

17.01.2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General alongwith Raziq H.C for respondents present.

Learned Additional Advocate General produced copy of the cause list of Supreme Court of Pakistan and at serial No. 5. C.P.464-P/2021 the subject case is reflected. Learned Additional Advocate General further stated at the bar that stay has been granted by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan but could not produce a copy thereof. Copy of the stay order in question be provided to be placed on file where-after the instant execution petition shall stand Sine-die till further outcome in the CPLA. To come up for further proceedings on 03/03/2022.



(Mian Muhammad)  
Member (E)

29.11.2021

Counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak,  
Addl: AG alongwith Mr. Rehmat Jan; SI for respondents present.


Learned AAG requested for a short adjournment which is  
acceded to but as a last chance. To come up for implementation  
report on 15.12.2021 before S.B.

  
(MIAN MUHAMMAD)  
MEMBER (E)

15.12.2021

Peticicner alongwith his counsel present. Mr. Kabirullah  
Khattak, Addl: AG for respondents present.

Respondent-department produced a ccpy of internal  
note/deparmental proceedings dated 06.12.2021 where-under  
DSP (Legal) has advised conditional implementation of the  
Service Tribunal judgement dated 08.07.2021 subject to the  
outcome of CPLA by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. The  
same is placed on file. Learned AAG requested for short  
adjournment. Request is allowed. To come up for implementation  
report on 17/01/2022 before S.B.

  
(MIAN MUHAMMAD)  
MEMBER (E)

27.10.2021




Petitioner alongwith his counsel present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. Muhammad Raziq, H.C for respondents present.

According to the operative part of the judgment, direction was given to the respondents to place the name of the appellant (present petitioner) in the confirmation list with his batch-mates as SI w.e.f 10.09.2012 when his colleagues were confirmed in the rank of SI and accordingly to revise the seniority list with all consequential benefits. The representative of the respondents has produced copy of CPLA No. 464-P/2021 with the submission that the process for filing of CPLA before august Supreme Court of Pakistan is in progress. Needless to say, it is right of the respondents to pursue their remedy against the judgment of this Tribunal before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan but in case no order as to suspension of the judgment of this Tribunal is passed, the respondents in absence of suspension order are under obligation to implement the judgment conditionally subject to the decision of the CPLA, after obtaining affidavit from the petitioner that in case the judgment of this Tribunal is reversed, he will have to surrender the benefits got under the conditional order. To come up for implementation of the judgment in the given manner on 29.11.2021 before S.B.

  
Chairman

Form- A  
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of \_\_\_\_\_  
Execution Petition No. 155 /2021

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	30.08.2021	<p>The execution petition of Mr. Amjid Ali submitted today by Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR</p>
2-		<p>This execution petition be put up before S. Bench at Peshawar on <u>01/10/21</u>.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN</p>
	01.10.2021	<p>Learned counsel for the petitioner present.</p> <p>Notices be issued to the respondents for submission of implementation report on the next date of hearing. Adjourned. To come up for further proceedings before the S.B on 28.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER (E)</p>

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR**

Implementation Petition No \_\_\_\_\_/2021

In

Service Appeal No 1458/2018

Amjid Ali.....**Appellant/Petitioner**

**V E R S U S**

PPO and others.....**Respondents**

**I N D E X**

<b>S. No</b>	<b>Description of documents</b>	<b>Annexure</b>	<b>Pages</b>
1.	Implementation Petition with Affidavit		1-2
2.	Copy of the Order and Judgment dated 08-07-2021	<b>A</b>	3-7
3.	Vakalat Nama		8

**Dated:-27.08.2021**

**Through**

  
**Applicant/Petitioner**


  
**FAZAL SHAH MOHMAND**  
ADVOCATE,  
SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN.

**OFFICE:-**  
Cantonment Plaza Flat# 3/B  
Khyber Bazar Peshawar.  
Cell# 0301 8804841  
Email:- fazalshahmohmand@gmail.com

①

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR**

Implementation Petition No 155 /2021  
In  
Service Appeal No 1458/2018



Amjid Ali, Inspector No 305-P, Investigation Wing Capital  
City Police, Peshawar. .... **Appellant/Petitioner**

**V E R S U S**

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
2. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.
3. Superintendent of Police Headquarters, Peshawar.

