | <u>)</u> | Post in BPS 19 | 0.01% |
| į. | | Pust-in RPS-20 | 0.0.9 |

Section Officel (Lit-II) Health Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

DIRECTORATE GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR No<u>3572</u>/AE-VII Dated<u>18/5</u>/2014.

The Medical Superintendent, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar.

S BIECT:

APPLICATION FOR PROMOTION ON THE VACANT POST OF CLINICAL TECHNOLOGIST (BPS-17) OPHTHALMOLOGY.

🔤 I Sir,

I directed to refer to your letter No. 44449/LRH/E-III dated 2.22013 on the subject noted above and to state that Mr. Malik Tahir Awan, Chief Simcal Technician (Ophthalmology) BS-16 has recently been upgraded / promoted wie office order No. SOH-III/8-60/2005(paramedics) on 02.08.2012 and will be romoted to the post of Clinical Technologist (Ophthalmology) BS-17 after rendered is 04-years service according to the existing approved services rules.

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (P-III) O/ DIRECTORATE GENERAL HEALTH. SERVICES KPK, PESHAWAR. 7.514/11

Section Officer (Lit-1) Health Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 1559/2022

Malik Tahir Awan.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others

.....Respondent

AFFIDAVIT.

I Mohammad Tufail Section Officer (Lit-II) govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the joint Para-wise comments in Service Appeal No. 1559/2022 at Page-1-2 is submitted on behalf of respondents is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, belief and that nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court. Stis further state of that on Oalh in This appea The ansering respondent have M/ 12 Neither been placed ex-parte Section officer (Lit-III) Neither been placed ex-parte Section officer (Lit-III) Hor Paste now Thier defence has Health Department Identified by:- been struck off. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Addi: Advocate General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR. SERVICE APPEAL No. 1559/2022

MALIK TAHIR AWAN VS GOVT. OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA AND OTHERS

AUTHORITY LETTER

Mr. Muhammad Tufail (Section Officer Litigation-II) Health Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar are hereby authorized to attend the case on behalf of Secretary Health and to sign any document on our behalf or record any statement or do any act for defense of the instant Service Appeal up to Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Section Officer (Lit-II) (Health Department) Section Officer (Lit-) Health Departmen-Khyber Pakhrunkhwe