## Form- A

### FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of		··· -
Implementation Petition No. 494/202	3	,

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3 .
1.	14.07.2023	The implementation petition of Mr. Gham er
		Rehman submitted today by Mr. Ashraf Ali Khatiak
		Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before
		Single Bench at Peshawar on 19-07-2023 Conginal
		file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.
		By the order of Chairman
		A REGISTRAR
		·

# BEFORE THE LEARNED SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. 494/2023

CM No. \_\_\_\_/2023

In the matter of

Service Appeal No. 7240/2020

Decided on 22.11.2022

Ghani ur Rehman......Appellant
VERSUS

IGP & others.....Respondents

#### INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages	
1.	Application for implementation	1	1-3	
2.	Affidavit		4	
3.	Copy of the Judgment and Order	A		
	dated 22.11.2022		5.7	
4.	Wakalat NAma	f	8	

Appellant Applicant

Through

Dated: 13.07.2023

Sh-CII)

ASHRAF ALI KHATTAK Advocate, Supreme Court of Pakistan

# BEFORE THE LEARNED SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Execution letition No. 494/2023

CM No. \_\_\_\_/2023

In the matter of

Service Appeal No. 7240/2020

Decided on 22.11.2022

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Diacy No. 6479

Dared 14/07/23

Ghani ur Rehman Belt No. 274 S/o Muhammad Ayub Khan R/p Behram Khail Tehsil Takht-e-Nasrati District Karak.

.....Appellant

#### VERSUS

- 1. Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The District Police Officer Karak.

.....Respondents

APPLICATION FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 22.11.2022 IN THE CAPTIONED SERVICE APPEAL OF THIS HON'BLE TRIBUNAL.

#### Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the above noted Service Appeal was pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Tribunal and was decided vide Judgment and order dated 22.11.2022.

- 2. That vide judgment and order dated 22.11.2022 this Hon'ble Tribunal while deciding the Appeal of the Appellant, issued directions to the respondents to decide the Departmental Representation / Appeal of the appellant through a speaking order strictly in accordance with relevant rules / law within a period of 60 days. (Copy of the Judgment and Order dated 22.11.2022 is attached as Annexure A)
- **3.** That the Judgment and Order of this Hon'ble tribunal was duly communicated to the Respondents by the Petitioner and submitted an Application implementation of the Order of this Hon'ble Tribunal. Thereafter the Petitioner is continuously approaching the Respondents for the implementation of the Judgment and Order dated 22.11.2022, however they are reluctant to implement the same.
- **4.** That the Respondents are legally bound to implement the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal dated 22.11.2022 in its true letter and spirit without any further delay, which has already been delayed due to the malafide intention of the Respondents.
- 5. That the valuable rights of the Petitioner are involved in the instant case and the Respondents are violating the legal and fundamental rights of the Petitioner by not allowing the appellant to participate in Lower Course Training at PTC Hangu.

6. That other grounds will be raised at the time of arguments with prior permission of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

On acceptance of this Application, the Order and Judgment dated 22.11.2022 of this Hon'ble Tribunal may Kindly be implemented in its true letter and spirit. And the Respondents may graciously be directed to decide the Departmental representation / appeal of the appellant.

Appellant / Applicant

Through

Dated: 13.07.2023

ASHRAF ALI KHATTAK Advocate, Supreme Court

of Pakistan

## BEFORE THE LEARNED SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

CM No. \_\_\_\_/2023

In the matter of

Service Appeal No. 7240/2020

Decided on 22.11.2022

Ghani ur Rehman.....Appellant

VERSUS

IGP & others.....Respondents

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Ghani ur Rehman Belt No. 274 S/o Muhammad Ayub Khan R/p Behram Khail Tehsil Takht-e-Nasrati District Karak, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying **Application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

DEPONENT



#### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 7240/2020

Date of Institution ... 09.07.2020

Date of Decision ... 22.11.2022



Ghani-ur-Rehman Belt No. 274 S/O Muhammad Ayub Khan P/O Behram Khail Tehsil Takht-e-Nasrati District Karak. ... (Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and 01 other:

(Respondents)

MR. ASHRAF ALI KHATTAK,

Advocate

For appellant.

MR. MUHAMMAD RIAZ KHAN PAINDAKHEL.

Assistant Advocate General

For respondents.