.....**Respondents**

**PETITION FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF  
ORDER/JUDGMENT DATED 08-07-2021 PASSED  
BY THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL IN THE ABOVE  
TITLED SERVICE APPEAL.**

**Respectfully Submitted:-**

1. That the Petitioner/appellant earlier filed Service Appeal No 1458/2018 for his confirmation as Sub Inspector w. e. f. 10-09-2012, i.e the date when his colleagues were confirmed which was accepted vide Order/Judgment dated 08-07-2021, the petitioner was ordered to be confirmed as SI from the date when his other colleagues were confirmed, as well as to place him in due place in the seniority list with all benefits. **(Copy of the Order and Judgment is enclosed as Annexure A).**
2. That the Petitioner/appellant after obtaining attested copy of the stated Order/Judgment of this honorable Tribunal approached respondents which was duly forwarded but with no further proceedings till date.

2

3. That the respondents are not ready to implement the Order and Judgment of this honorable Tribunal in its true spirit for no legal and valid reasons, this act of the respondents is unlawful, unconstitutional and goes against the Orders and Judgment dated 08-07-2021 of this honorable Tribunal.

**It is therefore prayed, that on acceptance of this Application/Petition, respondents may kindly be directed to implement the Order and Judgment of this honorable Tribunal dated 08-07-2021 passed in Service Appeal No 1458/2018.**

**Dated:-27.08.2021**

*[Signature]*  
**Applicant/Petitioner**  
Through  
*[Signature]*  
**FAZAL SHAH MOHMAND**  
ADVOCATE,  
SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN.

**AFFIDAVIT**

I, Amjid Ali, Inspector No 305-P, Investigation Wing Capital City Police, Peshawar, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying **Implementation Petition** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this honorable Tribunal.

*[Signature]*  
**DEPONENT**



"A" (3)



**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR**

Service Appeal No 1458/2018

Amjad Ali, inspector, No 305-P, Incharge Security Peshawar High Court Peshawar. .... **Appellant**

**V E R S U S**

1. Provincial Police Officer KPK Peshawar.
2. Capital City Police Officer Peshawar.
3. Superintendent of Police, Headquarters Peshawar.

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal  
 Diary No. 1725  
 Dated 05/12/2018

..... **Respondents**

**APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 FOR ANTE-DATED CONFIRMATION OF THE APPELLANT AS SUB INSPECTOR W.E.F 10-10-2012 FOR WHICH HIS DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL DATED 17-08-2018 HAS NOT BEEN RESPONDED SO FAR DESPITE THE LAPSE OF STAUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.**

**PRAYER:-**

On acceptance of this appeal the appellant may kindly be confirmed as Sub Inspector w.e.f. 10-10-2012 i.e from the date when his colleagues/junior to him were confirmed as Sub Inspector with due seniority in List "F" with all back benefits.

**Respectfully Submitted:-**

1. That the appellant was appointed as Assistant Sub Inspector upon the recommendations of KP Public Service Commission along with ten others vide Notification dated 05-01-2007 of District Peshawar. **(Copy of Notification dated 05-01-2007 is enclosed as Annexure A).**
2. That the appellant along with 36 others was confirmed as Assistant Sub Inspector and their names were brought on promotion List "E" vide Notification dated 01-10-2010 and was promoted as Officiating Sub Inspector. **(Copy of Notification dated 01-01-2010 is enclosed as Annexure B).**
3. That according to Police Rules, the appellant was required to have been confirmed as Sub Inspector after satisfactory service for two years, but he was not confirmed for the reason that he has not been, posted as SHO/OII, independent incharge of Police Station for one year, in time while his other colleagues including even juniors to him were confirmed in the rank of Sub inspectors depriving the appellant, vide Notification dated 10-

*[Signature]*  
 5/12/18

**ATTESTED**  
*[Signature]*  
 Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar



(4)

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

Service Appeal No. 1458/2018

Date of Institution ... 05.12.2018

Date of Decision ... 08.07.2021



Amjad Ali, Inspector, No.305-P Incharge Security Peshawar High Court Peshawar  
... (Appellant)

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and two others.  
... (Respondents)

FAZAL SHAH MOHMAND  
Advocate

... For Appellant

MUHAMMAD RASHEED KHAN  
Deputy District Attorney

... For Respondents

MR. SALAH-U-DIN  
MR. ATIQ UR REHMAN WAZIR

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGMENT

Mr. ATIQ UR REHMAN WAZIR MEMBER (E):- Brief facts of the case are that the appellant was promoted as officiating Sub Inspector(SI) on 01-01-2010 but was not confirmed as SI after satisfactory service for two years, whereas his other colleagues including his juniors were confirmed in the rank of SI vide order dated 10-09-2012. Finally, the appellant was confirmed as sub inspector vide order dated 13-07-2015 but with immediate effect, against which the appellant filed departmental appeal, which was not responded to, hence the instant service appeal with prayers that the appellant may be confirmed as sub inspector w.e.f

ATTESTED

EXAMINER  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal  
Peshawar




10-09-2012, the date when his other colleagues/juniors were confirmed with due seniority in list F with all back benefits.

