Form-A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	<u>. </u>	
Restoration Application No.		109/2023

		Restoration Application No. 109/2023
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	27.02.2023	The application for restoration of Execution
:		Petition end. No.360/2021 submitted today by Mr.
-		Khaled Khan Mohmand Advocate. It is fixed for hearing
		before Single Bench at Peshawar on
	, 	Original file be requisitioned. Notices be issued to
		applicant and his Counsel for the date fixed.
	'	By the order of Chairman
		\ \tag{\tag{\tag{\tag{\tag{\tag{\tag{
		REGISTRAR
-		
	,	
		'
	' 	
	. •	



Restoration APPli No 109/2023

SCANNED KPST

Civil Miscellaneous No.____/ 2023

Implementation Petition No.360/2021

IN Appeal No.15182/2020 -

Mr. Zahoor Khan......VERSUS...... Inspector General of Police & 2 others

Service Palabates

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE TITLED IMPLEMENTATION PETITION.

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the titled above titled implementation petition was pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Tribunal, which the respondents produce order dated: 14.01.2022, in response to the implementation petition of the applicant.

(Copy of order dated: 14.01.2022 is attached as Annexure "A")

- 2. That on production of the order, this Hon'ble Tribunal, vide order dated: 17.01.2022 disposed of the said implementation petition and consigned the same. (Copy of order dated: 17.01.2022 is attached as Annexure "B")
- 3. That the implementation order of respondents the appellant/applicant was directed to perform his duty as Constable, while the Respondent does not comply the order passed by this Hon'ble Court dated: 15.09.2021 in favour of applicant, however, the applicant was conditionally reinstated in service.
- 4. That valuable rights of appellant/applicant are involved into the matter and will suffer irreparable loss if the subject relief has not been granted.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application, the above titled implementation petition may kindly be restored, in the best interest of justice and equity.

Through

Khalid Khan Mohmand

&

Haider All-Khan Advocatés, Peshawar.

Dated: 22.02.2023

<u>A F F I D A V I T</u>

I, do hereby solemnly affirm declare on oath that the contents of instant **application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, belief and nothing has been kept concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

COMMISSIONER COUNTRISIONER 34/03/93

Annex "A"





OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN

THE PARTY WILLIAM TO BE STANKED THE TANKERS

ORDER.

In property we of the propertied by tradicionable Zaho of the of the first term of the determinant of the first terms of the determinant of

mars 164 There 14.201 (2022)

Disolit Police Unicer

10, 15 15, come 14 1 C/ 12022

top for attermania to

- I Depen lespecter General of Police Mende Respond to see
- 2 Superintend and Police Operations Mandage
 - D52/Legal
- 4. Daylige.
- 5 Pay Officer
- 170 4
- r. Pa



BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Misc. Application No

Service Appeal No. 15182/2020

2021 _{Jeun}o

..... VERSUS..... Inspector General of Police & 2 others Mr. Zahoor Khan

APPLICATION U/S 7(2)(d) OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 (KP ACT pakhtu NO.I OF 1974), READ WITH ALL ENABLING PROVISIONS OF LAW GOVERNING THE SUBJECT, FOR EXECUTION/IMPLEMENTATION OF JUDGMENT DIAGOS

15.09.2021 IN THE TITLED APPEAL.

Respectfully Sheweth;

1. That Applicant/Appellant approached this Hon'ble Tribunal through Service Appea Nos.15182/2020, which was allowed, vide Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 (Copy of Judgment dated: 15.09,2021 alongwith Service Appeal No.15182/2020 is attached as Annexure "A").

2. That Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 supra was announced by this Hon'ble Tribunal in open Court, in presence of the representatives of the Respondent Department, however, the same has not been implemented so far, although applicant/appellant has also communicated the Judgment ibid alongwith application dated: 11,10,2021, but to no avail so far, hence the instant application.

(Copy of application dated: 11.10.2021 is attached as Annexure "B").

- That more than 50 days time has been elapsed, however, Respondent Department is reluctant to implement Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 of this Hon'ble Tribunal in letter and spirit, which has caused grave miscarriage of justice, moreover, this Hon'ble Tribunal has got ample jurisdiction to implement the Judgment ibid, by issuing appropriate directions to the delinquents for the desired relief.
- 4. That any other ground with the permission of this Hon'ble Tribunal will be taken at the time of arguments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application, Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 of this Hon'ble Tribunal may be ordered to be implemented in letter and spirit, so as to avoid untoward situation and further complications.

