


Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Implementation Petition No. 517/2023

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1.	2	3
1	24.07.2023	<p>The implementation petition of Mr. Shalyada submitted today by Mr. Yasir Saleem Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar on <u>27-07-2023</u>. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.</p> <p>By the order of Chairman  REGISTRAR</p>

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR
CHECK LIST

Shahzada
 Appellant

Versus

Govt of KPK
 Respondents

S NO	CONTENTS	YES	NO
1.	This petition has been presented by: <u>Justice Saleem Advocate High Court Pesh</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.	Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponent have signed the requisite documents?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.	Whether appeal is within time?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.	Whether affidavit is appended?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7.	Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.	Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9.	Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.	Whether annexures are legible?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11.	Whether annexures are attested?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12.	Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13.	Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14.	Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15.	Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.	Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17.	Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18.	Whether case relate to this court?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19.	Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20.	Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21.	Whether addresses of parties given are complete?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22.	Whether index filed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
23.	Whether index is correct?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
24.	Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
25.	Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
26.	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
27.	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite party? On _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been fulfilled.

Name:- Justice Saleem

Signature:- [Signature]

Dated:- _____

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHUWA SERVICES
TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

Execution Petition No. 517 /2023

In

Service Appeal No.664/2022.


Shahzada District Public Prosecutor District Bajourh under transfer to Swabi.
..... (Petitioner/ Appellant)

VERSUS

**Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwathrough Chief Secretary Khyber
Pakhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar others..... (Respondents)**

INDEX

S. No	Description of Documents	Annexure	Page No
1.	Memo of Execution Petition		1-2
	Copy of the Order dated 10.05.2023	A	3-8
2.	Copy of the letter dated NIL	B	9
3.	Copy of the letter dtd <u>08/07/2023</u>	C	10-11
4.	wakalatnama		12


Petitioner/ Appellant

Through


YASIR SALEEM

Advocate High Court
Office FR, 4 Forth Floor

BilourPlaza PeshawarCantt.

Cell: 0300-1961515

Email: yasirsaleemadvocate@gmail.com

①

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHUWA SERVICES
TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Execution Petition No. 517 /2023

Diary No. 6689

In

Dated 24/07/23

Service Appeal No.664/2022.

Shahzada District Public Prosecutor District Bajourhunder transfer to Swabi.
..... (Applicant/ appellant)

VERSUS

1. **Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa** through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
 2. **Secretary to the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa** Home and Tribal Affairs Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
 3. **Director General Prosecution** Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- (Respondents)

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF ORDER AND JUDGMENT
DATED 10.05.2023

Respectfully,sheweth,

The applicants humbly submit as under;

1. That the captioned appeal was pending before this Honorable Tribunal which has been disposed off vide Order and Judgment dated 10.05.2023. the operative part is as under ;

“therefore we dispose off this appeal with the observation that the appellant shall be allowed to complete his normal tenure at the place from where he was transferred vide the impugned order dated 30.01.2022 and in case he has completed his normal tenure he may be posted anywhere at the discretion of the authority but having regard to his seniority so that discipline of the department may not disturb”.

(Copy of the Order dated 10.05.2023 is attached as Annexure A)

2. That after the order and judgment of this Honorable Authority dated 10.05.2023, the petitioner continuously approached the respondent to implement the order.
3. That however the Respondents have flatly refused to implement the order and instead pressurized the petitioner/ appellant to take charge of his post at Swabi vide letter dated NIL.*(Copy of the letter datedNIL is attached as Annexure B)*


②

4. That the petitioner appellant duly replied the letter by bringing all the facts into the notice of Respondent No. 2 vide letter dated 08/07/2023 (Copy of the letter dated 08/07/2023 is attached as Annexure C)
5. That despite of all these facts the respondents are bent upon violating the orders of this Honorable Tribunal dated 10.05.2023
6. That it is pertinent to mention here that the respondents have not filed appeal before the Apex Court up-till now.
7. That this Honorable Tribunal has ample power to implement its order dated 10.05.2023.

It is therefore prayed that the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the order dated 10.05.2023 in its true letter and spirit



Petitioner/ Appellant

Through


YASIR SALEEM
Advocate High Court
Peshawar

AFFIDAVIT

It is solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable court.


DEPONENT

3

Ⓟ

Annex "A"

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR**



Service Appeal No. 664 /2022

Shah Zada District Public Prosecutor (BPS 19) District Bajour Under transfer to Swabhi, as Senior Public Prosecutor

VERSUS

Appellant

1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar
2. Secretary to the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home and Tribal Affairs, Department, Civil Secretariat Peshawar
3. Director, General Prosecution, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974, AGAINST THE NOTIFICATION DATED 31.01.2022 WHEREBY THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN TRANSFERRED FROM THE POST OF DISTRICT PUBLIC PROSECUTOR BPS-19 BAJAUR TO SWABI AS SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR BPS-19 AGAINST WHICH HIS DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL / REVIEW DATED 03.02.2022 HAS NOT BEEN RESPONDED TILL DATE.