02. Written reply/comments were submitted by respondents.

03. Arguments heard and record perused.

04. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that the appellant was not confirmed as SI along with his colleagues due to the reason that during the tenure as officiating sub inspector, he did not serve in independent charge of a police station, a notified police post or as in-charge investigation of a police station or in counter terrorism department. Learned counsel for the appellant further contended that such postings were beyond control of the appellant; that according to Police Rules 13:18, it was the legal right of the appellant to be confirmed as SI after lapse of two years, but in case of appellant, the said rules have not been observed; That finally the appellant was confirmed vide order dated 13-07-2015 but with immediate effect instead of 10-09-2012 and was included to list F, whereas his other colleagues and juniors were confirmed on 10-09-2012 and were accorded seniority accordingly, the appellant too, as such is entitled to ante-dated confirmation as SI w.e.f 10-09-2012, but he was not treated accordingly. Learned counsel for the appellant further contended that similar nature cases have already been accepted by this Tribunal and the appellant is also entitled to same relief under the principles of equity and consistency. Reliance was placed on CP No. 538-P of 2003, Service Appeal No. 1602/2010, Service Appeal No. 1450/2013, Service Appeal No. 1227/2013, Service Appeal No, 1021/2015 and Service Appeal No. 271/2018. Learned counsel for the appellant added that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and rule and was deprived of his legal rights in arbitrary and illegal manner. On the question of limitation, learned counsel for the appellant added that in matter of promotion, seniority, pay and other emoluments, limitation

**ATTESTED**

  
 EXAMINER  
 Kayyum Pakhtukhwa  
 Service Tribunal  
 Peshawar

6

would not foreclose his right accrued to him. Reliance was placed on 2002 PLC (CS) 1388, 2009 PLC (CS) 178 and 1999 SCMR 880. Learned counsel for the appellant prayed that on acceptance of this appeal, the appellant may be confirmed as SI w.e.f 10-09-2012, the date when his colleague/juniors were confirmed with due seniority in list F and with all back benefits.

05. Learned Deputy District Attorney appeared on behalf of official respondents have contended that confirmation in the rank of SI is subject to fulfillment of rule 13:10(2) and standing order issued by the provincial police officer from time to time; that the appellant was required to qualify the requisite criteria for confirmation in the rank of SI. Learned Deputy District Attorney further contended that the appellant was confirmed as SI, when he fulfilled the mandatory requirements and orders are issued with immediate effect and not with retrospective effect as per law and rule. Learned Deputy District Attorney added that other colleagues/ juniors of the appellant were promoted after fulfilling the requisite criteria. Learned Deputy District Attorney further added that posting/transfer on independent position are made after examining professional skills and ability of suitable officers; that the appellant was treated in accordance with law and his appeal being devoid of merit may be dismissed.

06. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record. Record reveals that the only reason for non-confirmation of the appellant as SI was that the appellant had not fulfilled the criteria envisaged in 13:10(2) of Police Rules, 1934, which is reproduced as under:

*"No Sub-Inspector shall be confirmed in substantial vacancy unless he has been tested for a year of an officiating Sub-Inspector in independent charge of a Police Station, a notified police post, or as in-charge investigation of a police station or in counter terrorism department."*

To this effect the worthy Apex Court as well as this Tribunal, in numerous judgments have held that condition of postings as envisaged in the rule *ibid*, as

ATTESTED

EXAMINER  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal  
Peshawar

impediment in the way of confirmation as SI was not attributable to the appellant because postings were beyond control of the appellant, which powers rests with the competent authority and subordinate officials cannot be punished for such administrative lapses on part of the relevant authority, hence depriving him from being confirmed in the rank of SI along with his batch-mates would tantamount to his deprivation from further progression, which was not justified. It was also noted that respondents totally ignore Rule-13:18 of Police Rules, 1934, wherein it is laid down that all police officers promoted in rank shall be on probation for two years, provided that the appointing authority may, by a special order in each case, permit periods of officiating service to count towards a period of probation. On the conclusion of probation period a report shall be rendered to the authority empowered to confirm the promotion who shall either confirm the officer or revert him.