Applicant / Appellant

Through

Stated on oath that contents of instant Application are true and correct to the best of knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Khalid Khan

Muhammad Kareem Afridi

Deponent

Advocates, Peshawar

Dated: 01.12.2021

AFFIDAVIT

Service Tribunal

7. P. No: 360/2021 Zahoor Khan 15 Gut

04.01.2022

Petitioner in person present.

Respondent department is directed to submisimplementation order, if the department has filed CPLA before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan then they are directed to furnish conditional order or suspension order from august Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Notice of the instant execution petition alongwith copy of this order be issued to the respondents for submission of implementation report. To come up for implementation report on 17.01.2022 before S.B.

(Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir) Member (E)

17.01.2022

Petitioner alongwith counsel and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Buitt, Addl. AG alongwith Noor Daraz Khan, S.I (Legal) for the respondents present.

Representative of the respondents has produced copy of order dated 14.01.2022, whereby judgment under execution has been conditionally implemented till final decision on CPLA by the August Supreme Court of Pakistan. Copy of order is placed on file.

In view of the above, the execution petition in hands is consigned to the record room.

Date of Presentation of Application 22/02/20 Sertified to Manager of World Copying Fee 10/20 Service Tribunal Name of Complection of Copy 20/20/2023

Date of Delivery of Copy 20/2023

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 15782 /2020

<u>Appellant</u>

Mr. Zahoor

Ex-HC.

District Police, Mardan

VERSUS

The Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

The Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, Mardan.

3. The District Police Officer,

District Mardan

Respondents

SERVICE SECTION APPEAL UNDER 4. OF THE PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE **IMPUGNED** ORDER DATED 17.09.2020 WHEREBY MAJOR PUNISHMENT OF DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE WAS IMPOSED UPON APPEULANT AGAINST WHICH HEPREFERRED DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL TO RESPONDENT NO.2 ON 22,09,2020 BUT THE SAME WAS UNLAWFULLY REJECTED VIDE IMPUGNED APPELLATE ORDER DATED 12.10.2020.

On acceptance of the instant appeal, the impugned order dated 17.09.2020 passed by Respondent No.3 and impugned appellate order dated 12.10.2020 passed by Respondent No.2 may graciously be set aside/modified and appellant may be re-instated into service w.e.f. 17.09.2020 with all back benefits.

Respectfully Sheweth.

Facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:-

That the appellant was employed in the Police Force as Constable way back in the year 2009 and has rendered meritorious service for the Department.



EFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.15182/2020

Date of Institution

09:11.2020

Date of Decision

15.09.2021

Mr. Zahoor Ex-H.C District Police, Mardan.

(Appellant)

/cunq\

VERSUS

The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunhwa Peshawar and two others.

(Respondents)

Muhammad Amin Ayub,

Advocate

For Appellant.

Asif Masood Ali Shah,

Deputy District Attorney

For Respondents.

AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN

ROZINA REHMAN

CHAIRMAN

MEMBER (J)

JUDGMENT

ROZINA REHMAN, MEMBER (J): Brief facts of the case are that appellant was inducted in the Police Force as Constable. While performing duties at Special Squad Police Lines Mardan, he was suspended from service on account of departmental proceedings. He was charge sheeted and an inquiry was conducted into the matter, ... where-after, major penalty of dismissal from service was imposed. upon appellant. He filed departmental appeal which was rejected, hence, the present service appeal.

We have heard Muhammad Amin Ayub Advocate appearing on 2. behalf of appellant and Asif Masood Ali Shah learned Deputy District





Attorney for the respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.

Learned counsel for appellant contended that the appellant was not treated in accordance with law, rules and policy and that the respondents acted in violation of Article-4 & 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. He contended that the appellant neither misused his official authority nor entered into the house of Khaista Rehman which is evident from the record and that complainant of case admitted the presence of appellant outside his house. That a false and concocted F.I.R was registered against the appellant and his wife. That mandatory requirement of law in shape of issuance of show cause notice was violated as no show cause notice was ever issued to the appellant and that in utter violation of law and principles of natural justice, after the first inquiry report, the second inquiry was clandestinely conducted at the back of the appellant and he was recommended for major punishment. That no notice was served upon the appellant nor reasons were shown as to how the first inquiry report was rejected and second inquiry was conducted and as to who was the Inquiry Officer as copy of the Inquiry report was not provided to the appellant. He submitted that neither regular inquiry was conducted nor any evidence was recorded in presence of appellant and that proper opportunity of defense was not given to the appellant. Lastly, he submitted that he was proceeded against departmentally on the allegations that he was involved in case F.I.R No.589 dated 12.07.2020 and that was the only stigma but the appellant was acquitted by competent court of Law, FISTED therefore, the impugned orders may kindly be set aside.