Prayer in Appeal:

On acceptance of this service appeal the impugned order dated 31.01.2022 may be set aside to the extent of appellant and he may be allowed to continue his duties as District Public Prosecutor at Bajaur.

Or,
Any other remedy deemed proper may also be allowed.

Respectfully Submitted.

1. That the Appellant was initially appointed as Additional Public Prosecutor BPS-17 through Public Service Commission and was posted at Nowshera.

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

(4)

Service Appeal No.664/2022 titled "Shah Zada-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar and others" decided on 10.05.2023 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Fareeha Paul, Member, Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

**KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR.**

BEFORE: **KALIM ARSHAD KHAN ... CHAIRMAN**
FAREEHA PAUL ... MEMBER (Executive)

Service Appeal No.664/2022

Date of presentation of appeal24.03.2022
Dates of Hearing.....10.05.2023
Date of Decision.....10.05.2023



Shah Zada, District Public Prosecutor (BP-19) District Bajour Under transfer to Swabi, as Senior Public Prosecutor.

.....(*Appellant*)

Versus

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
2. **The Secretary** to the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home and Tribal Affairs, Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
3. **Director**, General Prosecution, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

.....(*Respondent*)

Present:

Mr. Yasir Saleem, Advocate.....For appellant.

Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand,
Additional Advocate General.....For respondents.

.....
SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE NOTIFICATION DATED 31.01.222 WHEREBY THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN TRANSFERRED FROM THE POST OF DISTRICT PUBLIC PROSECUTOR BPS-19 BAJAUR TO SWABI AS SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR BS-19 AGAINST WHICH HIS DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL/REVIEW DATED 03.02.2022 HAS NOT BEEN RESPONDED TILL DATE.


ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: According to the facts gathered from the memorandum and grounds of appeal are that the appellant was initially appointed as Additional Public Prosecutor (BPS-17) through Public Service Commission and was posted at Nowshera; that keeping in view his meritorious service, the appellant had been given promotion and currently he had holding the post of Senior Public Prosecutor (BS19); that the appellant out of his total service career, posted at hard areas for more than fifteen years i.e. District Buner, Shangal and Dir Upper; that lastly the appellant was posted as District Public Prosecutor (BPS-19) at Bajaur vide notification dated 06.01.2021 and he took over the charge of his new place of posting as District Public Prosecutor on 01.02.2021; that having hardly served for one year, the appellant had been transferred from Bajaur to Swabi as Senior Public Prosecutor (BPS-19) vide impugned notification dated 31.01.2022; that feeling aggrieved from the impugned notification dated 31.01.2022, the appellant preferred departmental representation which was not responded within the statutory period of ninety days, and then he filed the instant service appeal.

2. On receipt of the appeal and admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned, who, on putting appearance, contested the appeal by filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defence setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant.


ATTESTED
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

(6)

Service Appeal No.664/2022 titled "Shah Zada-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar and others" decided on 10.05.2023 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Fareeha Paul, Member, Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

3. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant, learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and rules. The appellant had hardly served for one year at Bajaur and had not yet completed his normal tenure of posting thus the transfer order is illegal and unlawful. He further stated that he was purposely posted at a station where he is made junior to a station where he is made junior to a BPS-18 Officer. He requested that the appeal might be accepted.

5. On the other hand learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents argued that the appellant had completed the normal tenure at Bajaur, therefore, the ground taken in the memo and grounds of appeal that he was pre-maturely transferred no more remain. He requested that the appeal might be dismissed.

6. In the first instance, the appellant had filed writ petition No. 501-P/2022 which was decided on 11.02.2022. The judgment is reproduced below:

"2. In essence, petition, who is serving as District Public Prosecutor (BPS-19) issued by the Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Home & Tribal Affairs Department, whereby, his services were transferred from District Public Prosecutor, Bajaur to Senior Public Prosecutor, Swabi. In this regard, his review petition filed before the competent authority was not considered. It is further averred in the petition that

TESTED

EXAMINED
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

7

Service Appeal No.664/2022 titled "Shah Zada vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Khyber, Pakhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar and others" decided on 10.05.2023 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Fareehu Paul, Member, Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

since the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal is not functional; as such, he has filed the instant petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, stated that petitioner, has never been allowed to complete his normal tenure and is, prematurely transferred vide the impugned order dated 31.01.2022. He further stated that he was purposely posted at a station where he is made junior to a station where he is made junior to a BPS-18 Officer. When questioned about the availability of alternate remedy, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that on the retirement of the incumbent Chairman, the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar is not functional. In order to confirm the same, we called upon Mohammad Zeb Khan, worthy Member Inspection Team of this Court, who appeared and stated that summary pertaining to the appointment of Chairman, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal is presently pending before Hon'ble the Chief Justice and that shortly the nomination will be made.