07. In view of the foregoing discussion, we are of the considered opinion that case of the appellant is similar in nature with the cases already decided by this Tribunal as well as by the apex court, as referred to by counsel of the appellant. For the reasons, we are inclined to accept the present appeal with directions to the respondents to confirm the appellant as SI from the date when his other colleagues were confirmed, as well as place him in due place in the seniority list. The appellant is also held entitled to all consequential benefits, if any. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to record room.

**ANNOUNCED**  
**08.07.2021**

(SALAH-U-DIN)  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

(ATIQ UR REHMAN WAZIR)  
MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

Certified to be true copy

EXAMINER  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal  
Peshawar

Accepted & Accepted

Accepted

پروپوزیشن

تاریخ: \_\_\_\_\_

2024  
اسکا

میں نے اس پروپوزیشن کو دیکھا ہے اور اس میں اس شخص کی قابلیت اور صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔ اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھ کر میں نے اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔ اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھ کر میں نے اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔

اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھ کر میں نے اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔ اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھ کر میں نے اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔ اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھ کر میں نے اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔

اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھ کر میں نے اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔ اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھ کر میں نے اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔ اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھ کر میں نے اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔

اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھ کر میں نے اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔ اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھ کر میں نے اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔ اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھ کر میں نے اس شخص کی صلاحیتوں کو دیکھا ہے۔

اس کا

اس کا

اس کا


\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

اس کا



اس کا

8




18610  
15/11/21

OFFICE OF THE  
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE  
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
Central Police Office, Peshawar

No. 10785-57 Legal, dated Peshawar, the 12-11-2021

To:- The Secretary  
Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Home & TAs Department, Peshawar.



Attention:- **SO (Court)**

Subject:- **REQUEST FOR FILING EARLY HEARING BEFORE THE  
APEX COURT OF PAKISTAN TITLED AMJID ALI.**

Memo:-

Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar has placed request vide his office Letter No. 2455/LB, dated 11.11.2021, on the subject cited case.

Reportedly that the appellant filed the above mentioned Service Appeal before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar for ante date confirmation as Sub Inspector with his colleagues.

Feeling aggrieved the appellant then filed Service Appeal No. 1458/2018 before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar which was contested through Government Pleader by submitting duly vetted para-wise comments. The Honorable Tribunal without taking into consideration plea of the respondents accepted the appeal, with the direction to respondent Department that **"we are inclined to accept the present appeal with directions to the respondents to confirm the appellant as SI from the date when his others colleagues were confirmed, as well as place him in the due place in the seniority list. The appellant is also held entitled to all consequential benefits, if any"**

On procurement of judgment order dated 08.07.2021 the Departmental lodged CPLA before the Supreme Court of Pakistan against the said judgment order dated 08.07.2021.

Appellant has now filed Execution Petition No. 155/2021 for implementation of the Judgment Order dated 08.07.2021 passed by the Service Tribunal Peshawar. The Execution Petition was contested and argued by the Govt: Pleader, but the Honorable Chairman of Service Tribunal Order that **"but in case no order as to suspension of the judgment of this tribunal is passed, the respondents in absence of suspension order are under obligation to implement the judgment conditionally subject to the decision of the CPLA, after obtaining affidavit from the Petitioner that in case the Judgment of this Tribunal is reversed, he will have to surrender the benefits got under the conditionally order. To come up for implementation of the judgment in the given manner on 29.11.2021 before S.B"**.

It is, therefore, requested that Law Department may kindly be approached for onward approach office of Advocate on Record Supreme Court of Pakistan to lodge early hearing application in above mentioned case in order to stop the execution petition, please.

**SP COURT & LITIGATION  
CPO, Peshawar.**

12.11.2021

C.C.

1. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar for information and further necessary action vide his office No. quoted above.
2. Section officer (Litigation) Law, Parliamentary Affairs and Human Rights Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

For

C.C.P.O.	_____
SSP/Coord.	_____
SSP/O	_____
SSP/Inv.	_____
SP/Cantt	_____
SP/City	_____
SP/Rural	_____
SP/Sec	_____
SP/HQ	_____
SSP/Tr.	_____
✓ DSP/LOS	_____
PO/C.C	_____
PA/EC-II	_____
AS/C.Cell	_____
I/C Arms/St	_____

15/11/21

Representative Service Tribunal.  
For Further Necessary action.