The state of the s

3

4. Conversely learned Deputy District Attorney submitted that appellant while posted at Special Squad, Police Lines Mardan, was placed under suspension on account of involvement in case F.I.R No.589 dated 1207.2020 at Police Station Saddar, Mardan. On account of the aforementioned allegations, he was issued charge sheet with statement of allegations and inquiry was entrusted to D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan. He contended that Inquiry Officer during the course of inquiry, provided all lawful opportunities to the appellant to produce evidence in his defense but fiasco and that after fulfillment of all codal formalities, report was submitted and appellant was rightly dismissed from service.

5. From the record, it is evident that appellant Zahoor khan Ex-Constable of Mardan - Police was proceeded departmentally on the allegations that he while posted at Special Squad, Police Lines, Mardan was involved in F.I.R No.589 dated 12.07.2020 U/S 452, 354, 506/34 P.P.C Police Station Saddar, Mardan. The impugned order of District Police Officer Mardan is available on file which clearly shows that appellant was proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Gulshad Khan D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan and accordingly he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service vide O.B No.1599 dated 17.09.2020. The inquiry report submitted by D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan is available on file as "Annexure-C" and this inquiry was conducted vide office No.318/PA dated 13.07.2020. The Inquiry Officer recommended temporary reinstatement of appellant till the Court decision. The entire record is silent as to why this inquiry report was not taken into consideration and as to how another order was passed for second

KIND AND RESTED

inquiry. The statement of allegations available on file bearing No.318/PA dated 13.07.2020 shows that one Shakeel Ahmad D.S.P. Headquarter was also nominated as Inquiry Officer. The respondents miserably failed to prove the service of charge sheet and statement of allegations upon the appellant and his association in the inquiry proceedings conducted by Shakeel Ahmad D.S.P.

- As discussed earlier that the only allegation against the appellant was his involvement in the criminal case but the appellant was acquitted in the criminal case registered against him vide F.I.R No.589 by the competent court of Law on 06.04.2021.
- It has been held by the superior fora that all the acquittals are 7. certainly honorable. There can be no acquittal which may be said to be dishonorable. Involvement of the appellant in the criminal case was the only ground on which he had been dismissed from service and the said ground had subsequently disappeared, therefore, hisacquittal, made him re-emerge as fit and proper person entitled himto continue with his service.
- 8. For what has been discussed above, we allow this appeal as prayed for. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

<u>ANN</u>OUNCED. 15.09.2021

(Ahmad Sultan Taree

Chairman

Member (J)

	22/2/23
of Presentation of	Application.
Number of 200	· ——
Copying Fee	
Urgent 7	,
Total——30/	02/2/22
Date of Con.,	12/2/22
Date of Delivery of C	Copy
·	

