FORMOF ORDERSHEET

Court of ______

544/2023 Misc. application No. S.No. Date of order Order or other proceedings with signature of judge proceedings 1 Misc. application in Service Appeal No. 27/07/2023 1 205/2021 submitted today by Mr. Ashraf Ali Khattak Advocate. It is fixed for hearing before Division Bench at 01-08-2023 Peshawar Original requisitioned. By the order of Chairman

BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE

Appeal No in 205/2021

Ayat Ullah Appellant

VERSUS

The Regional Police Office & othersRespondents

INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Memo of Application		1-2
2.	Affidavit	_	3
3.	Copy of Judgment		4. 9

Appel Through

.

151-5110

ASHRAF ALI KHATTAK

ASC, Peshawar

BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

is Mise	Applica	tion	No. 54	4/2023	Pakhtu	
Ayat Ullah,		TN			2 Diary No. 452	(F)
SHO, A	peal	No.	205	12022	¥ Dated 6-723	≱ *
Police Station, Cantt:	,	,			or Ice Tribu	<u></u>
Kohat	•••••			A	ppellant	•

Versus

1.	The Regional Police Officer,
	Kohat Region Kohat.

2.	The District Police Officer,	•		
	Kohat	•••••	•••••	Respondents

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 152 & 153 CPC, 1908 FOR CLERICAL / ARITHMETICAL MISTAKE TO AMEND THE DEFECT OR ERROR FLOATING IN ORDER / JUDGMENT DATED 29.05.2023.

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the applicant / Appellant filed Service Appeal No 205 of 2021 before this Hon'ble Tribunal, which was allowed vide order dated 29.05.2023.
- 2. That the appeal was filed against order of Regional Police Officer Kohat Region Kohat bearing endorsement No. 190663/EC dated Kohat the 01.12.2021 wherein he rejected the Departmental Appeal of the Appellant preferred against the Order passed by respondent No 2 (The District Police Officer Kohat) vide OB No. 590 dated 30.08.2021, whereby he awarded penalty of stoppage of three increments without accommodative effect and the intervening period was treated as unauthorized leave without Pay.
- 3. That this Hon'ble Tribunal vide order dated 29.05.2023 allowed the Appeal and set aside both the impugned Orders dated 30.08.2021 and 01.12.2021, but erroneously and mistakenly left the portion of Back benefits.

- 4. That since the impugned order was set aside not only to the extent of stoppage of three increments but was also set aside to the extent of intervening period which was treated as unauthorized leave without pay.
- 5. That in case of setting aside the order with reference to the intervening period of being treated as unauthorized leave without pay shall follow the wording that the intervening period shall be treated as on active duty with pay and all other attached benefits.
- 6. That similar and identical nature of case which was also the outcome of the same departmental proceeding has also been allowed by this Hon'ble Tribunal with all back benefits.

In view of the above humble submissions and on the acceptance of the instant Application / petition, this Hon'ble Tribunal may graciously be pleased to amend the floating error and the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal dated 29.05.2023 and allowed the Appeal by setting aside both the impugned orders dated 30.08.2021 and 01.12.2021 and reinstate the Appellant / Applicant with all back benefits accordingly.

Dated: 21.06.2023

Applicant Appellant

Through

ASHRAF ALI KHATTAK

Advocate, Supreme Court

Of Pakistan

BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Ayat Ullah	Арр	licant / Appellant
	VERSUS	
The Regional Police	Officer Kohat & others	Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Ayat Ullah, SHO, Police Station, Cantt: Kohat, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of this Application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

Hurraira Kehn Outh Cor DEPONE

Secretal Append 345 2021 interd Carac Aligh rowing The 15 subject Ordier Albert, bashed Resposs Teden in Section on 2005,2023 by Division beach compressing of New Salin strabad Dance Common and New Ahar Khan, Member Eyzentive Khyben Paklanakhwa Xewley Talhund, Perlamon.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUN **PESHAWAR**

BEFORE:

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN ... CHAIRMAN M. AKBAR KHAN

... MEMBER (Executive)

Service Appeal No. 205/2021

•	resentation of Appeal	
	Decision	
	Police Station, Cantt: K	ohatAppellant
	<u>Versus</u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

2. The District Police Officer, Kohat.(Respondents)

1. The Regional Police Officer, Kohat Region Kohat.

Present:

Mr. Ashraf Ali Khattak, Advocate......For the appellant,

Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney.....For respondents.