*S. Akhtar*  
Dy. Supdt. Police  
Legal Cell Peshawar  
16/11/2021

MC

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN**  
**(Appellate Jurisdiction)**

CPLA NO. 464 P /2021

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar & Others

-----**PETITIONERS**

**VERSUS**


Amjad Ali

-----**RESPONDENT**

*Appeal from* : *Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal,  
Peshawar*  
*Counsel for Petitioner* : *Advocate General, KPK, Peshawar*  
*Instituted by* : *Mo: n-ud-Din Humayun, AOR*



**REFERENCE ATTACHED.**



Sir,

(1) It is submitted that Inspector Amjid Ali No.305-P of CCP, Peshawar has filed Service Appeal No.1458/2018 before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar for ante date confirmation as Sub Inspector with his colleagues.

(2) Brief facts of the case are that the appellant filed the above mentioned service appeal before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar for ante date confirmation as Sub Inspector with his colleagues.


(3) Feeling aggrieved the appellant then filed Service Appeal No.1458/2018 before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar which was contested through Government Pleader by submitting duly vetted para-wise comments. The Hon'able Tribunal without taking into consideration plea of the respondents accepted the appeal, with the direction to respondent department that that **"we are inclined to accept the present appeal with directions to the respondents to confirm the appellant as SI from the date when his others colleagues were confirmed, as well as place him in the due place in the seniority list. The appellant is also held entitled to all consequential benefits, if any"**.

(4) On procurement of judgment order dated 08.07.2021 this office moved request for lodging CPLA against the judgment order which subsequently was filed in the apex court through law department, which is still subjudice and not yet finalized.

(5) Appellant has now filed execution petition No. 155/2021 for implementation of the judgment order dated 08.07.2021 passed by the Service Tribunal Peshawar. The execution petition was contested and argued by the Govt: Pleader, but the Hon'able Member of Service Tribunal ordered that **"learned AAG requested for a short adjournment which is acceded to but as a last chance. To come up for implementation report on 15.12.2021 before the SB."** (Copy of order sheet is attached)

(6) In order to granting stay over the impugned judgment of Service Tribunal, application for early hearing before the Apex Court vide 2455/LB dated 11.11.2021 and reminder No.2717/LB dated 06.12.2021 were submitted to CPO which is still awaited.

(7) It is therefore requested, that Judgment of the Service Tribunal may be implemented conditionally as CPLA before the Apex Court has already been filed which is still subjudice or otherwise.

  
DSP/Legal,  
CCP, Peshawar.

06/12/2021

# SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN, ISLAMABAD

## FINAL CAUSE LIST 3 of 2022

From 17-Jan-2022 To 21-Jan-2022, at 9:00 AM

For fixation and result of cases, please visit [www.supremecourt.gov.pk](http://www.supremecourt.gov.pk)

The following cases are fixed for hearing before the Court at Islamabad during the week commencing 17-Jan-2022 at 9:00 AM or soon thereafter as may be convenient to the Court.

- (i) No application for adjournment through fax/email will be placed before the Court. If any counsel is unable to appear for any reason, the Advocate-on-Record will be required to argue the case.
- (ii) No adjournment on any ground will be granted.

### BENCH - I

**MR. JUSTICE GULZAR AHMED, HCJ**  
**MR. JUSTICE MAZHAR ALAM KHAN MIANKHEL**  
**MR. JUSTICE SAYYED MAZAHAR ALI AKBAR NAQVI**

#### Monday, 17-Jan-2022

1	C.R.P.311/2020 in C.A.175/2020 (Service) [A]Mr. Justice Sayyed Mazahar Ali Akbar Naqvi, J + 2 (D.B.)	Dr. Aqal Zaman v. Govt. of K.P. through Chief Secretary, Peshawar & others	Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR (Rwp) (Enrl#239) Mr. Muhammad Anwar Awan, ASC (Enrl#4069)
and(2)	C.R.P.312/2020 in C.P.4028/2019 (Service) (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Sayyed Mazahar Ali Akbar Naqvi, J + 2	Dr. Aqal Zaman v. Govt. of K.P. through Chief Secretary, Peshawar & others	Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR (Rwp) (Enrl#239) Mr. Muhammad Anwar Awan, ASC (Enrl#4069)
2.	C.R.P.490/2021 IN C.P.1722/2019 (Writ Petition / Service) (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Gulzar Ahmed, CJ, J + 2	Muzaffar Ali Mahar v. Board of Governors, Pakistan Institute of Parliamentary Services thr. its President, Islamabad & others	Mr. Jawaid Masood Tahir Bhatti, (Ibd) AOR (Enrl#276) Mr. Zulfikar Khalid Maluka, ASC (Ibd) (Enrl#2752)
3	C.R.P.620/2020 IN C.A.681/2020 (Writ Petition / Service) (Miscellaneous) (D.B.) [A] Gulzar Ahmed, HCJ + 2	Zahoor Ahmed Mengal v. The National Bank of Pakistan and another	Mr. Gohar Yaqoob Yousaf Zai, AOR(Qta) (Enrl#218) Mr. Kamran Murtaza, Sr. ASC (Qta) (Enrl#326) Mr. Abdul Rahim Mengal, AOR (Qta) (Enrl#289) Mr. Kaleemullah Quresh, ASC (Qta) (Enrl#4967)
4	C.P.3259/2018 (Writ Petition / Service) (Appointment) (S.J.)	Munawar Hussain & another v. Province of Balochistan thr. Secretary Education, Quetta & others	Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR (Rwp) (Enrl#239) Mr. Kamran Murtaza, Sr. ASC (Qta) (Enrl#326)