,

•

مقدمه مندرجه بالاعنوان ميں اپن طرف سے واسطے بیروی وجوابد ہی بہقا

خالد خال مهمند الموكيث ما في كورث، يثاور كوبدين شرط وكيل مقرر كيا ہے كه ميں ہر پيشى پرخود يا بذريعه مخارخاص ر و بر دعد الت حاضر ہوتا رہونگا۔ اور بوقت بکارے جانے مقدمہ وکیل صاحب موصوف کو اطلاع دیکر حاضر عدالت کرونگا اگر بیشی برمن مظہر حاضر نہ ہوااور مقدمہ میری غیر حاضری کی وجہ سے کسی طور میرے برخلاف ہو گیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذیب دارنہ ہوں گے۔ نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام کچہری کے سی اور جگہ یا کچہری کے مقررہ اوقات سے پہلے یا پیچھے بابر ورتعطیل پیروی کرنے کے ذمہ دارنہ ہول گے۔اگر مقدمہ علاوہ صدر مقام کچبری کے کسی اور جگہ ساعت ہونے یا بروز لقَطْبِلَ ما کیجری کے اوقات کے آگے پیچھے پیش ہونے برمن مظہر کوکوئی نقصان بہنچ تو اس کے ذمہ داریا اس کے واسطے کی معاوضہ کے اداکرنے یامخنتار نامہ واپس کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے۔ مجھے کوکل ساختہ پر داختہ صاحب موصوف مثل کرده ذات خو دمنظور قبول ہوگا۔اور صاحب موصوف کوعرضی دعوی و جواب دعوی اور درخواست اجرائے ڈگری ونظر ٹانی اپیل وگلرانی ہرشم کی درخواست پر دستخط وتصدیق کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔اور کسی تھم یا ڈگری کے اجرا کرانے اور ہرتشم کا رویہ وصول کرنے اور رسید وینے اور داخل کرنے اور ہرتتم کے بیان دینے اور سپر د ثالثی وراضی نامہ کو فیصلہ برخلاف کرنے، ا قبال دعوی دینے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔اوربصورت اپیل و برآندگی مقدمہ یامنسوخی ڈگری پکطرفہ درخواست حکم امتناعی یا قرقی یا گرفتاری قبل از اجراء ڈگری بھی موصوف کو بشرط ادائیگی علیجدہ مخنتار نامہ پیروی کا اختیار ہوگا۔اور بصورت ضرورت صاحب موصوف کوبھی اختیار ہوگا یا مقدمہ مذکورہ یااس کے کسی جزوکی کاروائی کے واسطے یابصورت اپیل ،اپیل کے واسطے کسی دوسرے وکیل یا بیرسٹر کو بجائے اپنے پالینے ہمراہ مقرر کریں۔اورایسے مشیر قانون کو ہڑا مرمیں وہی اور ویسے ہی اختیارات حاصل ہون مر جیسے کہ صاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں اور دوران مقدمہ میں جو کی پھر جاندالتواء پڑے گا۔ وہ صاحب موصوف کاحق ہوگا۔ اگر وکیل صاحب موصوف کو بوری فیس تاریخ بیش سے پہلے ادا نہ کروں گا تو صاحب موصوف کو بورا اختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی بیروی نہ کریں اورایسی صورت میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ کسی قتم کاصاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔لہذا ہیرمختار نامہ لکھ دیا کہ مضمون مختار نامة تن لياب اوراجهي طرح سمجه لياب اورمنظور ب-

ATTESTED & ACCEPTED:

Khalid Khan Mohmane

Advocate High Court

Peshawar

B.C. No. 18-1115

CNIC No. 16101-8191351-1

Mobile No. 0342-9101124



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

R.A.No. 109/2023

Civil Miscellaneous No.____/ 2023 IN Implementation Petition No.360/2021 IN Appeal No.15182/2020

Mr. Zahoor Khan.....VERSUS...... Inspector General of Police & 2 others

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE TITLED IMPLEMENTATION PETITION.

Respectfully Sheweth:

That the titled above titled implementation petition was pending adjudication before this Hon ble Tribunal, which the respondents produce order dated: 14.01.2022, in response to the implementation petition of the applicant.

(Copy of order dated: 14.01.2022 is attached as Annexure "A")

- 2. That on production of the order, this Hon'ble Tribunal, vide order dated: 17.01.2022 disposed of the said implementation petition and consigned the same. (Copy of order dated: 17.01.2022 is attached as Annexure "B")
- 3. That the implementation order of respondents the appellant/applicant was directed to perform his duty as Constable, while the Respondent does not comply the order passed by this Hon'ble Court dated: 15.09.2021 in favour of applicant, however, the applicant was conditionally reinstated in service.
- 4. That valuable rights of appellant/applicant are involved into the matter and will suffer irreparable loss if the subject relief has not been granted.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application, the above titled implementation petition may kindly be restored, in the best interest of justice and equity.

Through

Khalid Khan Mohmand

rested

Haider All Khai

Advocates, Peshawar.

Dated: 22.02.2023

AFFIDAVIT

I, do hereby solemnly affirm declare on oath that the contents of instant **application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, belief and nothing has been kept concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

34 /08 / 23

Annex "A"



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN

loires, figia explicit di l'en 119, 19vas acciques Benat dins provide Cipschurs ener



ORDER

On acceptance of the proy filed by Ex-Conntabis Library Khan No. 2549 by Honorable III service Tribunal or Service appeal No. 15462/2028 in its order annumeted on 15.09.2021, he flexis Constable Labour Khan No. 2040 is berthy provisionally/confittionally re-instable in to invite from the date of decision to 15.09.2021, subject to the outcome of CPLA fled against the above mentioned orders.

ans 14 (c) /2622

Divola Police Office.