APPEAL **SECTION** OF. KHYBER UNDER THFPAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 READ WITH POLICE RULES, 1975 AND RULE-19 OF GOVERNMENT SERVANTS (EFFICIENCY AND DISCIPLINE) RULE, 2011 THE IMPUGNED | FINAL ORDER OF AGAINST RESPONDENT NO.1 BEARING ENDST NO.19063/EC DATED KOHAT THE 01.12.2021, WHEREIN HE REJECTED THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT PREFERRED AGAINST THE ORDER PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO.2 VIDE OB NO.590 DATED 30.08.2021, WHEREBY HE AWARDED PUNISHMENT OF STOPPAGE OF THREE INCREMENTS WITHOUT ACCUMULATIVE EFFECT AND INTERVENING TREATED AS UNAUTHORIZED WAS PERIOD WITHOUT PAY.

JA.

TESTED



Souch v Apport 198 (193) (1964) "Ant Vilide versus The Regional Valley Clinese, percet Bookin birthit and a viley dephasel on 29.05.2023 by Edviston Hench equippising of Mr. Kalim Acthod blum Antonion, and Mr. Midamon of Akbar Klino, Manifer Essentive, Klipber Pakhanikhva Service Frihand, Peshawa

JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: Brief facts leading to filing of the instant appeal are that the complainant of case F.I.R No. 1593 dated 15.12.2019 registered at Police Station City Kohat U/S 365 P.P.C reported regarding the kidnapping of his son by a person in police uniform. The gunners of the appellant had allegedly telephonic contact with the charged accused before and after occurrence. The appellant was also suspected for contact with the accused on the eventful day i.e. before and after the occurrence. He was charged sheet along with statement of allegations, whereafter, he submitted reply and after issuance of the final show cause notice, appellant was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service vide order dated 16.01.2020; that feeling aggrieved, the appellant preferred departmental appeal on 20.01.2020 which was disposed of on 25.06.2020; that the appellant then filed service appeal No. 3325/2020 which was partially accepted vide judgment dated 28.07.2021 and the case was remitted to the department with the direction to receive reply of the appellant to the final show cause notice within seven days of the receipt of this judgment and then to pass a speaking order according to law; that the respondents issued final show cause notice to the appellant which was replied by the appellant; that the respondent No. 2 imposed minor punishment of stoppage of three increments without cumulative effect and the intervening period is treated as un-authorized leave without pay on the principle of "No work, no pay" vide impugned order dated 31.08.2021; that the appellant preferred departmental

MA

Page 7

ir Berming Egligs (Mayer Asset Grang Arbeit ger ar viet GW Me Bulling Lybol Hegit Farthaul Greek (Gerlage Verteine s Berting Kiloning Granding verteine een Verteine is 6

appeal against the impugned order on 26.09.2021 which was rejected on 30.11.2021, hence, the instant service appeal.

- 2. On receipt of the appeal and its admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned. Respondents put appearance and contested the appeal by filing their respective written replies raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defense setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant.
- 3. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned District Attorney for the respondents.
- 4. Learned counsel for appellant contended that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law, rules and policy governing the subject and acted in violation of Article, 3,4 and 10A of the Constitution of Pakistan, 1973. The appellant was neither charged by the complainant nor Enquiry Officer of the criminal case procured any evidence which could connect the appellant with alleged accusation provided in the charge sheet and statement of allegations. Since there was no evidence against the appellant, therefore, he has not made an accused person in criminal case. In the circumstances the penal impugned order was not tenable in the eyes of law and liable to be set aside. Lastly, he submitted that the instant appeal might be accepted.