4. In view of the above and particularly when the Khyber, Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal is not functional; besides, on tentative assessment, we find that prima facie case exists in favour of the petition, as such, it is directed that status-quo be maintained till the first hearing before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal. However, petitioner is directed to submit Service Appeal before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal in this regard, if not already filed.

5. This writ petition is disposed of in the above terms."

7. The appellant then filed appeal before this Tribunal

8. It was at the very outset pointed out by the learned Law Officer that the appellant had completed the normal tenure at Bajaur, therefore, the ground taken in the memo and grounds of appeal that he was pre-maturely transferred no more remained. This contention of the learned Law Officer would not alone be sufficient to dismiss this appeal because the appellant had taken another plea that he was being victimized and humiliated on irrelevant considerations and that he was posted under a Junior Officer. The Tribunal gave sufficient time to the respondents to come up with resolution

ATTESTED


EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

8

Service Appeal No.664/2022 titled "Shah Zada-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar and others" decided on 10.05.2023 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Fareeha Paul, Member, Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

so that grievance of the appellant, that he should not be posted under his juniors, could be redressed privately but they did not. Therefore, we dispose of this appeal with the observation that the appellant shall be allowed to complete his normal tenure at the place from where he was transferred vide impugned order dated 31.01.2022 and in case he has completed his normal tenure he may be posted anywhere at the discretion of the authority but having regard to his seniority so that discipline of the department may not disturb. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.

9. *Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 10th day of May, 2023.*

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN
Chairman

FAREEHA PAUL
Member (Executive)

Certified to be true copy
Adnan Shah, PA

Date of Presentation 24/7/23
Number of page 6
Copying 30/6
Urgent _____
Total 35/6
Name of _____
Date of Cont. 24/7/23
Date of Delivery of Copy 24/7/23



(9)
DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION
GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
HOME & TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

No: DP/ E.A LPF 18543

Dated Peshawar the / /

Office Landline#091-9212559

dg.prosecution@kp.gov.pk

<https://prosecution.kp.gov.pk/>

<https://www.facebook.com/kpprosecution>

<https://twitter.com/kpprosecution>

To

**The District Public Prosecutor,
Bajaur.**


**Subject: SERVICE APPEAL NO. 664/2022 OF MR. SHAH ZADA,
SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR**

Dear Sir,

I am directed to refer to the subject and to state that you had filed the subject service Appeal against the Provincial Government transfer/posting Notification dated: 31-12-2022, whereby you were transferred from the post of District Public Prosecutor, Bajaur to District Public Prosecutor office Swabi as Senior Public Prosecutor (BS-19), wherein the stay order was granted in your favor by the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar and kept intact by the Service Tribunal, due to which you retained your position as District Public Prosecutor, Bajaur and thus completed normal tenure.

I am further directed to state that the aforesaid Service Appeal has been disposed of by the honourable Service Tribunal vide judgment dated: 10-05-2023, therefore, you are required to comply with the notification of the Provincial Government (which is still in the field) by assuming the charge of the post of Senior Public Prosecutor (BPS-19) at the office of District Public Prosecutor, Swabi, please.

Yours Sincerely,


Deputy Director Administration

Copy forwarded for information to the:

1. Director Administration Prosecution, Directorate of Prosecution.
2. PA to Director General Prosecution, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.


Deputy Director Administration


Attested



(10)

Annex "C"

**OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BAJAUR AT CIVIL COLONY KHAR.**

No. 260 /DPP/BAJAUR
Dated 08/07/2023

To

The Honorable Secretary to Govt of
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Home & Tribal Affairs
Department, Peshawar.