40 14 1 C/ 12022

Copy for information to .

- 1. Departy lespectur General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Martin
- 2. Superintendent of Public Operations, Marchan
- 2. OSP/Legal
- 4. DSP/HQes
- 3. Pay Officer
- 6. OSI
- Z PA

BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR Misc. Application No IN Service Appeal No. 15182/2020 VERSUS, Inspector General of Police & 2 others APPLICATION U/S 7(2)(d) OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 (KP ACT) NO.I OF 1974), READ WITH ALL ENABLING PROVISIONS OF LAW GOVERNING THE SUBJECT, FOR EXECUTION/IMPLEMENTATION OF JUDGMENT DIAFOR 15.09.2021 IN THE TITLED APPEAL. Diary No

Respecifully Sheweth:

Mr. Zahoor Khan

- 1. That Applicant/Appellant approached this Hon'ble Tribunal through Service Nos.15182/2020, which was allowed, vide Judgment dated: 15.09,2021 (Copy of Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 alongwith Service Appeal No.15182/2020 is attached as Annexure "A").
- 2. That Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 supra was announced by this Hon'ble Tribunal in open Court, in presence of the representatives of the Respondent Department, however, the same has not been implemented so far, although applicant/appellant has also communicated the Judgment ibid alongwith application dated: 11.10.2021, but to no avail so far, hence the instant application. (Copy of application dated: 11.10.2021 is attached as Annexure "B").
- 3. That more than 50 days time has been elapsed, however, Respondent Department is reluctant to implement Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 of this Hon'ble Tribunal in letter and spirit, which has caused grave miscarriage of justice, moreover, this Hon'ble Tribunal has got ample jurisdiction to implement the Judgment ibid, by issuing apprepriate directions to the definquents for the desired relief.
- I. 貞 That anyother ground with the permission of this Hon'ble Tribunal will be taken at the time of argiµments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application, Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 of this Hon'ble Tribunal may be ordered to be implemented in letter and spirit, so as to avoid untoward situation and further complications

AFFIDAVIT

Through

Stated on oath that contents of instant Application are true and correct to the best of knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

mad Kareem Afridi

Dated: 01.12.2021

Haider Ali

Khalid Khan

Advocates, Peshawar

Vice Tries

Peddywar

7. P. No. 360/202 (3) Takoor Khan Is Gut

04.01.2022.

Petitioner in person present.

Respondent department is directed to submitimplementation order, if the department has filed CPLA before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan then they are directed to furnish conditional order or suspension order from august Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Notice of the instant execution petition alongwith copy of this order be issued to the respondents for submission of implementation report. To come up for implementation report on 17.01.2022 before S.B

(Miq-Ur-Rehman Wazir) Member (E)

17.01.2022

Petitioner alongwith counsel and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Buitt, Addl. AG alongwith Noor Daraz Khan, S.I (Legal) for the respondents present.

Representative of the respondents has produced copy of order dated 14.01.2022, whereby judgment under execution has been conditionally implemented till final decision on CPLA by the August Supreme Court of Pakistan. Copy of order is placed on file.

In view of the above, the execution petition in hands is consigned to the record room.

Page 2

Number of Tresce Page

Copying Fee 10/-

Total 15/

Name of Co

Date of Compatible

Date of Delivery of Co

22/02/2023

harman

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.15782 /2020

<u>Mr. Zahoor</u>

Ex-HC,

District Police, Mardan ..

VERSUS

- The Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- The Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, Mardan.

The District Police Officer,

District Mardan

Respondents

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION OF THE PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER 17.09.2020 DATED WHEREBY **MAJOR** PUNISHMENT OF DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE WAS IMPOSED UPON THE . APPELLANT AGAINST WHICH HE PREFERRED DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL TO RESPONDENT NO.2 ON 22.09.2020 BUT THE SAME WAS UNLAWFULLY REJECTED VIDE IMPUGNED APPELLATE ORDER DATED 12.10.2020.

2020 PRAYER:

On acceptance of the instant appeal, the impugned order dated 17.09.2020 passed by Respondent No.3 and impugned appellate order dated 12.10.2020 passed by Respondent No.2 may graciously be set aside/modified and appellant may be re-instated into service w.e.f. 17.09.2020 with all back benefits.

Respectfully Sheweth.

Facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:-

That the appellant was employed in the Police Force as Constable way back in the year 2009 and has rendered meritorious service for the Department.