5	C.P.464-P/2021 (Service / Confirmation) (S.J.) (Ch.O.)	Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others v. Amjad Ali	Advocate General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Mr. Moin-ud-Din Humayun, AOR (Pesh) (Enrl#274)
6	C.P.6378/2021 (Service / Correction in Date of Birth)  (D.B.) (Ch.O.)	Allied Bank Limited, Clifton Road, Karachi, through its Attorney v. Khudadad Sher and another	Mr. M. S. Khattak, AOR (Enrl#178) (Rwp) Mr. Shahid Anwar Bajwa, ASC (Kch) (Enrl#2648)

Tuesday, 18-Jan-2022

1	C.R.P.631/2020 IN C.P.298-P/2015 (Service / Promotion) [A]Mr. Justice Gulzar Ahmed, CJ, J + 2 (D.B.)	Sanaullah v. Govt. of K.P. through Secretary Food, Peshawar and others	P - In Person R - Notice
2	C.M.A.3512/2021 IN C.R.P.NILL/2021 IN C.A.978/2020 (Permission to file and argue) (Service/Appointments) (D.B.)  [+] Gulzar Ahmed, HCJ+2	Muhammad Orooj v. Mst. Maria Hanif & others	Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR (Rwp) (Enrl#239) Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, ASC (Rwp) (Enrl#2412)
3	C.M.A.6783/2021 IN C.R.P.NILL/2021 IN C.P.2449-L/2017 (Service / Others) (Permission to argue) (S.J.) [A]Mr. Justice Gulzar Ahmed, CJ, J + 1	Tahir Ali, etc v. Senior Member Board of Revenue Punjab, etc	Mrs. Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Mr. Ch. Muhammad Sarwar, ASC (Lhr) (Enrl#4537)
4	C.M.A.7885/2020 IN C.R.P.NILL/2020 IN C.A.576/2020 (Permission to file and argue/Others) (Service / Against reduction of penalty) (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Gulzar Ahmed, CJ, J + 2	Muhammad Kazim Raza v. Chief Postmaster Multan, GPO & another	Mr. Ahmed Nawaz Chaudhry, AOR (Enrl#243) Mr. Aftab Alam Yasir, ASC (Enrl#4620)
5	C.P.551/2018 (Writ Petition / Others) (Compulsory Retirement) (S.J.)	Vice Chancellor Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan v. Haqnawaz & another	Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR (Rwp) (Enrl#239) Mr. Zafarullah Khan Khakwani, ASC (Enrl#3664)


Sir,


1. PUC is an application submitted by DSP Legal in EP No. 154/2021, 155/2021, 183/2019 & 227/2021 wherein he has requested to release the salaries of all the respondent which were attached due to non compliance of the court order.
2. If approved, this office may be allowed to release the salaries of the respondents.

  
01/06/23


Assistant Registrar

3. Hon'ble Chairman

  
Para 2/N approved



✓  
01/06/23



**KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA**  
**SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR**

All communications should be addressed to the Registrar KPK Service Tribunal and not any official by name.

Ph:- 091-9212281  
Fax:- 091-9213262

No: 1580 /ST Dated: 6/6 /2023

To

The Accountant General,  
Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.

**Subject: SALARY RELEASE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN EXECUTION PETITIONS NO. 154/2021, 155/2020, 183/2019 & 227/2021.**

Dear Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a certified copy of Order dated 01.06.2023 passed by this Tribunal on the above subject for strict compliance.

**Encl: As Above.**

(AAMIR FAROOQ)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR  
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
SERVICE TRIBUNAL  
PESHAWAR