Through Proper Channel: The Worthy DG Prosecution, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject: **SERVICE APPEAL NO.664/2022 OF MR. SHAH ZAD
SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.**

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer to the letter of Directorate of Prosecution, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa bearing No. DP/E&A/PF/8543, Dated- Nil on the subject noted above received to the office of undersigned on 05.07.2023, it is to clarify that feeling aggrieved by the Notification dated 31.1.2022 whereby the undersigned was transferred from the post of District Public Prosecutor (BS-19) Bajaur to Swabi as senior public prosecutor and posted under a junior officer of (BS-18). As per seniority, the undersigned filed a service appeal before the Honorable Services Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. The Tribunal agreed with the prayer of the undersigned not to transfer the undersigned under a junior officer vide its judgement dated 10.05. 2023. The operative part of the judgment of the Honorable services tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar is as follows;

"Therefore, we dispose of this Appeal with the observation that the appellant shall be allowed to complete his normal tenure at the place from where he was transferred vide impugned order dated-31.01.2022 and in case he has completed his normal tenure he may be posted anywhere at the discretion of the authority but having regard to his seniority so that discipline of the department may not disturb"

2. As per Judgment *ibid*, the Honorable Services Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar has agreed to the prayer/plea, which was submitted by the undersigned not to post/transfer the undersigned under a junior officer. However, the undersigned has completed his normal tenure, and now it is the discretion of the competent authority to post the undersigned anywhere, but in league with the observation passed in the judgment of the Honorable Services Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. (copy of the judgment is enclosed as annexure-A)

3. It is, however brought into your benign notice that the recent letter issued by the Directorate of Prosecution has misinterpreted, the essence of the judgment as the same is not in accordance with the judgement of the Honorable Services Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar as the Tribunal has categorically ordered that the competent authority may post the

Attested

(11)


undersigned anywhere but **having regard to the seniority** of the undersigned. The ibid letter of directorate of prosecution will again create the same old scenario by directing the undersigned to assume the charge of Senior Public Prosecutor at Swabi under a junior officer who is already posted there as District Public Prosecutor. Posting of the undersigned under his junior officer and, hence, the Tribunal order will be flouted. (copy of the said letter is enclosed as annexure -B)

4. It is also pertinent to mention that the undersigned is a senior Prosecution Officer in BS-19 at serial NO.3 of the seniority list of BS-19 Prosecution officers and being in promotion zone to be promoted to BS-20.

5. It is also pertinent to mention here that the undersigned is at **the verge of retirement and is going to be retired on 04.10.2024**

6. It is, therefore, humbly requested that if the competent Authority in its infinite wisdom deems appropriate to post/ transfer the undersigned anywhere but having regard to the seniority of the undersigned in light of the ibid judgement of the Honorable Services Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, the undersigned will obey the same in letter and spirit.

Sincerely yours


(Shah Zada)
**District Public Prosecutor,
Bajaur.**


Attested

POWER OF ATTORNEY

In the Court of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal

Peshawar
Shahzade

For
Plaintiff
Appellant ✓
Petitioner
Complainant

Govt of KPK etc

Defendant
Respondent
Accused

Appeal/Revision/Suit/Application/Petition/Case No. _____ of _____ Fixed for _____

I/We, the undersigned/ _____ do hereby nominate and appoint **YASIR SALEEM ADVOCATE HIGH COURT**, my true and lawful attorney, for me in my name and on my behalf to appear at _____ to appear, plead, act and answer in the above Court or any Court to which the business is transferred in the above matter and is agreed to sign and file petitions, An appeal, statements, accounts, exhibits, Compromises or other documents whatsoever, in connection with the said matter or any matter arising there from and also to apply for and receive all documents or copies of documents, depositions etc, and to apply for and get issued and arrest, attachment or other writs or sub-poena and to apply for and receive payment of any or all sums or submit for the above matter to arbitrations, warrants or order and to conduct any proceeding that may arise there out; and to apply for and receive payment of any other Legal Practitioner authorizing him to exercise the power and authorizes hereby conferred on the Advocate wherever he may think fit to do so, any other lawyer may be appointed by my said counsel to conduct the case who shall have the same powers.

AND to all acts legally necessary to manage and conduct the said case in all respects, whether herein specified or not, as may be proper and expedient.

AND I/we hereby agree to ratify and confirm all lawful acts done on my/our behalf under or by virtue of this power or of the usual practice in such matter.

PROVIDED always, that I/we undertake at time of calling of the case by the Court/my authorized agent shall inform the Advocate and make him appear in Court, if the case may be dismissed in default, if it be proceeded ex-parte the said counsel shall not be held responsible for the same. All costs awarded in favour shall be the right of the counsel or his nominee, and if awarded against shall be payable by me/us

IN WITNESS whereof I/we have hereto signed at _____ the _____ day to _____ the year _____
Executant/Executants _____
Accepted subject to the terms regarding fee _____

bc-10-6580

CNIC-17201-0483119-1

Mob-0331-8892589

Ysa
YASIR SALEEM

Advocate High Court
ADVOCATES, LEGAL ADVISORS SERVICE & LABOUR
FRI-4, Fourth Floor, B...