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.15182/2020

Date of Institution

09:11.2020

Date of Decision

15.09.2021

Mr. Zahoor Ex-H.C District Police, Mardan.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunhwa Peshawar and two others.

(Respondents)

Muhammad Amin Ayub,

Advocate-

For Appellant.

Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney

For Respondents.

AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN ROZINA REHMAN

CHAIRMAN

MEMBER (J)

JUDGMENT

ROZINA REHMAN, MEMBER (J): Brief facts of the case are that appellant was inducted in the Police Force as Constable. While performing duties at Special Squad Police Lines Mardan, he was suspended from service on account of departmental proceedings. He was charge sheeted and an inquiry was conducted into the matter, where-after, major penalty of dismissal from service was imposed upon appellant. He filed departmental appeal which was rejected, hence, the present service appeal.

2. We have heard Muhammad Amin Ayub Advocate appearing on behalf of appellant and Asif Masood Ali Shah learned Deputy District

6

Attorney for the respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.

3. Learned counsel for appellant contended that the appellant was not treated in accordance with law, rules and policy and that the respondents acted in violation of Article-4 & 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. He contended that the appellant neither misused his official authority nor entered into the house of Khaista Rehman which is evident from the record and that complainant of case admitted the presence of appellant outside his house. That a false and concocted F.I.R was registered against the appellant and his wife. That mandatory requirement of law in shape of issuance of show cause notice was violated as no show cause notice was ever issued to the appellant and that in utter violation of law and principles of natural justice, after the first inquiry report, the second inquiry was clandestinely conducted at the back of the appellant and he was recommended for major punishment. That no notice was served upon the appellant nor reasons were shown as to how the first inquiry report was rejected and second inquiry was conducted and as to who was the Inquiry Officer as copy of the Inquiry report was not provided to the appellant. He submitted that neither regular inquiry was conducted nor any evidence was recorded in presence of appellant and that proper opportunity of defense was not given to the appellant. Lastly, he submitted that he was proceeded against departmentally on the allegations that he was involved in case F.I.R No.589 dated 12.07.2020 and that was the only stigma but the appellant was acquitted by competent court of Law, therefore, the impugned orders may kindly be set aside.

(7)

4. Conversely learned Deputy District Attorney submitted that appellant while posted at Special Squad, Police Lines Mardan, was placed under suspension on account of involvement in case F.I.R No.589 dated 1207.2020 at Police Station Saddar, Mardan. On account of the aforementioned allegations, he was issued charge sheet with statement of allegations and inquiry was entrusted to D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan. He contended that Inquiry Officer during the course of inquiry, provided all lawful opportunities to the appellant to produce evidence in his defense but fiasco and that after fulfillment of all codal formalities, report was submitted and appellant was rightly dismissed from service.

5.. From the record, it is evident that appellant Zahoor khan Ex-Constable of Mardan Police proceeded was departmentally on the allegations that he while posted at Special Squad, Police Lines, Mardan was involved in F.I.R No.589 dated 12.07.2020 U/S 452, 354, 506/34 P.P.C Police Station Saddar, Mardan. The impugned order of District Police Officer Mardan is available on file which clearly shows that appellant was proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Gulshad Khan D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan and accordingly he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service vide O.B No.1599 dated 17.09.2020. The inquiry report submitted by D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan is available on file as "Annexure-C" and this inquiry was conducted vide office No.318/PA dated 13.07.2020. The Inquiry Officer recommended temporary reinstatement of appellant till the Court decision. The entire record is silent as to why this inquiry report was not taken into consideration and as to how another order was passed for second

De Como

8

inquiry. The statement of allegations available on file bearing No.318/PA dated 13.07.2020 shows that one Shakeel Ahmad D.S.P Headquarter was also nominated as Inquiry Officer. The respondents miserably failed to prove the service of charge sheet and statement of allegations upon the appellant and his association in the inquiry proceedings conducted by Shakeel Ahmad D.S.P.