 $^{\rm age}$ 3

in 1988, August 1982 (1984), that Allich verme The Brankol Paller Chinge Court first is two Prints (in the Art In Land of 2018, 2028 in Divisió Bench comingno d'Abilladur, history Cheros hangala (in the Artist I History Bull Shinter Bertalle: Shikar takkalakhin Bench Universit Kilani (in 1884), in 1884, in 1884, in 1884,

5. This Tribunal in its earlier judgment in service appeal No. 3325/2020 titled "Ayat Ullah versus Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and two others" has found in para-6 and 7 as under:-

- In view of the above, without touching other merits of the case, this Bench is of the opinion that consequent upon the completion of inquiry conducted against the appellant he was served with final show cause notice on 15.01.2020 and he was required to show cause in response to the final show cause notice within 7 days of its delivery but on the very next date, i.e. on 16.01.2020, impugned order was passed, whereby major punishment of dismissal from service was imposed upon appellant. It has been mentioned in the impugned order that the appellant was served with final show cause notice, reply was received and found unsatisfactory, whereas, from the record it is crystal clear that appellant was not given proper opportunity and no such reply was submitted by the appellant to the final show cause notice.
- 7. Keeping in view the above discussion, this appeal is partially accepted and case is remitted to the Department with direction to receive reply of the appellant to the final show cause notice within 7 days of the receipt of this judgment and then to pass a speaking order according to law. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room."
- 6. on remand, a show cause notice was issued to the appellant and he was heard in person, where-after vide order dated 31.08.2021, although the appellant was reinstated into service, but a minor punishment of stoppage of three annual increments with cumulative effect was imposed upon him. Aggrieved from the said impugned order, he filed departmental appeal, which was rejected on 30.11.2021 and, hence, this appeal. After remission of this case, the service appeals of two other officials, who were also proceeded as a result of the same enquiry, were allowed by this Tribunal vide judgment

Service Appeal 205/2021 tilled "Ayat Ulah yayan The Regional Police (Illicer Libert design) bytes of the laped on 2005 2023 by Drovelan Benefi capturing of Mr. Kalim Arshad rapia y hapragus the Uta Akbar Khan, Member Executive, Khyber Paklaunkhwa Service Pribanal, its Jain is

dated 02.12.2021 reinstating them in service with all back benefits. The crux of the judgment of the Tribunal is that the complainant party of case FIR No. 1593 had patched up the matter with the nominated accused Farhad and main accused was discharged vide order of the learned Judicial Magistrate-II on 10.10.2022; that one of the appellant was Driver Constable with SHO, Ayat Ullah, present appellant; that he was not charged by the complainant in FIR; that the allegations in respect of contact of the appellant of those two appeals with the nominated accused Farhad in case FIR No. 1593 had also no force as the accused Farhad was not charged by the complainant party. Apart from above, in the statement of Anwar Shah, Inquiry Officer, the allegations against the appellant, could not be proved, as no evidence was collected regarding the factum of bringing the complainant and the abductee in the Vitz car (case property of same case), allegedly in the use of the appellant. Similarly, Israr Khan's statement was recorded during the enquiry, wherein too nothing, about the allegations against the appellant. was referred. Same is the case with the statement of Mst. Fatima Gulcomplainant of the criminal case. She rather denied the presence of the appellant with the Vitz Car. In the charge sheet besides other two irrelevant allegations one material allegation was that the appellant had contact with the accused on the eventful day i.e. before and after the occurrence. Regarding this allegation the enquiry officer has, though, found that the contact of the appellant with the accused of the criminal case was established, yet there is nothing, in support of the findings, placed on record, especially when the complainant did not charge the accused for the offence.

Mar

Der vice Tribunal

 P_{ge}

Service Appeal 205/2021 Inted Ayar Ollah versus The Regional Police Officer Lahar Region Kohat and income its lared on 29.05/2023 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Kalim Arshad Khaa, Chairman, and Mr. Milland. Akbar Khan, Alamber Executive; Khyber Pakhumkhwa Service Trabunal, Peshawar.

Therefore, the allegation, against the appellant could not be said to have been proved,

- As a sequel to the above, we are constrained to allow this appeal and set aside the impugned orders dated 30.08.2021 and 01.12.2021. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.
- Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands 8. and the seal of the Tribunal on this 29th day of May, 2023.

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN

Chairman

Certified to be ture copy

Member (Executive)

Adnan Shah, P

Number of Word

Copying Fee ...

Name of Cott Date of Complete

Date of Delivery of Copy