SALAH-UD-DIN MIAN MUHAMMAD

\_\_\_

MEMBER (JUDICIAL) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

#### JUDGMENT:

MISTELL

SALAH-UD-DIN, MEMBER:- According to the averments in the appeal, the appellant was appointed as Constable in Police Department on 25.10.2004. In order to be selected for lower course, a police constable is required to pass B-I examination and his age is not to be beyond the prescribed age limit of 33 years. The appellant was not provided an opportunity to participate in B-I examination in due time and was allowed to appear in such examination in the year 2012, which he passed and secured 2<sup>nd</sup> Position. The appellant was, however not allowed to participate in Lower School Training at

PTC Hangu, constraining the appellant to file Writ Petition

6

No. 3117-P/2013 in the honourable Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. The said writ petition alongwith other writ petitions were allowed vide judgment dated 28.01.2014 with the directions to the respondents to include name of the appellant in the list of the candidates for the forth-coming course scheduled to be commencing with effect from 01.04.2014. The appellant was then ignored till the year 2018, therefore, he filed contempt of court petition in the honourable Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. It was during hearing of the contempt of court petition on 23.10.2018 that the learned AAG produced order bearing Endorsement No. 9015-22/E-IV dated 22.10.2018, whereby the petitioner was allotted one extra seat in the lower school course. The COC petition was thus disposed of vide order dated 23.10.2018 with the observations reproduced as below:-

"In view of the above, the COC has served its purpose and is disposed of. However, with the consent of the learned AAG, the words used in respect of "His seniority will be reckoned with his colleagues who will be undergoing the Lower School Course with him. The timings of course shall not violate seniority of others." are deleted, as the same is the job of the Service Tribunal, for which the petitioner is at liberty to approach the said forum."

The appellant then filed departmental appeal seeking his seniority with his batch mates from the year 2012, however the same was not decided, hence the instant service appeal.

- 2. Notices were issued to the respondents, who submitted their comments, wherein they refuted the assertions as raised by the appellant in his appeal.
- 3. Learned counsel for the appellant has addressed his arguments supporting the grounds agitated by the appellant in his service

appeal. On the other hand, learned Assistant Advocate General has controverted the arguments of learned counsel for the appellant and has supported the comments submitted by the respondents.

- Arguments have already been heard and record perused.
- Keeping in view the respective arguments of both the sides, a perusal of the record would show that correspondence regarding departmental representation/appeal of the appellant was made between offices of Police hierarchy, however the same was not decided one way or the other, constraining the appellant to file the instant service appeal. The issue in question necessitates that the departmental representation of the appellant may first be decided by the concerned appellate Authority. departmental representation/appeal is thus, remitted to the concerned appellate Authority with the directions to decide the same through a speaking order strictly in accordance with relevant rules/law within a period of 60 days of receipt of copy of this judgment. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 22.11.202 (SALAH-UD-DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL) (MIAN MUHAMMAD) Date of Presentation of Application\_/2 MEMBER (EXECUTIVE) lumber of World Af Copying Fee ... Date of Complete La Ca Ca . Leavier E

Date of Delivery of Copy

Peshgwar

e of appropriation of B-C 1046-US 0332-9931676 فى الركان بنام بموسد مقرم وعوبل يزم ماعت تحريريا نكه مقارمة بشدرج عنواك بالاش الخاطرف سواسط بيردى دجواب وي وكل كارواكي متعلقه Me le in le el tul de l'inter مفر کرتے افر ارکیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کومقد مدکی کل کا روال کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز وكيل دربها حسب كوراحتى نادركر ... ته وتقرر ثاليت وفيصله برحلف دسيع جواسيه واي اورا تبال دعوي اور المعدورة والمراكر في اجراء اورصول جيك ورويد ارترضي دعوى اوردرخواست برسم كالقديق زراین بردستخط کراندنی کا اختیار موگا - نیز صورت عدم بیروی یا ذکری میطرفه یا ایل کی برایدگی اورمنسوخی نیز دائر کرف نے ایک میکرانی وفظر دانی و بیروی کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمه ندکور ككُلْ يا يرزوى كاروا كى ك واسط اوروكيل يا محتار قانونى كواسية بمراه يااسية بجائة تقرركا اختيار موكا اورمها حسب مقررشده كوبعي وبي جمله ندكوره باا ختيارات حاصل مول محياوراس كاساغته يداختنم عظور تيول الوكاد وران مقدم من جوخر چدد برجاندالتوائ مقدمه كسبب ، وموكار كوكى تاريخ بيتى مقام دوره بربهو ياحدے باہر موتو دكيل صاحب بابند موں مے كرييروي مركوركري ببلاادكالت ناميك صديا كمسندر ب ك لي بطور ب