- 6. As discussed earlier that the only allegation against the appellant was his involvement in the criminal case but the appellant was acquitted in the criminal case registered against him vide F.I.R No.589 by the competent court of Law on 06.04.2021.
- 7. It has been held by the superior for that all the acquittals are certainly honorable. There can be no acquittal which may be said to be dishonorable. Involvement of the appellant in the criminal case was the only ground on which he had been dismissed from service and the said ground had subsequently disappeared, therefore, his acquittal, made him re-emerge as fit and proper person entitled him to continue with his service.
- 8. For what has been discussed above, we allow this appeal as prayed for. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

<u>ANNOUNCED.</u> 15.09,2021

(Ahmad Sultan Tareen)

Chairman

(Røzina Rehman Member (J)

2 com

The Tribunation

بعدالت سرم س مرا أبير و تل ليستاهم بعدالت سرم س مرا أبير و تل ليستاهم بالمروب الله المراب الله المراب الله المراب الله المراب ا

باعث خریمیا ملہ مقدمہ مندرجہ بالاعنوان میں ابنی طرف سے واسطے بیر دی وجوا بدعی بمقام ۔۔۔۔۔۔ کے مصل کرمیاں کی لئے

خالد خال مهمند ایو کیٹ ہائی کورٹ، پشاور کو بدین شرط وکیل مقرر کیا ہے کہ میں ہر پیشی پرخود یا بذریعه مخار خاص ا ر دبر وعدالت حاضر ہوتا رہونگا۔ اور بوقت بکا دیے جانے مقدمہ وکیل صاحب موصوف کو اطلاع دیکر حاضر عدالت کرونگا اگر بیتی پرمن مظہر حاضر نہ ہوا اور مقدمہ میری غیر حاضری کی وجہ سے کی طور میرے برخلاف ہوگیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی . طرح ذ مددار نہ ہوں گے۔ نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام کچہری کے کسی اور جگہ یا کچہری کے مقررہ اوقات سے پہلے یا پیچیے پابر ور تعطیل پیروی کرنے کے ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے۔اگر مقدمہ علاوہ صدر مقام کچہری کے کسی اور جگہ ساعت ہونے یا بروز تعظیل یا کچہری کے اوقات کے آگے پیچے بیش ہونے برمن مظہر کوکوئی نقصان منجے تو اس کے ذمہ دار یا اس کے داسطے سی معاوضہ کے اداکرنے یا محنتار نامہ واپس کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمہ دار نہ ہول گے۔ مجھ کوکل ساختہ پر داختہ صاحب موصوف مثل کرده ذات خودمنظور قبول ہوگا۔اورصاحب موصوف کوعرضی دعوی وجواب دعوی اور درخواست اجرائے ڈگری ونظر ٹانی ایل ونگرانی ہرشم کی درخواست پرد شخط وتصدیق کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔اور کسی تھم یا ڈگری کے اجرا کرانے اور ہرشم کا روپیدوصول کرنے اور رسیدویے اور داخل کرنے اور ہرشم کے بیان دینے اور سپرد ثالثی وراضی نامہ کو فیصلہ برخلاف کرنے، اقبال دعوى دينے كائمى اختيار موگا۔ اور بصورت اپيل وبرآ مدگى مقدمه يامنسوخى ذگرى كيطرفه درخواست تهم امتناعى ياقرقي يا گرفتاری قبل از اجراء ڈگری بھی موصوف کو بشرط ادائیگی علیحدہ محنتار نامہ پیردی کا اختیار ہوگا۔اوربصورت ضرورت صاحب موصوف کوبھی اختیار ہوگا یا مقدمہ ندکورہ یا اس کے کسی جزوکی کاروائی کے واسطے یا بصورت اپیل ، اپیل کے واسطے کسی دوسرے وکیل یا بیرسٹر کو بجائے اپنے پیا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں۔اورایسے مشیر قانون کو ہرامر میں وہی اور ویسے ہی اختیارات حاصل ہوں <u>ے جیسے کہ صاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں اور دوران مقدمہ میں جو پچھ ہر جاندالتواء پڑے گا۔ وہ صاحب موصوف کاحق ہوگا۔</u> اگر وکیل صاحب موصوف کو پوری فیس تاریخ بیشی سے پہلے ادا ندکروں گا تو صاحب موصوف کو پورا اختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی پیروی نہ کریں اورالی صورت میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ کسی تنم کا صاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔لہذا مید تخار نا مہلکھ دیا کہ _ مضمون مختارنامه بن ليا ہے اور الحجی طرح سمجھ ليا ہے اور منظور ہے۔

ATTESTED & ACCEPTED:

Khalid Khan Mohmana Advocate High Court

Peshawar

B.C. No. 18-1115 \

CNIC No. 16101-8191351-1 Mobile No. 0342-9101124 فمبورينا